Forecasting International Regional Tourist Arrivals to China

Doctor of Philosophy Thesis

Volume II

Yvonne Zhou-Grundy

School of International Business
Faculty of Business and Law

Victoria University

2011

Appendix II

Table 5B.1.1
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in  24 iterations.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 17.4931 (-2 LogL = -34.9862).
Prediction error variance is 0.0130666

Summary statistics
                  LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.11431
 Normality       0.77183
 H(  3)           1.4435
 r( 1)          0.042810
 r( 8)           0.12627
 DW               1.4241
 Q( 8, 6)         5.8924
 Rd^2            0.72771

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.40194      0.067896         -5.92  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.1.2
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq 20 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.45416 (-2 LogL = -10.9083).
Prediction error variance is 0.0747111

Summary statistics
                  LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.27333
 Normality        2.7786
 H(  3)           1.8577
 r( 1)          -0.12616
 r( 8)          0.047236
 DW               2.2149
 Q( 8, 6)          10.408
 Rd^2            0.78109

Eq 20 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.66969       0.34436       -1.9448  [ 0.0804]
Irr   10. 1      -0.79443       0.35856       -2.2156  [ 0.0511]
```

Table 5B.1.3
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 22 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.15036 (-2 LogL = -10.3007).
Prediction error variance is 0.135032

Summary statistics
                  LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.36747
 Normality       0.39946
 H(  3)          0.21668
 r( 1)           0.24433
 r( 8)          -0.25189
 DW              0.79723
 Q( 8, 6)         11.662
 Rd^2            0.92504

Eq 22 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.9157       0.29746       -9.8019  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.99867       0.29925       -3.3373  [ 0.0075]
```

Table 5B.1.4
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Shanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 25 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.08764 (-2 LogL = -8.17529).
Prediction error variance is 0.105136

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.32425
Normality	1.4199
H(3)	3.8982
r(1)	-0.27153
r(8)	-0.10618
DW	1.6453
Q(8, 6)	6.9378
Rd^2	0.80439

Eq 25 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.2481	0.40850	-3.0554	[0.0121]
Irr 10. 1	-0.43092	0.42534	-1.0131	[0.3349]

Table 5B.1.5
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Inner Mongolia

Very strong convergence in 13 iterations.
Eq 28 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.40599 (-2 LogL = -16.812).
Prediction error variance is 0.0939197

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.30646
Normality	2.6628
H(3)	2.0838
r(1)	0.048465
r(8)	-0.038816
DW	1.5506
Q(8, 6)	6.1269
Rd^2	0.38647

Eq 28 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	-0.58213	0.22587	-2.5773	[0.0275]

Table 5B.1.6
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Liaoning

No estimation done.

Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.7305 (-2 LogL = -25.461).
Prediction error variance is 0.020955

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.14476
Normality	0.75033
H(3)	0.76718
r(1)	-0.21386
r(8)	-0.080935
DW	2.3511
Q(8, 6)	3.8652
Rd^2	0.85064

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.61398	0.17028	-3.6057	[0.0048]

Table 5B.1.7
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Jilin

```
Very strong convergence in  16 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.90707 (-2 LogL = -13.8141).
Prediction error variance is 0.0829919

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.28808
 Normality        1.6493
 H(  3)           3.1907
 r( 1)         -0.041254
 r( 8)           0.22279
 DW               1.4908
 Q( 8, 6)         7.1761
 Rd^2            0.77730

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.75206       0.22824       -3.2951  [ 0.0081]
Irr   10. 1       -1.0046       0.22828       -4.4007  [ 0.0013]
```

Table 5B.1.8
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Heilongjiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq 38 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.80952 (-2 LogL = -7.61904).
Prediction error variance is 0.113768

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.33729
 Normality        1.5224
 H(  3)          0.15375
 r( 1)           -0.43228
 r( 8)          -0.063420
 DW               1.5980
 Q( 8, 6)         5.1958
 Rd^2            0.79563

Eq 38 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.51071       0.42606       -1.1987  [ 0.2583]
Irr   11. 1        1.1122       0.45936        2.4211  [ 0.0360]
```

Table 5B.1.9
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Shanghai

```
No estimation done.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.3221 (-2 LogL = -22.6441).
Prediction error variance is 0.0249244

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.15787
 Normality        3.3073
 H(  3)          0.24605
 r( 1)           0.29904
 r( 8)           0.15572
 DW               1.3362
 Q( 8, 6)         6.9451
 Rd^2            0.56012

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.19835       0.13556       -1.4632  [ 0.1741]
Irr   10. 1      -0.35396       0.13556       -2.6111  [ 0.0260]
```

Table 5B.1.10
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Jiangsu

```
No estimation done.
Eq 47 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.7955 (-2 LogL = -23.591).
Prediction error variance is 0.0153068

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.12372
 Normality        1.8903
 H(  3)          0.078396
 r( 1)            0.15489
 r( 8)          -0.095059
 DW               1.2542
 Q( 8, 6)         7.8063
 Rd^2            0.80605

Eq 47 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.50194       0.15587       -3.2203  [ 0.0092]
Irr   10. 1      -0.12194       0.16230      -0.75138  [ 0.4697]
```

Table 5B.1.11
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Zhejiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq 51 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.4835 (-2 LogL = -22.967).
Prediction error variance is 0.0239385

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.15472
 Normality        2.6198
 H(  3)          0.21419
 r( 1)           0.37647
 r( 8)          0.062624
 DW              0.84690
 Q( 8, 6)         8.2138
 Rd^2            0.81044

Eq 51 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.71307       0.13285       -5.3674  [ 0.0003]
Irr   10. 1      -0.21184       0.13285       -1.5945  [ 0.1419]
```

Table 5B.1.12
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  23 iterations.
Eq 55 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.42294 (-2 LogL = -14.8459).
Prediction error variance is 0.0629577

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.25091
 Normality        1.9757
 H(  3)          0.63432
 r( 1)          -0.14666
 r( 8)          -0.23415
 DW               2.1702
 Q( 8, 6)         6.8991
 Rd^2            0.58130

Eq 55 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.40660       0.31898       -1.2747  [ 0.2312]
```

Irr 10. 1 -0.21473 0.27222 -0.78881 [0.4485]

Table 5B.1.13
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Fujian

No estimation done.
Eq 6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.19009 (-2 LogL = -6.38018).
Prediction error variance is 0.190345

Summary statistics

	LSvar3
Std.Error	0.43629
Normality	2.4901
H(3)	0.37723
r(1)	0.18952
r(8)	0.096457
DW	1.2740
Q(8, 6)	13.327
Rd^2	0.37438

Eq 6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 8. 1	-0.62461	0.37462	-1.6673 [0.1264]
Irr 10. 1	-0.40067	0.37462	-1.0695 [0.3100]

Table 5B.1.14
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Jiangxi

No estimation done.
Eq 6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.19009 (-2 LogL = -6.38018).
Prediction error variance is 0.190345

Summary statistics

	LSvar3
Std.Error	0.43629
Normality	2.4901
H(3)	0.37723
r(1)	0.18952
r(8)	0.096457
DW	1.2740
Q(8, 6)	13.327
Rd^2	0.37438

Eq 6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 8. 1	-0.62461	0.37462	-1.6673 [0.1264]
Irr 10. 1	-0.40067	0.37462	-1.0695 [0.3100]

Table 5B.1.15
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Shandong

No estimation done.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.537 (-2 LogL = -23.074).
Prediction error variance is 0.0163286

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.12778
Normality	4.4351
H(3)	0.84060
r(1)	-0.13209
r(8)	0.18675
DW	2.1214
Q(8, 6)	9.0897
Rd^2	0.85882

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value

Irr	8. 1	-0.48841	0.16099	-3.0338 [0.0126]
Irr	10. 1	-0.39377	0.16763	-2.3491 [0.0407]

Table 5B.1.16
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Henan

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.13194 (-2 LogL = 0.263881).
Prediction error variance is 0.391386

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.62561
Normality	2.5146
H(3)	0.074219
r(1)	0.028309
r(8)	0.021307
DW	1.5847
Q(8, 6)	4.1331
Rd^2	0.69550

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 8. 1	-0.52927	0.68232	-0.7757 [0.4559]
Irr 10. 1	-1.9699	0.69299	-2.8426 [0.0175]

Table 5B.1.17
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Hubei

Very strong convergence in 20 iterations.
Eq 9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.77615 (-2 LogL = -9.5523).
Prediction error variance is 0.13142

Summary statistics

	LSvar17
Std.Error	0.36252
Normality	13.849
H(3)	0.067497
r(1)	-0.23714
r(8)	0.027359
DW	2.3213
Q(8, 6)	1.9768
Rd^2	0.66187

Eq 9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Lvl 8. 1	-1.0270	0.46381	-2.2143 [0.0512]
Irr 10. 1	-0.37884	0.36391	-1.041 [0.3224]

Table 5B.1.18
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Hunan

No estimation done.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.5096 (-2 LogL = -5.01919).
Prediction error variance is 0.249016

Summary statistics

	LSvar18
Std.Error	0.49902
Normality	0.41769
H(3)	0.82728
r(1)	0.069120
r(8)	-0.018069
DW	1.5141
Q(8, 6)	9.8329
Rd^2	0.63552

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-2.2070	0.63554	-3.4726	[0.0060]
Irr 10. 1	-0.27380	0.42848	-0.639	[0.5372]

Table 5B.1.19
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Guangdong

Strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 14 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.4994 (-2 LogL = -24.9988).
Prediction error variance is 0.0362611

Summary statistics

	LSvar19
Std.Error	0.19042
Normality	0.94627
H(3)	6.4243
r(1)	-0.26649
r(8)	0.13344
DW	1.8269
Q(8, 6)	9.4905
Rd^2	0.64215

Eq 14 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.57790	0.16579	-3.4858	[0.0059]

Table 5B.1.20
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Guangxi

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 21 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.3889 (-2 LogL = -20.7777).
Prediction error variance is 0.0368126

Summary statistics

	LSvar20
Std.Error	0.19187
Normality	7.8514
H(3)	3.7278
r(1)	-0.12477
r(8)	-0.058256
DW	1.9917
Q(8, 6)	5.9884
Rd^2	0.74968

Eq 21 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.33126	0.21107	-1.5694	[0.1476]
Irr 10. 1	-0.65703	0.17575	-3.7385	[0.0039]

Table 5B.1.21
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Hainan

Strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 24 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.03612 (-2 LogL = -12.0722).
Prediction error variance is 0.107592

Summary statistics

	LSvar21
Std.Error	0.32801
Normality	1.3713
H(3)	3.2901
r(1)	-0.35086
r(8)	-0.076001
DW	1.7306
Q(8, 6)	9.9549
Rd^2	0.29442

Eq 24 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.19481	0.27796	-0.70088	[0.4994]
Irr 10. 1	-0.069030	0.27885	-0.24755	[0.8095]

Table 5B.1.22
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Chongqing

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 27 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.7922 (-2 LogL = -21.5844).
Prediction error variance is 0.0185624

Summary statistics

	LSvar22
Std.Error	0.13624
Normality	1.5164
H(3)	0.0010392
r(1)	-0.18724
r(8)	0.0081286
DW	1.9349
Q(8, 6)	4.0660
Rd^2	0.90682

Eq 27 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.67190	0.10281	-6.5356	[0.0001]
Irr 10. 1	-0.65683	0.10380	-6.3276	[0.0001]
Slp 11. 1	-0.31363	0.17898	-1.7523	[0.1103]

Table 5B.1.23
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Sichuan

Strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.21596 (-2 LogL = -12.4319).
Prediction error variance is 0.106603

Summary statistics

	LSvar23
Std.Error	0.32650
Normality	6.2901
H(3)	0.028331
r(1)	-0.20198
r(8)	-0.023563
DW	2.1320
Q(8, 6)	8.0953
Rd^2	0.32450

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.25217	0.35717	-0.70601	[0.4963]
Irr 10. 1	-0.21970	0.28889	-0.76048	[0.4645]

Table 5B.1.24
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Guizhou

Very strong convergence in 43 iterations.
Eq 14 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.63524 (-2 LogL = -7.27049).
Prediction error variance is 0.184303

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.42930
Normality	3.4249
H(3)	2.4790
r(1)	-0.055524
r(8)	0.17983
DW	1.7835
Q(8, 6)	5.7418
Rd^2	0.87758

Eq 14 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.6463	0.34862	-4.7224	[0.0008]
Irr 10. 1	-2.1240	0.34864	-6.0922	[0.0001]

Table 5B.1.25
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Yunnan

Very strong convergence in 14 iterations.
Eq 38 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.02403 (-2 LogL = -14.0481).
Prediction error variance is 0.0848375

Summary statistics

	LSvar25
Std.Error	0.29127
Normality	3.2258
H(3)	0.52806
r(1)	-0.10343
r(8)	0.068672
DW	1.8096
Q(8, 6)	4.4586
Rd^2	0.44065

Eq 38 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.63078	0.32194	-1.9593	[0.0785]
Irr 10. 1	-0.19391	0.26976	-0.71882	[0.4887]

Table 5B.1.26
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Tibet

No estimation done.
Eq 40 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.70478 (-2 LogL = -13.4096).
Prediction error variance is 0.0545386

Summary statistics

	LSvar26
Std.Error	0.23353
Normality	0.0096815
H(3)	1.5757
r(1)	-0.45868
r(8)	0.22802
DW	2.4924
Q(8, 6)	16.355
Rd^2	0.81202

Eq 40 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.3906	0.31616	-4.3984	[0.0013]
Lvl 10. 1	0.21808	0.28180	0.77388	[0.4569]

Table 5B.1.27
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Shaanxi

Very strong convergence in 20 iterations.
Eq 43 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.2598 (-2 LogL = -26.5197).
Prediction error variance is 0.014931

Summary statistics

	LSvar27
Std.Error	0.12219
Normality	2.8717
H(3)	21.689
r(1)	-0.12076
r(8)	0.017660
DW	1.8425
Q(8, 6)	2.6916
Rd^2	0.99488

Eq 43 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-3.9254	0.12149	-32.311	[0.0000]
Irr 10. 1	-0.92341	0.12176	-7.5839	[0.0000]

Table 5B.1.28
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Gansu

Very strong convergence in 7 iterations.
Eq 48 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.80996 (-2 LogL = -13.6199).
Prediction error variance is 0.0916018

Summary statistics

	LSvar28
Std.Error	0.30266
Normality	1.9953
H(3)	0.16107
r(1)	-0.10431
r(8)	0.0036939
DW	1.7409
Q(8, 6)	5.4847
Rd^2	0.29919

Eq 48 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.075206	0.33434	-0.22494	[0.8266]
Irr 11. 1	0.42348	0.28444	1.4888	[0.1674]

Table 5B.1.29
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Qinghai

Very strong convergence in 12 iterations.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.2097 (-2 LogL = -16.4194).
Prediction error variance is 0.0816816

Summary statistics

	LSvar8
Std.Error	0.28580
Normality	0.59144
H(3)	0.0085125
r(1)	0.099852
r(8)	0.023124
DW	1.6053
Q(8, 6)	5.9776
Rd^2	0.36004

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.45681	0.27034	-1.6898	[0.1220]

Table 5B.1.30
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Ningxia

No estimation done.
Eq 68 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.90635 (-2 LogL = -11.8127).
Prediction error variance is 0.0815537

Summary statistics

	LSvar30
Std.Error	0.28558
Normality	8.2585
H(3)	4.5533
r(1)	0.040510
r(8)	-0.034514
DW	1.8466
Q(8, 6)	5.0774
Rd^2	0.38616

Eq 68 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 6. 1	0.30250	0.39321	0.76929	[0.4595]
Lvl 8. 1	0.15868	0.39321	0.40356	[0.6950]
Lvl 10. 1	-0.62048	0.39321	-1.578	[0.1456]

Table 5B.1.31
BSM intervention results for Australian tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 70 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.3873 (-2 LogL = -12.7746).
Prediction error variance is 0.0995602

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.31553
Normality	5.0567
H(3)	0.53027
r(1)	0.22285
r(8)	-0.22562
DW	0.71770
Q(8, 6)	6.7861
Rd^2	0.47229

Eq 70 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-0.68158	0.23610	-2.8868	[0.0162]
Irr 10. 1	-0.86034	0.23858	-3.6061	[0.0048]

Table 5B.2.1
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in 8 iterations.
Eq  3 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 16.3933 (-2 LogL = -32.7865).
Prediction error variance is 0.00379959

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error      0.061641
 Normality       0.96665
 H(  3)            1.3029
 r( 1)           0.010346
 r( 8)          -0.015607
 DW                1.8086
 Q( 8, 6)          6.9230
 Rd^2             0.92722

Eq  3 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -0.19824       0.054837       -3.6151  [ 0.0047]
Irr   10. 1       -0.45381       0.055946       -8.1117  [ 0.0000]
Slp   11. 1        0.27057       0.082422        3.2828  [ 0.0083]
```

Table 5B.2.2
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.07387 (-2 LogL = -10.1477).
Prediction error variance is 0.131164

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.36217
 Normality       0.46013
 H(  3)            3.7000
 r( 1)           0.043627
 r( 8)           0.085384
 DW                1.4818
 Q( 8, 6)          7.6438
 Rd^2             0.74602

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -0.68528        0.31098       -2.2036  [ 0.0521]
Lvl   11. 1         1.8745        0.46125         4.064  [ 0.0023]
```

Table 5B.2.3
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.54822 (-2 LogL = -9.09644).
Prediction error variance is 0.157108

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.39637
 Normality        2.9340
 H(  3)          0.10570
 r( 1)          -0.018126
 r( 8)           -0.16551
 DW                1.6911
 Q( 8, 6)          4.0929
 Rd^2             0.90633

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1        -2.8682        0.31300       -9.1637  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1       -0.67009        0.31541       -2.1245  [ 0.0596]
```

Table 5B.2.4
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Shanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 26 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.800687 (-2 LogL = -1.60137).
Prediction error variance is 0.238634

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.48850
Normality	3.5385
H(3)	1.6257
r(1)	-0.34043
r(8)	0.082087
DW	2.5087
Q(8, 6)	4.2248
Rd^2	0.71903

Eq 26 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.0966	0.66132	-1.6582	[0.1283]
Lvl 10. 1	0.23312	0.58947	0.39547	[0.7008]

Table 5B.2.5
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Inner Mongolia

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 40 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.0503402 (-2 LogL = -0.10068).
Prediction error variance is 0.469336

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.68508
Normality	0.77481
H(3)	0.97725
r(1)	-0.14999
r(8)	0.29760
DW	1.2571
Q(8, 6)	10.171
Rd^2	0.10888

Eq 40 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.054755	0.62367	-0.087795	[0.9318]
Irr 10. 1	-0.096236	0.62531	-0.1539	[0.8807]

Table 5B.2.6
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Liaoning

Very strong convergence in 12 iterations.
Eq 37 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.23013 (-2 LogL = -18.4603).
Prediction error variance is 0.0387755

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.19691
Normality	5.5809
H(3)	0.29170
r(1)	0.17535
r(8)	-0.046765
DW	1.5824
Q(8, 6)	4.6271
Rd^2	0.64398

Eq 37 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	0.35981	0.22585	1.5932	[0.1422]
Lvl 11. 1	0.48016	0.24722	1.9423	[0.0808]

Table 5B.2.7
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Jilin

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.8329 (-2 LogL = -25.6659).
Prediction error variance is 0.0337031

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.18358
 Normality        2.6948
 H(  3)         0.0068085
 r( 1)          -0.064114
 r( 8)           0.011615
 DW                2.1263
 Q( 8, 6)          3.6551
 Rd^2             0.80555

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl   11. 1        1.2870       0.22153        5.8097  [ 0.0002]
```

Table 5B.2.8
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Heilongjiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.315465 (-2 LogL = 0.63093).
Prediction error variance is 0.504609

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.71036
 Normality        5.6281
 H(  3)           9.0482
 r( 1)           0.18200
 r( 8)         -0.037256
 DW                1.3179
 Q( 8, 6)          12.218
 Rd^2             0.19426

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.44244       0.90471      -0.48905  [ 0.6354]
Irr   10. 1      -0.61576       0.60995       -1.0095  [ 0.3365]
```

Table 5B.2.9
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Shanghai

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 15.19 (-2 LogL = -30.38).
Prediction error variance is 0.0208096

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.14426
 Normality        8.4835
 H(  3)          0.060733
 r( 1)           -0.16626
 r( 8)          -0.011952
 DW                2.0994
 Q( 8, 6)          3.7206
 Rd^2             0.41852

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.25802       0.11336        -2.276  [ 0.0461]
```

Table 5B.2.10
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in  18 iterations.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.3589 (-2 LogL = -26.7179).
Prediction error variance is 0.0313722

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.17712
 Normality        1.6292
 H(  3)           4.4613
 r( 1)           -0.21038
 r( 8)          0.0011497
 DW               2.0842
 Q( 8, 6)         2.0970
 Rd^2            0.16979

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    9. 1       0.13433       0.14216       0.94488  [ 0.3670]
```

Table 5B.2.11
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 22 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.40776 (-2 LogL = -16.8155).
Prediction error variance is 0.0581756

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.24120
 Normality        10.841
 H(  3)          0.69295
 r( 1)           -0.34683
 r( 8)         -0.0020968
 DW               2.4724
 Q( 8, 6)         2.7808
 Rd^2            0.59601

Eq 22 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.56318       0.26866       -2.0963  [ 0.0625]
Irr   10. 1     -0.082465       0.23339      -0.35334  [ 0.7312]
```

Table 5B.2.12
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Anhui

```
No estimation done.
Eq 28 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.34842 (-2 LogL = -16.6968).
Prediction error variance is 0.0370105

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.19238
 Normality        2.4490
 H(  3)           6.0671
 r( 1)           -0.24275
 r( 8)          0.038463
 DW               2.2273
 Q( 8, 6)         4.3310
 Rd^2            0.84217

Eq 28 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.17444       0.26375      -0.66138  [ 0.5233]
Irr   10. 1      -0.65299       0.25472       -2.5636  [ 0.0282]
```

Table 5B.2.13
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Fujian

No estimation done.
Eq 33 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.74087 (-2 LogL = -5.48173).
Prediction error variance is 0.109489

Summary statistics

	LSvar13
Std.Error	0.33089
Normality	3.4313
H(3)	0.87323
r(1)	-0.23462
r(8)	0.030460
DW	2.4025
Q(8, 6)	3.9844
Rd^2	0.56769

Eq 33 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.19381	0.48513	-0.39951	[0.6979]
Lvl 10. 1	0.36929	0.44687	0.82639	[0.4279]
Slp 11. 1	0.099478	0.52617	0.18906	[0.8538]

Table 5B.2.14
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Jiangxi

No estimation done.
Eq 46 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.3311 (-2 LogL = -24.6622).
Prediction error variance is 0.0404881

Summary statistics

	LSvar14
Std.Error	0.20122
Normality	1.5520
H(3)	1.5938
r(1)	0.076341
r(8)	-0.29725
DW	1.6624
Q(8, 6)	8.3383
Rd^2	0.24894

Eq 46 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	0.16236	0.10391	1.5625	[0.1492]

Table 5B.2.15
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Shandong

No estimation done.
Eq 48 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.8508 (-2 LogL = -21.7017).
Prediction error variance is 0.0309448

Summary statistics

	LSvar15
Std.Error	0.17591
Normality	0.64086
H(3)	0.39598
r(1)	0.16085
r(8)	0.15298
DW	1.3479
Q(8, 6)	7.3538
Rd^2	0.74840

Eq 48 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.24527	0.22404	-1.0948	[0.2993]
Irr 10. 1	-0.68308	0.15105	-4.5223	[0.0011]

Table 5B.2.16
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Henan

```
Strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.93167 (-2 LogL = -3.86334).
Prediction error variance is 0.327757

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.57250
 Normality        3.7856
 H(  3)          0.094619
 r( 1)            0.12326
 r( 8)            0.22064
 DW                1.1666
 Q( 8, 6)          8.6954
 Rd^2             0.56020

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.5653       0.48352       -3.2373  [ 0.0089]
Slp   11. 1       0.47492       0.70317        0.6754  [ 0.5147]
```

Table 5B.2.17
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Hubei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.32049 (-2 LogL = -14.641).
Prediction error variance is 0.0747984

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.27349
 Normality        3.5668
 H(  3)          0.044286
 r( 1)            0.098403
 r( 8)           -0.035155
 DW                1.5866
 Q( 8, 6)          4.1978
 Rd^2             0.91985

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.1001       0.23484       -8.9428  [ 0.0000]
Lvl   10. 1      -0.78636       0.34832       -2.2576  [ 0.0476]
```

Table 5B.2.18
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Hunan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 27 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.79887 (-2 LogL = -7.59773).
Prediction error variance is 0.112774

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.33582
 Normality      0.053599
 H(  3)            34.659
 r( 1)           -0.20369
 r( 8)          -0.0093620
 DW                2.1824
 Q( 8, 6)          2.1507
 Rd^2             0.84208

Eq 27 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.0736       0.45462       -2.3615  [ 0.0399]
Lvl   10. 1       -2.0275       0.40523       -5.0034  [ 0.0005]
```

Table 5B.2.19
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in   5 iterations.
Eq 20 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.91782 (-2 LogL = -19.8356).
Prediction error variance is 0.0362763

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.19046
 Normality        2.3576
 H(  3)          0.62588
 r( 1)           0.014559
 r( 8)          -0.083152
 DW               1.7452
 Q( 8, 6)         6.8531
 Rd^2            0.69495

Eq 20 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      0.032237       0.24362       0.13232  [ 0.8974]
Irr   10. 1      -0.60275       0.19162       -3.1455  [ 0.0104]
```

Table 5B.2.20
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Guangxi

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 30 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.6503 (-2 LogL = -21.3006).
Prediction error variance is 0.0404003

Summary statistics
                LSvar20
 Std.Error       0.20100
 Normality       0.94034
 H(  3)          0.052505
 r( 1)           0.043099
 r( 8)           0.027603
 DW               1.6922
 Q( 8, 6)         5.3700
 Rd^2            0.79315

Eq 30 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.41533       0.18694       -2.2217  [ 0.0506]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.6011       0.18696       -8.5638  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.2.21
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Hainan

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 36 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.46105 (-2 LogL = -16.9221).
Prediction error variance is 0.0563681

Summary statistics
                LSvar21
 Std.Error       0.23742
 Normality       0.23558
 H(  3)           2.0921
 r( 1)          -0.073853
 r( 8)           0.17228
 DW               1.5043
 Q( 8, 6)         3.6423
 Rd^2            0.16723

Eq 36 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    7. 1       0.17267       0.22251       0.77602  [ 0.4557]
Irr    9. 1      0.051705       0.22230       0.23259  [ 0.8208]
```

Table 5B.2.22
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Chongqing

No estimation done.
Eq 4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.149 (-2 LogL = -20.2981).
Prediction error variance is 0.0338052

Summary statistics

	LSvar2
Std.Error	0.18386
Normality	0.30614
H(3)	151.13
r(1)	0.18148
r(8)	-0.029135
DW	1.3861
Q(8, 6)	4.1224
Rd^2	0.89748

Eq 4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.94921	0.093235	-10.181	[0.0000]
Irr 10. 1	-0.91545	0.093235	-9.8188	[0.0000]

Table 5B.2.23
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Sichuan

Very strong convergence in 13 iterations.
Eq 4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.48541 (-2 LogL = -16.9708).
Prediction error variance is 0.0715111

Summary statistics

	LSvar23
Std.Error	0.26742
Normality	1.5017
H(3)	0.36179
r(1)	-0.080018
r(8)	-0.035659
DW	1.9537
Q(8, 6)	6.9085
Rd^2	0.42155

Eq 4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.38477	0.28232	-1.3629	[0.2028]
Lvl 10. 1	-0.80367	0.28384	-2.8314	[0.0178]

Table 5B.2.24
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Guizhou

No estimation done.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.79845 (-2 LogL = -7.5969).
Prediction error variance is 0.146412

Summary statistics

	LSvar24
Std.Error	0.38264
Normality	0.83427
H(3)	3.1919
r(1)	0.27468
r(8)	0.091716
DW	1.1695
Q(8, 6)	7.0914
Rd^2	0.83291

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 7. 1	-0.21213	0.35425	-0.59881	[0.5626]
Lvl 9. 1	1.7962	0.35425	5.0703	[0.0005]
Lvl 11. 1	3.0435	0.35425	8.5914	[0.0000]

Table 5B.2.25
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Yunnan

```
No estimation done.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.5074 (-2 LogL = -21.0147).
Prediction error variance is 0.0211223

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.14533
 Normality        2.8269
 H(  3)          0.039213
 r( 1)          0.0014607
 r( 8)           0.066604
 DW                1.8546
 Q( 8, 6)          8.9517
 Rd^2             0.88844

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.94218       0.18310       -5.1457  [ 0.0004]
Irr   10. 1      -0.22414       0.19065       -1.1757  [ 0.2670]
```

Table 5B.2.26
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Tibet

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.49402 (-2 LogL = -6.98805).
Prediction error variance is 0.240579

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.49049
 Normality       0.20461
 H(  3)          0.89029
 r( 1)          -0.30612
 r( 8)          0.026484
 DW                2.1274
 Q( 8, 6)          9.4007
 Rd^2             0.61878

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.7016       0.54556        -3.119  [ 0.0109]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.0681       0.57406       -1.8605  [ 0.0924]
```

Table 5B.2.27
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Shaanxi

```
Very weak convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq  9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.9522 (-2 LogL = -29.9045).
Prediction error variance is 0.0104938

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.10244
 Normality        1.1435
 H(  3)           2.5685
 r( 1)           0.59365
 r( 8)         -0.050398
 DW              0.64903
 Q( 8, 6)          11.836
 Rd^2             0.99658

Eq  9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -4.0095      0.085710        -46.78  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.85151      0.085710       -9.9347  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.2.28
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Gansu

No estimation done.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.26737 (-2 LogL = -2.53473).
Prediction error variance is 0.214798

Summary statistics

	LSvar28
Std.Error	0.46346
Normality	8.9843
H(3)	41.358
r(1)	-0.24383
r(8)	-0.011090
DW	2.3891
Q(8, 6)	2.9624
Rd^2	0.68390

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.048324	0.58544	-0.082543	[0.9358]
Irr 11. 1	0.50090	0.63119	0.79359	[0.4459]

Table 5B.2.29
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Qinghai

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 19 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.05377 (-2 LogL = -8.10754).
Prediction error variance is 0.134508

Summary statistics

	LSvar29
Std.Error	0.36675
Normality	6.7010
H(3)	0.094270
r(1)	0.36360
r(8)	-0.28981
DW	0.70494
Q(8, 6)	9.2556
Rd^2	0.74995

Eq 19 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 6. 1	-0.79048	0.51341	-1.5397	[0.1547]
Lvl 8. 1	1.5105	0.51209	2.9497	[0.0145]
Lvl 10. 1	-1.2373	0.51323	-2.4108	[0.0366]

Table 5B.2.30
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Ningxia

Very strong convergence in 7 iterations.
Eq 6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.53506 (-2 LogL = -15.0701).
Prediction error variance is 0.101093

Summary statistics

	LSvar3
Std.Error	0.31795
Normality	0.057241
H(3)	0.32306
r(1)	0.13734
r(8)	-0.020769
DW	1.2992
Q(8, 6)	5.6716
Rd^2	0.45977

Eq 6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.66558	0.26156	-2.5447	[0.0291]

Table 5B.2.31
BSM intervention results for Canadian tourists to Xinjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.20184 (-2 LogL = -18.4037).
Prediction error variance is 0.0463166

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.21521
Normality	3.6071
H(3)	4.0201
r(1)	-0.46730
r(8)	-0.060014
DW	2.0492
Q(8, 6)	7.1176
Rd^2	0.84061

Eq 8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.83061	0.26359	-3.1511	[0.0103]

Table 5B.3.1
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in   0 iterations.
Eq 100 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 17.1919 (-2 LogL = -34.3839).
Prediction error variance is 0.00785333

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error      0.088619
 Normality        2.4844
 H(  3)          0.73943
 r( 1)           -0.31301
 r( 8)          -0.089885
 DW               2.3028
 Q( 8, 6)         7.5761
 Rd^2            0.92395

Eq 100 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.70173       0.10849       -6.4684  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.3.2
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq 104 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.33143 (-2 LogL = -12.6629).
Prediction error variance is 0.0868681

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.29473
 Normality       0.35094
 H(  3)           19.896
 r( 1)           -0.23414
 r( 8)           0.081535
 DW               2.2494
 Q( 8, 6)         6.2411
 Rd^2            0.73981

Eq 104 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    5. 1       0.80093       0.34670        2.3102  [ 0.0435]
```

Table 5B.3.3
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Hebei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 106 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.81323 (-2 LogL = -11.6265).
Prediction error variance is 0.0682967

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.26134
 Normality       0.89885
 H(  3)          0.45104
 r( 1)           -0.39710
 r( 8)           0.026308
 DW               2.6649
 Q( 8, 6)         8.8047
 Rd^2            0.97219

Eq 106 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.4313       0.32925       -10.422  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.62832       0.34282       -1.8328  [ 0.0967]
```

Table 5B.3.4
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Shanxi

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.32376 (-2 LogL = -4.64752).
Prediction error variance is 0.223566

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.47283
 Normality        1.3826
 H(  3)           9.6230
 r( 1)          -0.22415
 r( 8)          0.054620
 DW               1.9081
 Q( 8, 6)         8.7996
 Rd^2            0.66054

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.93698       0.49028       -1.9111  [ 0.0850]
Irr   10. 1      -0.77366       0.49334       -1.5682  [ 0.1479]
```

Table 5B.3.5
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Inner Mongolia

```
Very strong convergence in   5 iterations.
Eq 119 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.30121 (-2 LogL = -2.60242).
Prediction error variance is 0.503404

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.70951
 Normality        6.9034
 H(  3)           9.9453
 r( 1)          -0.19304
 r( 8)          0.019322
 DW               2.1078
 Q( 8, 6)         3.8059
 Rd^2            0.023185

Eq 119 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Slp   11. 1      -0.61999       0.81937      -0.75666  [ 0.4667]
```

Table 5B.3.6
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Liaoning

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 124 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.44511 (-2 LogL = -16.8902).
Prediction error variance is 0.0537054

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.23174
 Normality        3.8921
 H(  3)          0.29165
 r( 1)        -0.0096612
 r( 8)         -0.055650
 DW               1.9686
 Q( 8, 6)         3.5085
 Rd^2            0.54333

Eq 124 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.63606       0.29735       -2.1391  [ 0.0581]
Irr   10. 1      -0.17384       0.22570      -0.77022  [ 0.4590]
```

Table 5B.3.7
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Jilin

```
Strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 126 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.8094 (-2 LogL = -15.6188).
Prediction error variance is 0.109217

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.33048
 Normality        3.2557
 H(  3)          0.060866
 r( 1)           -0.58370
 r( 8)           -0.13315
 DW               2.3540
 Q( 8, 6)         14.744
 Rd^2            0.75491

Eq 126 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl   11. 1        1.9159       0.37325        5.1332  [ 0.0004]
```

Table 5B.3.8
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Heilongjiang

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 129 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.48565 (-2 LogL = -2.9713).
Prediction error variance is 0.355268

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.59604
 Normality        7.1295
 H(  3)          0.84130
 r( 1)           -0.20762
 r( 8)           0.10900
 DW               1.8976
 Q( 8, 6)         6.3722
 Rd^2            0.29676

Eq 129 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    6. 1       0.58337       0.53085        1.0989  [ 0.2976]
Lvl    8. 1      0.049016       0.67407      0.072716  [ 0.9435]
```

Table 5B.3.9
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Shanghai

```
Strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 135 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.35143 (-2 LogL = -6.70287).
Prediction error variance is 0.201836

Summary statistics
                 LSvar9
 Std.Error       0.44926
 Normality        4.0419
 H(  3)           6.5624
 r( 1)           -0.20277
 r( 8)         0.0016894
 DW               2.0638
 Q( 8, 6)         4.3441
 Rd^2            0.30791

Eq 135 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       0.27385       0.42469       0.64481  [ 0.5336]
Irr   11. 1       0.21815       0.44864       0.48624  [ 0.6373]
```

Table 5B.3.10
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 137 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 15.5804 (-2 LogL = -31.1609).
Prediction error variance is 0.0199583

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.14127
 Normality        14.527
 H(  3)         0.0075896
 r( 1)           -0.33335
 r( 8)           0.020244
 DW                2.0988
 Q( 8, 6)          1.9564
 Rd^2             0.76403

Eq 137 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.55850      0.097899       -5.7049  [ 0.0002]
```

Table 5B.3.11
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in  17 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.6145 (-2 LogL = -25.2289).
Prediction error variance is 0.020893

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.14454
 Normality        3.3352
 H(  3)          0.46435
 r( 1)           -0.17452
 r( 8)           0.015706
 DW                1.6293
 Q( 8, 6)          5.3677
 Rd^2             0.81640

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.55947       0.11713       -4.7764  [ 0.0007]
Irr   10. 1      -0.37648       0.11783       -3.1951  [ 0.0096]
```

Table 5B.3.12
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in   4 iterations.
Eq 145 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.9946 (-2 LogL = -25.9893).
Prediction error variance is 0.0343418

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.18532
 Normality        4.5581
 H(  3)          0.19409
 r( 1)         -0.024189
 r( 8)           -0.10027
 DW                1.5634
 Q( 8, 6)          7.9132
 Rd^2             0.78425

Eq 145 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.78586       0.14414       -5.4522  [ 0.0003]
```

Table 5B.3.13
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Fujian

```
No estimation done.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.3203 (-2 LogL = -20.6407).
Prediction error variance is 0.0221334

Summary statistics
                LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.14877
 Normality        1.3717
 H(  3)          0.30958
 r( 1)           0.16376
 r( 8)         0.0031241
 DW               1.6526
 Q( 8, 6)         5.7330
 Rd^2            0.91973

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.2372       0.18743       -6.6006  [ 0.0001]
Irr   10. 1      -0.40768       0.19516        -2.089  [ 0.0632]
```

Table 5B.3.14
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Jiangxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq  8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.36131 (-2 LogL = -6.72261).
Prediction error variance is 0.126071

Summary statistics
                LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.35506
 Normality       0.97866
 H(  3)          0.90860
 r( 1)          -0.20974
 r( 8)         0.0061618
 DW               2.2855
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0579
 Rd^2            0.75167

Eq  8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.88736       0.44733       -1.9837  [ 0.0754]
Irr   10. 1       -1.1575       0.46577       -2.4851  [ 0.0323]
```

Table 5B.3.15
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Shandong

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 151 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.85696 (-2 LogL = -19.7139).
Prediction error variance is 0.0540066

Summary statistics
                LSvar15
 Std.Error       0.23239
 Normality     0.0012945
 H(  3)            3.0444
 r( 1)         -0.090765
 r( 8)         -0.091163
 DW               2.1378
 Q( 8, 6)         4.0972
 Rd^2            0.58738

Eq 151 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.48469       0.23241       -2.0855  [ 0.0636]
```

Table 5B.3.16
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 158 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.78008 (-2 LogL = -15.5602).
Prediction error variance is 0.0847595

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.29113
 Normality        2.1055
 H(  3)          0.25258
 r( 1)         -0.057789
 r( 8)         -0.030201
 DW               1.9638
 Q( 8, 6)         7.1908
 Rd^2            0.68526

Eq 158 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.85338       0.29428       -2.8999  [ 0.0158]
```

Table 5B.3.17
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Hubei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 160 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.17395 (-2 LogL = -2.34791).
Prediction error variance is 0.217823

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.46671
 Normality        3.0340
 H(  3)          0.022772
 r( 1)           -0.24645
 r( 8)           0.095668
 DW               2.0707
 Q( 8, 6)         4.8821
 Rd^2            0.82150

Eq 160 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.4723       0.58799       -2.5039  [ 0.0312]
Irr   10. 1      -0.88789       0.61223       -1.4503  [ 0.1776]
```

Table 5B.3.18
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Hunan

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.965871 (-2 LogL = -1.93174).
Prediction error variance is 0.335092

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.57887
 Normality       0.84963
 H(  3)            16.311
 r( 1)          -0.43983
 r( 8)          -0.16389
 DW               2.2519
 Q( 8, 6)         9.4677
 Rd^2            0.71632

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.5871       0.59505       -2.6672  [ 0.0236]
Irr   10. 1      -0.94277       0.60791       -1.5508  [ 0.1520]
```

Table 5B.3.19
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in   5 iterations.
Eq 166 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.2761 (-2 LogL = -26.5521).
Prediction error variance is 0.0324491

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.18014
 Normality        1.9138
 H(  3)          0.25764
 r( 1)           -0.24376
 r( 8)           0.062144
 DW                1.7482
 Q( 8, 6)          5.1304
 Rd^2            0.85708

Eq 166 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1       -1.0023       0.13958       -7.1807  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.3.20
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Guangxi

```
Strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.41632 (-2 LogL = -14.8326).
Prediction error variance is 0.110893

Summary statistics
                LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.33301
 Normality      0.024702
 H(  3)          0.49441
 r( 1)           -0.44517
 r( 8)          -0.032616
 DW                2.4125
 Q( 8, 6)          7.5530
 Rd^2            0.76810

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1       -1.2643       0.29477       -4.2891  [ 0.0016]
```

Table 5B.3.21
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Hainan

```
Strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 172 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.44892 (-2 LogL = -12.8978).
Prediction error variance is 0.141657

Summary statistics
                LSvar21
 Std.Error       0.37637
 Normality        3.2376
 H(  3)           3.2061
 r( 1)           -0.50213
 r( 8)          -0.059034
 DW                2.6507
 Q( 8, 6)          10.229
 Rd^2            0.39991

Eq 172 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.44626       0.31856       -1.4009  [ 0.1915]
```

Table 5B.3.22
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Chongqing

No estimation done.
Eq 176 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.45845 (-2 LogL = -16.9169).
Prediction error variance is 0.0360062

Summary statistics

	LSvar22
Std.Error	0.18975
Normality	1.0604
H(3)	0.41891
r(1)	-0.18371
r(8)	-0.022838
DW	2.2401
Q(8, 6)	8.5702
Rd^2	0.84729

Eq 176 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.41488	0.26015	-1.5948	[0.1418]
Irr 10. 1	-0.74612	0.25124	-2.9698	[0.0141]

Table 5B.3.23
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Sichuan

Very strong convergence in 13 iterations.

Eq 180 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.61438 (-2 LogL = -11.2288).
Prediction error variance is 0.129089

Summary statistics

	LSvar23
Std.Error	0.35929
Normality	5.1458
H(3)	0.092179
r(1)	-0.23517
r(8)	-0.10148
DW	2.1689
Q(8, 6)	6.1681
Rd^2	0.48453

Eq 180 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.35360	0.38841	-0.91037	[0.3840]
Irr 10. 1	-0.58654	0.28944	-2.0265	[0.0702]

Table 5B.3.24
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Guizhou

No estimation done.
Eq 182 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.65311 (-2 LogL = -19.3062).
Prediction error variance is 0.0261512

Summary statistics

	LSvar24
Std.Error	0.16171
Normality	5.3700
H(3)	0.049180
r(1)	-0.31433
r(8)	0.017503
DW	1.9708
Q(8, 6)	5.7046
Rd^2	0.94623

Eq 182 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.68751	0.20373	-3.3745	[0.0071]
Irr 10. 1	-1.3797	0.21213	-6.5041	[0.0001]

Table 5B.3.25
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Yunnan

```
Strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 14 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.30622 (-2 LogL = -10.6124).
Prediction error variance is 0.114651

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.33860
 Normality        6.8232
 H(  3)          0.27772
 r( 1)           0.060852
 r( 8)           0.029146
 DW                1.3710
 Q( 8, 6)          4.8120
 Rd^2            0.50744

Eq 14 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.73376       0.34483       -2.1279  [ 0.0592]
Irr   10. 1      -0.62018       0.35153       -1.7642  [ 0.1082]
```

Table 5B.3.26
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Tibet

```
No estimation done.
Eq 194 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.08698 (-2 LogL = -12.174).
Prediction error variance is 0.101817

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.31909
 Normality        3.5204
 H(  3)           1.4460
 r( 1)           0.11324
 r( 8)           0.18371
 DW                1.4510
 Q( 8, 6)          8.4081
 Rd^2            0.73957

Eq 194 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.4890       0.40639       -3.6639  [ 0.0044]
Irr   10. 1      -0.73619       0.27399        -2.687  [ 0.0228]
```

Table 5B.3.27
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Shaanxi

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 198 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.0619 (-2 LogL = -20.1237).
Prediction error variance is 0.020497

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.14317
 Normality        6.7787
 H(  3)        0.0034354
 r( 1)           0.060884
 r( 8)           -0.12791
 DW                1.1660
 Q( 8, 6)          12.368
 Rd^2            0.99531

Eq 198 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -4.7048       0.11199        -42.01  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1       -1.4626       0.12612       -11.597  [ 0.0000]
Irr   11. 1      -0.79090       0.12515       -6.3196  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.3.28
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Gansu

```
Very strong convergence in  19 iterations.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.3787 (-2 LogL = -26.7575).
Prediction error variance is 0.0124383

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.11153
 Normality        1.5400
 H(  3)          0.11144
 r( 1)           -0.43037
 r( 8)          -0.081520
 DW               2.0900
 Q( 8, 6)         5.6640
 Rd^2            0.89360

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.12607       0.12737      -0.98983  [ 0.3456]
Irr   10. 1      -0.67101       0.13081       -5.1297  [ 0.0004]
```

Table 5B.3.29
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Qinghai

```
No estimation done.
Eq 207 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.2179 (-2 LogL = -12.4358).
Prediction error variance is 0.0890875

Summary statistics
                LSvar29
 Std.Error       0.29848
 Normality       0.59219
 H(  3)           1.1641
 r( 1)           -0.50476
 r( 8)          -0.036135
 DW               2.9678
 Q( 8, 6)          12.892
 Rd^2            0.67330

Eq 207 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       0.12575       0.35110       0.35816  [ 0.7277]
```

Table 5B.3.30
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Ningxia

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 211 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.41872 (-2 LogL = -6.83745).
Prediction error variance is 0.29019

Summary statistics
                LSvar30
 Std.Error       0.53869
 Normality        2.1537
 H(  3)          0.19931
 r( 1)           -0.19120
 r( 8)          -0.022915
 DW               2.1213
 Q( 8, 6)         6.7699
 Rd^2            0.48670

Eq 211 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl   11. 1        1.5951       0.60936        2.6177  [ 0.0257]
```

Table 5B.3.31
BSM intervention results for French tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 13 iterations.
Eq 213 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.80589 (-2 LogL = -13.6118).
Prediction error variance is 0.122838

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.35048
Normality	6.8153
H(3)	40.769
r(1)	-0.39554
r(8)	0.026861
DW	2.0783
Q(8, 6)	4.8088
Rd^2	0.71024

Eq 213 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-1.0144	0.32336	-3.137	[0.0106]

Table 5B.4.1
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Beijing

No estimation done.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 17.5413 (-2 LogL = -35.0825).
Prediction error variance is 0.00725937

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.085202
Normality	2.0482
H(3)	2.1239
r(1)	-0.35205
r(8)	-0.25975
DW	2.4156
Q(8, 6)	11.948
Rd^2	0.91114

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.66856	0.10436	-6.4065	[0.0001]

Table 5B.4.2
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Tianjin

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.32933 (-2 LogL = -2.65866).
Prediction error variance is 0.319081

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.56487
Normality	2.7352
H(3)	0.72039
r(1)	-0.40133
r(8)	0.31897
DW	2.1214
Q(8, 6)	15.068
Rd^2	0.47729

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.72531	0.56127	-1.2923	[0.2253]
Irr 10. 1	-0.51050	0.56907	-0.89709	[0.3908]

Table 5B.4.3
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Hebei

No estimation done.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.52829 (-2 LogL = -19.0566).
Prediction error variance is 0.02698

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.16426
Normality	0.74089
H(3)	0.29804
r(1)	0.15543
r(8)	0.12504
DW	1.6406
Q(8, 6)	9.5974
Rd^2	0.98453

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-2.9691	0.20694	-14.348	[0.0000]
Irr 10. 1	-0.58855	0.21547	-2.7315	[0.0211]

Table 5B.4.4
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Shanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.88144 (-2 LogL = -7.76288).
Prediction error variance is 0.113063

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.33625
Normality	1.4706
H(3)	37.998
r(1)	-0.55004
r(8)	-0.061109
DW	2.7091
Q(8, 6)	12.378
Rd^2	0.78513

Eq 9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.61162	0.46099	-1.3267	[0.2141]
Irr 10. 1	-0.37709	0.44521	-0.84699	[0.4168]

Table 5B.4.5
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Inner Mongolia

Very strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.58228 (-2 LogL = -19.1646).
Prediction error variance is 0.07601

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.27570
Normality	3.4590
H(3)	0.61534
r(1)	-0.45253
r(8)	-0.017460
DW	2.0861
Q(8, 6)	11.838
Rd^2	0.74080

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.83963	0.18006	-4.663	[0.0009]

Table 5B.4.6
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Liaoning

Very strong convergence in 19 iterations.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.5367 (-2 LogL = -17.0734).
Prediction error variance is 0.0786059

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.28037
Normality	0.89094
H(3)	18.451
r(1)	-0.19674
r(8)	0.013564
DW	2.0665
Q(8, 6)	5.7804
Rd^2	0.25981

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.20667	0.25067	-0.82445	[0.4289]

Table 5B.4.7
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Jilin

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.30727 (-2 LogL = -14.6145).
Prediction error variance is 0.0928626

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.30473
 Normality        2.8500
 H(  3)          0.59900
 r( 1)           0.11247
 r( 8)          -0.15954
 DW               1.7063
 Q( 8, 6)         6.5912
 Rd^2            0.85200

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   11. 1        1.7917       0.32591        5.4974  [ 0.0003]
```

Table 5B.4.8
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Heilongjiang

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq  5 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.57379 (-2 LogL = -3.14758).
Prediction error variance is 0.23597

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.48577
 Normality        17.741
 H(  3)           8.5435
 r( 1)         -0.025883
 r( 8)         -0.038865
 DW               1.8341
 Q( 8, 6)         1.4854
 Rd^2            0.40030

Eq  5 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    6. 1        0.43693       0.55094       0.79305  [ 0.4462]
Irr    8. 1       -0.31328       0.55282       -0.5667  [ 0.5834]
```

Table 5B.4.9
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Shanghai

```
Very strong convergence in  14 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.3408 (-2 LogL = -22.6816).
Prediction error variance is 0.0275563

Summary statistics
                 LSvar9
 Std.Error       0.16600
 Normality        3.2991
 H(  3)           13.075
 r( 1)          -0.16288
 r( 8)         -0.011908
 DW               1.9394
 Q( 8, 6)         3.2679
 Rd^2            0.25641

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    6. 1       0.027125       0.15461       0.17544  [ 0.8642]
Irr    8. 1       -0.14976       0.15462      -0.96854  [ 0.3556]
```

Table 5B.4.10
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 40 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 15.1173 (-2 LogL = -30.2345).
Prediction error variance is 0.0119128

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.10915
 Normality       0.18251
 H(  3)           1.0074
 r( 1)           0.15116
 r( 8)         -0.017400
 DW               1.4094
 Q( 8, 6)         9.0237
 Rd^2            0.62139

Eq 40 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    9. 1       0.44714       0.10474        4.2692  [ 0.0016]
Irr   11. 1      0.067166      0.065687        1.0225  [ 0.3306]
```

Table 5B.4.11
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 35 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.4442 (-2 LogL = -22.8885).
Prediction error variance is 0.0468273

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.21640
 Normality        8.1139
 H(  3)           23.540
 r( 1)          -0.24243
 r( 8)          -0.17663
 DW               2.2130
 Q( 8, 6)         4.2868
 Rd^2            0.56431

Eq 35 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.51038       0.18161       -2.8103  [ 0.0185]
```

Table 5B.4.12
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Anhui

```
No estimation done.
Eq  5 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.90929 (-2 LogL = -17.8186).
Prediction error variance is 0.0314956

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.17747
 Normality       0.71457
 H(  3)           1.0701
 r( 1)          -0.24411
 r( 8)         -0.062154
 DW               2.3548
 Q( 8, 6)         11.281
 Rd^2            0.75543

Eq  5 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.56166       0.22359       -2.5121  [ 0.0308]
Irr   10. 1      -0.19488       0.23280      -0.83712  [ 0.4221]
```

Table 5B.4.13
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Fujian

No estimation done.
Eq 7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.24396 (-2 LogL = -16.4879).
Prediction error variance is 0.086637

Summary statistics

	LSvar3
Std.Error	0.29434
Normality	1.2695
H(3)	0.21920
r(1)	0.0053432
r(8)	-0.045271
DW	1.8966
Q(8, 6)	2.2824
Rd^2	0.71572

Eq 7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.0794	0.23828	-4.53	[0.0011]

Table 5B.4.14
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Jiangxi

No estimation done.
Eq 53 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.76748 (-2 LogL = -7.53496).
Prediction error variance is 0.11633

Summary statistics

	LSvar14
Std.Error	0.34107
Normality	4.0292
H(3)	0.28938
r(1)	-0.31611
r(8)	0.0029757
DW	2.6081
Q(8, 6)	6.7128
Rd^2	0.83472

Eq 53 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.2557	0.46761	-2.6855	[0.0229]
Irr 10. 1	-0.87140	0.45159	-1.9296	[0.0825]

Table 5B.4.15
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Shandong

No estimation done.
Eq 9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.3163 (-2 LogL = -24.6327).
Prediction error variance is 0.0194389

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.13942
Normality	1.2366
H(3)	1.2593
r(1)	0.44507
r(8)	-0.080114
DW	0.84495
Q(8, 6)	12.893
Rd^2	0.78661

Eq 9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.39017	0.11972	-3.2591	[0.0086]
Irr 10. 1	-0.48389	0.11972	-4.0419	[0.0024]

Table 5B.4.16
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Henan

No estimation done.
Eq 64 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.58477 (-2 LogL = -3.16953).
Prediction error variance is 0.196156

Summary statistics

	LSvar16
Std.Error	0.44290
Normality	3.2084
H(3)	8.5702
r(1)	-0.30049
r(8)	0.012019
DW	1.9934
Q(8, 6)	4.7255
Rd^2	0.67121

Eq 64 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.13540	0.59958	-0.22583	[0.8259]
Lvl 10. 1	-0.92863	0.53444	-1.7376	[0.1129]

Table 5B.4.17
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Hubei

No estimation done.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.20411 (-2 LogL = -6.40823).
Prediction error variance is 0.189679

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.43552
Normality	1.0053
H(3)	4.7693
r(1)	0.092128
r(8)	-0.19132
DW	1.4929
Q(8, 6)	9.8015
Rd^2	0.74196

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.5816	0.37396	-4.2292	[0.0017]
Irr 10. 1	-0.65024	0.37396	-1.7388	[0.1127]

Table 5B.4.18
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Hunan

Strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 68 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.52778 (-2 LogL = -3.05556).
Prediction error variance is 0.375811

Summary statistics

	LSvar18
Std.Error	0.61303
Normality	1.3875
H(3)	1.8418
r(1)	-0.067750
r(8)	-0.00065809
DW	1.7279
Q(8, 6)	11.243
Rd^2	0.50718

Eq 68 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.5751	0.68168	-2.3106	[0.0435]
Lvl 10. 1	-1.2250	0.73536	-1.6658	[0.1267]

Table 5B.4.19
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 70 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.4792 (-2 LogL = -28.9584).
Prediction error variance is 0.0247382

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.15728
 Normality       0.15577
 H(  3)          0.36389
 r( 1)          -0.20631
 r( 8)           0.14312
 DW               1.7362
 Q( 8, 6)         8.5637
 Rd^2            0.85651

Eq 70 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.86203       0.12292       -7.0131  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.4.20
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Guangxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq 74 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.3429 (-2 LogL = -20.6857).
Prediction error variance is 0.0318375

Summary statistics
                LSvar20
 Std.Error       0.17843
 Normality       0.18861
 H(  3)           13.872
 r( 1)          0.076995
 r( 8)         -0.088638
 DW               1.5048
 Q( 8, 6)         9.9452
 Rd^2            0.87437

Eq 74 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.15805       0.15321       -1.0316  [ 0.3266]
Irr   10. 1       -1.0868       0.15321       -7.0934  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.4.21
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Hainan

```
Strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.9509 (-2 LogL = -9.90181).
Prediction error variance is 0.135842

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.36857
 Normality       0.36912
 H(  3)          0.88743
 r( 1)          -0.12990
 r( 8)          -0.23162
 DW               1.3519
 Q( 8, 6)          10.970
 Rd^2            0.50332

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.51968       0.34449       -1.5086  [ 0.1623]
Irr   10. 1      -0.46744       0.34602       -1.3509  [ 0.2065]
```

Table 5B.4.22
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Chongqing

No estimation done.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.78445 (-2 LogL = -5.56891).
Prediction error variance is 0.21066

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.45898
Normality	1.0135
H(3)	56.443
r(1)	0.13921
r(8)	-0.0013195
DW	1.5331
Q(8, 6)	5.3482
Rd^2	0.61611

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.2245	0.39410	-3.1071	[0.0111]
Irr 10. 1	-0.52554	0.39410	-1.3335	[0.2119]

Table 5B.4.23
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Sichuan

Very strong convergence in 5 iterations.
Eq 84 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.37568 (-2 LogL = -8.75136).
Prediction error variance is 0.177327

Summary statistics

	LSvar23
Std.Error	0.42110
Normality	4.0657
H(3)	0.22241
r(1)	-0.058260
r(8)	-0.12522
DW	1.9617
Q(8, 6)	6.0846
Rd^2	0.23753

Eq 84 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.42972	0.47623	-0.90234	[0.3881]
Irr 10. 1	-0.37304	0.33895	-1.1006	[0.2969]

Table 5B.4.24
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Guizhou

No estimation done.
Eq 87 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.7433 (-2 LogL = -21.4867).
Prediction error variance is 0.0199123

Summary statistics

	LSvar24
Std.Error	0.14111
Normality	6.1799
H(3)	0.18547
r(1)	0.10388
r(8)	0.19420
DW	1.2596
Q(8, 6)	8.5088
Rd^2	0.96447

Eq 87 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.40967	0.17778	-2.3044	[0.0439]
Irr 10. 1	-1.6056	0.18511	-8.6736	[0.0000]

Table 5B.4.25
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Yunnan

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 17 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.1558 (-2 LogL = -20.3117).
Prediction error variance is 0.0363048

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.19054
 Normality        8.7501
 H(  3)           6.4283
 r( 1)          -0.022110
 r( 8)           0.028159
 DW               1.6482
 Q( 8, 6)         6.2197
 Rd^2            0.68505

Eq 17 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.65277       0.17182       -3.7991  [ 0.0035]
Irr   10. 1      -0.20675       0.17187        -1.203  [ 0.2567]
```

Table 5B.4.26
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Tibet

```
No estimation done.
Eq 99 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.36224 (-2 LogL = -12.7245).
Prediction error variance is 0.0596269

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.24419
 Normality        2.6796
 H(  3)           22.847
 r( 1)          -0.074345
 r( 8)           -0.11700
 DW               1.9590
 Q( 8, 6)         5.9175
 Rd^2            0.85329

Eq 99 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.94304       0.33532       -2.8123  [ 0.0184]
Lvl   10. 1      -0.85361       0.32225       -2.6489  [ 0.0244]
```

Table 5B.4.27
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Shaanxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq  3 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.33122 (-2 LogL = -18.6624).
Prediction error variance is 0.0226751

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.15058
 Normality       0.52566
 H(  3)           5.8337
 r( 1)           0.52947
 r( 8)          0.055388
 DW              0.82192
 Q( 8, 6)         13.310
 Rd^2            0.99590

Eq  3 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -5.4192       0.13823       -39.206  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1       -1.0353       0.15961       -6.4863  [ 0.0001]
Irr   11. 1      -0.81296       0.15961       -5.0935  [ 0.0005]
```

Table 5B.4.28
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Gansu

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq  5 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.54642 (-2 LogL = -17.0928).
Prediction error variance is 0.0628095

Summary statistics
                LSvar28
 Std.Error       0.25062
 Normality        1.5340
 H(  3)          0.36602
 r( 1)           0.12822
 r( 8)          -0.13263
 DW               1.2273
 Q( 8, 6)         9.8409
 Rd^2            0.62676

Eq  5 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.78094       0.26864        -2.907  [ 0.0156]
Irr   10. 1      -0.58283       0.19219       -3.0326  [ 0.0126]
```

Table 5B.4.29
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Qinghai

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.1939 (-2 LogL = -14.3878).
Prediction error variance is 0.0730073

Summary statistics
                LSvar29
 Std.Error       0.27020
 Normality      0.033003
 H(  3)         0.045700
 r( 1)           0.23582
 r( 8)         -0.017600
 DW               1.2463
 Q( 8, 6)         8.0710
 Rd^2            0.74700

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      0.036457       0.34645       0.10523  [ 0.9183]
Irr   10. 1       -1.0856       0.26535       -4.0913  [ 0.0022]
```

Table 5B.4.30
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Ningxia

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.88285 (-2 LogL = -7.7657).
Prediction error variance is 0.191148

Summary statistics
                LSvar30
 Std.Error       0.43721
 Normality        4.4281
 H(  3)          0.20682
 r( 1)          -0.15118
 r( 8)          0.041012
 DW               1.8373
 Q( 8, 6)         10.545
 Rd^2            0.22431

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       0.50046       0.47820        1.0466  [ 0.3199]
Irr   10. 1      -0.48912       0.38638       -1.2659  [ 0.2342]
```

Table 5B.4.31
BSM intervention results for German tourists to Xinjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.33221 (-2 LogL = -12.6644).
Prediction error variance is 0.060297

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.24555
Normality	5.2758
H(3)	12.249
r(1)	-0.31287
r(8)	0.17314
DW	1.8195
Q(8, 6)	4.3402
Rd^2	0.79366

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-0.62170	0.31582	-1.9685	[0.0773]
Irr 10. 1	-0.70046	0.32369	-2.164	[0.0557]

Table 5B.5.1
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in  21 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 19.1654 (-2 LogL = -38.3308).
Prediction error variance is 0.00364556

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error      0.060378
 Normality       1.8653
 H(  3)          10.485
 r( 1)          0.13719
 r( 8)       0.00027908
 DW              1.6337
 Q( 8, 6)        5.7127
 Rd^2           0.94736

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.20443      0.032282       -6.3326  [ 0.0001]
Irr   10. 1      -0.57885      0.032299       -17.921  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.5.2
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Tianjin

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.34151 (-2 LogL = -6.68303).
Prediction error variance is 0.256747

Summary statistics
                  LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.50670
 Normality        1.2431
 H(  3)           1.3537
 r( 1)         -0.030431
 r( 8)          0.032546
 DW               1.7879
 Q( 8, 6)         5.4119
 Rd^2            0.36888

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.84449       0.41655       -2.0274  [ 0.0701]
```

Table 5B.5.3
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.424 (-2 LogL = -26.848).
Prediction error variance is 0.0170337

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.13051
 Normality        5.8046
 H(  3)          0.34247
 r( 1)           -0.13047
 r( 8)         0.0056240
 DW               1.7905
 Q( 8, 6)         8.3386
 Rd^2            0.98431

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.3960      0.096996       -24.703  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.47647      0.097937       -4.8651  [ 0.0007]
```

Table 5B.5.4
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Shanxi

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq  5 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.94062 (-2 LogL = -11.8812).
Prediction error variance is 0.110733

Summary statistics
                LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.33277
 Normality        19.230
 H(  3)           17.567
 r( 1)          -0.14836
 r( 8)          -0.19959
 DW               1.1413
 Q( 8, 6)         4.1330
 Rd^2            0.81471

Eq  5 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.4260       0.25093        -5.683  [ 0.0002]
Irr   10. 1      -0.78410       0.25359        -3.092  [ 0.0114]
```

Table 5B.5.5
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Inner Mongolia

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.36802 (-2 LogL = -14.736).
Prediction error variance is 0.0625751

Summary statistics
                LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.25015
 Normality        6.5484
 H(  3)           15.183
 r( 1)          -0.33064
 r( 8)          -0.11699
 DW               1.8920
 Q( 8, 6)         5.4940
 Rd^2            0.88398

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.54500       0.26993        -2.0191  [ 0.0711]
Irr   10. 1       -1.3796       0.27861        -4.9515  [ 0.0006]
```

Table 5B.5.6
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Liaoning

```
Very strong convergence in  17 iterations.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.5583 (-2 LogL = -27.1166).
Prediction error variance is 0.0160881

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.12684
 Normality        3.5590
 H(  3)           2.2957
 r( 1)          0.086791
 r( 8)           0.13912
 DW               1.2920
 Q( 8, 6)         8.1507
 Rd^2            0.81636

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.40323      0.083700       -4.8176  [ 0.0007]
Irr   10. 1      -0.51496      0.084406        -6.101  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.5.7
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Jilin

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.4928 (-2 LogL = -24.9856).
Prediction error variance is 0.0213374

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.14607
 Normality         5.5521
 H(  3)          0.83513
 r( 1)         -0.029552
 r( 8)          0.080780
 DW                1.9074
 Q( 8, 6)          2.5135
 Rd^2            0.69882

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.39902       0.11333        -3.521  [ 0.0055]
Irr   10. 1      -0.30654       0.11357       -2.6991  [ 0.0223]
```

Table 5B.5.8
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Heilongjiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq  7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.71035 (-2 LogL = -11.4207).
Prediction error variance is 0.0700761

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.26472
 Normality         6.5460
 H(  3)            3.6386
 r( 1)          -0.26597
 r( 8)           0.17697
 DW                2.0437
 Q( 8, 6)          4.7563
 Rd^2            0.79354

Eq  7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.76761       0.33351       -2.3016  [ 0.0441]
Irr   10. 1      -0.46436       0.34726       -1.3372  [ 0.2108]
```

Table 5B.5.9
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Shanghai

```
No estimation done.
Eq  9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.4879 (-2 LogL = -28.9758).
Prediction error variance is 0.0216321

Summary statistics
                 LSvar9
 Std.Error       0.14708
 Normality         2.8311
 H(  3)            1.2385
 r( 1)           0.10984
 r( 8)          0.061628
 DW                1.4644
 Q( 8, 6)          8.0114
 Rd^2            0.49156

Eq  9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.32620       0.11907       -2.7397  [ 0.0208]
```

Table 5B.5.10
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 19.6291 (-2 LogL = -39.2582).
Prediction error variance is 0.00682343

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error      0.082604
 Normality        1.1741
 H(  3)           1.5882
 r( 1)         -0.012488
 r( 8)           0.25452
 DW               1.7507
 Q( 8, 6)         6.4979
 Rd^2            0.56435

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.21432      0.070072       -3.0586  [ 0.0121]
```

Table 5B.5.11
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Zhejiang

```
Strong convergence in 100 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 16.8301 (-2 LogL = -33.6603).
Prediction error variance is 0.00636237

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error      0.079764
 Normality        3.2844
 H(  3)           2.4562
 r( 1)           0.18161
 r( 8)         -0.029831
 DW               1.1517
 Q( 8, 6)         5.4188
 Rd^2            0.93218

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.55291      0.040453       -13.668  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.42433      0.040453        -10.49  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.5.12
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.2926 (-2 LogL = -24.5852).
Prediction error variance is 0.0223869

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.14962
 Normality        6.2359
 H(  3)           1.9263
 r( 1)          0.072889
 r( 8)          -0.41779
 DW               1.3125
 Q( 8, 6)         11.101
 Rd^2            0.79742

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.51911       0.10460       -4.9627  [ 0.0006]
Irr   10. 1      -0.49723       0.10598       -4.6917  [ 0.0009]
```

Table 5B.5.13
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Fujian

```
Very strong convergence in   0 iterations.
Eq 17 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.92417 (-2 LogL = -17.8483).
Prediction error variance is 0.0313808

Summary statistics
                LSvar13
 Std.Error       0.17715
 Normality        1.9637
 H(  3)           3.0329
 r( 1)          -0.36265
 r( 8)         0.0059265
 DW               2.6121
 Q( 8, 6)         5.5045
 Rd^2            0.85843

Eq 17 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.0221       0.22317         -4.58  [ 0.0010]
Irr   10. 1      -0.11577       0.23237       -0.49822  [ 0.6291]
```

Table 5B.5.14
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Jiangxi

```
Very strong convergence in   5 iterations.
Eq 19 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.86992 (-2 LogL = -19.7398).
Prediction error variance is 0.0388218

Summary statistics
                LSvar14
 Std.Error       0.19703
 Normality        1.8499
 H(  3)           1.1422
 r( 1)          -0.20714
 r( 8)          0.098125
 DW               1.9984
 Q( 8, 6)         7.7438
 Rd^2            0.57653

Eq 19 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.31203       0.18981       -1.6439  [ 0.1312]
Irr   10. 1      -0.58523       0.19103       -3.0635  [ 0.0120]
```

Table 5B.5.15
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Shandong

```
No estimation done.
Eq 21 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.4393 (-2 LogL = -26.8787).
Prediction error variance is 0.0101486

Summary statistics
                LSvar15
 Std.Error       0.10074
 Normality       0.19481
 H(  3)          0.37011
 r( 1)           0.37073
 r( 8)          0.036833
 DW              0.93095
 Q( 8, 6)         12.191
 Rd^2            0.88822

Eq 21 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.39906       0.12692       -3.1442  [ 0.0104]
Irr   10. 1      -0.34641       0.13215       -2.6213  [ 0.0255]
```

Table 5B.5.16
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 23 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.74037 (-2 LogL = -17.4807).
Prediction error variance is 0.0510932

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.22604
 Normality        2.8913
 H(  3)          0.60164
 r( 1)           -0.10480
 r( 8)         -0.0066914
 DW               1.2081
 Q( 8, 6)         7.0552
 Rd^2            0.70183

Eq 23 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.43497       0.21989       -1.9782  [ 0.0761]
Irr   10. 1      -0.70286       0.22205       -3.1654  [ 0.0101]
```

Table 5B.5.17
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Hubei

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 25 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.60611 (-2 LogL = -5.21221).
Prediction error variance is 0.235804

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.48560
 Normality        2.9756
 H(  3)          0.33279
 r( 1)           0.14053
 r( 8)         -0.034937
 DW               1.2000
 Q( 8, 6)         9.1181
 Rd^2            0.58660

Eq 25 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.1819       0.39829       -2.9675  [ 0.0141]
Irr   10. 1      -0.67390       0.39830       -1.6919  [ 0.1215]
```

Table 5B.5.18
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Hunan

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 27 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.67297 (-2 LogL = -11.3459).
Prediction error variance is 0.114333

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.33813
 Normality        2.4852
 H(  3)           4.0447
 r( 1)           -0.39053
 r( 8)           -0.23380
 DW               1.8142
 Q( 8, 6)          10.230
 Rd^2            0.68847

Eq 27 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.81286       0.31172       -2.6076  [ 0.0261]
Irr   10. 1      -0.87501       0.31276       -2.7977  [ 0.0189]
```

Table 5B.5.19
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Guangdong

```
No estimation done.
Eq 29 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.464 (-2 LogL = -24.928).
Prediction error variance is 0.0339178

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.18417
 Normality       0.21392
 H(  3)          0.94671
 r( 1)           0.082541
 r( 8)           0.017566
 DW                1.4653
 Q( 8, 6)          4.3695
 Rd^2            0.63498

Eq 29 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.55768       0.14909       -3.7405  [ 0.0038]
```

Table 5B.5.20
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Guangxi

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.8207 (-2 LogL = -21.6414).
Prediction error variance is 0.0277181

Summary statistics
                LSvar20
 Std.Error       0.16649
 Normality         1.5510
 H(  3)            1.0715
 r( 1)          -0.026260
 r( 8)             0.27214
 DW                1.5528
 Q( 8, 6)          7.3957
 Rd^2            0.61861

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.17375       0.16235       -1.0702  [ 0.3097]
Irr   10. 1      -0.37312       0.16255       -2.2954  [ 0.0446]
```

Table 5B.5.21
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Hainan

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.86824 (-2 LogL = -13.7365).
Prediction error variance is 0.0826829

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.28755
 Normality       0.11540
 H(  3)            1.2559
 r( 1)           0.16934
 r( 8)          0.099547
 DW                1.1815
 Q( 8, 6)          11.354
 Rd^2            0.31040

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.30977       0.23178       -1.3365  [ 0.2110]
Irr   10. 1      -0.34066       0.23180       -1.4696  [ 0.1724]
```

Table 5B.5.22
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Chongqing

```
No estimation done.
Eq 35 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.21252 (-2 LogL = -14.425).
Prediction error variance is 0.0696325

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.26388
 Normality        4.9025
 H(  3)          0.71319
 r( 1)           0.15190
 r( 8)         0.0086669
 DW               1.3761
 Q( 8, 6)         9.4664
 Rd^2            0.71343

Eq 35 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.53486       0.22658       -2.3605  [ 0.0399]
Irr   10. 1      -0.79977       0.22658       -3.5297  [ 0.0054]
```

Table 5B.5.23
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Sichuan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 37 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.45877 (-2 LogL = -10.9175).
Prediction error variance is 0.16088

Summary statistics
                LSvar23
 Std.Error       0.40110
 Normality         5.6089
 H(  3)         0.033161
 r( 1)           0.14631
 r( 8)          0.057068
 DW               1.6542
 Q( 8, 6)         7.1105
 Rd^2            0.46291

Eq 37 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.83579       0.32471        -2.574  [ 0.0277]
```

Table 5B.5.24
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Guizhou

```
No estimation done.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.8597 (-2 LogL = -21.7194).
Prediction error variance is 0.0193413

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error       0.13907
 Normality        4.4596
 H(  3)          0.20020
 r( 1)          0.019106
 r( 8)           0.21841
 DW               1.2968
 Q( 8, 6)         6.2030
 Rd^2            0.92723

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.66029       0.17521       -3.7685  [ 0.0037]
Irr   10. 1      -0.93691       0.18243       -5.1356  [ 0.0004]
```

Table 5B.5.25
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Yunnan

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.71562 (-2 LogL = -13.4312).
Prediction error variance is 0.0912708

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.30211
 Normality        3.1404
 H(  3)           4.4967
 r( 1)         -0.063093
 r( 8)         -0.050451
 DW               1.9571
 Q( 8, 6)         6.3546
 Rd^2            0.47336

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.54537       0.24510       -2.2251  [ 0.0503]
Irr   10. 1      -0.45830       0.24608       -1.8624  [ 0.0922]
```

Table 5B.5.26
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Tibet

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.78048 (-2 LogL = -11.561).
Prediction error variance is 0.11507

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.33922
 Normality       0.71266
 H(  3)           1.2454
 r( 1)          -0.13838
 r( 8)           0.14389
 DW               1.4943
 Q( 8, 6)         8.0682
 Rd^2            0.86931

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.6707       0.25144       -6.6444  [ 0.0001]
Irr   10. 1       -1.1834       0.25433       -4.6532  [ 0.0009]
```

Table 5B.5.27
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Shaanxi

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.4249 (-2 LogL = -24.8498).
Prediction error variance is 0.0213464

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.14610
 Normality        9.3094
 H(  3)           2.3941
 r( 1)         -0.043271
 r( 8)          0.079348
 DW               1.3784
 Q( 8, 6)         7.2827
 Rd^2            0.99141

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.5936      0.096231       -37.344  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.93081      0.097028       -9.5932  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.5.28
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Gansu

No estimation done.
Eq 6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.7461 (-2 LogL = -23.4922).
Prediction error variance is 0.0224174

Summary statistics

	LSvar28
Std.Error	0.14972
Normality	1.9643
H(3)	2.3142
r(1)	0.16297
r(8)	0.074955
DW	1.2312
Q(8, 6)	11.223
Rd^2	0.91771

Eq 6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.40130	0.12856	-3.1215	[0.0108]
Irr 10. 1	-1.0977	0.12856	-8.5385	[0.0000]

Table 5B.5.29
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Qinghai

Very strong convergence in 5 iterations.
Eq 8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.56293 (-2 LogL = -15.1259).
Prediction error variance is 0.115167

Summary statistics

	LSvar29
Std.Error	0.33936
Normality	3.3437
H(3)	2.4588
r(1)	-0.089303
r(8)	0.22186
DW	1.7209
Q(8, 6)	8.6967
Rd^2	0.29436

Eq 8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.54667	0.25672	-2.1294	[0.0591]

Table 5B.5.30
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Ningxia

No estimation done.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 15.254 (-2 LogL = -30.5079).
Prediction error variance is 0.00932658

Summary statistics

	LSvar30
Std.Error	0.096574
Normality	0.26022
H(3)	1.2737
r(1)	-0.046792
r(8)	-0.19351
DW	1.5989
Q(8, 6)	6.6048
Rd^2	0.90059

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	0.017173	0.082924	0.2071	[0.8401]
Irr 10. 1	-0.67874	0.082924	-8.1851	[0.0000]

Table 5B.5.31
BSM intervention results for Japanese tourists to Xinjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.11258 (-2 LogL = -18.2252).
Prediction error variance is 0.0299349

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.17302
Normality	0.0019455
H(3)	15.245
r(1)	-0.038434
r(8)	0.077240
DW	1.7785
Q(8, 6)	8.6779
Rd^2	0.89234

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.040856	0.21798	-0.18743	[0.8551]
Irr 10. 1	-1.0722	0.22696	-4.7241	[0.0008]

Table 5B.6.1
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.64424 (-2 LogL = -13.2885).
Prediction error variance is 0.105863

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.32537
 Normality        3.9380
 H(  3)          0.022880
 r( 1)           0.083050
 r( 8)           0.017991
 DW                1.7974
 Q( 8, 6)          3.2069
 Rd^2            0.42366

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.38183       0.33797       -1.1298  [ 0.2849]
```

Table 5B.6.2
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Tianjin

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.49477 (-2 LogL = -10.9895).
Prediction error variance is 0.147697

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.38431
 Normality        3.4629
 H(  3)           1.9299
 r( 1)          -0.16635
 r( 8)           0.20583
 DW                1.7276
 Q( 8, 6)          9.7605
 Rd^2            0.37420

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.50065       0.36679        -1.365  [ 0.2022]
```

Table 5B.6.3
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in  16 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.96028 (-2 LogL = -15.9206).
Prediction error variance is 0.0569111

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.23856
 Normality        4.3463
 H(  3)          0.22921
 r( 1)         -0.047784
 r( 8)         -0.067165
 DW                1.3537
 Q( 8, 6)          6.4454
 Rd^2            0.96448

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.7489       0.24932       -11.026  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.44082       0.25568       -1.7241  [ 0.1154]
```

Table 5B.6.4
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Shanxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq  8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.44517 (-2 LogL = -2.89033).
Prediction error variance is 0.203543

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.45116
 Normality         3.2828
 H(  3)            3.3080
 r( 1)           -0.14575
 r( 8)           0.036244
 DW                1.6696
 Q( 8, 6)          7.3910
 Rd^2            0.54365

Eq  8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.37498       0.56839      -0.65972  [ 0.5243]
Irr   10. 1       0.28010       0.59182       0.47327  [ 0.6462]
```

Table 5B.6.5
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Inner Mongolia

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.9263 (-2 LogL = -3.85259).
Prediction error variance is 0.261178

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.51106
 Normality       0.99364
 H(  3)          0.12809
 r( 1)           0.16490
 r( 8)          0.038168
 DW                1.6025
 Q( 8, 6)          4.6813
 Rd^2            0.60027

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.65008       0.44806       -1.4509  [ 0.1774]
Irr   10. 1       -1.2754       0.44806       -2.8464  [ 0.0174]
```

Table 5B.6.6
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Liaoning

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.45459 (-2 LogL = -12.9092).
Prediction error variance is 0.0917754

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.30294
 Normality         1.8919
 H(  3)            1.3758
 r( 1)           -0.43150
 r( 8)           0.18486
 DW                1.8026
 Q( 8, 6)          13.307
 Rd^2            0.71297

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.96973       0.28975       -3.3468  [ 0.0074]
Irr   10. 1     -0.034724       0.29182      -0.11899  [ 0.9076]
```

Table 5B.6.7
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Jilin

No estimation done.
Eq 14 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.57395 (-2 LogL = -5.14789).
Prediction error variance is 0.153497

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.39179
Normality	0.46350
H(3)	0.72352
r(1)	0.014116
r(8)	-0.039829
DW	1.7196
Q(8, 6)	6.4804
Rd^2	0.64453

Eq 14 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	0.10300	0.49359	0.20867	[0.8389]
Irr 10. 1	-0.95059	0.51394	-1.8496	[0.0941]

Table 5B.6.8
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Heilongjiang

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.69989 (-2 LogL = -9.39977).
Prediction error variance is 0.145416

Summary statistics

	LSvar8
Std.Error	0.38133
Normality	2.1854
H(3)	0.42233
r(1)	-0.023452
r(8)	0.055543
DW	1.1932
Q(8, 6)	9.3457
Rd^2	0.73890

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.3958	0.35329	-3.9509	[0.0027]
Irr 10. 1	-0.37187	0.35459	-1.0487	[0.3190]

Table 5B.6.9
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Shanghai

Very strong convergence in 4 iterations.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.75514 (-2 LogL = -13.5103).
Prediction error variance is 0.0890731

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.29845
Normality	1.5628
H(3)	0.30001
r(1)	-0.15034
r(8)	0.028462
DW	2.0480
Q(8, 6)	5.0339
Rd^2	0.36257

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.36592	0.26210	-1.3961	[0.1929]
Irr 10. 1	-0.18550	0.26246	-0.70675	[0.4959]

Table 5B.6.10
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 20 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.048 (-2 LogL = -20.096).
Prediction error variance is 0.0824268

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.28710
 Normality        1.7631
 H(  3)          0.083285
 r( 1)           -0.030503
 r( 8)           0.029339
 DW               1.9734
 Q( 8, 6)         2.7357
 Rd^2            0.19466

Eq 20 : Estimated coefficients of final state vector.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl               12.824       0.14987         85.568  [ 0.0000]
Slp              0.28882      0.066195         4.3632  [ 0.0014]
```

Table 5B.6.11
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 22 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.40471 (-2 LogL = -8.80941).
Prediction error variance is 0.135109

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.36757
 Normality        4.7704
 H(  3)       0.00027076
 r( 1)          0.011013
 r( 8)         0.0091690
 DW               1.9339
 Q( 8, 6)         1.9934
 Rd^2            0.40408

Eq 22 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.42440       0.37258       -1.1391  [ 0.2812]
Irr   10. 1      -0.23748       0.37396      -0.63505  [ 0.5397]
```

Table 5B.6.12
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Anhui

```
No estimation done.
Eq 25 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.765323 (-2 LogL = -1.53065).
Prediction error variance is 0.241251

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.49117
 Normality        5.5158
 H(  3)          0.37946
 r( 1)          -0.018446
 r( 8)           -0.10696
 DW               1.8184
 Q( 8, 6)         6.6915
 Rd^2            0.55905

Eq 25 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.60952       0.61881      -0.98499  [ 0.3479]
Irr   10. 1      -0.68801       0.64432       -1.0678  [ 0.3107]
```

Table 5B.6.13
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Fujian

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 27 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.652 (-2 LogL = -11.304).
Prediction error variance is 0.134197

Summary statistics
                LSvar13
 Std.Error       0.36633
 Normality        8.7423
 H(  3)          0.22017
 r( 1)         -0.050964
 r( 8)          0.052714
 DW               2.0151
 Q( 8, 6)         5.1102
 Rd^2            0.53295

Eq 27 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.0495       0.36913       -2.8432  [ 0.0175]
```

Table 5B.6.14
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Jiangxi

```
Very strong convergence in  17 iterations.
Eq 29 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.96608 (-2 LogL = -5.93217).
Prediction error variance is 0.201112

Summary statistics
                LSvar14
 Std.Error       0.44846
 Normality        8.5845
 H(  3)          0.059115
 r( 1)            0.25215
 r( 8)         -0.069038
 DW               1.0600
 Q( 8, 6)         8.1623
 Rd^2            0.19057

Eq 29 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       0.12254       0.40464       0.30285  [ 0.7682]
Irr   10. 1      -0.38375       0.40465      -0.94836  [ 0.3653]
```

Table 5B.6.15
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Shandong

```
Very weak convergence in   5 iterations.
Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.43378 (-2 LogL = -12.8676).
Prediction error variance is 0.0832445

Summary statistics
                LSvar15
 Std.Error       0.28852
 Normality        4.1956
 H(  3)          0.18827
 r( 1)           0.32284
 r( 8)          -0.38473
 DW              0.68949
 Q( 8, 6)         11.972
 Rd^2            0.61496

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.82918       0.27918       -2.9701  [ 0.0140]
Irr   10. 1      -0.10824       0.27945      -0.38734  [ 0.7066]
```

Table 5B.6.16
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 33 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.99421 (-2 LogL = -3.98841).
Prediction error variance is 0.207891

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.45595
 Normality        4.2045
 H(  3)          0.015854
 r( 1)            0.31654
 r( 8)          -0.038876
 DW                1.2333
 Q( 8, 6)          7.2042
 Rd^2             0.59922

Eq 33 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.54857       0.52948        -1.036  [ 0.3246]
Irr   10. 1      -0.73571       0.54581       -1.3479  [ 0.2074]
```

Table 5B.6.17
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Hubei

```
No estimation done.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.82352 (-2 LogL = -5.64704).
Prediction error variance is 0.208613

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.45674
 Normality        1.7700
 H(  3)          0.23005
 r( 1)            0.26020
 r( 8)          0.079019
 DW                1.3697
 Q( 8, 6)          9.9371
 Rd^2             0.51105

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.94199       0.39218       -2.4019  [ 0.0372]
Irr   10. 1      -0.47835       0.39218       -1.2197  [ 0.2506]
```

Table 5B.6.18
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Hunan

```
No estimation done.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.5297 (-2 LogL = -5.05939).
Prediction error variance is 0.308451

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.55538
 Normality        1.4087
 H(  3)          0.036892
 r( 1)            0.12844
 r( 8)          -0.025043
 DW                1.7165
 Q( 8, 6)          5.5879
 Rd^2             0.45925

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1       -1.1480       0.44961       -2.5534  [ 0.0287]
```

Table 5B.6.19
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq  7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.5326 (-2 LogL = -15.0652).
Prediction error variance is 0.0990346

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.31470
 Normality        1.3202
 H(  3)          0.11658
 r( 1)           0.10952
 r( 8)          -0.12571
 DW               1.4939
 Q( 8, 6)         9.5552
 Rd^2            0.20912

Eq  7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.26463       0.27659      -0.95679  [ 0.3612]
```

Table 5B.6.20
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Guangxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq  9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.69512 (-2 LogL = -1.39024).
Prediction error variance is 0.463704

Summary statistics
                LSvar20
 Std.Error       0.68096
 Normality        3.8503
 H(  3)          0.31560
 r( 1)         -0.025775
 r( 8)         0.0060617
 DW               1.9870
 Q( 8, 6)         2.7081
 Rd^2            0.20672

Eq  9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.69275       0.55127       -1.2566  [ 0.2374]
```

Table 5B.6.21
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Hainan

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.22053 (-2 LogL = 6.44106).
Prediction error variance is 1.01141

Summary statistics
                LSvar21
 Std.Error        1.0057
 Normality       0.11438
 H(  3)          0.24357
 r( 1)          0.067374
 r( 8)          -0.17653
 DW               1.6039
 Q( 8, 6)         7.2859
 Rd^2            0.33273

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1     -0.087084        1.0309     -0.084471  [ 0.9343]
```

Table 5B.6.22
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Chongqing

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.42132 (-2 LogL = -4.84264).
Prediction error variance is 0.298834

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.54666
 Normality        1.5746
 H(  3)           1.0116
 r( 1)          -0.11078
 r( 8)       -0.00088040
 DW               1.9116
 Q( 8, 6)         8.1629
 Rd^2            0.30434

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.50759       0.50776      -0.99967  [ 0.3410]
```

Table 5B.6.23
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Sichuan

```
Very strong convergence in   5 iterations.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.26764 (-2 LogL = -10.5353).
Prediction error variance is 0.184985

Summary statistics
                LSvar23
 Std.Error       0.43010
 Normality        3.6319
 H(  3)         0.027336
 r( 1)            0.43485
 r( 8)         -0.069639
 DW               1.0838
 Q( 8, 6)         8.8873
 Rd^2            0.13725

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.43029       0.32458       -1.3257  [ 0.2144]
```

Table 5B.6.24
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Guizhou

```
Strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 17 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.56194 (-2 LogL = -5.12388).
Prediction error variance is 0.24474

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error       0.49471
 Normality        1.0354
 H(  3)           1.2154
 r( 1)          -0.17654
 r( 8)          0.073854
 DW               1.6087
 Q( 8, 6)         13.040
 Rd^2            0.78947

Eq 17 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.6280       0.46831       -3.4764  [ 0.0060]
Irr   10. 1       -1.5284       0.47103       -3.2448  [ 0.0088]
```

Table 5B.6.25
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Yunnan

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 19 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.34915 (-2 LogL = -10.6983).
Prediction error variance is 0.160221

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.40028
 Normality        3.3858
 H(  3)          0.54222
 r( 1)         -0.014255
 r( 8)          0.019339
 DW               2.0145
 Q( 8, 6)         5.4973
 Rd^2            0.14822

Eq 19 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.12691       0.35507      -0.35743  [ 0.7282]
```

Table 5B.6.26
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Tibet

```
Strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 21 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.24015 (-2 LogL = 2.4803).
Prediction error variance is 0.642322

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.80145
 Normality        9.9713
 H(  3)          0.52732
 r( 1)          -0.34398
 r( 8)        -0.0013991
 DW               2.1857
 Q( 8, 6)         2.7892
 Rd^2            0.47014

Eq 21 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.4101       0.74188       -1.9007  [ 0.0865]
Irr   10. 1      -0.39576       0.74458      -0.53152  [ 0.6067]
```

Table 5B.6.27
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Shaanxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq 23 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.93647 (-2 LogL = -9.87294).
Prediction error variance is 0.123007

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.35072
 Normality        1.6737
 H(  3)          0.14710
 r( 1)           0.21341
 r( 8)          0.044882
 DW               1.5145
 Q( 8, 6)         5.6601
 Rd^2            0.93780

Eq 23 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.1608       0.30115       -10.496  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.40164       0.30115       -1.3337  [ 0.2119]
```

Table 5B.6.28
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Gansu

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 25 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.96441 (-2 LogL = -3.92882).
Prediction error variance is 0.237016

Summary statistics
                LSvar28
 Std.Error       0.48684
 Normality        2.8447
 H(  3)          0.34191
 r( 1)           0.061884
 r( 8)           0.062690
 DW               1.7908
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0613
 Rd^2            0.42334

Eq 25 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.34784       0.52134      -0.66721  [ 0.5197]
Irr   10. 1      -0.31495       0.52741      -0.59715  [ 0.5637]
```

Table 5B.6.29
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Qinghai

```
Very strong convergence in   4 iterations.
Eq 26 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.30176 (-2 LogL = -10.6035).
Prediction error variance is 0.219497

Summary statistics
                LSvar29
 Std.Error       0.46851
 Normality       0.14941
 H(  3)          0.11097
 r( 1)           0.18452
 r( 8)         -0.099400
 DW              0.85458
 Q( 8, 6)         4.0061
 Rd^2            0.12546

Eq 26 : Estimated coefficients of final state vector.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl               6.8078       0.25424        26.777  [ 0.0000]
Slp               0.13417      0.11477        1.1691  [ 0.2695]
```

Table 5B.6.30
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Ningxia

```
No estimation done.
Eq 28 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.96019 (-2 LogL = -3.92039).
Prediction error variance is 0.178953

Summary statistics
                LSvar30
 Std.Error       0.42303
 Normality        6.5220
 H(  3)          0.060705
 r( 1)           0.18837
 r( 8)         -0.048229
 DW               1.0739
 Q( 8, 6)         3.0202
 Rd^2            0.55220

Eq 28 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       0.12112       0.53295       0.22727  [ 0.8248]
Irr   10. 1      -0.60846       0.55492       -1.0965  [ 0.2986]
```

Table 5B.6.31
BSM intervention results for Korean tourists to Xinjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 30 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.85932 (-2 LogL = -13.7186).
Prediction error variance is 0.0760606

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.27579
Normality	1.9269
H(3)	0.85252
r(1)	0.24211
r(8)	0.11007
DW	1.3009
Q(8, 6)	8.2495
Rd^2	0.68738

Eq 30 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.27812	0.23681	-1.1745	[0.2674]
Irr 10. 1	-0.90120	0.23681	-3.8056	[0.0035]

Table 5B.7.1
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.22893 (-2 LogL = -14.4579).
Prediction error variance is 0.0869141

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.29481
 Normality       0.27000
 H(  3)           1.1058
 r( 1)          -0.19080
 r( 8)          0.016994
 DW               1.8184
 Q( 8, 6)         8.9339
 Rd^2            0.30601

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.33396       0.23760       -1.4056  [ 0.1901]
Lvl   11. 1       0.54044       0.35793        1.5099  [ 0.1620]
```

Table 5B.7.2
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq  7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.404478 (-2 LogL = 0.808955).
Prediction error variance is 0.328146

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.57284
 Normality        1.7972
 H(  3)           1.0487
 r( 1)          0.094821
 r( 8)         0.0037831
 DW               1.7182
 Q( 8, 6)         4.7926
 Rd^2            0.74888

Eq  7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1        1.6523       0.74058         2.231  [ 0.0498]
Irr   11. 1        1.2100       0.78596        1.5395  [ 0.1547]
```

Table 5B.7.3
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Hebei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.14849 (-2 LogL = -10.297).
Prediction error variance is 0.0807651

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.28419
 Normality        7.4751
 H(  3)           7.9742
 r( 1)          -0.32971
 r( 8)         -0.022321
 DW               2.6158
 Q( 8, 6)         5.5907
 Rd^2            0.98373

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -5.0486       0.39026       -12.937  [ 0.0000]
Lvl   10. 1      -0.75836       0.37504       -2.0221  [ 0.0707]
```

Table 5B.7.4
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Shanxi

```
Strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 22 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.5827 (-2 LogL = -5.1654).
Prediction error variance is 0.340399

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.58344
 Normality        10.441
 H(  3)           39.373
 r( 1)          -0.43941
 r( 8)          -0.026186
 DW               2.7825
 Q( 8, 6)         6.2715
 Rd^2            0.25958

Eq 22 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl   10. 1       0.29734       0.64652       0.45991  [ 0.6554]
```

Table 5B.7.5
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Inner Mongolia

```
No estimation done.
Eq 25 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.43586 (-2 LogL = -12.8717).
Prediction error variance is 0.058516

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.24190
 Normality        4.8758
 H(  3)           1.2231
 r( 1)          -0.19031
 r( 8)          -0.069756
 DW               2.1238
 Q( 8, 6)         7.2184
 Rd^2            0.91590

Eq 25 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1        1.2359       0.33026        3.7422  [ 0.0038]
Lvl   11. 1        1.6968       0.31373        5.4086  [ 0.0003]
```

Table 5B.7.6
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Liaoning

```
No estimation done.
Eq 29 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.04856 (-2 LogL = -16.0971).
Prediction error variance is 0.0904818

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.30080
 Normality        3.7433
 H(  3)           1.1848
 r( 1)           0.15458
 r( 8)        -0.0015296
 DW               1.6751
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0679
 Rd^2            0.53006

Eq 29 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1       0.72476       0.24351        2.9763  [ 0.0139]
```

Table 5B.7.7
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Jilin

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.57418 (-2 LogL = -17.1484).
Prediction error variance is 0.0823884

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.28703
Normality	0.93047
H(3)	1.2951
r(1)	-0.26040
r(8)	-0.043151
DW	2.3339
Q(8, 6)	6.3001
Rd^2	0.94511

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	2.8023	0.28364	9.8799	[0.0000]

Table 5B.7.8
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Heilongjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.53246 (-2 LogL = -3.06492).
Prediction error variance is 0.199149

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.44626
Normality	6.6651
H(3)	42.322
r(1)	-0.37987
r(8)	-0.035614
DW	2.4497
Q(8, 6)	4.4677
Rd^2	0.69385

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.65660	0.56222	-1.1679	[0.2700]
Irr 10. 1	-0.60549	0.58540	-1.0343	[0.3254]

Table 5B.7.9
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Shanghai

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 46 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.1842 (-2 LogL = -28.3684).
Prediction error variance is 0.0405078

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.20127
Normality	0.097634
H(3)	2.0403
r(1)	-0.47737
r(8)	0.059498
DW	2.6425
Q(8, 6)	11.964
Rd^2	0.31399

Eq 46 : Estimated coefficients of final state vector.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl	11.452	0.10998	104.13	[0.0000]
Slp	0.10933	0.071503	1.529	[0.1573]

Table 5B.7.10
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 48 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.30093 (-2 LogL = -18.6019).
Prediction error variance is 0.0503092

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.22430
 Normality        3.8068
 H(  3)          0.76219
 r( 1)           -0.31970
 r( 8)           0.028615
 DW                2.5073
 Q( 8, 6)          9.4451
 Rd^2            0.44780

Eq 48 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.19131       0.19935      -0.95969  [ 0.3598]
Lvl   11. 1       0.31220       0.27283        1.1443  [ 0.2791]
```

Table 5B.7.11
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Zhejiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq 58 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.8339 (-2 LogL = -21.6678).
Prediction error variance is 0.0319395

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.17872
 Normality       0.68639
 H(  3)          0.97224
 r( 1)           -0.14029
 r( 8)           0.13122
 DW                2.1085
 Q( 8, 6)          3.5858
 Rd^2            0.82211

Eq 58 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.85911       0.21023       -4.0866  [ 0.0022]
```

Table 5B.7.12
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq  7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.87053 (-2 LogL = -15.7411).
Prediction error variance is 0.102572

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.32027
 Normality        9.3406
 H(  3)           1.4486
 r( 1)           -0.66525
 r( 8)           -0.10172
 DW                2.8164
 Q( 8, 6)          14.450
 Rd^2            0.52963

Eq  7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl   11. 1       0.41429       0.35258         1.175  [ 0.2672]
```

Table 5B.7.13
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Fujian

```
Very strong convergence in  23 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.39933 (-2 LogL = -10.7987).
Prediction error variance is 0.0990571

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.31473
 Normality        3.3849
 H(  3)          0.55266
 r( 1)           -0.27594
 r( 8)          -0.025016
 DW               2.4932
 Q( 8, 6)         7.8247
 Rd^2            0.69693

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.0173       0.34092        -2.984  [ 0.0137]
Irr   10. 1      -0.38707       0.34573       -1.1196  [ 0.2891]
```

Table 5B.7.14
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Jiangxi

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.0018 (-2 LogL = -22.0035).
Prediction error variance is 0.038075

Summary statistics
                LSvar14
 Std.Error       0.19513
 Normality       0.54116
 H(  3)          0.35611
 r( 1)           -0.14899
 r( 8)          0.0096787
 DW               2.2913
 Q( 8, 6)         4.7663
 Rd^2            0.77797

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.62522       0.21148       -2.9564  [ 0.0144]
```

Table 5B.7.15
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Shandong

```
No estimation done.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.6411 (-2 LogL = -21.2821).
Prediction error variance is 0.0204279

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.14293
 Normality        3.3089
 H(  3)           13.789
 r( 1)           -0.17094
 r( 8)          0.080452
 DW               1.9598
 Q( 8, 6)         2.1591
 Rd^2            0.89397

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.38750       0.18007        -2.152  [ 0.0569]
Irr   10. 1      -0.60079       0.18749       -3.2044  [ 0.0094]
```

Table 5B.7.16
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq  8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.2098 (-2 LogL = -14.4196).
Prediction error variance is 0.0714947

Summary statistics
                LSvar4
 Std.Error      0.26738
 Normality      0.29425
 H(  3)          1.5235
 r( 1)         -0.32313
 r( 8)          0.10064
 DW               2.0849
 Q( 8, 6)         11.252
 Rd^2           0.86668

Eq  8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.2391       0.27154       -4.5631  [ 0.0010]
Irr   10. 1      -0.79433       0.27664       -2.8714  [ 0.0166]
```

Table 5B.7.17
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Hubei

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.77594 (-2 LogL = -3.55188).
Prediction error variance is 0.417249

Summary statistics
                LSvar5
 Std.Error      0.64595
 Normality       8.6510
 H(  3)         0.16460
 r( 1)          0.19590
 r( 8)         0.027993
 DW               1.5785
 Q( 8, 6)         3.8342
 Rd^2           0.11621

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.58637       0.46075       -1.2727  [ 0.2319]
```

Table 5B.7.18
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Hunan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 27 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.89861 (-2 LogL = -3.79722).
Prediction error variance is 0.181729

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error      0.42630
 Normality       5.6383
 H(  3)          13.296
 r( 1)         -0.27190
 r( 8)         -0.17982
 DW               2.2549
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0537
 Rd^2           0.84485

Eq 27 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.3609       0.53707        -2.534  [ 0.0297]
Irr   10. 1       -1.5165       0.55921       -2.7119  [ 0.0219]
```

Table 5B.7.19
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 32 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.0808 (-2 LogL = -24.1616).
Prediction error variance is 0.0401095

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.20027
 Normality        1.0639
 H(  3)           6.7693
 r( 1)           -0.20937
 r( 8)          -0.036573
 DW               2.1616
 Q( 8, 6)         7.2081
 Rd^2            0.78388

Eq 32 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.81379       0.17232       -4.7226  [ 0.0008]
```

Table 5B.7.20
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Guangxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.52704 (-2 LogL = -9.05408).
Prediction error variance is 0.137842

Summary statistics
                LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.37127
 Normality        3.8002
 H(  3)          0.32718
 r( 1)           0.16128
 r( 8)          0.077160
 DW               1.6134
 Q( 8, 6)         7.3124
 Rd^2            0.57284

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.80172       0.18827       -4.2584  [ 0.0017]
Irr   10. 1      -0.46424       0.18827       -2.4658  [ 0.0333]
```

Table 5B.7.21
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Hainan

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 51 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.50904 (-2 LogL = -11.0181).
Prediction error variance is 0.156613

Summary statistics
                LSvar21
 Std.Error       0.39574
 Normality        1.9932
 H(  3)          0.37652
 r( 1)           -0.10872
 r( 8)           0.27134
 DW               1.8420
 Q( 8, 6)          10.169
 Rd^2            0.27418

Eq 51 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.53644       0.34019       -1.5769  [ 0.1459]
```

Table 5B.7.22
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Chongqing

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 53 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.41708 (-2 LogL = 0.83416).
Prediction error variance is 0.644833

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.80301
 Normality        5.5976
 H(  3)          0.15699
 r( 1)         -0.025597
 r( 8)         -0.023473
 DW               1.9958
 Q( 8, 6)         4.5561
 Rd^2            0.15114

Eq 53 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   11. 1       0.78300       0.68110        1.1496  [ 0.2771]
```

Table 5B.7.23
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Sichuan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 60 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.927626 (-2 LogL = -1.85525).
Prediction error variance is 0.480672

Summary statistics
                LSvar23
 Std.Error       0.69331
 Normality       0.38516
 H(  3)           1.7660
 r( 1)          0.027582
 r( 8)         -0.088295
 DW               1.8873
 Q( 8, 6)         9.2282
 Rd^2            0.26195

Eq 60 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    9. 1        1.2818       0.83249        1.5398  [ 0.1546]
```

Table 5B.7.24
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Guizhou

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq 64 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.44333 (-2 LogL = -14.8867).
Prediction error variance is 0.067573

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error       0.25995
 Normality        4.6426
 H(  3)        0.0022981
 r( 1)         -0.071931
 r( 8)          0.016013
 DW               1.9448
 Q( 8, 6)         4.2029
 Rd^2            0.70800

Eq 64 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1       -1.0117       0.26254       -3.8535  [ 0.0032]
Irr   11. 1      -0.74991       0.26324       -2.8488  [ 0.0173]
```

Table 5B.7.25
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Yunnan

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 68 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.33161 (-2 LogL = -14.6632).
Prediction error variance is 0.06493

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.25481
 Normality      0.088278
 H(  3)          0.62601
 r( 1)           0.15047
 r( 8)          -0.14618
 DW               1.5017
 Q( 8, 6)         7.9725
 Rd^2            0.54435

Eq 68 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.44951       0.25870       -1.7375  [ 0.1129]
Irr   10. 1      -0.38553       0.25970       -1.4845  [ 0.1685]
```

Table 5B.7.26
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Tibet

```
No estimation done.
Eq 71 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.2977 (-2 LogL = -6.5954).
Prediction error variance is 0.128091

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.35790
 Normality        2.9840
 H(  3)          0.12583
 r( 1)           0.13114
 r( 8)          0.030145
 DW               1.6786
 Q( 8, 6)         4.4348
 Rd^2            0.90633

Eq 71 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.8799       0.45090       -4.1692  [ 0.0019]
Irr   10. 1      -0.83139       0.46949       -1.7708  [ 0.1070]
```

Table 5B.7.27
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Shaanxi

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 77 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.49483 (-2 LogL = 2.98966).
Prediction error variance is 0.506779

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.71188
 Normality        4.6531
 H(  3)           3.6852
 r( 1)         -0.016372
 r( 8)         0.0021631
 DW               2.0250
 Q( 8, 6)         3.4247
 Rd^2            0.67501

Eq 77 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    9. 1        1.3862       0.88181         1.572  [ 0.1470]
Lvl   11. 1        2.6967       0.88584        3.0443  [ 0.0124]
```

Table 5B.7.28
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Gansu

No estimation done.
Eq 81 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.73221 (-2 LogL = -9.46441).
Prediction error variance is 0.122961

Summary statistics

	LSvar28
Std.Error	0.35066
Normality	0.53445
H(3)	4.2562
r(1)	-0.68618
r(8)	-0.025217
DW	3.2521
Q(8, 6)	10.271
Rd^2	0.77706

Eq 81 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Lvl 11. 1	0.86599	0.39807	2.1755 [0.0547]

Table 5B.7.29
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Qinghai

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 83 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -2.58348 (-2 LogL = 5.16696).
Prediction error variance is 1.21319

Summary statistics

	LSvar29
Std.Error	1.1014
Normality	1.5478
H(3)	13.476
r(1)	-0.15780
r(8)	0.069664
DW	1.9894
Q(8, 6)	6.2597
Rd^2	0.34269

Eq 83 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Lvl 11. 1	3.2142	1.2364	2.5997 [0.0265]

Table 5B.7.30
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Ningxia

No estimation done.
Eq 85 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.67492 (-2 LogL = -7.34984).
Prediction error variance is 0.186082

Summary statistics

	LSvar30
Std.Error	0.43137
Normality	3.2090
H(3)	0.87619
r(1)	0.092516
r(8)	-0.11167
DW	1.6198
Q(8, 6)	11.193
Rd^2	0.59919

Eq 85 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 8. 1	-0.47783	0.37040	-1.29 [0.2261]
Lvl 11. 1	1.6434	0.54939	2.9913 [0.0135]

Table 5B.7.31
BSM intervention results for Malaysian tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 15 iterations.
Eq 87 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.40808 (-2 LogL = -16.8162).
Prediction error variance is 0.0783241

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.27986
Normality	5.4911
H(3)	3.7799
r(1)	-0.096881
r(8)	0.079743
DW	1.8487
Q(8, 6)	13.887
Rd^2	0.41309

Eq 87 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	0.21334	0.29633	0.71994	[0.4880]

Table 5B.8.1
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 360 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.49848 (-2 LogL = -16.997).
Prediction error variance is 0.0692245

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.26311
 Normality       0.52148
 H(  3)           1.2552
 r( 1)          -0.29228
 r( 8)          -0.28019
 DW               2.1261
 Q( 8, 6)         9.2587
 Rd^2            0.57531

Eq 360 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    9. 1      0.064538       0.28522       0.22627  [ 0.8255]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.0248       0.29498       -3.4742  [ 0.0060]
```

Table 5B.8.2
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Tianjin

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq 364 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -6.37636 (-2 LogL = 12.7527).
Prediction error variance is 2.11822

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error        1.4554
 Normality       0.55473
 H(  3)          0.40486
 r( 1)         -0.080991
 r( 8)           0.12444
 DW               2.0018
 Q( 8, 6)         4.9011
 Rd^2            0.42938

Eq 364 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1        1.6705        1.5094        1.1067  [ 0.2943]
Lvl   11. 1       -1.6982        1.8667      -0.90975  [ 0.3844]
```

Table 5B.8.3
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 366 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.36389 (-2 LogL = -16.7278).
Prediction error variance is 0.0595948

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.24412
 Normality       0.69244
 H(  3)          0.87482
 r( 1)         -0.028260
 r( 8)         -0.032712
 DW               1.8211
 Q( 8, 6)         7.1908
 Rd^2            0.98330

Eq 366 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -4.3331       0.16815        -25.77  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.76175       0.17037       -4.4711  [ 0.0012]
```

Table 5B.8.4
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Shanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 376 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.373315 (-2 LogL = 0.74663).
Prediction error variance is 0.327547

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.57232
Normality	5.1202
H(3)	0.72821
r(1)	-0.37254
r(8)	0.099950
DW	2.3731
Q(8, 6)	11.376
Rd^2	0.78044

Eq 376 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.9910	0.78464	-2.5374	[0.0295]
Irr 10. 1	0.073114	0.75777	0.096486	[0.9250]

Table 5B.8.5
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Inner Mongolia

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 379 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.0614724 (-2 LogL = -0.122945).
Prediction error variance is 0.555822

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.74553
Normality	2.8266
H(3)	0.69003
r(1)	-0.14868
r(8)	0.069093
DW	1.9772
Q(8, 6)	5.1437
Rd^2	0.91390

Eq 379 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	-5.3598	0.72309	-7.4123	[0.0000]

Table 5B.8.6
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Liaoning

No estimation done.
Eq 383 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.75932 (-2 LogL = -9.51863).
Prediction error variance is 0.12527

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.35393
Normality	7.4427
H(3)	8.1862
r(1)	-0.17527
r(8)	0.0053546
DW	2.2084
Q(8, 6)	2.3526
Rd^2	0.66773

Eq 383 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	-0.59172	0.44887	-1.3182	[0.2168]

Table 5B.8.7
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Jilin

No estimation done.
Eq 386 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.05158 (-2 LogL = -4.10315).
Prediction error variance is 0.178129

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.42205
Normality	0.49810
H(3)	0.017120
r(1)	-0.059007
r(8)	-0.025711
DW	1.8171
Q(8, 6)	3.9910
Rd^2	0.68870

Eq 386 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	0.11757	0.61710	0.19052	[0.8527]
Lvl 11. 1	1.3779	0.57195	2.4091	[0.0367]

Table 5B.8.8
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Heilongjiang

Very strong convergence in 5 iterations.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.961473 (-2 LogL = -1.92295).
Prediction error variance is 0.433899

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.65871
Normality	0.63009
H(3)	0.90353
r(1)	-0.12545
r(8)	0.18004
DW	1.6496
Q(8, 6)	6.8010
Rd^2	0.26216

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.79048	0.55091	-1.4349	[0.1819]

Table 5B.8.9
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Shanghai

Very strong convergence in 16 iterations.
Eq 398 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 17.1301 (-2 LogL = -34.2603).
Prediction error variance is 0.0127537

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.11293
Normality	1.1843
H(3)	1.6062
r(1)	-0.24073
r(8)	-0.026694
DW	2.0081
Q(8, 6)	8.1689
Rd^2	0.97083

Eq 398 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	-1.4605	0.10705	-13.644	[0.0000]

Table 5B.8.10
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in   2 iterations.
Eq 403 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.99929 (-2 LogL = -17.9986).
Prediction error variance is 0.0696955

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.26400
 Normality        2.8492
 H(  3)           1.4508
 r( 1)          0.012767
 r( 8)         -0.038505
 DW               1.4954
 Q( 8, 6)         2.6938
 Rd^2            0.39670

Eq 403 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.54619       0.25543       -2.1383  [ 0.0582]
```

Table 5B.8.11
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Zhejiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq 407 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.13248 (-2 LogL = -18.265).
Prediction error variance is 0.0297863

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.17259
 Normality        2.4065
 H(  3)         0.0089585
 r( 1)            0.14301
 r( 8)         -0.015487
 DW               1.3472
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0785
 Rd^2            0.90455

Eq 407 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.93327       0.21743       -4.2922  [ 0.0016]
Irr   10. 1      -0.55762       0.22640        -2.463  [ 0.0335]
```

Table 5B.8.12
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 409 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.44736 (-2 LogL = -8.89473).
Prediction error variance is 0.179668

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.42387
 Normality         5.0022
 H(  3)          0.42368
 r( 1)        -0.0090226
 r( 8)          -0.12007
 DW               1.7092
 Q( 8, 6)         5.3455
 Rd^2            0.42426

Eq 409 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.86501       0.52500       -1.6476  [ 0.1304]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.0295       0.52660        -1.955  [ 0.0791]
```

Table 5B.8.13
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Fujian

No estimation done.
Eq 4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.76134 (-2 LogL = -13.5227).
Prediction error variance is 0.053884

Summary statistics

	LSvar2
Std.Error	0.23213
Normality	0.32041
H(3)	2.4100
r(1)	-0.30593
r(8)	0.19853
DW	2.3444
Q(8, 6)	7.2401
Rd^2	0.87267

Eq 4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.2166	0.29245	-4.1601	[0.0019]
Irr 10. 1	-0.19620	0.30451	-0.64433	[0.5339]

Table 5B.8.14
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Jiangxi

Very strong convergence in 13 iterations.
Eq 416 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.1844 (-2 LogL = -4.3688).
Prediction error variance is 0.30863

Summary statistics

	LSvar14
Std.Error	0.55555
Normality	0.21365
H(3)	0.55468
r(1)	-0.44683
r(8)	-0.0064128
DW	2.3437
Q(8, 6)	4.7346
Rd^2	0.37145

Eq 416 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.27262	0.61671	-0.44206	[0.6679]
Lvl 10. 1	-0.68507	0.66718	-1.0268	[0.3287]

Table 5B.8.15
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Shandong

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 418 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.35881 (-2 LogL = 2.71762).
Prediction error variance is 0.663259

Summary statistics

	LSvar15
Std.Error	0.81441
Normality	0.82341
H(3)	0.059432
r(1)	0.60879
r(8)	-0.39703
DW	0.16283
Q(8, 6)	22.321
Rd^2	0.58073

Eq 418 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.9971	0.75461	-2.6465	[0.0245]
Irr 11. 1	-1.0256	0.79365	-1.2923	[0.2253]

Table 5B.8.16
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in  21 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.90251 (-2 LogL = -3.80501).
Prediction error variance is 0.262644

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.51249
 Normality        11.795
 H(  3)          0.023014
 r( 1)            0.27198
 r( 8)          -0.047700
 DW               0.67283
 Q( 8, 6)          4.9489
 Rd^2             0.66245

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.4859       0.45537       -3.2631  [ 0.0085]
Irr   10. 1      -0.72797       0.45537       -1.5986  [ 0.1410]
```

Table 5B.8.17
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Hubei

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq  8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.170086 (-2 LogL = 0.340172).
Prediction error variance is 0.530692

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.72849
 Normality        9.5767
 H(  3)          0.40974
 r( 1)         -0.075995
 r( 8)         -0.033488
 DW               2.1479
 Q( 8, 6)          6.3650
 Rd^2             0.30641

Eq  8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.83030       0.67814       -1.2244  [ 0.2489]
```

Table 5B.8.18
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Hunan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 431 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.95772 (-2 LogL = -3.91544).
Prediction error variance is 0.182888

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.42765
 Normality        2.5077
 H(  3)           6.6466
 r( 1)          -0.22831
 r( 8)        -0.0044137
 DW               2.4082
 Q( 8, 6)          4.9037
 Rd^2             0.86092

Eq 431 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -2.1498       0.58631       -3.6666  [ 0.0043]
Irr   10. 1       -1.2646       0.56623       -2.2334  [ 0.0496]
```

Table 5B.8.19
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Guangdong

No estimation done.
Eq 433 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.4422 (-2 LogL = -24.8844).
Prediction error variance is 0.0133363

Summary statistics

	LSvar19
Std.Error	0.11548
Normality	0.63136
H(3)	0.047149
r(1)	-0.034544
r(8)	0.014703
DW	1.8007
Q(8, 6)	7.5484
Rd^2	0.91583

Eq 433 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.82700	0.15458	-5.3499	[0.0003]
Irr 11. 1	-0.59924	0.16007	-3.7437	[0.0038]

Table 5B.8.20
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Guangxi

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 438 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.2629 (-2 LogL = -20.5257).
Prediction error variance is 0.0448514

Summary statistics

	LSvar20
Std.Error	0.21178
Normality	1.3450
H(3)	1.1062
r(1)	-0.093354
r(8)	-0.074515
DW	1.8163
Q(8, 6)	5.1311
Rd^2	0.70847

Eq 438 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.15924	0.23486	-0.67804	[0.5131]
Lvl 10. 1	-1.2425	0.24423	-5.0876	[0.0005]

Table 5B.8.21
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Hainan

No estimation done.
Eq 440 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.10308 (-2 LogL = -6.20616).
Prediction error variance is 0.237214

Summary statistics

	LSvar21
Std.Error	0.48705
Normality	8.3128
H(3)	5.8225
r(1)	0.054924
r(8)	0.063696
DW	1.6120
Q(8, 6)	5.5328
Rd^2	0.38872

Eq 440 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	1.2551	0.62342	2.0133	[0.0718]
Lvl 10. 1	0.36587	0.62342	0.58688	[0.5703]

Table 5B.8.22
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Chongqing

```
Strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 449 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.79557 (-2 LogL = -7.59115).
Prediction error variance is 0.260716

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.51060
 Normality        8.7995
 H(  3)           1.2220
 r( 1)          -0.16279
 r( 8)        -0.0049875
 DW               1.8614
 Q( 8, 6)         9.3844
 Rd^2            0.24558

Eq 449 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    6. 1      -0.69323       0.41385       -1.6751  [ 0.1249]
```

Table 5B.8.23
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Sichuan

```
Strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 457 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.59682 (-2 LogL = -7.19363).
Prediction error variance is 0.27181

Summary statistics
                LSvar23
 Std.Error       0.52135
 Normality        5.6433
 H(  3)           1.0177
 r( 1)          -0.28396
 r( 8)          -0.19836
 DW               2.1332
 Q( 8, 6)         9.7596
 Rd^2            0.18015

Eq 457 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   11. 1     -0.040737       0.45067     -0.090392  [ 0.9298]
```

Table 5B.8.24
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Guizhou

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 464 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.03625 (-2 LogL = 6.07249).
Prediction error variance is 0.773476

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error       0.87947
 Normality        3.8855
 H(  3)          0.096529
 r( 1)          -0.17941
 r( 8)         -0.014028
 DW               2.1123
 Q( 8, 6)         2.4082
 Rd^2            0.38420

Eq 464 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       0.57003        1.0108       0.56395  [ 0.5852]
Lvl   11. 1      -0.84072        1.0560      -0.79612  [ 0.4445]
```

Table 5B.8.25

BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Yunnan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 466 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.37772 (-2 LogL = -12.7554).
Prediction error variance is 0.0605745

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.24612
 Normality        8.8199
 H(  3)           1.8371
 r( 1)         -0.061891
 r( 8)       -0.00076536
 DW               1.8048
 Q( 8, 6)         4.5033
 Rd^2            0.81736

Eq 466 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.7958       0.33743       -5.3221  [ 0.0003]
Irr   10. 1       0.40707       0.32587        1.2492  [ 0.2400]
```

Table 5B.8.26
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Tibet

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.31353 (-2 LogL = 2.62705).
Prediction error variance is 0.568651

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.75409
 Normality        10.242
 H(  3)         0.043903
 r( 1)          0.030030
 r( 8)          0.053284
 DW               1.8886
 Q( 8, 6)         2.1591
 Rd^2            0.81327

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.9171       0.75469       -3.8653  [ 0.0031]
Irr   10. 1       -1.8806       0.75670       -2.4852  [ 0.0323]
```

Table 5B.8.27
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Shaanxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq 477 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.14465 (-2 LogL = -4.28929).
Prediction error variance is 0.220255

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.46931
 Normality        4.7390
 H(  3)           8.3224
 r( 1)         -0.48302
 r( 8)         -0.053629
 DW               2.9102
 Q( 8, 6)         9.1253
 Rd^2            0.95409

Eq 477 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -4.6329       0.55206       -8.3921  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.8.28
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Gansu

No estimation done.
Eq 480 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.63883 (-2 LogL = 7.27766).
Prediction error variance is 0.796322

Summary statistics

	LSvar28
Std.Error	0.89237
Normality	1.5318
H(3)	1.6609
r(1)	-0.29056
r(8)	-0.028598
DW	2.2497
Q(8, 6)	8.9194
Rd^2	0.64160

Eq 480 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.67630	1.0497	-0.64427	[0.5339]

Table 5B.8.29
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Qinghai

No estimation done.
Eq 483 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -6.37739 (-2 LogL = 12.7548).
Prediction error variance is 1.46348

Summary statistics

	LSvar29
Std.Error	1.2097
Normality	0.86716
H(3)	2.2044
r(1)	-0.15521
r(8)	-0.010865
DW	2.1313
Q(8, 6)	9.7095
Rd^2	0.58620

Eq 483 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	1.2790	1.4230	0.89881	[0.3899]

Table 5B.8.30
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Ningxia

No estimation done.
Eq 488 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.159302 (-2 LogL = -0.318604).
Prediction error variance is 0.348172

Summary statistics

	LSvar30
Std.Error	0.59006
Normality	0.73069
H(3)	0.12383
r(1)	-0.15533
r(8)	-0.10739
DW	1.9090
Q(8, 6)	8.5825
Rd^2	0.67186

Eq 488 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	1.0580	0.74834	1.4138	[0.1878]

Table 5B.8.31
BSM intervention results for Philippine tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 6 iterations.
Eq 491 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.80315 (-2 LogL = -15.6063).
Prediction error variance is 0.0592703

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.24346
Normality	1.1966
H(3)	0.48637
r(1)	-0.13268
r(8)	-0.16907
DW	2.0852
Q(8, 6)	5.3454
Rd^2	0.77176

Eq 491 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 5. 1	-1.0318	0.25186	-4.0967	[0.0022]
Lvl 9. 1	-0.52390	0.30721	-1.7053	[0.1189]

Table 5B.9.1
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Beijing

```
Very weak convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq 216 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.2289 (-2 LogL = -22.4578).
Prediction error variance is 0.0831766

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.28840
 Normality        4.3778
 H(  3)           9.4372
 r( 1)           0.10505
 r( 8)          -0.20420
 DW               1.2124
 Q( 8, 6)         6.1265
 Rd^2          -0.067762

Eq 216 : Estimated coefficients of final state vector.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl               11.486     0.0038476         2985.2  [ 0.0000]
Slp              0.24445       0.18251         1.3394  [ 0.2101]
```

Table 5B.9.2
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Tianjin

```
Strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 219 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -4.54137 (-2 LogL = 9.08274).
Prediction error variance is 1.49235

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error        1.2216
 Normality       0.16388
 H(  3)          0.29977
 r( 1)          -0.63607
 r( 8)          0.031053
 DW               2.5644
 Q( 8, 6)         9.0735
 Rd^2            0.60857

Eq 219 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.3171        1.1363       -2.0393  [ 0.0687]
```

Table 5B.9.3
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 221 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.486007 (-2 LogL = -0.972013).
Prediction error variance is 0.564934

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.75162
 Normality        2.2593
 H(  3)           1.0630
 r( 1)          -0.13838
 r( 8)           0.10455
 DW               1.8796
 Q( 8, 6)         5.3957
 Rd^2            0.63620

Eq 221 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1       -2.3689       0.55199       -4.2915  [ 0.0016]
```

Table 5B.9.4

BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Shanxi

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 225 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.766902 (-2 LogL = -1.5338).
Prediction error variance is 0.382132

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.61817
Normality	0.29492
H(3)	0.87649
r(1)	-0.66725
r(8)	0.023897
DW	3.2501
Q(8, 6)	26.263
Rd^2	0.48745

Eq 225 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	0.42692	0.69281	0.61621	[0.5515]
Lvl 10. 1	-0.67038	0.70051	-0.95699	[0.3611]

Table 5B.9.5
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Inner Mongolia

Very strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.8849 (-2 LogL = -5.76981).
Prediction error variance is 0.279891

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.52905
Normality	2.3171
H(3)	7.6536
r(1)	-0.010762
r(8)	0.019637
DW	1.3581
Q(8, 6)	7.0031
Rd^2	0.10233

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	0.087734	0.45788	0.19161	[0.8519]

Table 5B.9.6
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Liaoning

Very strong convergence in 1 iterations.
Eq 234 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.495158 (-2 LogL = -0.990317).
Prediction error variance is 0.373371

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.61104
Normality	7.5823
H(3)	17.447
r(1)	0.12792
r(8)	0.0043147
DW	1.0258
Q(8, 6)	3.9443
Rd^2	0.38202

Eq 234 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	0.73841	0.52467	1.4074	[0.1896]
Irr 10. 1	0.75861	0.52467	1.4459	[0.1788]

Table 5B.9.7
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Jilin

No estimation done.
Eq 239 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.83595 (-2 LogL = 3.6719).
Prediction error variance is 0.407617

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.63845
Normality	0.32403
H(3)	0.55215
r(1)	0.16920
r(8)	0.065535
DW	1.5864
Q(8, 6)	7.0000
Rd^2	0.93347

Eq 239 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 7. 1	3.7616	0.97057	3.8757	[0.0031]
Irr 9. 1	-4.0342	0.90440	-4.4606	[0.0012]
Irr 11. 1	-2.6121	0.93739	-2.7865	[0.0192]

Table 5B.9.8
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Heilongjiang

Very strong convergence in 18 iterations.
Eq 242 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.80713 (-2 LogL = -17.6143).
Prediction error variance is 0.0467452

Summary statistics

	LSvar8
Std.Error	0.21621
Normality	0.19350
H(3)	0.52051
r(1)	0.14547
r(8)	0.073265
DW	1.3434
Q(8, 6)	8.1425
Rd^2	0.98163

Eq 242 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-3.6505	0.18621	-19.604	[0.0000]
Irr 11. 1	-0.62726	0.18621	-3.3685	[0.0071]

Table 5B.9.9
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Shanghai

No estimation done.
Eq 4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.406881 (-2 LogL = 0.813763).
Prediction error variance is 0.3234

Summary statistics

	LSvar2
Std.Error	0.56868
Normality	0.55858
H(3)	39.418
r(1)	-0.078510
r(8)	-0.054940
DW	1.7681
Q(8, 6)	6.1323
Rd^2	0.43265

Eq 4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	0.19055	0.71646	0.26596	[0.7957]
Irr 10. 1	-0.54875	0.74599	-0.7356	[0.4789]

Table 5B.9.10
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 247 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.989268 (-2 LogL = -1.97854).
Prediction error variance is 0.236406

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.48622
 Normality        7.0654
 H(  3)           9.9885
 r( 1)           0.12694
 r( 8)        -0.0067219
 DW               1.7244
 Q( 8, 6)         4.1489
 Rd^2            0.71248

Eq 247 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1       -1.0466       0.62186        -1.683  [ 0.1233]
Irr   11. 1       -1.6167       0.65995       -2.4497  [ 0.0343]
```

Table 5B.9.11
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 249 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.54756 (-2 LogL = -3.09512).
Prediction error variance is 0.195024

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.44162
 Normality        8.2699
 H(  3)          0.86644
 r( 1)           0.12665
 r( 8)         -0.021107
 DW               1.6603
 Q( 8, 6)         2.8222
 Rd^2            0.73265

Eq 249 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1      -0.95517       0.54921       -1.7392  [ 0.1126]
Irr   10. 1      -0.87660       0.57175       -1.5332  [ 0.1562]
Irr   11. 1       -1.9365       0.58692       -3.2994  [ 0.0080]
```

Table 5B.9.12
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Anhui

```
No estimation done.
Eq 252 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.118417 (-2 LogL = -0.236834).
Prediction error variance is 0.236389

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.48620
 Normality        8.0344
 H(  3)        0.0023440
 r( 1)         -0.067797
 r( 8)          0.032594
 DW               1.8299
 Q( 8, 6)         2.7917
 Rd^2            0.72774

Eq 252 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1       -1.5638       0.77500       -2.0179  [ 0.0712]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.8043       0.77500       -2.3282  [ 0.0422]
Irr   11. 1      -0.92293       0.75388       -1.2242  [ 0.2489]
```

Table 5B.9.13
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Fujian

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.667483 (-2 LogL = -1.33497).
Prediction error variance is 0.436201

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.66046
 Normality        4.9145
 H(  3)           8.0888
 r( 1)         -0.018651
 r( 8)         -0.015606
 DW               1.9491
 Q( 8, 6)         4.8120
 Rd^2            0.37873

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1       -1.2091       0.62539       -1.9333  [ 0.0820]
```

Table 5B.9.14
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Jiangxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq 258 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.58552 (-2 LogL = -7.17104).
Prediction error variance is 0.122078

Summary statistics
                LSvar14
 Std.Error       0.34940
 Normality        5.0577
 H(  3)         0.016397
 r( 1)          -0.36082
 r( 8)         -0.059349
 DW               1.9008
 Q( 8, 6)         3.6394
 Rd^2            0.75356

Eq 258 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1       -1.2582       0.46770       -2.6901  [ 0.0227]
Irr   11. 1      -0.98667       0.48428       -2.0374  [ 0.0689]
```

Table 5B.9.15
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Shandong

```
No estimation done.
Eq 260 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.212835 (-2 LogL = 0.425671).
Prediction error variance is 0.312795

Summary statistics
                LSvar15
 Std.Error       0.55928
 Normality        1.3224
 H(  3)         0.085981
 r( 1)           0.52242
 r( 8)          -0.41360
 DW              0.27495
 Q( 8, 6)         18.192
 Rd^2            0.65992

Eq 260 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    9. 1       -1.2999       0.72305       -1.7978  [ 0.1024]
Irr   11. 1       -1.0442       0.76736       -1.3608  [ 0.2035]
```

Table 5B.9.16
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in  14 iterations.
Eq 269 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -2.7611 (-2 LogL = 5.5222).
Prediction error variance is 0.958315

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.97894
 Normality        1.3124
 H(  3)          0.21653
 r( 1)           -0.14371
 r( 8)           0.12104
 DW               2.1767
 Q( 8, 6)         7.4036
 Rd^2            0.17037

Eq 269 : Estimated variances of disturbances.

Component       LSvar16 (q-ratio)
Irr             0.33124 ( 0.4866)
Lvl             0.68071 ( 1.0000)
Slp             0.00000 ( 0.0000)
```

Table 5B.9.17
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Hubei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 275 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.60271 (-2 LogL = -3.20541).
Prediction error variance is 0.151142

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.38877
 Normality        9.7957
 H(  3)          0.63770
 r( 1)           -0.27635
 r( 8)          -0.042539
 DW               2.4600
 Q( 8, 6)         2.9769
 Rd^2            0.75224

Eq 275 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1      -0.67030       0.55188       -1.2146  [ 0.2524]
Irr   10. 1      -0.62211       0.57141       -1.0887  [ 0.3018]
Irr   11. 1       -1.3607       0.59475       -2.2879  [ 0.0452]
```

Table 5B.9.18
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Hunan

```
Very strong convergence in  17 iterations.
Eq 289 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.42815 (-2 LogL = 2.8563).
Prediction error variance is 0.410613
Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.64079
 Normality       0.28083
 H(  3)         0.044791
 r( 1)          0.072472
 r( 8)         0.0067253
 DW               1.5752
 Q( 8, 6)         11.183
 Rd^2            0.82921

Eq 289 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    6. 1       -4.0330       0.98874       -4.0789  [ 0.0022]
Irr    8. 1     -0.036386       0.88683     -0.041029  [ 0.9681]
Lvl   10. 1      0.016989       0.94444      0.017988  [ 0.9860]
```

Table 5B.9.19
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Guangdong

No estimation done.
Eq 294 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.29492 (-2 LogL = -2.58983).
Prediction error variance is 0.165036

Summary statistics

	LSvar19
Std.Error	0.40625
Normality	3.4405
H(3)	0.27624
r(1)	-0.26773
r(8)	-0.070061
DW	2.3041
Q(8, 6)	4.7728
Rd^2	0.78671

Eq 294 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-0.86941	0.57669	-1.5076	[0.1626]
Irr 10. 1	-1.1313	0.59710	-1.8947	[0.0874]
Irr 11. 1	-1.6378	0.62148	-2.6353	[0.0249]

Table 5B.9.20
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Guangxi

Strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.92869 (-2 LogL = -5.85738).
Prediction error variance is 0.31655

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.56263
Normality	1.1832
H(3)	2.5118
r(1)	-0.25171
r(8)	-0.16809
DW	1.8772
Q(8, 6)	7.4958
Rd^2	-0.020496

Eq 8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.31044	0.45761	-0.6784	[0.5129]

Table 5B.9.21
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Hainan

No estimation done.
Eq 304 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.18898 (-2 LogL = 2.37797).
Prediction error variance is 0.335576

Summary statistics

	LSvar21
Std.Error	0.57929
Normality	7.8202
H(3)	0.77743
r(1)	0.089580
r(8)	-0.0080744
DW	1.6140
Q(8, 6)	4.2848
Rd^2	0.86881

Eq 304 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-1.8965	0.82233	-2.3062	[0.0438]
Irr 10. 1	-2.0956	0.85144	-2.4612	[0.0336]
Irr 11. 1	-4.0571	0.88621	-4.5781	[0.0010]

Table 5B.9.22
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Chongqing

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 307 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.48529 (-2 LogL = 6.97058).
Prediction error variance is 0.847847

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.92079
 Normality        7.9325
 H(  3)           3.2101
 r( 1)           0.047855
 r( 8)         -0.0051837
 DW               1.8312
 Q( 8, 6)         2.8144
 Rd^2            0.28410

Eq 307 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    9. 1      -0.75639        1.1223      -0.67399  [ 0.5156]
Irr   10. 1       -1.4424        1.1723       -1.2304  [ 0.2467]
Irr   11. 1      -0.98112        1.1905      -0.82411  [ 0.4291]
```

Table 5B.9.23
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Sichuan

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.01173 (-2 LogL = -4.02347).
Prediction error variance is 0.343422

Summary statistics
                LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.58602
 Normality        6.1031
 H(  3)           12.264
 r( 1)         -0.039241
 r( 8)         -0.051183
 DW               1.3971
 Q( 8, 6)         4.8721
 Rd^2           0.077323

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      0.012114       0.49095      0.024675  [ 0.9808]
```

Table 5B.9.24
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Guizhou

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 317 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.40165 (-2 LogL = 6.8033).
Prediction error variance is 1.11369

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error        1.0553
 Normality        2.8204
 H(  3)           1.8166
 r( 1)         -0.092660
 r( 8)         -0.032481
 DW               1.9768
 Q( 8, 6)         7.8743
 Rd^2            0.19691

Eq 317 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   11. 1      -0.36408       0.96387      -0.37773  [ 0.7135]
```

Table 5B.9.25
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Yunnan

No estimation done.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.5019 (-2 LogL = 7.00381).
Prediction error variance is 1.01418

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	1.0071
Normality	1.4709
H(3)	14.474
r(1)	0.11892
r(8)	0.014874
DW	1.4296
Q(8, 6)	9.7188
Rd^2	0.10428

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.34450	0.86472	-0.3984	[0.6987]
Irr 10. 1	-0.35606	0.86472	-0.41176	[0.6892]

Table 5B.9.26
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Tibet

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 327 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.09209 (-2 LogL = -2.18417).
Prediction error variance is 0.274155

Summary statistics

	LSvar26
Std.Error	0.52360
Normality	4.0405
H(3)	0.13933
r(1)	-0.48616
r(8)	0.013978
DW	2.3223
Q(8, 6)	8.8751
Rd^2	0.75631

Eq 327 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-2.0199	0.54721	-3.6912	[0.0042]
Irr 10. 1	-1.0115	0.56497	-1.7903	[0.1037]
Irr 11. 1	-1.6877	0.58213	-2.8991	[0.0159]

Table 5B.9.27
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Shaanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 333 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.34296 (-2 LogL = 2.68592).
Prediction error variance is 0.57631

Summary statistics

	LSvar27
Std.Error	0.75915
Normality	8.0492
H(3)	5.2012
r(1)	0.032898
r(8)	-0.065667
DW	1.3118
Q(8, 6)	6.6183
Rd^2	0.85365

Eq 333 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 6. 1	2.1351	1.0388	2.0553	[0.0669]
Irr 9. 1	-3.9643	0.69686	-5.6888	[0.0002]
Lvl 11. 1	-0.82739	1.0388	-0.79648	[0.4443]

Table 5B.9.28
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Gansu

No estimation done.
Eq 337 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -4.20153 (-2 LogL = 8.40305).
Prediction error variance is 0.793595

Summary statistics

	LSvar28
Std.Error	0.89084
Normality	7.7988
H(3)	0.13885
r(1)	-0.24447
r(8)	-0.019897
DW	2.3310
Q(8, 6)	3.1356
Rd^2	0.81862

Eq 337 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-4.0118	1.2646	-3.1724	[0.0099]
Irr 10. 1	-1.1857	1.3094	-0.90555	[0.3865]
Irr 11. 1	-1.6214	1.3628	-1.1898	[0.2616]

Table 5B.9.29
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Qinghai

Very strong convergence in 20 iterations.
Eq 344 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -4.45513 (-2 LogL = 8.91026).
Prediction error variance is 1.04107

Summary statistics

	LSvar29
Std.Error	1.0203
Normality	0.42015
H(3)	0.73984
r(1)	-0.18790
r(8)	-0.11060
DW	1.7474
Q(8, 6)	6.3785
Rd^2	0.67031

Eq 344 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 6. 1	2.5470	1.1687	2.1794	[0.0543]
Irr 9. 1	-0.69300	1.1854	-0.58463	[0.5717]

Table 5B.9.30
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Ningxia

Very strong convergence in 7 iterations.
Eq 346 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -1.40185 (-2 LogL = 2.8037).
Prediction error variance is 0.619784

Summary statistics

	LSvar30
Std.Error	0.78726
Normality	0.47645
H(3)	1.5142
r(1)	-0.18489
r(8)	0.23775
DW	1.9763
Q(8, 6)	10.573
Rd^2	0.59420

Eq 346 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	1.7465	0.81839	2.1341	[0.0586]

Table 5B.9.31
BSM intervention results for Russian tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 3 iterations.
Eq 355 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.97162 (-2 LogL = -11.9432).
Prediction error variance is 0.0623729

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.24975
Normality	1.1156
H(3)	0.34026
r(1)	0.25483
r(8)	0.086009
DW	0.98690
Q(8, 6)	11.332
Rd^2	0.82917

Eq 355 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	-1.3704	0.28318	-4.8393	[0.0007]
Irr 10. 1	-0.27493	0.31765	-0.86552	[0.4070]
Irr 11. 1	-0.23537	0.28404	-0.82866	[0.4266]

Table 5B.10.1
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 106 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.3449 (-2 LogL = -20.6898).
Prediction error variance is 0.0331704

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.18213
 Normality         4.9303
 H(  3)            4.0483
 r( 1)           -0.50691
 r( 8)           0.060748
 DW                2.5200
 Q( 8, 6)          7.0905
 Rd^2            0.46258

Eq 106 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1     -0.049571       0.18730      -0.26467  [ 0.7966]
Slp   11. 1       0.23250       0.22487        1.0339  [ 0.3255]
```

Table 5B.10.2
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq 110 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.11226 (-2 LogL = -8.22452).
Prediction error variance is 0.141123

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.37566
 Normality         1.1945
 H(  3)            2.7978
 r( 1)           -0.21196
 r( 8)            0.15401
 DW                2.0359
 Q( 8, 6)          6.3682
 Rd^2            0.76956

Eq 110 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl   11. 1        1.1301       0.42645        2.6499  [ 0.0243]
```

Table 5B.10.3
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Hebei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 98 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.35127 (-2 LogL = -10.7025).
Prediction error variance is 0.122376

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.34982
 Normality         10.056
 H(  3)            12.008
 r( 1)           0.040030
 r( 8)           -0.13029
 DW                1.2923
 Q( 8, 6)          5.0688
 Rd^2            0.96152

Eq 98 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1       -4.0948       0.30038       -13.632  [ 0.0000]
Lvl   11. 1     -0.051276       0.44553      -0.11509  [ 0.9107]
```

Table 5B.10.4
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Shanxi

```
Strong convergence in   4 iterations.
Eq 100 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.7392 (-2 LogL = -3.4784).
Prediction error variance is 0.367303

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.60606
 Normality       0.38536
 H(  3)           2.1415
 r( 1)          -0.091853
 r( 8)           0.13837
 DW              1.3950
 Q( 8, 6)        6.8831
 Rd^2            0.32780

Eq 100 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.33888       0.57748      -0.58683  [ 0.5703]
```

Table 5B.10.5
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Inner Mongolia

```
No estimation done.
Eq 113 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.70096 (-2 LogL = -3.40192).
Prediction error variance is 0.243932

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.49389
 Normality        4.2272
 H(  3)           7.0058
 r( 1)          -0.20465
 r( 8)          -0.011741
 DW              2.3880
 Q( 8, 6)        3.9476
 Rd^2            0.60169

Eq 113 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    9. 1      -0.30630       0.59021      -0.51896  [ 0.6151]
```

Table 5B.10.6
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Liaoning

```
Very strong convergence in  16 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.7104 (-2 LogL = -21.4208).
Prediction error variance is 0.0252732

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.15898
 Normality       0.55528
 H(  3)          0.17106
 r( 1)          -0.18176
 r( 8)          -0.085668
 DW              1.8449
 Q( 8, 6)        5.3306
 Rd^2            0.75070

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.56320       0.17826       -3.1595  [ 0.0102]
Irr   10. 1     -0.050543       0.18494      -0.27329  [ 0.7902]
```

Table 5B.10.7
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Jilin

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 123 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.89541 (-2 LogL = -19.7908).
Prediction error variance is 0.0633453

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error      0.25168
 Normality     0.013697
 H(  3)         0.78122
 r( 1)         0.028489
 r( 8)         -0.21849
 DW               1.6642
 Q( 8, 6)         8.1968
 Rd^2           0.90219

Eq 123 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   11. 1        1.8159       0.24013        7.5624  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.10.8
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Heilongjiang

```
No estimation done.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.03853 (-2 LogL = -2.07706).
Prediction error variance is 0.225324

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error      0.47468
 Normality        4.9064
 H(  3)           2.8484
 r( 1)         -0.14059
 r( 8)        -0.032049
 DW               1.8954
 Q( 8, 6)         3.4043
 Rd^2           0.62448

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.73768       0.59803       -1.2335  [ 0.2456]
Irr   10. 1      -0.43512       0.62268      -0.69878  [ 0.5006]
```

Table 5B.10.9
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Shanghai

```
No estimation done.
Eq 129 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 15.4592 (-2 LogL = -30.9184).
Prediction error variance is 0.0113372

Summary statistics
                 LSvar9
 Std.Error      0.10648
 Normality        1.5765
 H(  3)         0.25018
 r( 1)         -0.21301
 r( 8)         0.023536
 DW               2.2255
 Q( 8, 6)         4.7350
 Rd^2           0.77597

Eq 129 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl   11. 1       0.43888       0.12087         3.631  [ 0.0046]
```

Table 5B.10.10
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.2486 (-2 LogL = -26.4972).
Prediction error variance is 0.0153806

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.12402
 Normality       0.13617
 H(  3)          0.18328
 r( 1)           0.014005
 r( 8)           0.090197
 DW                1.7748
 Q( 8, 6)          4.0255
 Rd^2            0.80021

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.52316       0.11206       -4.6688  [ 0.0009]
Irr   10. 1      -0.21867       0.11206       -1.9514  [ 0.0796]
```

Table 5B.10.11
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq  8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.65369 (-2 LogL = -15.3074).
Prediction error variance is 0.0623535

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.24971
 Normality       0.80161
 H(  3)          0.94156
 r( 1)           0.038831
 r( 8)          -0.042714
 DW                1.6428
 Q( 8, 6)          3.9852
 Rd^2            0.75378

Eq  8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.0008       0.22268       -4.4942  [ 0.0012]
Irr   10. 1      -0.14766       0.22268      -0.66311  [ 0.5223]
```

Table 5B.10.12
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.32064 (-2 LogL = -14.6413).
Prediction error variance is 0.063688

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.25236
 Normality        5.4607
 H(  3)          0.48521
 r( 1)           -0.29945
 r( 8)           -0.10421
 DW                1.8667
 Q( 8, 6)          10.548
 Rd^2            0.70773

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.61455       0.27142       -2.2642  [ 0.0470]
Irr   10. 1      -0.29198       0.27999       -1.0428  [ 0.3216]
```

Table 5B.10.13
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Fujian

```
No estimation done.
Eq 133 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.25545 (-2 LogL = -6.5109).
Prediction error variance is 0.206656

Summary statistics
                LSvar13
 Std.Error       0.45459
 Normality      0.029374
 H(  3)           1.2612
 r( 1)          0.076331
 r( 8)       -0.00096091
 DW               1.8233
 Q( 8, 6)         1.6543
 Rd^2            0.47766

Eq 133 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    9. 1       0.97562       0.39034        2.4994  [ 0.0315]
Lvl   11. 1       0.10746       0.57897       0.18561  [ 0.8565]
```

Table 5B.10.14
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Jiangxi

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 135 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.10695 (-2 LogL = -16.2139).
Prediction error variance is 0.0758026

Summary statistics
                LSvar14
 Std.Error       0.27532
 Normality        1.8481
 H(  3)          0.15811
 r( 1)         -0.028776
 r( 8)         -0.034372
 DW               1.8350
 Q( 8, 6)         6.6421
 Rd^2            0.66720

Eq 135 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.63409       0.28815       -2.2005  [ 0.0524]
```

Table 5B.10.15
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Shandong

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.34696 (-2 LogL = -16.6939).
Prediction error variance is 0.0594719

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.24387
 Normality        1.7407
 H(  3)           9.2019
 r( 1)           0.15083
 r( 8)          0.018085
 DW               1.2345
 Q( 8, 6)         8.5867
 Rd^2            0.70918

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.46095       0.17154       -2.6871  [ 0.0228]
Irr   10. 1      -0.77773       0.17191       -4.5239  [ 0.0011]
```

Table 5B.10.16
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 140 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.62756 (-2 LogL = -13.2551).
Prediction error variance is 0.092932

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.30485
 Normality        1.7668
 H(  3)          0.11888
 r( 1)           0.26114
 r( 8)         -0.087628
 DW              0.67779
 Q( 8, 6)         9.7475
 Rd^2            0.71507

Eq 140 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.67034       0.22246       -3.0133  [ 0.0130]
Irr   10. 1      -0.87829       0.22515       -3.9009  [ 0.0030]
```

Table 5B.10.17
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Hubei

```
No estimation done.
Eq  5 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.30804 (-2 LogL = -2.61608).
Prediction error variance is 0.404658

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.63613
 Normality        4.1360
 H(  3)         0.014065
 r( 1)           0.17461
 r( 8)         -0.010202
 DW               1.4781
 Q( 8, 6)         4.8566
 Rd^2            0.20551

Eq  5 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   11. 1       0.64383       0.51498        1.2502  [ 0.2397]
```

Table 5B.10.18
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Hunan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 150 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.698409 (-2 LogL = 1.39682).
Prediction error variance is 0.555306

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.74519
 Normality        4.5095
 H(  3)           3.6410
 r( 1)           0.12622
 r( 8)          -0.24158
 DW               1.1444
 Q( 8, 6)          11.354
 Rd^2            0.46166

Eq 150 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.5424       0.63986       -2.4106  [ 0.0366]
Lvl   11. 1       0.20416       0.94907       0.21511  [ 0.8340]
```

Table 5B.10.19
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in   3 iterations.
Eq 148 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 16.7966 (-2 LogL = -33.5933).
Prediction error variance is 0.0145561

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.12065
 Normality        4.4743
 H(  3)           9.7674
 r( 1)          -0.20597
 r( 8)         -0.022247
 DW               2.0091
 Q( 8, 6)         8.2129
 Rd^2            0.87311

Eq 148 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.69725      0.097667        -7.139  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.10.20
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Guangxi

```
Very strong convergence in  21 iterations.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.547 (-2 LogL = -23.094).
Prediction error variance is 0.0257844

Summary statistics
                LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.16058
 Normality        1.0866
 H(  3)           3.8245
 r( 1)         -0.053893
 r( 8)         -0.061149
 DW               1.4829
 Q( 8, 6)         7.7323
 Rd^2            0.84763

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.46316      0.096906       -4.7794  [ 0.0007]
Irr   10. 1      -0.62631      0.097185       -6.4445  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.10.21
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Hainan

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.3329 (-2 LogL = -22.6657).
Prediction error variance is 0.042517

Summary statistics
                LSvar21
 Std.Error       0.20620
 Normality       0.37344
 H(  3)          0.30818
 r( 1)         -0.018067
 r( 8)          -0.19942
 DW               1.6103
 Q( 8, 6)         10.300
 Rd^2            0.69852

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.72453       0.18167       -3.9882  [ 0.0026]
```

Table 5B.10.22
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Chongqing

No estimation done.
Eq 17 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.94277 (-2 LogL = -3.88554).
Prediction error variance is 0.351423

Summary statistics

	LSvar8
Std.Error	0.59281
Normality	6.1224
H(3)	0.87075
r(1)	-0.040216
r(8)	0.074381
DW	1.9789
Q(8, 6)	8.5568
Rd^2	0.30701

Eq 17 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 8. 1	-0.84634	0.47991	-1.7636 [0.1083]

Table 5B.10.23
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Sichuan

Very strong convergence in 12 iterations.
Eq 19 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.49428 (-2 LogL = -12.9886).
Prediction error variance is 0.144267

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.37983
Normality	4.6178
H(3)	3.4637
r(1)	-0.21694
r(8)	0.060571
DW	2.1943
Q(8, 6)	10.758
Rd^2	0.46356

Eq 19 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 8. 1	-0.92197	0.30355	-3.0373 [0.0125]

Table 5B.10.24
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Guizhou

Strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 26 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.56349 (-2 LogL = -19.127).
Prediction error variance is 0.0644271

Summary statistics

	LSvar24
Std.Error	0.25382
Normality	8.2331
H(3)	0.0047442
r(1)	-0.35607
r(8)	-0.080560
DW	2.0475
Q(8, 6)	8.8498
Rd^2	0.81543

Eq 26 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value
Irr 10. 1	-1.0415	0.24231	-4.2982 [0.0016]

Table 5B.10.25
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Yunnan

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 30 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.6868 (-2 LogL = -17.3736).
Prediction error variance is 0.0254277

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.15946
 Normality        10.558
 H(  3)          0.10580
 r( 1)           0.085031
 r( 8)           -0.14122
 DW                1.7058
 Q( 8, 6)          6.9073
 Rd^2            0.71119

Eq 30 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.37207       0.18763        -1.983  [ 0.0755]
Irr   10. 1      -0.41899       0.19817       -2.1143  [ 0.0606]
Irr   11. 1      -0.28287       0.20456       -1.3828  [ 0.1968]
```

Table 5B.10.26
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Tibet

```
Very strong convergence in  14 iterations.
Eq 21 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.63342 (-2 LogL = -11.2668).
Prediction error variance is 0.114491

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.33837
 Normality       0.66901
 H(  3)          0.73601
 r( 1)           -0.30408
 r( 8)           0.052878
 DW                2.2267
 Q( 8, 6)          11.888
 Rd^2            0.82327

Eq 21 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.0902       0.31644       -3.4451  [ 0.0063]
Irr   10. 1      -0.90336       0.31787       -2.8419  [ 0.0175]
```

Table 5B.10.27
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Shaanxi

```
Very strong convergence in  15 iterations.
Eq 39 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.9947 (-2 LogL = -23.9895).
Prediction error variance is 0.0243204

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.15595
 Normality        4.3710
 H(  3)          0.24304
 r( 1)         -0.057334
 r( 8)           0.23303
 DW                1.5991
 Q( 8, 6)          8.9456
 Rd^2            0.98674

Eq 39 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.0794       0.11492       -26.795  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.63131       0.11627       -5.4297  [ 0.0003]
```

Table 5B.10.28
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Gansu

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 41 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.19377 (-2 LogL = -6.38754).
Prediction error variance is 0.128188

Summary statistics
                LSvar28
 Std.Error       0.35803
 Normality        2.4730
 H(  3)           1.0293
 r( 1)          -0.050441
 r( 8)           -0.12974
 DW               1.9242
 Q( 8, 6)         3.3561
 Rd^2            0.81233

Eq 41 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.56306       0.39629       -1.4208  [ 0.1858]
Irr   10. 1       -1.4766       0.42149       -3.5033  [ 0.0057]
Irr   11. 1      -0.23598       0.43047      -0.54819  [ 0.5956]
```

Table 5B.10.29
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Qinghai

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 44 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.506102 (-2 LogL = -1.0122).
Prediction error variance is 0.505754

Summary statistics
                LSvar29
 Std.Error       0.71116
 Normality       0.18265
 H(  3)          0.32880
 r( 1)          0.055132
 r( 8)          0.090114
 DW               1.5742
 Q( 8, 6)         8.1205
 Rd^2            0.37503

Eq 44 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    10. 1       -1.7958       0.86083       -2.0861  [ 0.0635]
```

Table 5B.10.30
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Ningxia

```
No estimation done.
Eq 23 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.06506 (-2 LogL = -10.1301).
Prediction error variance is 0.116139

Summary statistics
                LSvar11
 Std.Error       0.34079
 Normality        2.1930
 H(  3)           2.6902
 r( 1)          -0.080772
 r( 8)           -0.23778
 DW               1.8497
 Q( 8, 6)         9.3845
 Rd^2            0.68357

Eq 23 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    10. 1       -1.0777       0.41740        -2.582  [ 0.0273]
```

Table 5B.10.31
BSM intervention results for Singaporean tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 49 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.49672 (-2 LogL = -14.9934).
Prediction error variance is 0.102041

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.31944
Normality	4.0327
H(3)	1.0978
r(1)	-0.034681
r(8)	-0.13681
DW	1.7769
Q(8, 6)	6.1986
Rd^2	0.82140

Eq 49 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-1.5880	0.26179	-6.0658	[0.0001]

Table 5B.11.1
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Beijing

```
No estimation done.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.54109 (-2 LogL = -19.0822).
Prediction error variance is 0.0268939

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.16399
 Normality       0.90865
 H(  3)          0.14067
 r( 1)          -0.078661
 r( 8)          -0.19882
 DW               1.9070
 Q( 8, 6)         8.0946
 Rd^2            0.79603

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.19952       0.20661       -0.9657  [ 0.3570]
Irr   10. 1      -0.64383       0.21513       -2.9928  [ 0.0135]
```

Table 5B.11.2
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Tianjin

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.240029 (-2 LogL = -0.480057).
Prediction error variance is 0.383457

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.61924
 Normality        5.8770
 H(  3)           2.5675
 r( 1)          -0.16868
 r( 8)           0.21702
 DW               1.8606
 Q( 8, 6)         7.9724
 Rd^2            0.70311

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    5. 1        2.0217       0.61976        3.2621  [ 0.0085]
Irr   10. 1       0.15900       0.62174       0.25573  [ 0.8033]
```

Table 5B.11.3
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq  7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -4.21308 (-2 LogL = 8.42615).
Prediction error variance is 1.03588

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error        1.0178
 Normality        7.7782
 H(  3)          0.70351
 r( 1)           -0.18902
 r( 8)          -0.010130
 DW               2.3338
 Q( 8, 6)         1.9013
 Rd^2            0.74424

Eq  7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -3.7372        1.3067       -2.8601  [ 0.0170]
Irr   10. 1       0.41769        1.2026       0.34731  [ 0.7356]
```

Table 5B.11.4
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Shanxi

```
Very strong convergence in   1 iterations.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.26144 (-2 LogL = -6.52289).
Prediction error variance is 0.228006

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.47750
 Normality         8.4981
 H(  3)            2.6259
 r( 1)           0.099318
 r( 8)           0.069661
 DW                1.2566
 Q( 8, 6)          7.6601
 Rd^2            0.50041

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.2865       0.61121       -2.1049  [ 0.0616]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.0902       0.61121       -1.7838  [ 0.1048]
```

Table 5B.11.5
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Inner Mongolia

```
No estimation done.
Eq 14 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -3.45147 (-2 LogL = 6.90293).
Prediction error variance is 0.757833

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.87054
 Normality         7.4043
 H(  3)         0.0041274
 r( 1)             0.57185
 r( 8)           -0.19495
 DW                0.29343
 Q( 8, 6)          17.788
 Rd^2            0.56254

Eq 14 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl   11. 1        1.8688       0.98823         1.891  [ 0.0879]
```

Table 5B.11.6
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Liaoning

```
No estimation done.
Eq 17 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.18479 (-2 LogL = -14.3696).
Prediction error variance is 0.0701169

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.26480
 Normality         2.4962
 H(  3)            3.4622
 r( 1)           0.12609
 r( 8)         -0.078278
 DW                1.4812
 Q( 8, 6)          5.2416
 Rd^2            0.78394

Eq 17 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    7. 1       0.93391       0.22737         4.1075  [ 0.0021]
Irr    9. 1       0.70648       0.22737         3.1072  [ 0.0111]
```

Table 5B.11.7
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Jilin

```
Very strong convergence in  24 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.94365 (-2 LogL = -9.88729).
Prediction error variance is 0.122823

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.35046
 Normality        1.9034
 H(  3)           1.3856
 r( 1)          0.034381
 r( 8)         -0.050677
 DW               1.8960
 Q( 8, 6)         5.1861
 Rd^2            0.59157

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    6. 1       0.46078       0.30936        1.4895  [ 0.1672]
Irr    8. 1      -0.82383       0.30936       -2.6631  [ 0.0238]
```

Table 5B.11.8
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Heilongjiang

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.148043 (-2 LogL = -0.296087).
Prediction error variance is 0.562639

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.75009
 Normality        1.8292
 H(  3)           2.2405
 r( 1)          -0.22923
 r( 8)           0.15190
 DW               1.8773
 Q( 8, 6)         8.4530
 Rd^2            0.13488

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.11617       0.65194      -0.17819  [ 0.8621]
```

Table 5B.11.9
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Shanghai

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.48149 (-2 LogL = -14.963).
Prediction error variance is 0.0734774

Summary statistics
                 LSvar9
 Std.Error       0.27107
 Normality        2.9676
 H(  3)           1.4440
 r( 1)          -0.24874
 r( 8)          0.091940
 DW               1.8033
 Q( 8, 6)         9.9261
 Rd^2            0.22199

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    6. 1      0.054245       0.24437       0.22198  [ 0.8288]
Irr    8. 1     -0.044724       0.24469      -0.18278  [ 0.8586]
```

Table 5B.11.10
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Jiangsu

No estimation done.
Eq 21 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.093 (-2 LogL = -22.186).
Prediction error variance is 0.0459986

Summary statistics

	LSvar10
Std.Error	0.21447
Normality	0.40154
H(3)	1.1344
r(1)	0.050119
r(8)	-0.12726
DW	1.7058
Q(8, 6)	8.9048
Rd^2	0.27492

Eq 21 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 6. 1	0.27857	0.17363	1.6044	[0.1397]

Table 5B.11.11
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Zhejiang

Very weak convergence in 6 iterations.
Eq 23 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.07228 (-2 LogL = -10.1446).
Prediction error variance is 0.109689

Summary statistics

	LSvar11
Std.Error	0.33119
Normality	5.0337
H(3)	0.00020519
r(1)	-0.068560
r(8)	0.023382
DW	2.0865
Q(8, 6)	3.8574
Rd^2	0.49901

Eq 23 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	0.079066	0.43685	0.18099	[0.8600]
Lvl 11. 1	0.80286	0.44780	1.7929	[0.1032]

Table 5B.11.12
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Anhui

No estimation done.
Eq 25 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.21634 (-2 LogL = -16.4327).
Prediction error variance is 0.0581045

Summary statistics

	LSvar12
Std.Error	0.24105
Normality	0.30337
H(3)	0.029520
r(1)	-0.21104
r(8)	-0.037081
DW	1.8539
Q(8, 6)	6.8572
Rd^2	0.70518

Eq 25 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 11. 1	0.55654	0.30571	1.8205	[0.0987]

Table 5B.11.13
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Fujian

```
Strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 33 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.05133 (-2 LogL = -12.1027).
Prediction error variance is 0.106629

Summary statistics
                LSvar13
 Std.Error       0.32654
 Normality        2.1388
 H(  3)          0.27642
 r( 1)           -0.26698
 r( 8)          -0.028617
 DW               2.1928
 Q( 8, 6)         5.5065
 Rd^2            0.38108

Eq 33 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.41801       0.28303       -1.4769  [ 0.1705]
Irr   10. 1      -0.32761       0.28370       -1.1548  [ 0.2750]
```

Table 5B.11.14
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Jiangxi

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 35 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.38758 (-2 LogL = -8.77515).
Prediction error variance is 0.13741

Summary statistics
                LSvar14
 Std.Error       0.37069
 Normality        3.8756
 H(  3)          0.82117
 r( 1)           0.14803
 r( 8)          0.051626
 DW               1.6301
 Q( 8, 6)         9.8716
 Rd^2            0.74941

Eq 35 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    7. 1        1.2998       0.36456        3.5654  [ 0.0051]
Irr   10. 1      -0.44250       0.36526       -1.2115  [ 0.2536]
```

Table 5B.11.15
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Shandong

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.46554 (-2 LogL = -10.9311).
Prediction error variance is 0.0983553

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.31362
 Normality       0.45851
 H(  3)          0.78416
 r( 1)          0.044423
 r( 8)           0.24481
 DW               1.8113
 Q( 8, 6)          10.063
 Rd^2            0.75124

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.29862       0.33708      -0.88589  [ 0.3965]
Irr   10. 1       -1.0653       0.34126       -3.1215  [ 0.0108]
```

Table 5B.11.16
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Henan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 43 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.550922 (-2 LogL = -1.10184).
Prediction error variance is 0.25831

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.50824
 Normality        1.4047
 H(  3)           1.2678
 r( 1)          -0.27690
 r( 8)         -0.063157
 DW               2.3831
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0443
 Rd^2            0.68843

Eq 43 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    9. 1       0.79546       0.65589        1.2128  [ 0.2531]
Irr   11. 1       0.20786       0.69748       0.29801  [ 0.7718]
```

Table 5B.11.17
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Hubei

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 46 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.195645 (-2 LogL = 0.39129).
Prediction error variance is 0.48749

Summary statistics
                LSvar17
 Std.Error       0.69820
 Normality         5.1008
 H(  3)          0.046345
 r( 1)           0.020754
 r( 8)           0.021942
 DW               1.7442
 Q( 8, 6)         3.6894
 Rd^2            0.33475

Eq 46 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.2993       0.89324       -1.4546  [ 0.1764]
Irr   10. 1       0.56720       0.61405        0.9237  [ 0.3774]
```

Table 5B.11.18
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Hunan

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 49 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.96656 (-2 LogL = 1.93312).
Prediction error variance is 0.456819

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.67588
 Normality        2.4418
 H(  3)           37.077
 r( 1)          -0.26429
 r( 8)         -0.071874
 DW               1.9560
 Q( 8, 6)         4.4839
 Rd^2            0.62955

Eq 49 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -1.4736       0.76415       -1.9285  [ 0.0826]
Irr   10. 1       -1.8017       0.78289       -2.3013  [ 0.0442]
```

Table 5B.11.19
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Guangdong

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 51 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.86109 (-2 LogL = -17.7222).
Prediction error variance is 0.0564442

Summary statistics

	LSvar19
Std.Error	0.23758
Normality	0.95018
H(3)	0.42467
r(1)	-0.31418
r(8)	-0.12802
DW	2.3639
Q(8, 6)	3.7248
Rd^2	0.77708

Eq 51 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	0.76954	0.22143	3.4753	[0.0060]
Lvl 11. 1	1.1017	0.30032	3.6683	[0.0043]

Table 5B.11.20
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Guangxi

No estimation done.
Eq 53 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.51345 (-2 LogL = -9.0269).
Prediction error variance is 0.150891

Summary statistics

	LSvar20
Std.Error	0.38845
Normality	5.3532
H(3)	0.25654
r(1)	0.14057
r(8)	-0.085287
DW	1.6484
Q(8, 6)	7.7664
Rd^2	0.63088

Eq 53 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	0.68189	0.33354	2.0444	[0.0681]
Lvl 11. 1	1.4019	0.49472	2.8338	[0.0177]

Table 5B.11.21
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Hainan

Very strong convergence in 17 iterations.
Eq 56 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.33088 (-2 LogL = -8.66175).
Prediction error variance is 0.156227

Summary statistics

	LSvar21
Std.Error	0.39526
Normality	4.4029
H(3)	2.1093
r(1)	0.089215
r(8)	-0.075672
DW	1.6149
Q(8, 6)	7.2717
Rd^2	0.16578

Eq 56 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 7. 1	0.14291	0.37822	0.37785	[0.7134]
Irr 11. 1	0.21513	0.40033	0.53738	[0.6028]

Table 5B.11.22
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Chongqing

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 60 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.49125 (-2 LogL = -4.9825).
Prediction error variance is 0.253054

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.50304
 Normality        6.3330
 H(  3)          0.098347
 r( 1)           -0.36158
 r( 8)         -0.0061495
 DW                2.6850
 Q( 8, 6)          7.3498
 Rd^2             0.57645

Eq 60 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.1167       0.56199        -1.987  [ 0.0750]
Lvl   10. 1       0.86384       0.57546        1.5011  [ 0.1642]
```

Table 5B.11.23
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Sichuan

```
No estimation done.
Eq 64 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.52368 (-2 LogL = -11.0474).
Prediction error variance is 0.10377

Summary statistics
                LSvar23
 Std.Error       0.32213
 Normality        1.6882
 H(  3)          0.29239
 r( 1)           -0.38051
 r( 8)          0.062969
 DW                2.6426
 Q( 8, 6)          4.8133
 Rd^2             0.66735

Eq 64 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    6. 1       0.21331       0.37602       0.56729  [ 0.5830]
```

Table 5B.11.24
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Guizhou

```
No estimation done.
Eq 68 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.516871 (-2 LogL = 1.03374).
Prediction error variance is 0.586369

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error       0.76575
 Normality        5.9501
 H(  3)          0.12296
 r( 1)        0.00032220
 r( 8)         -0.056298
 DW                1.8470
 Q( 8, 6)          2.1631
 Rd^2             0.35504

Eq 68 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Lvl    8. 1     -0.041513       0.98016     -0.042354  [ 0.9671]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.8454       0.98016       -1.8828  [ 0.0891]
```

Table 5B.11.25
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Yunnan

```
Very strong convergence in  14 iterations.
Eq 70 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.54302 (-2 LogL = -7.08605).
Prediction error variance is 0.185257

Summary statistics
                LSvar25
 Std.Error       0.43041
 Normality       0.26986
 H(  3)          0.35982
 r( 1)          0.057593
 r( 8)          -0.17468
 DW               1.7408
 Q( 8, 6)         7.4615
 Rd^2            0.26895

Eq 70 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.35018       0.55297      -0.63327  [ 0.5408]
Irr   10. 1      -0.42466       0.41078       -1.0338  [ 0.3256]
```

Table 5B.11.26
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Tibet

```
Strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 73 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -0.449849 (-2 LogL = 0.899698).
Prediction error variance is 0.594252

Summary statistics
                LSvar26
 Std.Error       0.77088
 Normality        3.2063
 H(  3)          0.85075
 r( 1)          -0.39817
 r( 8)           0.17127
 DW               2.6077
 Q( 8, 6)          10.314
 Rd^2            0.54475

Eq 73 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -1.2077       0.85564       -1.4115  [ 0.1885]
Lvl   10. 1       -1.1783       0.92538       -1.2734  [ 0.2317]
```

Table 5B.11.27
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Shaanxi

```
No estimation done.
Eq 78 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.74258 (-2 LogL = -19.4852).
Prediction error variance is 0.0151715

Summary statistics
                LSvar27
 Std.Error       0.12317
 Normality        2.5545
 H(  3)           7.3951
 r( 1)          -0.27622
 r( 8)         -0.027070
 DW               2.2631
 Q( 8, 6)         8.7936
 Rd^2            0.99607

Eq 78 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.6340       0.19925       -18.238  [ 0.0000]
Lvl    9. 1        1.6097       0.21134        7.6167  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.94031       0.18098       -5.1957  [ 0.0004]
```

Table 5B.11.28
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Gansu

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.79897 (-2 LogL = -13.5979).
Prediction error variance is 0.0771267

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.27772
 Normality        1.8801
 H(  3)           2.5467
 r( 1)          0.0086236
 r( 8)         -0.0060254
 DW               1.5782
 Q( 8, 6)         7.1544
 Rd^2            0.79934

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.35780       0.25109        -1.425  [ 0.1846]
Irr   10. 1       -1.2590       0.25110       -5.0141  [ 0.0005]
```

Table 5B.11.29
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Qinghai

```
No estimation done.
Eq 86 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is -5.25494 (-2 LogL = 10.5099).
Prediction error variance is 1.07797

Summary statistics
                LSvar29
 Std.Error        1.0383
 Normality        1.6604
 H(  3)           3.4534
 r( 1)          0.039824
 r( 8)          -0.15110
 DW               1.7370
 Q( 8, 6)          10.296
 Rd^2            0.67334

Eq 86 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    5. 1        1.8627        1.2981        1.4349  [ 0.1818]
Irr    9. 1       0.72610        1.3187       0.55061  [ 0.5940]
```

Table 5B.11.30
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Ningxia

```
Very strong convergence in   6 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 6.79897 (-2 LogL = -13.5979).
Prediction error variance is 0.0771267

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.27772
 Normality        1.8801
 H(  3)           2.5467
 r( 1)          0.0086236
 r( 8)         -0.0060254
 DW               1.5782
 Q( 8, 6)         7.1544
 Rd^2            0.79934

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.35780       0.25109        -1.425  [ 0.1846]
Irr   10. 1       -1.2590       0.25110       -5.0141  [ 0.0005]
```

Table 5B.11.31
BSM intervention results for Thailand tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 14 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.42636 (-2 LogL = -8.85273).
Prediction error variance is 0.221261

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.47038
Normality	0.68324
H(3)	0.20958
r(1)	-0.0030050
r(8)	-0.020972
DW	1.6888
Q(8, 6)	5.7082
Rd^2	0.35869

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.84159	0.39630	-2.1236	[0.0597]

Table 5B.12.1
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Beijing

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.9258 (-2 LogL = -11.8516).
Prediction error variance is 0.128024

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.35780
 Normality        3.3480
 H(  3)           3.0858
 r( 1)           -0.24793
 r( 8)           -0.23281
 DW               1.6789
 Q( 8, 6)         6.8260
 Rd^2            0.93733

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.2163       0.35680       -9.0145  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.12.2
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.87153 (-2 LogL = -9.74306).
Prediction error variance is 0.0864259

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error       0.29398
 Normality        1.4886
 H(  3)           4.1567
 r( 1)           -0.14578
 r( 8)           0.032162
 DW               2.0595
 Q( 8, 6)         4.1619
 Rd^2            0.70237


Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.84255       0.37037       -2.2749  [ 0.0462]
Irr   10. 1     -0.062357       0.38564       -0.1617  [ 0.8748]
```

Table 5B.12.3
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Hebei

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 20 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.9258 (-2 LogL = -11.8516).
Prediction error variance is 0.128024

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.35780
 Normality        3.3480
 H(  3)           3.0858
 r( 1)           -0.24793
 r( 8)           -0.23281
 DW               1.6789
 Q( 8, 6)         6.8260
 Rd^2            0.93733

Eq 20 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -3.2163       0.35680       -9.0145  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.12.4
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Shanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.934117 (-2 LogL = -1.86823).
Prediction error variance is 0.18321

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.42803
Normality	4.2855
H(3)	15.229
r(1)	-0.46195
r(8)	0.029866
DW	2.6660
Q(8, 6)	8.6531
Rd^2	0.70919

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 6. 1	-0.070404	0.61728	-0.11406	[0.9115]
Lvl 8. 1	-0.71546	0.62906	-1.1373	[0.2819]
Irr 10. 1	0.074274	0.60586	0.12259	[0.9049]

Table 5B.12.5
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Inner Mongolia

Very strong convergence in 7 iterations.
Eq 23 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.03477 (-2 LogL = -8.06955).
Prediction error variance is 0.174685

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.41795
Normality	0.50166
H(3)	1.6922
r(1)	-0.099746
r(8)	0.085339
DW	1.4627
Q(8, 6)	5.7922
Rd^2	0.69555

Eq 23 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.0066656	0.36968	-0.018031	[0.9860]
Irr 11. 1	1.4057	0.37862	3.7129	[0.0040]

Table 5B.12.6
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Liaoning

No estimation done.
Eq 26 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.74483 (-2 LogL = -15.4897).
Prediction error variance is 0.0672698

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.25936
Normality	8.8094
H(3)	0.40782
r(1)	-0.012962
r(8)	-0.056578
DW	1.7918
Q(8, 6)	6.8980
Rd^2	0.36965

Eq 26 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.64512	0.33032	-1.953	[0.0794]
Irr 10. 1	0.031593	0.22270	0.14186	[0.8900]

Table 5B.12.7
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Jilin

No estimation done.
Eq 30 : Diagnostic summary report.

Estimation sample is 1. 1 - 12. 1. (T = 12, n = 10).
Log-Likelihood is 6.58989 (-2 LogL = -13.1798).
Prediction error variance is 0.0670853

Summary statistics

	LSvar7
Std.Error	0.25901
Normality	17.383
H(3)	0.0088803
r(1)	-0.0068709
r(8)	-0.21112
DW	1.5428
Q(8, 6)	4.3579
Rd^2	0.31338

Eq 30 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 7. 1	0.15368	0.35663	0.43092	[0.6757]
Irr 10. 1	0.13741	0.35663	0.38529	[0.7081]
Lvl 11. 1	0.69022	0.53163	1.2983	[0.2233]

Table 5B.12.8
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Heilongjiang

Very strong convergence in 8 iterations.
Eq 37 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.164396 (-2 LogL = -0.328793).
Prediction error variance is 0.404352

Summary statistics

	LSvar8
Std.Error	0.63589
Normality	8.6717
H(3)	9.2138
r(1)	-0.12776
r(8)	-0.0079510
DW	1.9195
Q(8, 6)	1.9894
Rd^2	0.45116

Eq 37 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.71857	0.68726	-1.0456	[0.3204]

Table 5B.12.9
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Shanghai

Very strong convergence in 16 iterations.
Eq 4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.7096 (-2 LogL = -11.4192).
Prediction error variance is 0.177575

Summary statistics

	LSvar2
Std.Error	0.42140
Normality	0.82385
H(3)	22.178
r(1)	0.064633
r(8)	0.010182
DW	1.6899
Q(8, 6)	5.1705
Rd^2	0.72400

Eq 4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-1.5732	0.28273	-5.5643	[0.0002]

Table 5B.12.10
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Jiangsu

```
Strong convergence in 100 iterations.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.922 (-2 LogL = -29.8439).
Prediction error variance is 0.022365

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.14955
 Normality       0.41171
 H(  3)          0.78811
 r( 1)          -0.73008
 r( 8)           0.27365
 DW               2.2214
 Q( 8, 6)         29.634
 Rd^2            0.51246

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.25522       0.11696       -2.1822  [ 0.0540]
```

Table 5B.12.11
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq  8 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.2783 (-2 LogL = -28.5567).
Prediction error variance is 0.0131784

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error       0.11480
 Normality        10.424
 H(  3)          0.52078
 r( 1)           -0.26957
 r( 8)          -0.019909
 DW               2.1320
 Q( 8, 6)         4.9567
 Rd^2            0.89421

Eq  8 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.71531       0.10747       -6.6556  [ 0.0001]
Irr   10. 1      -0.29311       0.10797       -2.7147  [ 0.0218]
```

Table 5B.12.12
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  34 iterations.
Eq 50 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.4333 (-2 LogL = -22.8667).
Prediction error variance is 0.0344855

Summary statistics
                LSvar12
 Std.Error       0.18570
 Normality       0.14641
 H(  3)          0.98768
 r( 1)           0.029053
 r( 8)           -0.10186
 DW               1.8141
 Q( 8, 6)         6.1291
 Rd^2            0.50199

Eq 50 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Slp   11. 1       0.10984       0.23103       0.47545  [ 0.6447]
```

Table 5B.12.13
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Fujian

No estimation done.
Eq 53 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.8766 (-2 LogL = -23.7532).
Prediction error variance is 0.00788775

Summary statistics

	LSvar13
Std.Error	0.088813
Normality	1.2143
H(3)	1.4901
r(1)	-0.37404
r(8)	0.17325
DW	2.6548
Q(8, 6)	11.573
Rd^2	0.96794

Eq 53 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 7. 1	-0.65735	0.11943	-5.5041	[0.0003]
Irr 8. 1	-1.2647	0.12128	-10.428	[0.0000]
Slp 11. 1	0.022611	0.13756	0.16437	[0.8727]

Table 5B.12.14
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Jiangxi

Very strong convergence in 16 iterations.
Eq 55 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.33895 (-2 LogL = -10.6779).
Prediction error variance is 0.188378

Summary statistics

	LSvar14
Std.Error	0.43402
Normality	2.9302
H(3)	0.96984
r(1)	-0.024070
r(8)	0.14093
DW	1.5160
Q(8, 6)	5.6619
Rd^2	0.010461

Eq 55 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.19566	0.31183	-0.62747	[0.5444]

Table 5B.12.15
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Shandong

Very strong convergence in 7 iterations.
Eq 10 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.5594 (-2 LogL = -23.1188).
Prediction error variance is 0.0234742

Summary statistics

	LSvar5
Std.Error	0.15321
Normality	0.60637
H(3)	1.2136
r(1)	0.23454
r(8)	0.0069485
DW	1.2453
Q(8, 6)	9.0780
Rd^2	0.66794

Eq 10 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.26980	0.13765	-1.9601	[0.0784]
Irr 10. 1	-0.42107	0.13765	-3.059	[0.0121]

Table 5B.12.16
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 66 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.76932 (-2 LogL = -11.5386).
Prediction error variance is 0.109585

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.33104
 Normality       0.81615
 H(  3)          0.15496
 r( 1)          0.077566
 r( 8)          -0.12653
 DW               1.3923
 Q( 8, 6)         4.9546
 Rd^2            0.60797

Eq 66 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.73435       0.19992       -3.6732  [ 0.0043]
Irr   10. 1      -0.47252       0.20166       -2.3432  [ 0.0411]
```

Table 5B.12.17
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Hubei

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.68188 (-2 LogL = -5.36376).
Prediction error variance is 0.215721

Summary statistics
                 LSvar6
 Std.Error       0.46446
 Normality        5.1872
 H(  3)           8.1510
 r( 1)         -0.058402
 r( 8)          -0.18318
 DW               1.8958
 Q( 8, 6)         4.5044
 Rd^2            0.82757

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1       -2.2268       0.42280       -5.2667  [ 0.0004]
Irr   10. 1      -0.49252       0.42282       -1.1648  [ 0.2711]
```

Table 5B.12.18
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Hunan

```
Very strong convergence in  19 iterations.
Eq 72 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.80004 (-2 LogL = -7.60009).
Prediction error variance is 0.191735

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.43788
 Normality        5.3116
 H(  3)           3.3123
 r( 1)          -0.21058
 r( 8)         -0.052474
 DW               2.2764
 Q( 8, 6)         3.3522
 Rd^2            0.80139

Eq 72 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -2.2105       0.47989       -4.6063  [ 0.0010]
Irr   11. 1       -1.2084       0.42140       -2.8677  [ 0.0167]
```

Table 5B.12.19
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Guangdong

```
Weak convergence in 100 iterations.
Eq 75 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.43364 (-2 LogL = -18.8673).
Prediction error variance is 0.0720101

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.26835
 Normality        6.3929
 H(  3)           67.918
 r( 1)          -0.52363
 r( 8)         -0.090070
 DW               2.6310
 Q( 8, 6)         5.6123
 Rd^2            0.30124

Eq 75 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.15996       0.23086      -0.69286  [ 0.5042]
```

Table 5B.12.20
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Guangxi

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 79 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.51667 (-2 LogL = -17.0333).
Prediction error variance is 0.0445636

Summary statistics
                LSvar20
 Std.Error       0.21110
 Normality        1.9830
 H(  3)        0.0062305
 r( 1)          -0.13499
 r( 8)         -0.032672
 DW               1.3807
 Q( 8, 6)         9.2028
 Rd^2            0.72838

Eq 79 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.54432       0.25091       -2.1694  [ 0.0552]
Irr   10. 1       -1.1930       0.25589       -4.6621  [ 0.0009]
Lvl   11. 1       -1.2087       0.41002       -2.9478  [ 0.0146]
```

Table 5B.12.21
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Hainan

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 14 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.45741 (-2 LogL = -14.9148).
Prediction error variance is 0.116379

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.34114
 Normality       0.76078
 H(  3)          0.15596
 r( 1)         -0.067803
 r( 8)          0.040354
 DW               1.8004
 Q( 8, 6)         5.2832
 Rd^2            0.45451

Eq 14 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.62678       0.27313       -2.2948  [ 0.0446]
```

Table 5B.12.22
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Chongqing

```
Very strong convergence in   3 iterations.
Eq 86 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.26569 (-2 LogL = -14.5314).
Prediction error variance is 0.0837038

Summary statistics
                LSvar22
 Std.Error       0.28932
 Normality        3.4300
 H(  3)           5.9606
 r( 1)          -0.14822
 r( 8)        -0.0069536
 DW               1.8377
 Q( 8, 6)         4.1016
 Rd^2            0.55641

Eq 86 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    7. 1       0.43103       0.26071        1.6533  [ 0.1293]
Lvl    9. 1       0.80399       0.34304        2.3438  [ 0.0411]
```

Table 5B.12.23
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Sichuan

```
Very strong convergence in  11 iterations.
Eq 89 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.03895 (-2 LogL = -14.0779).
Prediction error variance is 0.0905106

Summary statistics
                LSvar23
 Std.Error       0.30085
 Normality        6.0989
 H(  3)           1.0884
 r( 1)          -0.21933
 r( 8)         -0.040662
 DW               2.1454
 Q( 8, 6)         11.116
 Rd^2            0.41610

Eq 89 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    7. 1       0.14425       0.32463       0.44436  [ 0.6662]
Irr    9. 1       0.42651       0.24066        1.7723  [ 0.1068]
```

Table 5B.12.24
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Guizhou

```
Very strong convergence in  10 iterations.
Eq 91 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.2388 (-2 LogL = -20.4777).
Prediction error variance is 0.041185

Summary statistics
                LSvar24
 Std.Error       0.20294
 Normality       0.46967
 H(  3)          0.33575
 r( 1)         -0.039744
 r( 8)          -0.10642
 DW               1.6051
 Q( 8, 6)         6.4539
 Rd^2            0.93467

Eq 91 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.86751       0.22868       -3.7936  [ 0.0035]
Irr   10. 1       -1.7299       0.14965        -11.56  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.12.25
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Yunnan

No estimation done.
Eq 95 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.86802 (-2 LogL = -17.736).
Prediction error variance is 0.0508009

Summary statistics

	LSvar25
Std.Error	0.22539
Normality	3.2688
H(3)	0.17000
r(1)	0.18321
r(8)	0.047699
DW	1.5398
Q(8, 6)	5.4767
Rd^2	0.68410

Eq 95 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.75274	0.19353	-3.8895	[0.0030]
Slp 11. 1	0.20025	0.28706	0.69761	[0.5013]

Table 5B.12.26
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Tibet

No estimation done.
Eq 99 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 4.42019 (-2 LogL = -8.84038).
Prediction error variance is 0.0988157

Summary statistics

	LSvar26
Std.Error	0.31435
Normality	1.0691
H(3)	0.28536
r(1)	-0.45886
r(8)	0.19377
DW	2.7792
Q(8, 6)	16.930
Rd^2	0.80542

Eq 99 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.77596	0.43097	-1.8005	[0.1020]
Irr 10. 1	-0.36408	0.41621	-0.87476	[0.4022]

Table 5B.12.27
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Shaanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 101 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.6104 (-2 LogL = -23.2208).
Prediction error variance is 0.00858083

Summary statistics

	LSvar27
Std.Error	0.092633
Normality	0.62908
H(3)	0.14665
r(1)	0.11420
r(8)	-0.19369
DW	0.91239
Q(8, 6)	8.6914
Rd^2	0.99746

Eq 101 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-4.0339	0.12726	-31.697	[0.0000]
Irr 10. 1	-0.58853	0.13486	-4.364	[0.0014]
Irr 11. 1	-1.3747	0.14001	-9.8188	[0.0000]

Table 5B.12.28
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Gansu

Very strong convergence in 8 iterations.
Eq 16 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.18284 (-2 LogL = -14.3657).
Prediction error variance is 0.0631805

Summary statistics

	LSvar8
Std.Error	0.25136
Normality	4.3317
H(3)	0.0021613
r(1)	-0.092296
r(8)	-0.11465
DW	1.3394
Q(8, 6)	4.8462
Rd^2	0.78615

Eq 16 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.87033	0.27310	-3.1869	[0.0097]
Irr 10. 1	-0.30006	0.27713	-1.0828	[0.3043]

Table 5B.12.29
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Qinghai

No estimation done.
Eq 103 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.50277 (-2 LogL = -7.00554).
Prediction error variance is 0.0554211

Summary statistics

	LSvar29
Std.Error	0.23542
Normality	8.0143
H(3)	0.039036
r(1)	0.25999
r(8)	0.068126
DW	0.93856
Q(8, 6)	5.4988
Rd^2	0.84991

Eq 103 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 7. 1	0.74460	0.34649	2.149	[0.0572]
Irr 8. 1	1.2078	0.35377	3.414	[0.0066]
Irr 9. 1	1.1096	0.36403	3.0482	[0.0123]
Slp 11. 1	0.25978	0.41011	0.63343	[0.5407]

Table 5B.12.30
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Ningxia

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 5.2269 (-2 LogL = -10.4538).
Prediction error variance is 0.108192

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.32892
Normality	0.94270
H(3)	0.034011
r(1)	0.15075
r(8)	0.024657
DW	1.5723
Q(8, 6)	6.0907
Rd^2	0.71068

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	0.11282	0.34107	0.33078	[0.7476]
Irr 10. 1	-1.2703	0.34321	-3.7012	[0.0041]

Table 5B.12.31
BSM intervention results for British tourists to Xinjiang

Very strong convergence in 10 iterations.
Eq 105 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 10.7688 (-2 LogL = -21.5376).
Prediction error variance is 0.0240939

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.15522
Normality	0.21372
H(3)	0.27535
r(1)	0.033713
r(8)	-0.11428
DW	1.8087
Q(8, 6)	8.6869
Rd^2	0.87878

Eq 105 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.49913	0.18865	-2.6458	[0.0245]
Irr 11. 1	0.57860	0.19596	2.9526	[0.0145]

Table 5B.13.1
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Beijing

```
No estimation done.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 18.9437 (-2 LogL = -37.8873).
Prediction error variance is 0.00531561

Summary statistics
                 LSvar1
 Std.Error      0.072908
 Normality       0.96169
 H(  3)           18.378
 r( 1)          -0.44825
 r( 8)          0.090384
 DW               2.7110
 Q( 8, 6)         11.124
 Rd^2            0.93636

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.63863      0.089299       -7.1516  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.13.2
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Tianjin

```
No estimation done.
Eq  4 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.2572 (-2 LogL = -6.5144).
Prediction error variance is 0.129395

Summary statistics
                 LSvar2
 Std.Error       0.35972
 Normality        1.8966
 H(  3)          0.69724
 r( 1)          -0.40106
 r( 8)           0.28503
 DW               2.2344
 Q( 8, 6)         14.310
 Rd^2            0.71297

Eq  4 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.74599       0.45319       -1.6461  [ 0.1308]
Irr   10. 1      -0.33121       0.47187       -0.7019  [ 0.4988]
```

Table 5B.13.3
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Hebei

```
No estimation done.
Eq  6 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.284735 (-2 LogL = -0.569469).
Prediction error variance is 0.4343

Summary statistics
                 LSvar3
 Std.Error       0.65901
 Normality         19.828
 H(  3)           7.4362
 r( 1)         0.0076742
 r( 8)          0.036161
 DW               1.8868
 Q( 8, 6)         2.9043
 Rd^2            0.64502

Eq  6 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1       -2.7819       0.83931       -3.3145  [ 0.0078]
Irr   10. 1      -0.80597       0.56587       -1.4243  [ 0.1848]
```

Table 5B.13.4
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Shanxi

No estimation done.
Eq 12 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.39802 (-2 LogL = -4.79604).
Prediction error variance is 0.120757

Summary statistics

	LSvar4
Std.Error	0.34750
Normality	3.0435
H(3)	6.6138
r(1)	-0.24433
r(8)	-0.018770
DW	2.3600
Q(8, 6)	7.4487
Rd^2	0.71700

Eq 12 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.57840	0.50949	-1.1353	[0.2827]
Irr 10. 1	-0.18054	0.55258	-0.32672	[0.7506]
Lvl 11. 1	0.090470	0.50360	0.17965	[0.8610]

Table 5B.13.5
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Inner Mongolia

No estimation done.
Eq 2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.1439 (-2 LogL = -28.2878).
Prediction error variance is 0.02652

Summary statistics

	LSvar1
Std.Error	0.16285
Normality	2.2597
H(3)	3.0727
r(1)	0.12798
r(8)	0.17874
DW	1.3048
Q(8, 6)	8.8566
Rd^2	0.74084

Eq 2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.71626	0.076768	-9.3302	[0.0000]

Table 5B.13.6
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Liaoning

Very strong convergence in 17 iterations.
Eq 20 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.456 (-2 LogL = -28.912).
Prediction error variance is 0.0115043

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.10726
Normality	3.8875
H(3)	0.10398
r(1)	-0.35735
r(8)	0.00049647
DW	1.8308
Q(8, 6)	7.4224
Rd^2	0.85562

Eq 20 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.47165	0.11019	-4.2804	[0.0016]
Irr 10. 1	-0.28120	0.11255	-2.4983	[0.0315]

Table 5B.13.7
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Jilin

No estimation done.
Eq 5 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.81181 (-2 LogL = -7.62362).
Prediction error variance is 0.112642

Summary statistics

	LSvar2
Std.Error	0.33562
Normality	8.2664
H(3)	20.373
r(1)	-0.36132
r(8)	0.15139
DW	2.3948
Q(8, 6)	5.3258
Rd^2	0.77604

Eq 5 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.61620	0.42283	-1.4573	[0.1757]
Irr 10. 1	-0.76140	0.44027	-1.7294	[0.1144]

Table 5B.13.8
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Heilongjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 0.689194 (-2 LogL = -1.37839).
Prediction error variance is 0.464315

Summary statistics

	LSvar3
Std.Error	0.68141
Normality	0.18277
H(3)	7.4058
r(1)	0.087815
r(8)	0.029592
DW	1.3817
Q(8, 6)	5.7090
Rd^2	0.39460

Eq 7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-1.2164	0.55163	-2.2051	[0.0520]

Table 5B.13.9
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Shanghai

Strong convergence in 8 iterations.
Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 15.2318 (-2 LogL = -30.4636).
Prediction error variance is 0.0212115

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.14564
Normality	2.3000
H(3)	0.37590
r(1)	0.010583
r(8)	-0.061782
DW	1.6002
Q(8, 6)	9.1484
Rd^2	0.61420

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.42997	0.10112	-4.252	[0.0017]

Table 5B.13.10
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Jiangsu

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 35 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.2374 (-2 LogL = -28.4747).
Prediction error variance is 0.0262052

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.16188
 Normality        1.3519
 H(  3)           1.2571
 r( 1)          -0.14359
 r( 8)         -0.040719
 DW               1.8069
 Q( 8, 6)         3.6736
 Rd^2            0.58849

Eq 35 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    9. 1       0.42533       0.12417        3.4253  [ 0.0065]
```

Table 5B.13.11
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Zhejiang

```
Very strong convergence in  16 iterations.
Eq  9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 18.4016 (-2 LogL = -36.8033).
Prediction error variance is 0.00479308

Summary statistics
                 LSvar4
 Std.Error      0.069232
 Normality        3.7057
 H(  3)           1.6752
 r( 1)          0.078495
 r( 8)          0.037375
 DW               1.6319
 Q( 8, 6)         5.7345
 Rd^2            0.95162

Eq  9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.56929      0.052125       -10.922  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.43651      0.052170        -8.367  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.13.12
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Anhui

```
Very strong convergence in  13 iterations.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.6414 (-2 LogL = -27.2828).
Prediction error variance is 0.0153249

Summary statistics
                 LSvar5
 Std.Error       0.12379
 Normality       0.84786
 H(  3)           2.2415
 r( 1)           0.15103
 r( 8)         -0.083992
 DW               1.5857
 Q( 8, 6)         5.8423
 Rd^2            0.92848

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.        t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.61464      0.075412       -8.1504  [ 0.0000]
Irr   10. 1      -0.90194      0.075638       -11.925  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.13.13
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Fujian

Very strong convergence in 11 iterations.
Eq 44 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.36469 (-2 LogL = -4.72938).
Prediction error variance is 0.311844

Summary statistics

	LSvar13
Std.Error	0.55843
Normality	0.49115
H(3)	7.9508
r(1)	-0.016035
r(8)	-0.051239
DW	1.9327
Q(8, 6)	4.5060
Rd^2	0.45432

Eq 44 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 9. 1	1.0894	0.49218	2.2135	[0.0513]

Table 5B.13.14
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Jiangxi

No estimation done.
Eq 48 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.02686 (-2 LogL = -14.0537).
Prediction error variance is 0.0515003

Summary statistics

	LSvar14
Std.Error	0.22694
Normality	3.1064
H(3)	13.052
r(1)	-0.30812
r(8)	0.052411
DW	2.3567
Q(8, 6)	5.9619
Rd^2	0.85788

Eq 48 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-0.78789	0.31113	-2.5324	[0.0297]
Irr 10. 1	-1.0362	0.30047	-3.4484	[0.0062]

Table 5B.13.15
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Shandong

No estimation done.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.4136 (-2 LogL = -24.8271).
Prediction error variance is 0.0131153

Summary statistics

	LSvar6
Std.Error	0.11452
Normality	0.17329
H(3)	0.31071
r(1)	0.044692
r(8)	0.23601
DW	1.4793
Q(8, 6)	12.416
Rd^2	0.87771

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.33972	0.14428	-2.3546	[0.0403]
Irr 10. 1	-0.61367	0.15023	-4.0849	[0.0022]

Table 5B.13.16
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Henan

```
Very strong convergence in   8 iterations.
Eq 54 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.55484 (-2 LogL = -15.1097).
Prediction error variance is 0.0659655

Summary statistics
                LSvar16
 Std.Error       0.25684
 Normality        11.654
 H(  3)          0.062830
 r( 1)         -0.0010518
 r( 8)          0.0054311
 DW                1.7640
 Q( 8, 6)          4.6785
 Rd^2             0.80443

Eq 54 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.81596       0.32883       -2.4814  [ 0.0325]
Irr   10. 1      -0.91180       0.25606       -3.5609  [ 0.0052]
```

Table 5B.13.17
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Hubei

```
No estimation done.
Eq 58 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 1.60815 (-2 LogL = -3.21629).
Prediction error variance is 0.199591

Summary statistics
                LSvar18
 Std.Error       0.44676
 Normality        3.6181
 H(  3)           4.1288
 r( 1)          -0.31479
 r( 8)          0.035027
 DW                2.3086
 Q( 8, 6)          5.7120
 Rd^2             0.73376

Eq 58 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.63609       0.61250       -1.0385  [ 0.3235]
Irr   10. 1      -0.82788       0.59152       -1.3996  [ 0.1919]
```

Table 5B.13.18
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Hunan

```
Very strong convergence in  14 iterations.
Eq 15 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 2.16456 (-2 LogL = -4.32913).
Prediction error variance is 0.225023

Summary statistics
                 LSvar7
 Std.Error       0.47437
 Normality        5.7973
 H(  3)           6.1438
 r( 1)          -0.29550
 r( 8)          0.054111
 DW                1.8400
 Q( 8, 6)          3.9605
 Rd^2             0.69984

Eq 15 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1      -0.87054       0.51789       -1.6809  [ 0.1237]
Irr   10. 1       -1.0671       0.53557       -1.9924  [ 0.0743]
```

Table 5B.13.19
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Guangdong

```
Very strong convergence in  14 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 14.0316 (-2 LogL = -28.0632).
Prediction error variance is 0.0262666

Summary statistics
                LSvar19
 Std.Error       0.16207
 Normality        7.5293
 H(  3)          0.34425
 r( 1)           -0.12480
 r( 8)           0.074897
 DW               1.9997
 Q( 8, 6)         7.6932
 Rd^2            0.60080

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.36819       0.13719       -2.6838  [ 0.0229]
```

Table 5B.13.20
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Guangxi

```
Very strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq  2 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 16.0123 (-2 LogL = -32.0246).
Prediction error variance is 0.00888345

Summary statistics
                LSvar20
 Std.Error      0.094252
 Normality        5.4210
 H(  3)          0.44051
 r( 1)           -0.15348
 r( 8)           -0.15928
 DW               1.6828
 Q( 8, 6)         8.6146
 Rd^2            0.95522

Eq  2 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.15654       0.10703       -1.4625  [ 0.1743]
Irr   10. 1      -0.99121      0.088910       -11.148  [ 0.0000]
```

Table 5B.13.21
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Hainan

```
Strong convergence in   7 iterations.
Eq 17 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 11.5708 (-2 LogL = -23.1417).
Prediction error variance is 0.0464476

Summary statistics
                 LSvar8
 Std.Error       0.21552
 Normality        2.0595
 H(  3)          0.78364
 r( 1)           -0.36444
 r( 8)           0.22728
 DW               1.7111
 Q( 8, 6)         9.9268
 Rd^2            0.24611

Eq 17 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr    8. 1     -0.062450       0.17425       -0.3584  [ 0.7275]
```

Table 5B.13.22
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Chongqing

No estimation done.
Eq 7 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.19096 (-2 LogL = -6.38192).
Prediction error variance is 0.134366

Summary statistics

	LSvar22
Std.Error	0.36656
Normality	0.44751
H(3)	0.97015
r(1)	-0.36377
r(8)	0.030159
DW	2.3904
Q(8, 6)	8.3212
Rd^2	0.76668

Eq 7 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.0029	0.50255	-1.9956	[0.0739]
Irr 10. 1	-0.38118	0.48534	-0.78539	[0.4504]

Table 5B.13.23
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Sichuan

Very strong convergence in 13 iterations.
Eq 9 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 3.79328 (-2 LogL = -7.58655).
Prediction error variance is 0.190717

Summary statistics

	LSvar23
Std.Error	0.43671
Normality	7.8694
H(3)	0.022844
r(1)	-0.085118
r(8)	-0.0083546
DW	2.0902
Q(8, 6)	3.5304
Rd^2	0.34197

Eq 9 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	0.10774	0.48099	0.224	[0.8273]
Irr 10. 1	-0.52294	0.40256	-1.299	[0.2231]

Table 5B.13.24
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Guizhou

Very weak convergence in 7 iterations.
Eq 11 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.54941 (-2 LogL = -17.0988).
Prediction error variance is 0.060974

Summary statistics

	LSvar24
Std.Error	0.24693
Normality	7.8496
H(3)	0.012063
r(1)	0.026039
r(8)	-0.064007
DW	1.3382
Q(8, 6)	10.370
Rd^2	0.88943

Eq 11 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Lvl 8. 1	-1.0085	0.29315	-3.4403	[0.0063]
Irr 10. 1	-1.4958	0.19698	-7.5934	[0.0000]

Table 5B.13.25
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Yunnan

No estimation done.
Eq 13 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.6549 (-2 LogL = -25.3099).
Prediction error variance is 0.0178611

Summary statistics

	LSvar25
Std.Error	0.13365
Normality	3.7465
H(3)	0.18612
r(1)	0.16601
r(8)	0.16795
DW	1.5570
Q(8, 6)	7.7883
Rd^2	0.85977

Eq 13 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-0.59101	0.11476	-5.1502	[0.0004]
Irr 10. 1	-0.49587	0.11476	-4.3211	[0.0015]

Table 5B.13.26
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Tibet

No estimation done.
Eq 19 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 8.83342 (-2 LogL = -17.6668).
Prediction error variance is 0.0469704

Summary statistics

	LSvar9
Std.Error	0.21673
Normality	0.097433
H(3)	0.76432
r(1)	0.31267
r(8)	-0.080493
DW	1.2399
Q(8, 6)	15.643
Rd^2	0.93167

Eq 19 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-1.3357	0.10990	-12.154	[0.0000]
Irr 10. 1	-1.3279	0.10990	-12.083	[0.0000]

Table 5B.13.27
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Shaanxi

Very strong convergence in 9 iterations.
Eq 18 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.4756 (-2 LogL = -26.9512).
Prediction error variance is 0.0134896

Summary statistics

	LSvar27
Std.Error	0.11614
Normality	4.6499
H(3)	3.2983
r(1)	0.11153
r(8)	-0.25856
DW	1.5627
Q(8, 6)	7.1465
Rd^2	0.99620

Eq 18 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 8. 1	-4.2101	0.12305	-34.216	[0.0000]
Irr 10. 1	-1.0287	0.12423	-8.2808	[0.0000]

Table 5B.13.28
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Gansu

```
Very strong convergence in   9 iterations.
Eq 22 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 7.60805 (-2 LogL = -15.2161).
Prediction error variance is 0.066942

Summary statistics
                LSvar28
 Std.Error       0.25873
 Normality        1.3187
 H(  3)          0.76242
 r( 1)         0.0099150
 r( 8)          -0.17261
 DW               1.6831
 Q( 8, 6)         7.0069
 Rd^2            0.74644

Eq 22 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1      -0.66686       0.33236       -2.0065  [ 0.0726]
Irr   10. 1      -0.79226       0.24762       -3.1995  [ 0.0095]
```

Table 5B.13.29
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Qinghai

```
Very strong convergence in  12 iterations.
Eq 21 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 13.8324 (-2 LogL = -27.6648).
Prediction error variance is 0.0285132

Summary statistics
                LSvar10
 Std.Error       0.16886
 Normality       0.14537
 H(  3)           7.2430
 r( 1)         -0.051206
 r( 8)          -0.17662
 DW               1.6475
 Q( 8, 6)         8.0443
 Rd^2            0.82032

Eq 21 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Irr   10. 1      -0.82967       0.13253       -6.2601  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.13.30
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Ningxia

```
No estimation done.
Eq 31 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 12.7432 (-2 LogL = -25.4863).
Prediction error variance is 0.0123359

Summary statistics
                LSvar30
 Std.Error       0.11107
 Normality        4.1315
 H(  3)          0.11548
 r( 1)           0.14982
 r( 8)           0.10372
 DW               1.1070
 Q( 8, 6)         6.0391
 Rd^2            0.92344

Eq 31 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.
Variable      Coefficient      R.m.s.e.       t-value
Lvl    8. 1     -0.033609       0.15227      -0.22072  [ 0.8298]
Irr   10. 1      -0.86884       0.14706       -5.9082  [ 0.0001]
```

Table 5B.13.31
BSM intervention results for American tourists to Xinjiang

No estimation done.
Eq 33 : Diagnostic summary report.
Log-Likelihood is 9.27216 (-2 LogL = -18.5443).
Prediction error variance is 0.0431327

Summary statistics

	LSvar31
Std.Error	0.20768
Normality	0.39042
H(3)	0.10213
r(1)	0.38530
r(8)	-0.25316
DW	0.87382
Q(8, 6)	13.194
Rd^2	0.87359

Eq 33 : Estimated coefficients of explanatory variables.

Variable	Coefficient	R.m.s.e.	t-value	
Irr 10. 1	-0.67983	0.20592	-3.3015	[0.0080]
Irr 11. 1	0.78949	0.20592	3.834	[0.0033]

Appendix III

List of Causal Variables Applied in TVP (with intervention) Analysis

Variables	Abbreviation	Full Name
SV1	PCI	Per Capita Income of Tourist Generating Country
SV2	GRP	Gross Regional Product
SV3	RFDI	Regional Foreign Direct Investment
SV4	GCF	Regional Capital Formation
SV5	UR	Regional Urban and Rural Population Ratio
SV6	Sun	Regional Average Annual Sunshine
SV7	Own	Own Price
SV8	Road	Regional Road Networks
SV9	Dummy 1	September 11, 2001 (Terrorist Attracts to USA)
SV10	Dummy 2	SARS Epidemic in 2003

Table 6.1.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-74.04694	SV1	-0.54919	3.956604	-0.138803	0.8896	PCI
C(2)	-7.729575	SV2	-0.304209	1.370684	-0.221939	0.8244	GRP
C(3)	-14.04131	SV3	0.519344	2.309187	0.224903	0.8221	RFDI
C(4)	-17.25893	SV4	0.692442	1.874493	0.369402	0.7118	GCF
C(5)	-56.91387	SV5	-0.007188	2.661239	-0.002701	0.9978	UR
C(6)	-105.2374	SV6	0.03366	0.544795	0.061785	0.9507	SUN
C(7)	-1117.988	SV7	-0.761407	3.87263	-0.196612	0.8441	OWN
C(8)	-462.7712	SV8	1.025579	3.930306	0.260941	0.7941	ROAD
C(9)	-9.589729	SV9	-0.002495	0.14341	-0.017396	0.9861	dummy1
C(10)	-56.88669	SV10	-0.090543	1.179638	-0.076755	0.9388	dummy2
C(11)	-54.85362						

Log likelihood	-73.78932	Akaike info criterion	14.13155
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.57605
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.96698

Table 6.1.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.550418	SV1	0.267771	0.273355	0.979571	0.3273	PCI
C(2)	-71.22099	SV2	1.486022	2.147285	0.692047	0.4889	GRP
C(3)	-25.64641	SV3	-0.664713	1.773662	-0.374769	0.7078	GCF
C(4)	-23.77813	SV4	0.275531	0.360679	0.763922	0.4449	UR
C(5)	-132.6055	SV5	0.891853	0.470153	1.896944	0.0578	SUN
C(6)	-29.2231	SV6	-0.306899	0.5522	-0.555775	0.5784	ROAD
C(7)	-109.9385	SV7	-0.036066	0.094429	-0.381937	0.7025	dummy1
C(8)	-7.848343	SV8	-0.10624	0.1631	-0.65138	0.5148	dummy2
C(9)	-5.254982						

Log likelihood	-67.22912	Akaike info criterion	12.70485
Parameters	9	Schwarz criterion	13.06853
Diffuse priors	8	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.57021

Table 6.1.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-5.074554	SV1	1.443675	0.047543	30.36535	0	PCI
C(2)	-6.934116	SV2	-0.11217	0.214794	-0.522221	0.6015	UR
C(3)	-35.68087	SV3	-0.634613	0.040617	-15.62451	0	dummy1
C(4)	-63.90031	SV4	-0.211423	0.038029	-5.559577	0	dummy2
C(5)	-89.35651						

Log likelihood	-35.22896	Akaike info criterion	6.704826
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	6.906871
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.630022

Table 6.1.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-172.1345	SV1	-1.94628	2.821119	-0.689896	0.4903	PCI
C(2)	-21.73672	SV2	4.072196	5.234154	0.778005	0.4366	GRP
C(3)	-13.89395	SV3	-0.855281	2.048637	-0.417488	0.6763	RFDI
C(4)	-25.93881	SV4	-1.605792	2.723086	-0.589696	0.5554	GCF
C(5)	-4.522814	SV5	-0.50489	1.181339	-0.427388	0.6691	UR
C(6)	-375.1445	SV6	0.647734	0.962124	0.673233	0.5008	SUN
C(7)	-112.3628	SV7	0.575586	7.361733	0.078186	0.9377	OWN
C(8)	-94.977	SV8	1.141456	4.124154	0.276773	0.782	ROAD
C(9)	-42.82809	SV9	-0.41007	0.27993	-1.464904	0.1429	dummy1
C(10)	-62.70268	SV10	-0.242897	0.171235	-1.418502	0.156	dummy2
C(11)	-81.38278						

Log likelihood	-79.99264	Akaike info criterion	15.16544
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.60994
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.00087

Table 6.1.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-804.2798	SV1	1.285567	1.339432	0.959785	0.3372	PCI
C(2)	-7.990286	SV2	0.24296	1.898105	0.128001	0.8981	GRP
C(3)	-8.419081	SV3	-0.959909	1.209754	-0.793474	0.4275	RFDI
C(4)	-11.19175	SV4	2.265672	1.924054	1.177551	0.239	GCF
C(5)	-7.124297	SV5	-0.271985	0.462727	-0.587788	0.5567	UR
C(6)	-420.5326	SV6	-1.018122	0.650684	-1.564694	0.1177	SUN
C(7)	-556.2471	SV7	3.858773	2.763758	1.396205	0.1627	OWN
C(8)	-169.8092	SV8	-1.996478	2.449931	-0.814912	0.4151	ROAD
C(9)	-6.730231	SV9	-0.09864	0.157592	-0.625918	0.5314	dummy1
C(10)	-118.729						

Log likelihood	-69.25944	Akaike info criterion	13.20991
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.614
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.0603

Table 6.1.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-3.303464	SV1	1.100519	0.007654	143.7841	0	GCF
C(2)	-38.32274	SV2	0.68921	0.22201	3.104415	0.0019	UR
C(3)	-37.528						

Log likelihood	-16.42014	Akaike info criterion	3.23669
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.357916
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.191807

Table 6.1.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-49.75751	SV1	-2.114151	1.04727	-2.018725	0.0435	OWN
C(2)	-47.2343	SV2	0.545004	0.244764	2.226651	0.026	ROAD
C(3)	-6.360469	SV3	-0.125183	0.054514	-2.29634	0.0217	dummy1
C(4)	-143.7472	SV4	-0.233842	0.050172	-4.660804	0	dummy2
C(5)	-184.3745						

Log likelihood	-37.97632	Akaike info criterion	7.162721
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.364765
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.087917

Table 6.1.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-83.56974	SV1	-0.728715	1.348278	-0.540478	0.5889	GRP
C(2)	-4.822851	SV2	1.803045	1.528903	1.179307	0.2383	GCF
C(3)	-233.2384						

Log likelihood	-27.88526	Akaike info criterion	5.147544
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.268771
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.102662

Table 6.1.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-115.2818	SV1	1.01496	0.104832	9.681767	0	RFDI
C(2)	-7.57	SV2	1.334454	0.551418	2.420041	0.0155	UR
C(3)	-47.27233						

Log likelihood	-14.29667	Akaike info criterion	2.882778
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.004004
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.837895

Table 6.1.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.291492	SV1	-1.521708	87.26647	-0.017437	0.9861	PCI
C(2)	-0.544493	SV2	3.372935	194.0949	0.017378	0.9861	GRP
C(3)	-0.533576	SV3	0.990917	137.9487	0.007183	0.9943	RFDI
C(4)	-0.497219	SV4	-1.295346	171.1635	-0.007568	0.994	GCF
C(5)	-0.506857	SV5	-0.138018	5.172619	-0.026682	0.9787	UR
C(6)	-3.724201	SV6	0.815285	48.19867	0.016915	0.9865	SUN
C(7)	-1.743904	SV7	1.6033	155.0939	0.010338	0.9918	OWN
C(8)	-1.625305	SV8	-0.05667	78.35953	-0.000723	0.9994	ROAD
C(9)	-0.461412	SV9	-0.156664	6.267038	-0.024998	0.9801	dummy1
C(10)	-0.075539	SV10	-0.05461	3.917963	-0.013938	0.9889	dummy2
C(11)	-0.464962						

Log likelihood	-85.03438	Akaike info criterion	16.00573
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.45023
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.84116

Table 6.1.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-3.14335	SV1	0.478627	0.788231	0.607216	0.5437	RFDI
C(2)	-106.2479	SV2	-1.400579	1.575761	-0.888827	0.3741	OWN
C(3)	-3.550457	SV3	0.569974	0.393378	1.44892	0.1474	ROAD
C(4)	-103.3176						

Log likelihood	-27.87687	Akaike info criterion	5.312811
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.474447
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.252968

Table 6.1.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-8.805091	SV1	-1.852767	1.041077	-1.779664	0.0751	SUN
C(2)	-1.142627	SV2	-5.985573	0.552997	-10.82389	0	OWN
C(3)	-96.23885						

Log likelihood	-26.77718	Akaike info criterion	4.962864
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.08409
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.917981

Table 6.1.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-28.81135	SV1	1.393352	0.078454	17.76007	0	RFDI
C(2)	-5.090481	SV2	-0.096607	0.078229	-1.234923	0.2169	dummy2
C(3)	-70.3118						

Log likelihood	-26.31136	Akaike info criterion	4.885227
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.006454
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.840345

Table 6.1.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-55.81766	SV1	-8.180603	1.390851	-5.881726	0	UR
C(2)	0.659831						

Log likelihood	-31.06898	Akaike info criterion	5.511497
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	5.592315
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.481575

Table 6.1.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1076.16	SV1	3.023104	3.873695	0.780419	0.4351	PCI
C(2)	-10.33344	SV2	-0.530853	4.338205	-0.122367	0.9026	GRP
C(3)	-8.231856	SV3	-2.494654	3.565958	-0.699575	0.4842	RFDI
C(4)	-20.02784	SV4	2.4815	2.839251	0.873998	0.3821	GCF
C(5)	-6.160932	SV5	0.507954	1.36283	0.37272	0.7094	UR
C(6)	-3932.071	SV6	-0.02218	0.813505	-0.027265	0.9782	SUN
C(7)	-398.0631	SV7	1.89281	3.770398	0.502019	0.6157	OWN
C(8)	-116.5781	SV8	-2.248077	4.430359	-0.507426	0.6119	ROAD
C(9)	-20.89684	SV9	-0.068184	0.115338	-0.591163	0.5544	dummy1
C(10)	-228.6014						

Log likelihood	-66.52091	Akaike info criterion	12.75348
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.15757
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.60388

Table 6.1.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.257664	SV1	-5.234084	0.16658	-31.42091	0	OWN
C(2)	-131.7541						
Log likelihood	-23.68132	Akaike info criterion	4.28022				
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	4.361038				
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.250299				

Table 6.1.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-170.0626	SV1	1.125592	0.036547	30.79874	0	GRP
C(2)	-6.61833	SV2	-0.265374	0.046373	-5.722616	0	dummy1
C(3)	-44.9829						
Log likelihood	-21.80097	Akaike info criterion	4.133496				
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.254722				
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.088613				

Table 6.1.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-790.9547	SV1	4.042291	6.754077	0.598496	0.5495	PCI
C(2)	-61.52045	SV2	3.522542	4.143727	0.85009	0.3953	GRP
C(3)	-13.72721	SV3	-1.437944	2.967011	-0.484644	0.6279	RFDI
C(4)	-21.33219	SV4	-1.278025	2.164335	-0.590493	0.5549	GCF
C(5)	-4.898554	SV5	1.868365	2.134115	0.875475	0.3813	UR
C(6)	-192.5913	SV6	-1.17576	0.90828	-1.294491	0.1955	SUN
C(7)	-597.3738	SV7	-0.666861	5.454106	-0.122268	0.9027	OWN
C(8)	-175.2315	SV8	-5.490958	6.703768	-0.819085	0.4127	ROAD
C(9)	-25.8602	SV9	-0.19274	0.194336	-0.991788	0.3213	dummy1
C(10)	-142.4298	SV10	0.011726	0.191436	0.061251	0.9512	dummy2
C(11)	-172.2252						
Log likelihood	-78.34852	Akaike info criterion	14.89142				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.33592				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.72685				

Table 6.1.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-201.2735	SV1	0.932438	1.998678	0.466527	0.6408	PCI
C(2)	-8.173896	SV2	-0.631788	2.035787	-0.310341	0.7563	GRP
C(3)	-7.876979	SV3	1.106115	3.589537	0.30815	0.758	RFDI
C(4)	-27.13665	SV4	0.646741	2.029757	0.31863	0.75	GCF
C(5)	-7.953956	SV5	0.877214	0.669365	1.310516	0.19	UR
C(6)	-571.2311	SV6	-0.011011	0.564914	-0.019492	0.9844	SUN
C(7)	-339.7022	SV7	1.895528	2.631719	0.720262	0.4714	OWN
C(8)	-209.3553	SV8	-0.396489	4.511294	-0.087888	0.93	ROAD
C(9)	-13.14288	SV9	-0.122645	0.077663	-1.579198	0.1143	dummy1
C(10)	-8.453177						

Log likelihood	-65.54835	Akaike info criterion	12.59139
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	12.99548
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.44178

Table 6.1.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-396.1695	SV1	-0.79224	1.860222	-0.425885	0.6702	PCI
C(2)	-7.629736	SV2	2.153052	3.099922	0.69455	0.4873	GRP
C(3)	-10.17535	SV3	1.672582	2.07248	0.807043	0.4196	RFDI
C(4)	-23.4405	SV4	-0.864976	1.384514	-0.624751	0.5321	GCF
C(5)	-29.53646	SV5	0.380245	0.429759	0.884787	0.3763	UR
C(6)	-279.8601	SV6	0.111539	0.267851	0.416419	0.6771	SUN
C(7)	-308.353	SV7	-0.519835	2.131111	-0.243927	0.8073	OWN
C(8)	-131.6256	SV8	-0.26336	2.084965	-0.126314	0.8995	ROAD
C(9)	-8.952177	SV9	-0.061144	0.07838	-0.780103	0.4353	dummy1
C(10)	-99.61929	SV10	-0.078789	0.071409	-1.103359	0.2699	dummy2
C(11)	-126.9004						

Log likelihood	-76.15471	Akaike info criterion	14.52579
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.97028
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.36122

Table 6.1.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-33.6239	SV1	1.430924	0.064637	22.13777	0	GCF
C(2)	-5.477929						

Log likelihood	-13.77954	Akaike info criterion	2.629924
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	2.710742
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.600002

Table 6.1.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-620.4858	SV1	-0.106956	1.504641	-0.071084	0.9433	PCI
C(2)	-8.897086	SV2	0.642731	3.015499	0.213142	0.8312	GRP
C(3)	-16.49162	SV3	0.094285	1.001703	0.094125	0.925	RFDI
C(4)	-41.47107	SV4	1.612003	1.449273	1.112284	0.266	GCF
C(5)	-8.406824	SV5	0.704118	0.90827	0.775229	0.4382	UR
C(6)	-505.0879	SV6	-0.933242	0.589251	-1.583776	0.1132	SUN
C(7)	-1477.548	SV7	1.947437	1.712958	1.136886	0.2556	OWN
C(8)	-99.4736	SV8	-0.287542	0.6728	-0.42738	0.6691	ROAD
C(9)	-23.46049	SV9	-0.227402	0.07463	-3.047045	0.0023	dummy1
C(10)	-239.037	SV10	-0.145663	0.034554	-4.215548	0	dummy2
C(11)	-145.9995						

Log likelihood	-73.46994	Akaike info criterion	14.07832
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.52282
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.91375

Table 6.1.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-338.6778	SV1	0.585318	1.888862	0.309879	0.7567	PCI
C(2)	-31.32181	SV2	1.331878	1.657428	0.803581	0.4216	GRP
C(3)	-19.86896	SV3	0.250793	0.261181	0.960226	0.3369	RFDI
C(4)	-28.70568	SV4	-1.220363	0.938413	-1.300453	0.1934	GCF
C(5)	-7.896598	SV5	0.70728	0.670234	1.055274	0.2913	UR
C(6)	-323.6037	SV6	0.151665	0.338366	0.448228	0.654	SUN
C(7)	-790.416	SV7	-0.997478	1.933337	-0.515936	0.6059	OWN
C(8)	-217.1197	SV8	-0.151678	2.565364	-0.059125	0.9529	ROAD
C(9)	-32.09852	SV9	-0.017634	0.100592	-0.175301	0.8608	dummy1
C(10)	-31.94151	SV10	-0.035865	0.047586	-0.753695	0.451	dummy2
C(11)	-20.27667						

Log likelihood	-76.73981	Akaike info criterion	14.6233
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.0678
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.45873

Table 6.1.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.890446	SV1	-0.347657	0.309506	-1.123264	0.2613	UR
C(2)	-828.949	SV2	-4.259533	0.344619	-12.36011	0	OWN
C(3)	-31.39249	SV3	-0.279266	0.089813	-3.1094	0.0019	dummy1
C(4)	-16.7718	SV4	-0.488268	0.089098	-5.480131	0	dummy2
C(5)	-14.68826						

Log likelihood	-39.95437	Akaike info criterion	7.492395
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.69444
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.417591

Table 6.1.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-306.9074	SV1	0.372193	1.065169	0.349422	0.7268	PCI
C(2)	-7.531248	SV2	-0.896807	2.273726	-0.394422	0.6933	GRP
C(3)	-9.629316	SV3	0.362075	0.382044	0.94773	0.3433	RFDI
C(4)	-42.13532	SV4	0.481327	1.758507	0.273713	0.7843	GCF
C(5)	-8.41878	SV5	-0.152698	0.459386	-0.332395	0.7396	UR
C(6)	-211.0859	SV6	-0.929129	0.822442	-1.12972	0.2586	SUN
C(7)	-461.4263	SV7	-0.209986	1.283123	-0.163652	0.87	OWN
C(8)	-260.273	SV8	1.031726	1.115078	0.92525	0.3548	ROAD
C(9)	-8.594609	SV9	-0.269774	0.266508	-1.012256	0.3114	dummy1
C(10)	-4.539633	SV10	-0.121713	0.147356	-0.825979	0.4088	dummy2
C(11)	-5.264482						
Log likelihood	-78.08719	Akaike info criterion	14.84787				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.29236				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.6833				

Table 6.1.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-155.3461	SV1	2.679746	1.684219	1.591091	0.1116	PCI
C(2)	-7.628673	SV2	0.705534	1.528732	0.461516	0.6444	GRP
C(3)	-29.26092	SV3	0.191537	0.16606	1.15342	0.2487	RFDI
C(4)	-172.7358	SV4	0.577699	1.865968	0.309598	0.7569	GCF
C(5)	-4.308936	SV5	0.101756	0.495836	0.205222	0.8374	UR
C(6)	-96.55274	SV6	0.144508	1.513686	0.095468	0.9239	SUN
C(7)	-60.15628	SV7	3.594889	7.207596	0.498764	0.6179	OWN
C(8)	-97.98182	SV8	-3.543041	2.83732	-1.248728	0.2118	ROAD
C(9)	-151.5211	SV9	0.006349	0.195591	0.032458	0.9741	dummy1
C(10)	-15.17744						
Log likelihood	-74.45944	Akaike info criterion	14.07657				
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	14.48066				
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.92697				

Table 6.1.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-6.075082	SV1	1.203879	207.223	0.00581	0.9954	PCI
C(2)	-0.698075	SV2	2.037768	166.6824	0.012225	0.9902	GRP
C(3)	-0.692261	SV3	0.04076	5.500738	0.00741	0.9941	RFDI
C(4)	-1.004533	SV4	-0.52879	85.22322	-0.006205	0.995	GCF
C(5)	-0.708579	SV5	-0.202912	20.21719	-0.010037	0.992	UR
C(6)	-9.179415	SV6	0.092619	16.76901	0.005523	0.9956	SUN
C(7)	-7.732867	SV7	1.05062	226.7926	0.004633	0.9963	OWN
C(8)	-4.57467	SV8	-1.706926	304.1937	-0.005611	0.9955	ROAD
C(9)	-0.686235	SV9	-0.884533	6.036332	-0.146535	0.8835	dummy1
C(10)	-0.003094	SV10	-0.213928	2.750253	-0.077785	0.938	dummy2
C(11)	-0.694011						
Log likelihood	-84.81543	Akaike info criterion	15.96924				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.41374				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.80467				

Table 6.1.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-143.9661	SV1	2.079164	5.684052	0.365789	0.7145	PCI
C(2)	-22.11321	SV2	1.517578	3.894396	0.389682	0.6968	GRP
C(3)	-84.37076	SV3	0.101434	0.425664	0.238295	0.8117	RFDI
C(4)	-652.5077	SV4	-0.612966	2.734033	-0.224198	0.8226	GCF
C(5)	-319.984	SV5	0.404586	0.431125	0.938443	0.348	UR
C(6)	-319.2067	SV6	-0.149945	1.100335	-0.136272	0.8916	SUN
C(7)	-68.8058	SV7	1.230101	6.139522	0.200358	0.8412	OWN
C(8)	-23.91564	SV8	-2.544291	7.98875	-0.318484	0.7501	ROAD
C(9)	-5.076967	SV9	-0.032403	0.107665	-0.300958	0.7634	dummy1
C(10)	-65.87347						

Log likelihood	-71.61735	Akaike info criterion	13.60289
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	14.00698
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.45328

Table 6.1.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	2.432036	SV1	-4.840884	3.637949	-1.330663	0.1833	UR
C(2)	1.800554	SV2	0.802716	0.865036	0.927957	0.3534	dummy2
C(3)	-44.87676						

Log likelihood	-50.55662	Akaike info criterion	8.926103
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	9.04733
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.881221

Table 6.1.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-218.133	SV1	-2.549069	2.12228	-1.201099	0.2297	PCI
C(2)	-8.076629	SV2	-1.347318	1.567197	-0.859699	0.39	GRP
C(3)	-348.8443	SV3	0.38048	0.142442	2.671111	0.0076	RFDI
C(4)	-36.38949	SV4	0.876543	1.064404	0.823506	0.4102	GCF
C(5)	-78.50115	SV5	-0.618219	0.168001	-3.679847	0.0002	UR
C(6)	-480.0011	SV6	0.352274	0.385488	0.913839	0.3608	SUN
C(7)	-149.0408	SV7	-0.395203	2.548008	-0.155103	0.8767	OWN
C(8)	-148.7408	SV8	4.128594	2.9127	1.417446	0.1564	ROAD
C(9)	-89.70654	SV9	-0.196705	0.491139	-0.400507	0.6888	dummy1
C(10)	-2.526074						

Log likelihood	-67.25735	Akaike info criterion	12.87623
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.28031
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.72662

Table 6.1.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Australian tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

* Xinjiang has shown no effect of tourism during 9.11 and SARS

Table 6.2.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-46.02258	SV1	0.582761	0.503489	1.157447	0.2471	GCF
C(2)	-50.38389	SV2	0.715665	0.454662	1.574059	0.1155	ROAD
C(3)	-7.33344						
Log likelihood	-16.51754	Akaike info criterion	3.252923				
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.37415				
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.208041				

Table 6.2.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-377.5391	SV1	3.76705	1.074461	3.50599	0.0005	PCI
C(2)	-7.599593	SV2	2.24862	2.313175	0.972093	0.331	GRP
C(3)	-34.50709	SV3	-18.60765	3.852821	-4.829618	0	RFDI
C(4)	-15.83927	SV4	0.876001	1.582779	0.553458	0.58	GCF
C(5)	-9.274756	SV5	2.439293	0.70113	3.479086	0.0005	UR
C(6)	-241.298	SV6	1.87361	0.388188	4.826559	0	SUN
C(7)	-191.8228	SV7	-15.03175	5.060336	-2.970505	0.003	OWN
C(8)	-211.5314	SV8	3.494264	1.04376	3.347765	0.0008	ROAD
C(9)	-8.993155	SV9	0.23005	0.284697	0.808052	0.4191	dummy1
C(10)	-4.35013	SV10	0.146907	0.241415	0.608522	0.5428	dummy2
C(11)	-4.539141						
Log likelihood	-75.93632	Akaike info criterion	14.48939				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.93388				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.32482				

Table 6.2.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-287.0689	SV1	-6.506475	5.078656	-1.281141	0.2001	PCI
C(2)	-6.748779	SV2	-3.497035	7.163675	-0.488162	0.6254	GRP
C(3)	-6.852759	SV3	0.623109	2.677862	0.232689	0.816	RFDI
C(4)	-10.70031	SV4	1.866962	4.355459	0.428649	0.6682	GCF
C(5)	-7.638471	SV5	-0.299812	1.044921	-0.286923	0.7742	UR
C(6)	-563.1027	SV6	-1.058607	1.001066	-1.05748	0.2903	SUN
C(7)	-33.60625	SV7	-8.827761	6.937862	-1.272404	0.2032	OWN
C(8)	-96.46123	SV8	8.973624	6.322988	1.419206	0.1558	ROAD
C(9)	-9.698881	SV9	-0.659106	0.166121	-3.96762	0.0001	dummy1
C(10)	-107.0285	SV10	-0.181782	0.095564	-1.902209	0.0571	dummy2
C(11)	-91.21376						
Log likelihood	-75.63127	Akaike info criterion	14.43854				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.88304				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.27398				

Table 6.2.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.127541	SV1	1.524003	0.233751	6.519783	0	RFDI
C(2)	-371.9625	SV2	0.869208	0.565621	1.536732	0.1244	SUN
C(3)	-80.11148						

Log likelihood	-26.80062	Akaike info criterion	4.966771
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.087997
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.921888

Table 6.2.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-131.2784	SV1	0.532936	2.52347	0.211192	0.8327	PCI
C(2)	-44.67561	SV2	0.931382	2.041881	0.456139	0.6483	GCF
C(3)	-4.100929	SV3	0.121857	0.58391	0.208691	0.8347	UR
C(4)	-99.32772	SV4	3.695642	8.815835	0.419205	0.6751	OWN
C(5)	-54.96322	SV5	0.335987	3.649927	0.092053	0.9267	ROAD
C(6)	-26.31857						

Log likelihood	-46.42812	Akaike info criterion	8.73802
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	8.980473
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.648255

Table 6.2.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-209.5706	SV1	-2.409758	5.288055	-0.455698	0.6486	PCI
C(2)	-7.667918	SV2	0.351253	3.168464	0.110859	0.9117	GRP
C(3)	-19.27051	SV3	-0.132421	1.209425	-0.109491	0.9128	RFDI
C(4)	-68.74001	SV4	0.579395	1.356398	0.427157	0.6693	GCF
C(5)	-156.0788	SV5	-0.081922	0.391719	-0.209135	0.8343	UR
C(6)	-330.0383	SV6	-0.397792	0.27455	-1.448888	0.1474	SUN
C(7)	-176.005	SV7	-2.47267	4.859882	-0.508792	0.6109	OWN
C(8)	-183.2419	SV8	2.811719	6.829586	0.411697	0.6806	ROAD
C(9)	-17.69823	SV9	-0.118581	0.041533	-2.855084	0.0043	dummy1
C(10)	-18.42638	SV10	-0.05455	0.046493	-1.173284	0.2407	dummy2
C(11)	-16.27384						

Log likelihood	-74.84369	Akaike info criterion	14.30728
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.75178
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.14271

Table 6.2.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1657.498	SV1	-4.256252	4.52286	-0.941053	0.3467	PCI
C(2)	-6.791229	SV2	1.127434	4.296397	0.262414	0.793	GRP
C(3)	-16.78544	SV3	-1.978677	1.763508	-1.122012	0.2619	RFDI
C(4)	-30.08944	SV4	0.272599	1.312968	0.20762	0.8355	GCF
C(5)	-35.56133	SV5	0.238436	0.146234	1.630513	0.103	UR
C(6)	-368.8403	SV6	-0.527616	1.174781	-0.449119	0.6533	SUN
C(7)	-207.4146	SV7	-6.19693	4.762939	-1.301073	0.1932	OWN
C(8)	-124.6723	SV8	5.188998	6.346217	0.817652	0.4136	ROAD
C(9)	-22.36883	SV9	-0.220266	0.177894	-1.238188	0.2156	dummy1
C(10)	-89.00027	SV10	-0.075138	0.160036	-0.469508	0.6387	dummy2
C(11)	-130.2855						

Log likelihood	-76.25491	Akaike info criterion	14.54249
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.98698
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.37792

Table 6.2.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-28.78556	SV1	1.80209	1.746012	1.032118	0.302	GRP
C(2)	-4.577816	SV2	-1.098758	2.066708	-0.531647	0.595	ROAD
C(3)	-11.1659						

Log likelihood	-28.84856	Akaike info criterion	5.308093
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.42932
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.263211

Table 6.2.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-4.225374	SV1	0.204442	0.356953	0.572742	0.5668	RFDI
C(2)	-20.57845	SV2	0.563326	0.343393	1.640471	0.1009	UR
C(3)	-12.84787	SV3	-0.133664	0.320346	-0.417248	0.6765	SUN
C(4)	-44.06089	SV4	-0.506475	0.900589	-0.562382	0.5739	OWN
C(5)	-46.47831	SV5	0.825329	0.211533	3.901661	0.0001	ROAD
C(6)	-33.5626						

Log likelihood	-33.71237	Akaike info criterion	6.618728
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	6.861181
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.528963

Table 6.2.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-103.8521	SV1	1.266165	0.654725	1.933887	0.0531	PCI
C(2)	-13.55054	SV2	-1.809042	0.99412	-1.819742	0.0688	GRP
C(3)	-13.15751	SV3	1.234039	0.309599	3.985932	0.0001	RFDI
C(4)	-43.95504	SV4	1.132466	0.263761	4.293524	0	GCF
C(5)	-10.91595	SV5	-0.055459	0.016057	-3.453794	0.0006	UR
C(6)	-2277.592	SV6	-0.605891	0.125443	-4.830029	0	SUN
C(7)	-322.3291	SV7	0.881718	0.680768	1.295181	0.1953	OWN
C(8)	-669.0291	SV8	-0.132963	0.181617	-0.732107	0.4641	ROAD
C(9)	-356.3281	SV9	-0.044249	0.016632	-2.660497	0.0078	dummy1
C(10)	-80.44476	SV10	0.104482	0.014646	7.133814	0	dummy2
C(11)	-80.48079						

Log likelihood	-73.50905	Akaike info criterion	14.08484
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.52934
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.92027

Table 6.2.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1282.421	SV1	0.056229	1.814791	0.030984	0.9753	PCI
C(2)	-91.64697	SV2	1.462878	4.383611	0.333715	0.7386	GRP
C(3)	-13.08963	SV3	-0.270883	0.852498	-0.317752	0.7507	RFDI
C(4)	-7.235386	SV4	-0.490577	1.66297	-0.295	0.768	GCF
C(5)	-9.542656	SV5	0.873065	2.175294	0.401355	0.6882	UR
C(6)	-658.2698	SV6	0.106402	0.412745	0.257792	0.7966	SUN
C(7)	-160.9428	SV7	-0.732313	3.836702	-0.190871	0.8486	OWN
C(8)	-77.78184	SV8	0.193336	2.8555	0.067706	0.946	ROAD
C(9)	-1313.539	SV9	-0.167756	0.074425	-2.254022	0.0242	dummy1
C(10)	-37.44526	SV10	-0.03575	0.042906	-0.833217	0.4047	dummy2
C(11)	-49.06603						

Log likelihood	-72.69171	Akaike info criterion	13.94862
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.39312
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.78405

Table 6.2.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-252.7283	SV1	-2.071435	2.129677	-0.972652	0.3307	PCI
C(2)	-7.763689	SV2	3.580404	3.898747	0.918347	0.3584	GRP
C(3)	-8.544243	SV3	-0.243197	1.957786	-0.124221	0.9011	RFDI
C(4)	-29.9857	SV4	-1.611696	1.605159	-1.004072	0.3153	GCF
C(5)	-9.65203	SV5	0.049952	1.095875	0.045582	0.9636	UR
C(6)	-289.3402	SV6	0.292316	0.677894	0.431212	0.6663	SUN
C(7)	-365.2731	SV7	-0.875742	3.146913	-0.278286	0.7808	OWN
C(8)	-203.2478	SV8	1.338819	3.583405	0.373616	0.7087	ROAD
C(9)	-8.25756	SV9	-0.169008	0.286872	-0.589141	0.5558	dummy1
C(10)	-5.881558	SV10	-0.209238	0.12653	-1.653663	0.0982	dummy2
C(11)	-18.20085						

Log likelihood	-75.01669	Akaike info criterion	14.33611
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.78061
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.17155

Table 6.2.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-639.5552	SV1	1.359407	7.947587	0.171046	0.8642	PCI
C(2)	-6.967037	SV2	2.93305	3.856874	0.760473	0.447	GRP
C(3)	-7.846173	SV3	7.566054	8.813285	0.858483	0.3906	RFDI
C(4)	-9.216096	SV4	-2.944209	2.06313	-1.427059	0.1536	GCF
C(5)	-8.030894	SV5	-0.47238	1.030744	-0.45829	0.6467	UR
C(6)	-659.905	SV6	0.61076	0.577299	1.05796	0.2901	SUN
C(7)	-33.07253	SV7	7.639158	15.22664	0.501697	0.6159	OWN
C(8)	-151.9788	SV8	-5.145384	12.33217	-0.417233	0.6765	ROAD
C(9)	-7.373504	SV9	-0.120629	0.137423	-0.877795	0.3801	dummy1
C(10)	-61.82397	SV10	-0.08657	0.107317	-0.806668	0.4199	dummy2
C(11)	-102.7915						

Log likelihood	-75.70535	Akaike info criterion	14.45089
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.89539
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.28632

Table 6.2.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-18.85967	SV1	0.874382	78.89252	0.011083	0.9912	PCI
C(2)	-1.017726	SV2	-0.61178	123.0601	-0.004971	0.996	GRP
C(3)	-1.195308	SV3	0.066387	27.78701	0.002389	0.9981	RFDI
C(4)	-2.573046	SV4	1.354492	90.94164	0.014894	0.9881	GCF
C(5)	-1.519301	SV5	-0.254629	17.322	-0.0147	0.9883	UR
C(6)	-23.87071	SV6	-0.07484	17.7554	-0.004215	0.9966	SUN
C(7)	-32.09598	SV7	-1.766633	120.0678	-0.014714	0.9883	OWN
C(8)	-15.11047	SV8	-1.197177	82.13665	-0.014575	0.9884	ROAD
C(9)	-1.199599	SV9	0.108458	8.525321	0.012722	0.9898	dummy1
C(10)	-0.079789	SV10	-0.048597	3.424467	-0.014191	0.9887	dummy2
C(11)	0.001815						

Log likelihood	-82.66135	Akaike info criterion	15.61022
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.05472
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.44566

Table 6.2.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-306.7258	SV1	-1.421808	2.932592	-0.48483	0.6278	PCI
C(2)	-25.47979	SV2	0.657723	5.264615	0.124933	0.9006	GRP
C(3)	-22.58487	SV3	1.808982	2.275856	0.794858	0.4267	RFDI
C(4)	-32.52547	SV4	-0.157196	2.345442	-0.067022	0.9466	GCF
C(5)	-49.7554	SV5	-0.538921	1.804187	-0.298706	0.7652	UR
C(6)	-511.3646	SV6	-0.107234	0.847097	-0.12659	0.8993	SUN
C(7)	-216.0286	SV7	1.236886	6.45384	0.191651	0.848	OWN
C(8)	-211.6822	SV8	1.099184	3.258022	0.337378	0.7358	ROAD
C(9)	-6.739449	SV9	-0.077408	0.117876	-0.65669	0.5114	dummy1
C(10)	-8.542957	SV10	-0.167638	0.098158	-1.707833	0.0877	dummy2
C(11)	-6.636518						

Log likelihood	-73.41449	Akaike info criterion	14.06908
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.51358
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.90451

Table 6.2.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1579.517	SV1	-5.993622	6.340946	-0.945225	0.3445	PCI
C(2)	-6.151536	SV2	5.875887	12.9251	0.454611	0.6494	GRP
C(3)	-21.59658	SV3	-1.883201	6.076069	-0.309937	0.7566	RFDI
C(4)	-24.82558	SV4	-3.10619	6.267463	-0.495606	0.6202	GCF
C(5)	-24.17562	SV5	-0.617663	1.870061	-0.33029	0.7412	UR
C(6)	-767.5811	SV6	-0.75609	1.247434	-0.606116	0.5444	SUN
C(7)	-2120.016	SV7	-8.753881	24.31558	-0.360011	0.7188	OWN
C(8)	-38.16754	SV8	4.38796	8.902186	0.492908	0.6221	ROAD
C(9)	-5.283127	SV9	-0.435645	0.233104	-1.868889	0.0616	dummy1
C(10)	-175.198	SV10	-0.191283	0.222681	-0.858999	0.3903	dummy2
C(11)	-413.8263						

Log likelihood	-76.62342	Akaike info criterion	14.6039
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.0484
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.43933

Table 6.2.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-196.5743	SV1	1.849112	3.2895	0.562126	0.574	PCI
C(2)	-38.16945	SV2	3.21188	5.037541	0.637589	0.5237	GRP
C(3)	-5.877647	SV3	-5.9458	4.661213	-1.275591	0.2021	RFDI
C(4)	-23.85582	SV4	1.071431	2.25076	0.476031	0.6341	GCF
C(5)	-28.79483	SV5	0.932771	3.187724	0.292613	0.7698	UR
C(6)	-374.3152	SV6	-0.241266	1.008715	-0.239182	0.811	SUN
C(7)	-201.6846	SV7	-0.558285	6.842421	-0.081592	0.935	OWN
C(8)	-151.2608	SV8	-1.608129	3.102628	-0.518312	0.6042	ROAD
C(9)	-30.64682	SV9	-0.45387	0.22737	-1.996171	0.0459	dummy1
C(10)	-26.72228	SV10	-0.089643	0.187086	-0.479154	0.6318	dummy2
C(11)	-7.20563						

Log likelihood	-75.4097	Akaike info criterion	14.40162
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.84611
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.23705

Table 6.2.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-179.8071	SV1	3.115132	6.663134	0.467518	0.6401	PCI
C(2)	-149.0936	SV2	10.24981	10.49367	0.976762	0.3287	GRP
C(3)	-4.767503	SV3	3.32552	4.84436	0.686473	0.4924	RFDI
C(4)	-31.521	SV4	-4.367876	4.561064	-0.957644	0.3382	GCF
C(5)	-31.4882	SV5	3.577638	1.980602	1.806339	0.0709	UR
C(6)	-145.1838	SV6	-0.02746	0.950514	-0.02889	0.977	SUN
C(7)	-238.3724	SV7	11.80115	13.18651	0.894941	0.3708	OWN
C(8)	-113.2344	SV8	-8.378501	6.542269	-1.280672	0.2003	ROAD
C(9)	-215.0985	SV9	0.177408	0.245715	0.722007	0.4703	dummy1
C(10)	-11.66685	SV10	0.208842	0.219699	0.950583	0.3418	dummy2
C(11)	-6.955184						

Log likelihood	-77.9108	Akaike info criterion	14.81847
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.26296
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.6539

Table 6.2.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-40.14317	SV1	0.601918	0.627936	0.958565	0.3378	UR
C(2)	-114.646	SV2	1.269362	0.041418	30.64723	0	ROAD
C(3)	-6.381673						
Log likelihood	-20.98462	Akaike info criterion	3.997436				
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.118663				
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.952554				

Table 6.2.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-308.4427	SV1	-0.429648	1.432932	-0.299839	0.7643	PCI
C(2)	-7.603526	SV2	2.084206	2.471313	0.84336	0.399	GRP
C(3)	-14.19247	SV3	2.194066	1.584173	1.384991	0.1661	RFDI
C(4)	-24.31037	SV4	-1.221405	1.130958	-1.079974	0.2802	GCF
C(5)	-35.39123	SV5	1.045587	0.41029	2.548409	0.0108	UR
C(6)	-249.914	SV6	0.0692	0.183226	0.377677	0.7057	SUN
C(7)	-232.0447	SV7	-1.135526	2.152204	-0.527611	0.5978	OWN
C(8)	-134.0722	SV8	-0.71495	1.430723	-0.499712	0.6173	ROAD
C(9)	-64.51455	SV9	0.020825	0.058527	0.355818	0.722	dummy1
C(10)	-95.65477	SV10	-0.036124	0.063168	-0.57188	0.5674	dummy2
C(11)	-115.2842						
Log likelihood	-76.02545	Akaike info criterion	14.50424				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.94874				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.33967				

Table 6.2.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-673.6297	SV1	0.207508	1.727191	0.120142	0.9044	PCI
C(2)	-7.163635	SV2	-1.100913	3.859351	-0.285258	0.7754	GRP
C(3)	-20.13856	SV3	0.188481	1.243101	0.151622	0.8795	RFDI
C(4)	-35.94726	SV4	2.798267	1.402961	1.994544	0.0461	GCF
C(5)	-1459.094	SV5	0.00918	4.189403	0.002191	0.9983	UR
C(6)	-1337.131	SV6	-3.096092	1.530665	-2.022711	0.0431	SUN
C(7)	-362.4859	SV7	-0.319583	3.279392	-0.097452	0.9224	OWN
C(8)	-65.36926	SV8	-0.204655	1.547193	-0.132275	0.8948	ROAD
C(9)	-20.02157	SV9	0.183722	2.157113	0.08517	0.9321	dummy1
C(10)	-164.0058	SV10	0.197247	0.393158	0.501699	0.6159	dummy2
C(11)	-135.327						
Log likelihood	-73.39424	Akaike info criterion	14.06571				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.5102				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.90114				

Table 6.2.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.043053	SV1	1.044026	0.409197	2.551403	0.0107	GCF
C(2)	-25.73068	SV2	0.159056	0.351109	0.453011	0.6505	ROAD
C(3)	-8.70661						

Log likelihood	-23.57385	Akaike info criterion	4.428975
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.550201
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.384092

Table 6.2.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-467.3551	SV1	0.190733	3.806157	0.050112	0.96	PCI
C(2)	-7.495943	SV2	-0.987598	3.335721	-0.296067	0.7672	GRP
C(3)	-8.749491	SV3	0.121717	0.490556	0.248121	0.804	RFDI
C(4)	-26.64189	SV4	-0.097206	1.865481	-0.052108	0.9584	GCF
C(5)	-9.558834	SV5	0.767229	1.303118	0.588764	0.556	UR
C(6)	-1172.275	SV6	-0.177298	0.610821	-0.290262	0.7716	SUN
C(7)	-17.46463	SV7	-2.184639	5.349789	-0.40836	0.683	OWN
C(8)	-699.9756	SV8	1.699554	5.468167	0.310809	0.7559	ROAD
C(9)	-9.955834	SV9	-0.05398	0.191244	-0.282256	0.7777	dummy1
C(10)	-81.59326	SV10	-0.028149	0.107868	-0.260961	0.7941	dummy2
C(11)	-72.75146						

Log likelihood	-77.6502	Akaike info criterion	14.77503
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.21953
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.61047

Table 6.2.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-217.5991	SV1	-4.361881	3.509493	-1.24288	0.2139	PCI
C(2)	-7.67996	SV2	-7.386489	9.616987	-0.768067	0.4424	GRP
C(3)	-51.22232	SV3	0.517884	0.365305	1.417676	0.1563	RFDI
C(4)	-46.7564	SV4	2.842579	3.524661	0.806483	0.42	GCF
C(5)	-78.33029	SV5	-1.326658	0.680422	-1.949758	0.0512	UR
C(6)	-141.4635	SV6	0.445476	0.80024	0.556678	0.5777	SUN
C(7)	-486.5643	SV7	-10.21699	9.694964	-1.053845	0.292	OWN
C(8)	-146.1188	SV8	8.424436	7.608376	1.107258	0.2682	ROAD
C(9)	-21.5667	SV9	-0.053587	1.164143	-0.046031	0.9633	dummy1
C(10)	-1.340351	SV10	-0.649678	0.158924	-4.087983	0	dummy2
C(11)	-7.561397						

Log likelihood	-74.69185	Akaike info criterion	14.28197
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.72647
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.11741

Table 6.2.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1102.033	SV1	0.765742	1.529556	0.50063	0.6166	PCI
C(2)	-6.710649	SV2	-0.516843	3.123292	-0.16548	0.8686	GRP
C(3)	-25.8931	SV3	-0.209082	0.492021	-0.424944	0.6709	RFDI
C(4)	-23.32095	SV4	1.552403	2.282187	0.680226	0.4964	GCF
C(5)	-18.26984	SV5	0.112344	0.71419	0.157302	0.875	UR
C(6)	-197.1354	SV6	-0.171587	1.148113	-0.149451	0.8812	SUN
C(7)	-1241.575	SV7	2.582924	2.184567	1.18235	0.2371	OWN
C(8)	-87.63643	SV8	0.030343	1.510073	0.020094	0.984	ROAD
C(9)	-27.02795	SV9	-0.238135	0.1806	-1.31858	0.1873	dummy1
C(10)	-104.3228	SV10	-0.020177	0.107027	-0.188527	0.8505	dummy2
C(11)	-129.2615						

Log likelihood	-78.06759	Akaike info criterion	14.8446
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.2891
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.68003

Table 6.2.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-240.3575	SV1	0.751962	0.653885	1.149991	0.2501	PCI
C(2)	-7.911877	SV2	1.060802	1.018342	1.041695	0.2976	GRP
C(3)	-36.30737	SV3	0.058253	0.055701	1.045821	0.2956	RFDI
C(4)	-161.1735	SV4	-0.228632	0.378771	-0.603616	0.5461	GCF
C(5)	-51.94673	SV5	-0.445076	0.215153	-2.068646	0.0386	UR
C(6)	-177.0475	SV6	-0.845008	0.315708	-2.676552	0.0074	SUN
C(7)	-78.31877	SV7	2.979773	2.908195	1.024613	0.3055	OWN
C(8)	-131.7698	SV8	0.381638	1.152222	0.331219	0.7405	ROAD
C(9)	-33.40028	SV9	-0.346325	0.109892	-3.151486	0.0016	dummy1
C(10)	-144.6319	SV10	-0.202387	0.062575	-3.234313	0.0012	dummy2
C(11)	-168.6022						

Log likelihood	-80.4617	Akaike info criterion	15.24362
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.68811
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.07905

Table 6.2.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-34.32725	SV1	1.483008	3.131633	0.473557	0.6358	PCI
C(2)	-8.078366	SV2	2.09815	4.128358	0.508229	0.6113	GRP
C(3)	-26.0952	SV3	0.030815	0.111909	0.275354	0.783	RFDI
C(4)	-927.5707	SV4	-0.70958	2.084072	-0.340478	0.7335	GCF
C(5)	-52.77002	SV5	0.069191	0.497949	0.138952	0.8895	UR
C(6)	-50.91757	SV6	0.045262	0.384107	0.117836	0.9062	SUN
C(7)	-102.879	SV7	2.702513	4.832034	0.559291	0.576	OWN
C(8)	-35.34644	SV8	-1.574491	4.860941	-0.323907	0.746	ROAD
C(9)	-20.35973	SV9	-0.892088	0.124257	-7.17936	0	dummy1
C(10)	-15.26175	SV10	-0.150007	0.040978	-3.660694	0.0003	dummy2
C(11)	-13.6145						

Log likelihood	-76.29573	Akaike info criterion	14.54929
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.99379
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.38472

Table 6.2.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.275097	SV1	0.955232	0.111669	8.55415	0	GRP
C(2)	-48.5181	SV2	0.323662	0.262277	1.234046	0.2172	RFDI
C(3)	-121.407						

Log likelihood	-27.34112	Akaike info criterion	5.056853
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.178079
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.01197

Table 6.2.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-31.29439	SV1	-0.317759	1.269566	-0.25029	0.8024	GCF
C(2)	-86.65007	SV2	1.279191	1.252805	1.021061	0.3072	ROAD
C(3)	-4.087976						

Log likelihood	-27.91918	Akaike info criterion	5.153197
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.274424
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.108315

Table 6.2.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-4.612749	SV1	-1.092058	2.253663	-0.48457	0.628	OWN
C(2)	-298.2351	SV2	0.470903	0.561439	0.838743	0.4016	ROAD
C(3)	-5.63959						

Log likelihood	-22.54359	Akaike info criterion	4.257265
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.378492
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.212383

Table 6.2.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Canadian tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1292.565	SV1	-0.961408	0.364505	-2.637576	0.0084	PCI
C(2)	-9.96515	SV2	3.76021	1.000962	3.756595	0.0002	GRP
C(3)	-10.10777	SV3	0.173698	0.066056	2.629554	0.0085	RFDI
C(4)	-27.32009	SV4	-2.252964	0.476319	-4.729945	0	GCF
C(5)	-10.17561	SV5	-1.880207	0.219154	-8.579365	0	UR
C(6)	-3642.971	SV6	-1.560142	0.35499	-4.39489	0	SUN
C(7)	-995.9374	SV7	5.343235	1.276557	4.185662	0	OWN
C(8)	-143.0451	SV8	2.388209	0.334875	7.131645	0	ROAD
C(9)	-9.647486	SV9	-0.397129	0.058143	-6.830164	0	dummy1
C(10)	-254.0881	SV10	-0.305362	0.025778	-11.84607	0	dummy2
C(11)	-311.2449						

Log likelihood	-76.3818	Akaike info criterion	14.56363
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.00813
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.39906

Table 6.3.1 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.002106	SV1	0.24296	0.219977	1.104474	0.2694	GCF
C(2)	-35.85152	SV2	1.061124	0.182339	5.819525	0	ROAD
C(3)	-65.89601						

Log likelihood	-18.28184	Akaike info criterion	3.546974
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.6682
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.502091

Table 6.3.2 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-46.26359	SV1	1.821723	0.877616	2.075763	0.0379	GRP
C(2)	-111.7969	SV2	0.426661	0.515199	0.828148	0.4076	SUN
C(3)	-20.91992	SV3	-0.789264	0.77268	-1.021463	0.307	ROAD
C(4)	-5.387564						

Log likelihood	-33.4135	Akaike info criterion	6.235583
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.397218
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.17574

Table 6.3.3 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-5.63689	SV1	-6.804949	4.941041	-1.37723	0.1684	PCI
C(2)	-5.945265	SV2	5.230193	5.264766	0.993433	0.3205	GRP
C(3)	-288.0939	SV3	4.024393	5.073504	0.793218	0.4277	RFDI
C(4)	-429.9315	SV4	-5.402201	6.8334	-0.790558	0.4292	GCF
C(5)	-18.4524	SV5	-1.655738	1.13437	-1.45961	0.1444	UR
C(6)	-16.07441	SV6	0.264401	1.299724	0.203429	0.8388	SUN
C(7)	-22.38653	SV7	0.959322	0.551148	1.740589	0.0818	OWN
C(8)	-26.8248	SV8	6.470003	6.45073	1.002988	0.3159	ROAD
C(9)	-16.97118	SV9	-0.978781	0.173513	-5.640955	0	dummy1
C(10)	-15.49165	SV10	-0.23331	0.138451	-1.685143	0.092	dummy2
C(11)	-7.290832						

Log likelihood	-78.36885	Akaike info criterion	14.89481
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.33931
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.73024

Table 6.3.4 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-161.113	SV1	-1.864501	3.112514	-0.599034	0.5492	PCI
C(2)	-5.680815	SV2	4.702125	3.624422	1.297345	0.1945	GRP
C(3)	-5.791388	SV3	0.314964	1.542262	0.204222	0.8382	RFDI
C(4)	-20.18463	SV4	-4.134027	2.775678	-1.489376	0.1364	GCF
C(5)	-20.50262	SV5	-0.201377	1.055055	-0.190869	0.8486	UR
C(6)	-281.2755	SV6	0.868725	1.069323	0.812407	0.4166	SUN
C(7)	-134.4124	SV7	0.202426	0.646538	0.313092	0.7542	OWN
C(8)	-56.78187	SV8	2.232577	3.557634	0.627545	0.5303	ROAD
C(9)	-45.79905	SV9	-0.297841	0.193526	-1.539024	0.1238	dummy1
C(10)	-66.40469	SV10	-0.335261	0.203161	-1.650225	0.0989	dummy2
C(11)	-84.88707						

Log likelihood	-82.68345	Akaike info criterion	15.61391
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.05841
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.44934

Table 6.3.5 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.234583	SV1	1.117576	0.197536	5.657589	0	RFDI
C(2)	-841.6896	SV2	0.436228	0.121895	3.578724	0.0003	ROAD
C(3)	-8.79104						

Log likelihood	-22.78218	Akaike info criterion	4.297031
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.418257
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.252148

Table 6.3.6 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-213.3159	SV1	0.780067	2.464238	0.316555	0.7516	PCI
C(2)	-7.723933	SV2	1.052969	2.291643	0.459482	0.6459	GRP
C(3)	-9.466535	SV3	0.38652	0.891272	0.433673	0.6645	RFDI
C(4)	-14.6923	SV4	0.234208	1.029994	0.227387	0.8201	GCF
C(5)	-8.334581	SV5	-0.216542	0.439944	-0.492203	0.6226	UR
C(6)	-297.7824	SV6	-0.344673	0.334906	-1.029163	0.3034	SUN
C(7)	-229.2197	SV7	0.267648	0.323936	0.826236	0.4087	OWN
C(8)	-153.7535	SV8	-1.489869	2.467741	-0.603738	0.546	ROAD
C(9)	-10.34128	SV9	-0.107398	0.056411	-1.903831	0.0569	dummy1
C(10)	-18.50342	SV10	-0.043981	0.198443	-0.221632	0.8246	dummy2
C(11)	-4.418841						

Log likelihood	-78.81834	Akaike info criterion	14.96972
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.41422
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.80515

Table 6.3.7 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-427.1251	SV1	-2.412701	2.694408	-0.895447	0.3705	PCI
C(2)	-7.528157	SV2	3.636912	2.802324	1.29782	0.1943	GRP
C(3)	-8.384607	SV3	0.77966	1.447643	0.538572	0.5902	RFDI
C(4)	-29.16886	SV4	-1.047288	1.059913	-0.988089	0.3231	GCF
C(5)	-8.109915	SV5	0.356837	0.126302	2.825272	0.0047	UR
C(6)	-217.1016	SV6	3.033804	1.196528	2.535505	0.0112	SUN
C(7)	-192.664	SV7	0.320253	0.188254	1.701176	0.0889	OWN
C(8)	-161.725	SV8	0.410123	3.824418	0.107238	0.9146	ROAD
C(9)	-8.532599	SV9	-0.193098	0.42577	-0.453526	0.6502	dummy1
C(10)	-3.432061	SV10	-0.591332	0.195068	-3.031417	0.0024	dummy2
C(11)	-5.838662						

Log likelihood	-79.52889	Akaike info criterion	15.08815
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.53265
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.92358

Table 6.3.8 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-34.21575	SV1	-8.412671	11.34973	-0.741222	0.4586	PCI
C(2)	-4.617243	SV2	0.522255	5.740321	0.09098	0.9275	GRP
C(3)	-170.711	SV3	7.342918	13.61878	0.539176	0.5898	RFDI
C(4)	-58.48251	SV4	-0.484977	3.885874	-0.124805	0.9007	GCF
C(5)	-179.4952	SV5	-2.366302	5.026	-0.470812	0.6378	UR
C(6)	-255.2902	SV6	-0.384117	4.307754	-0.089169	0.9289	SUN
C(7)	-22.76822	SV7	-0.746458	0.659085	-1.132567	0.2574	OWN
C(8)	-135.828	SV8	8.058436	11.96	0.673782	0.5004	ROAD
C(9)	-180.4761	SV9	-0.239879	0.621057	-0.386243	0.6993	dummy1
C(10)	-36.81315	SV10	-0.306307	0.68586	-0.446603	0.6552	dummy2
C(11)	-49.85409						

Log likelihood	-79.10415	Akaike info criterion	15.01736
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.46186
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.85279

Table 6.3.9 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-21.63044	SV1	-0.076588	41.2819	-0.001855	0.9985	PCI
C(2)	-1.023723	SV2	-3.455215	108.4828	-0.03185	0.9746	GRP
C(3)	-1.133639	SV3	0.286735	420.2979	0.000682	0.9995	RFDI
C(4)	-1.443875	SV4	0.908355	265.0418	0.003427	0.9973	GCF
C(5)	-1.305276	SV5	2.420738	121.0972	0.01999	0.9841	UR
C(6)	-30.7862	SV6	-0.736849	82.09663	-0.008975	0.9928	SUN
C(7)	-25.8646	SV7	-0.195048	8.820441	-0.022113	0.9824	OWN
C(8)	-14.17811	SV8	3.255134	61.43291	0.052987	0.9577	ROAD
C(9)	-1.113457	SV9	0.037672	3.48906	0.010797	0.9914	dummy1
C(10)	-0.016667	SV10	0.049024	13.34416	0.003674	0.9971	dummy2
C(11)	-0.466723						

Log likelihood	-82.23413	Akaike info criterion	15.53902
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.98352
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.37445

Table 6.3.10 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.301237	SV1	0.460476	0.351301	1.310775	0.1899	GCF
C(2)	-7.551092	SV2	0.775134	0.353835	2.190669	0.0285	ROAD
C(3)	-36.06113						
Log likelihood	-20.14809	Akaike info criterion	3.858015				
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.979242				
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.813133				

Table 6.3.11 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-36.75927	SV1	3.595065	2.455572	1.464044	0.1432	PCI
C(2)	-8.757132	SV2	-1.831195	2.26844	-0.807249	0.4195	GRP
C(3)	-51.92395	SV3	-0.043195	0.956981	-0.045137	0.964	RFDI
C(4)	-38.86795	SV4	0.357363	1.273009	0.280723	0.7789	GCF
C(5)	-67.31575	SV5	4.925001	2.860569	1.721686	0.0851	UR
C(6)	-22.12192	SV6	-0.268886	0.397158	-0.677026	0.4984	SUN
C(7)	-23.92631	SV7	-0.125033	0.111227	-1.124131	0.261	OWN
C(8)	-18.2326	SV8	-0.864485	1.453981	-0.594564	0.5521	ROAD
C(9)	-229.8813	SV9	-0.001714	0.08169	-0.020982	0.9833	dummy1
C(10)	-273.5746	SV10	0.051938	0.10359	0.501376	0.6161	dummy2
C(11)	-6.659443						
Log likelihood	-74.98665	Akaike info criterion	14.33111				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.77561				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.16654				

Table 6.3.12 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-206.2659	SV1	-0.737772	1.400515	-0.526786	0.5983	PCI
C(2)	-15.82336	SV2	-0.494446	4.243218	-0.116526	0.9072	GRP
C(3)	-25.64043	SV3	1.771929	2.098082	0.844547	0.3984	RFDI
C(4)	-27540.56	SV4	-0.979675	1.80769	-0.541949	0.5879	GCF
C(5)	-55.07295	SV5	-0.179734	0.855725	-0.210037	0.8336	UR
C(6)	-217.9795	SV6	-0.053397	0.561682	-0.095066	0.9243	SUN
C(7)	-299.3613	SV7	0.063841	0.176499	0.361707	0.7176	OWN
C(8)	-152.019	SV8	2.352292	2.869821	0.819665	0.4124	ROAD
C(9)	-6.599291	SV9	-0.140716	0.204475	-0.688181	0.4913	dummy1
C(10)	-971.5957	SV10	-0.243394	0.136504	-1.783057	0.0746	dummy2
C(11)	-23.23296						
Log likelihood	-78.68374	Akaike info criterion	14.94729				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.39179				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.78272				

Table 6.3.13 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-202.7799	SV1	-0.107374	3.839884	-0.027963	0.9777	PCI
C(2)	-6.498517	SV2	1.706833	3.19948	0.533472	0.5937	GRP
C(3)	-12.10372	SV3	0.299806	4.68716	0.063963	0.949	RFDI
C(4)	-66.08976	SV4	-0.2292	1.250588	-0.183274	0.8546	GCF
C(5)	-23.10846	SV5	0.160587	1.155403	0.138988	0.8895	UR
C(6)	-316.0066	SV6	-0.078953	0.675261	-0.116922	0.9069	SUN
C(7)	-262.6903	SV7	0.150171	0.297102	0.505451	0.6132	OWN
C(8)	-88.18353	SV8	-0.514882	3.512491	-0.146586	0.8835	ROAD
C(9)	-13.12299	SV9	-0.197689	0.213415	-0.926312	0.3543	dummy1
C(10)	-5.330169	SV10	-0.079588	0.252503	-0.315196	0.7526	dummy2
C(11)	-4.4688						

Log likelihood	-79.22176	Akaike info criterion	15.03696
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.48146
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.87239

Table 6.3.14 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-860.6652	SV1	-0.859665	1.326939	-0.647856	0.5171	PCI
C(2)	-8.000457	SV2	2.724512	2.47191	1.102189	0.2704	GRP
C(3)	-12.33363	SV3	0.256422	0.644507	0.397857	0.6907	RFDI
C(4)	-35.6259	SV4	-1.269079	1.63591	-0.775764	0.4379	GCF
C(5)	-15.4747	SV5	0.694714	0.370062	1.877292	0.0605	UR
C(6)	-813.9664	SV6	-1.499468	0.358346	-4.184418	0	SUN
C(7)	-162.543	SV7	-0.261576	0.135129	-1.935747	0.0529	OWN
C(8)	-184.5182	SV8	0.563358	1.244021	0.452853	0.6507	ROAD
C(9)	-12.02346	SV9	-0.309911	0.150638	-2.057317	0.0397	dummy1
C(10)	-10.82182	SV10	-0.286338	0.058785	-4.870914	0	dummy2
C(11)	-15.46237						

Log likelihood	-78.64539	Akaike info criterion	14.9409
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.3854
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.77633

Table 6.3.15 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-263.3111	SV1	-4.257631	2.613255	-1.629245	0.1033	PCI
C(2)	-7.547974	SV2	3.455998	2.764697	1.250046	0.2113	GRP
C(3)	-61.12736	SV3	2.222466	2.334181	0.95214	0.341	RFDI
C(4)	-20.75864	SV4	-1.885702	2.125727	-0.887086	0.375	GCF
C(5)	-33.19658	SV5	-2.313691	1.212468	-1.908249	0.0564	UR
C(6)	-356.0814	SV6	0.166877	0.459215	0.363396	0.7163	SUN
C(7)	-197.3381	SV7	0.315654	0.142492	2.215245	0.0267	OWN
C(8)	-219.9961	SV8	2.510037	2.081794	1.205709	0.2279	ROAD
C(9)	-21.49237	SV9	-0.170052	0.060071	-2.830845	0.0046	dummy1
C(10)	-20.25995	SV10	-0.273265	0.122678	-2.2275	0.0259	dummy2
C(11)	-6.622806						

Log likelihood	-76.55526	Akaike info criterion	14.59254
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.03704
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.42797

Table 6.3.16 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-50.92709	SV1	0.994836	0.064038	15.53505	0	PCI
C(2)	-6.681607	SV2	0.922872	0.264561	3.48832	0.0005	UR
C(3)	-56.66248	SV3	-0.298585	0.191337	-1.560518	0.1186	OWN
C(4)	-75.98953						
Log likelihood	-30.30688	Akaike info criterion	5.717813				
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.879448				
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.65797				

Table 6.3.17 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-25.6911	SV1	1.34123	11.36202	0.118045	0.906	PCI
C(2)	-3.883825	SV2	9.38778	11.32091	0.829242	0.407	GRP
C(3)	-45.75818	SV3	-10.68583	24.54929	-0.43528	0.6634	RFDI
C(4)	-42.28922	SV4	0.022765	7.60511	0.002993	0.9976	GCF
C(5)	-19.69205	SV5	3.660426	9.782133	0.374195	0.7083	UR
C(6)	-43.91199	SV6	2.302822	3.3795	0.681409	0.4956	SUN
C(7)	-36.10673	SV7	0.44796	1.091401	0.410445	0.6815	OWN
C(8)	-25.26583	SV8	-3.145395	9.065126	-0.346978	0.7286	ROAD
C(9)	-74.25088	SV9	-0.31196	0.408222	-0.764191	0.4448	dummy1
C(10)	-201.3532	SV10	-0.138387	0.452061	-0.306126	0.7595	dummy2
C(11)	-14.35691						
Log likelihood	-81.0123	Akaike info criterion	15.33538				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.77988				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.17081				

Table 6.3.18 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-176.6462	SV1	4.373765	4.049158	1.080167	0.2801	PCI
C(2)	-5.837627	SV2	3.799591	4.014921	0.946368	0.344	GRP
C(3)	-68.47057	SV3	0.119502	2.640116	0.045264	0.9639	RFDI
C(4)	-26.29245	SV4	-1.908815	1.952103	-0.977825	0.3282	GCF
C(5)	-26.26318	SV5	3.087112	1.668693	1.850018	0.0643	UR
C(6)	-237.431	SV6	-0.936438	0.85318	-1.097586	0.2724	SUN
C(7)	-473.2965	SV7	0.223996	0.494165	0.453283	0.6503	OWN
C(8)	-370.8931	SV8	-6.140221	3.992463	-1.537953	0.1241	ROAD
C(9)	-7.024503	SV9	-0.22269	0.149446	-1.490108	0.1362	dummy1
C(10)	-9.452642	SV10	0.123604	0.202033	0.611798	0.5407	dummy2
C(11)	-9.513123						
Log likelihood	-80.80147	Akaike info criterion	15.30025				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.74474				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.13568				

Table 6.3.19 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-235.0197	SV1	1.237479	1.218109	1.015902	0.3097	PCI
C(2)	-68.23789	SV2	3.810966	7.520035	0.506775	0.6123	GRP
C(3)	-8.091672	SV3	3.770794	9.79663	0.384907	0.7003	RFDI
C(4)	-15.17295	SV4	-3.905389	7.111084	-0.549197	0.5829	GCF
C(5)	-7.024131	SV5	-0.392954	2.677806	-0.146745	0.8833	UR
C(6)	-642.1245	SV6	-1.009039	0.961741	-1.049179	0.2941	SUN
C(7)	-333.186	SV7	-0.263653	0.489376	-0.538754	0.5901	OWN
C(8)	-202.1487	SV8	-3.935811	10.38419	-0.37902	0.7047	ROAD
C(9)	-43.77996	SV9	-0.058506	0.18772	-0.311665	0.7553	dummy1
C(10)	-44.59199	SV10	-0.220714	0.117699	-1.875235	0.0608	dummy2
C(11)	-7.74547						

Log likelihood	-75.58786	Akaike info criterion	14.43131
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.87581
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.26674

Table 6.3.20 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1113.102	SV1	-6.253699	0.038936	-160.6133	0	UR
C(2)	-80.53197	SV2	-0.628482	1.452289	-0.432753	0.6652	OWN
C(3)	0.745985						

Log likelihood	-35.01914	Akaike info criterion	6.336523
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	6.45775
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.291641

Table 6.3.21 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.385638	SV1	1.178999	0.02647	44.54165	0	GRP
C(2)	-63.44922	SV2	0.263439	0.122542	2.14979	0.0316	UR
C(3)	-40.8617						

Log likelihood	-21.89663	Akaike info criterion	4.149439
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.270666
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.104556

Table 6.3.22 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-224.8315	SV1	-2.982037	5.196303	-0.573877	0.5661	PCI
C(2)	-6.562093	SV2	7.572341	12.56239	0.602779	0.5467	GRP
C(3)	-31.45937	SV3	2.382501	2.464145	0.966867	0.3336	RFDI
C(4)	-28.84017	SV4	-4.114539	6.971656	-0.590181	0.5551	GCF
C(5)	-24.35675	SV5	1.667997	3.211503	0.519382	0.6035	UR
C(6)	-319.5929	SV6	0.896519	1.382247	0.648595	0.5166	SUN
C(7)	-488.7942	SV7	0.011788	0.471413	0.025006	0.9801	OWN
C(8)	-107.5509	SV8	0.266242	1.725593	0.15429	0.8774	ROAD
C(9)	-21.12658	SV9	-0.203345	0.144688	-1.405405	0.1599	dummy1
C(10)	-25.51008	SV10	-0.166306	0.08728	-1.905435	0.0567	dummy2
C(11)	-7.524724						

Log likelihood	-77.64003	Akaike info criterion	14.77334
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.21784
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.60877

Table 6.3.23 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-442.7681	SV1	0.379631	3.077315	0.123364	0.9018	PCI
C(2)	-6.973582	SV2	1.615709	2.856997	0.565527	0.5717	GRP
C(3)	-49.43701	SV3	0.243877	0.474564	0.513896	0.6073	RFDI
C(4)	-24.49141	SV4	-1.174597	2.105274	-0.557931	0.5769	GCF
C(5)	-10.00663	SV5	0.272046	1.610957	0.168872	0.8659	UR
C(6)	-319.9664	SV6	0.013791	1.134381	0.012157	0.9903	SUN
C(7)	-790.5349	SV7	0.098899	0.472179	0.209453	0.8341	OWN
C(8)	-77.32629	SV8	0.032238	3.587402	0.008987	0.9928	ROAD
C(9)	-6.68682	SV9	-0.086668	0.208846	-0.414983	0.6782	dummy1
C(10)	-96.89346	SV10	-0.111817	0.128545	-0.869867	0.3844	dummy2
C(11)	-116.2438						

Log likelihood	-81.25827	Akaike info criterion	15.37638
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.82088
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.21181

Table 6.3.24 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-227.2271	SV1	1.602779	1.247774	1.28451	0.199	PCI
C(2)	-5.73663	SV2	-0.871154	1.708177	-0.50999	0.6101	GRP
C(3)	-34.44577	SV3	0.989716	0.359705	2.751466	0.0059	UR
C(4)	-12.92106	SV4	0.225237	1.179649	0.190935	0.8486	SUN
C(5)	-24.91283						

Log likelihood	-39.28266	Akaike info criterion	7.380444
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.582489
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.30564

Table 6.3.25 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-11.72523	SV1	-0.706517	61.68412	-0.011454	0.9909	PCI
C(2)	-0.960836	SV2	-3.564949	88.26425	-0.04039	0.9678	GRP
C(3)	-1.000472	SV3	-0.733215	13.55144	-0.054106	0.9569	RFDI
C(4)	-2.159613	SV4	3.158744	64.79045	0.048753	0.9611	GCF
C(5)	-1.055334	SV5	-0.332354	31.29774	-0.010619	0.9915	UR
C(6)	-7.731194	SV6	-0.189491	37.89418	-0.005001	0.996	SUN
C(7)	-20.29879	SV7	0.487638	11.75321	0.04149	0.9669	OWN
C(8)	-10.62716	SV8	3.25184	75.96058	0.04281	0.9659	ROAD
C(9)	-0.992782	SV9	-0.401301	8.559687	-0.046883	0.9626	dummy1
C(10)	-0.019623	SV10	-0.209642	5.184723	-0.040435	0.9677	dummy2
C(11)	-0.258245						

Log likelihood	-85.65726	Akaike info criterion	16.10954
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.55404
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.94497

Table 6.3.26 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1644.464	SV1	1.010324	0.859285	1.175773	0.2397	PCI
C(2)	-50.54217	SV2	-0.916226	0.955472	-0.958925	0.3376	GRP
C(3)	-10.01779	SV3	0.04854	0.064484	0.752744	0.4516	RFDI
C(4)	-918.1852	SV4	0.099432	0.543295	0.183016	0.8548	GCF
C(5)	-26.07862	SV5	-0.245668	0.347588	-0.706779	0.4797	UR
C(6)	-650.3162	SV6	-0.573375	0.359119	-1.596615	0.1104	SUN
C(7)	-217.7534	SV7	-0.292217	0.183568	-1.591872	0.1114	OWN
C(8)	-253.9318	SV8	0.454842	1.725506	0.263599	0.7921	ROAD
C(9)	-5.833117	SV9	-0.235613	0.156201	-1.508396	0.1315	dummy1
C(10)	-22.96856	SV10	-0.148968	0.103802	-1.435116	0.1513	dummy2
C(11)	-16.45799						

Log likelihood	-84.54319	Akaike info criterion	15.92387
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.36836
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.7593

Table 6.3.27 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-205.431	SV1	2.263018	5.815256	0.389152	0.6972	PCI
C(2)	-22.6076	SV2	-0.394915	4.944489	-0.07987	0.9363	GRP
C(3)	-36.29016	SV3	0.027437	0.267783	0.102462	0.9184	RFDI
C(4)	-42.30174	SV4	-0.327525	3.452639	-0.094862	0.9244	GCF
C(5)	-4.732099	SV5	0.65268	1.046411	0.623732	0.5328	UR
C(6)	-299.4844	SV6	-0.013687	0.717218	-0.019084	0.9848	SUN
C(7)	-140.3283	SV7	-0.001821	0.373821	-0.004872	0.9961	OWN
C(8)	-31.09552	SV8	-0.822809	7.550067	-0.10898	0.9132	ROAD
C(9)	-27.30138	SV9	-0.937231	0.189728	-4.939855	0	dummy1
C(10)	-70.80639	SV10	-0.134036	0.199358	-0.672337	0.5014	dummy2
C(11)	-84.72575						

Log likelihood	-83.24514	Akaike info criterion	15.70752
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.15202
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.54296

Table 6.3.28 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-394.8475	SV1	0.052659	0.77212	0.068201	0.9456	PCI
C(2)	-8.940211	SV2	0.844162	1.074875	0.785358	0.4322	GRP
C(3)	-16.3597	SV3	-0.046863	0.121758	-0.384883	0.7003	RFDI
C(4)	-69.4482	SV4	-0.34861	0.724106	-0.481435	0.6302	GCF
C(5)	-20.28284	SV5	0.011938	0.158936	0.075114	0.9401	UR
C(6)	-328.4832	SV6	-0.207153	0.329054	-0.629539	0.529	SUN
C(7)	-410.515	SV7	-0.180949	0.081155	-2.229681	0.0258	OWN
C(8)	-223.5669	SV8	0.690662	1.266841	0.545185	0.5856	ROAD
C(9)	-17.75841	SV9	-0.037848	0.032268	-1.172938	0.2408	dummy1
C(10)	-16.17815	SV10	-0.150948	0.052192	-2.89214	0.0038	dummy2
C(11)	-7.570028						

Log likelihood	-79.26655	Akaike info criterion	15.04443
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.48892
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.87986

Table 6.3.29 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-218.8579	SV1	-0.295752	1.625689	-0.181924	0.8556	PCI
C(2)	-6.441259	SV2	-5.992377	6.635316	-0.903103	0.3665	GRP
C(3)	-15.98105	SV3	0.315951	0.390481	0.809133	0.4184	RFDI
C(4)	-178.0841	SV4	2.472456	3.288294	0.751896	0.4521	GCF
C(5)	-20.65203	SV5	-0.099782	0.2721	-0.366711	0.7138	UR
C(6)	-314.0684	SV6	0.096685	0.467499	0.206813	0.8362	SUN
C(7)	-124.7393	SV7	-0.483013	0.403013	-1.198505	0.2307	OWN
C(8)	-210.5496	SV8	5.241966	4.533936	1.156162	0.2476	ROAD
C(9)	-11.50541	SV9	-0.170797	0.192806	-0.885849	0.3757	dummy1
C(10)	-13.81353	SV10	-0.203322	0.152568	-1.332669	0.1826	dummy2
C(11)	-5.543243						

Log likelihood	-81.83985	Akaike info criterion	15.47331
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.91781
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.30874

Table 6.3.30 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.846425	SV1	-0.718798	1.792716	-0.400955	0.6885	UR
C(2)	-8.00E-05	SV2	-2.513991	0.481643	-5.219613	0	OWN
C(3)	-2.507338						

Log likelihood	-34.04152	Akaike info criterion	6.173586
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	6.294813
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.128703

Table 6.3.31 TVP with dummy variables results for French tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-23.52904	SV1	0.199181	0.599062	0.332488	0.7395	PCI
C(2)	-7.952569	SV2	-0.378906	1.430477	-0.264881	0.7911	GRP
C(3)	-8.03684	SV3	-0.004418	0.131623	-0.033567	0.9732	RFDI
C(4)	-1128.748	SV4	-1.465048	1.078735	-1.358117	0.1744	GCF
C(5)	-11.41902	SV5	-1.862029	0.412549	-4.513477	0	UR
C(6)	-41.8463	SV6	0.122044	0.562907	0.216811	0.8284	SUN
C(7)	-38.8236	SV7	0.10296	0.165808	0.620959	0.5346	OWN
C(8)	-87.4617	SV8	3.140897	0.747076	4.204252	0	ROAD
C(9)	-32.32855	SV9	-0.401125	0.10783	-3.719982	0.0002	dummy1
C(10)	-27.20852	SV10	-0.447321	0.056183	-7.961852	0	dummy2
C(11)	-12.90556						

Log likelihood	-81.12105	Akaike info criterion	15.35351
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.79801
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.18894

Table 6.4.1 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-39.50105	SV1	1.31023	0.031517	41.57166	0	ROAD
C(2)	-6.914431						
Log likelihood	-11.72818	Akaike info criterion	2.28803				
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	2.368848				
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.258108				

Table 6.4.2 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.266494	SV1	0.535743	0.666905	0.803327	0.4218	PCI
C(2)	-57.07012	SV2	0.398265	1.121366	0.355161	0.7225	GRP
C(3)	-51.66317	SV3	0.542205	0.632814	0.856815	0.3915	RFDI
C(4)	-38.67969	SV4	1.174382	0.819171	1.433623	0.1517	UR
C(5)	-3.675649	SV5	0.905034	1.25836	0.719217	0.472	SUN
C(6)	-119.2488	SV6	0.036409	0.194734	0.186966	0.8517	OWN
C(7)	-30.84978						
Log likelihood	-56.73196	Akaike info criterion	10.62199				
Parameters	7	Schwarz criterion	10.90486				
Diffuse priors	6	Hannan-Quinn criter.	10.51727				

Table 6.4.3 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.56875	SV1	0.975902	0.112523	8.672897	0	GCF
C(2)	-7.811135	SV2	-0.86349	0.403446	-2.140287	0.0323	OWN
C(3)	-149.2302	SV3	-0.625169	0.048648	-12.85094	0	dummy1
C(4)	-1030.756	SV4	-0.122228	0.049213	-2.483654	0.013	dummy2
C(5)	-1265.932						
Log likelihood	-36.99911	Akaike info criterion	6.999851				
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.201895				
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.925047				

Table 6.4.4 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-223.322	SV1	-3.001451	2.023083	-1.483602	0.1379	PCI
C(2)	-7.457284	SV2	4.564459	2.833632	1.610816	0.1072	GRP
C(3)	-7.13019	SV3	-0.416105	1.025391	-0.405801	0.6849	RFDI
C(4)	-29.15541	SV4	-3.01474	2.145511	-1.405139	0.16	GCF
C(5)	-5.931031	SV5	-1.006119	0.740813	-1.358128	0.1744	UR
C(6)	-429.8443	SV6	0.450826	0.970496	0.464532	0.6423	SUN
C(7)	-201.0321	SV7	1.247504	1.502946	0.830039	0.4065	OWN
C(8)	-89.79842	SV8	3.361401	2.290969	1.467239	0.1423	ROAD
C(9)	-7.964825	SV9	-0.440937	0.145944	-3.021288	0.0025	dummy1
C(10)	-92.29865	SV10	-0.347909	0.151698	-2.293436	0.0218	dummy2
C(11)	-120.6149						

Log likelihood	-80.81976	Akaike info criterion	15.30329
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.74779
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.13872

Table 6.4.5 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-148.8794	SV1	1.028931	1.196466	0.859975	0.3898	PCI
C(2)	-6.395956	SV2	-0.633146	2.35536	-0.268811	0.7881	GRP
C(3)	-8.9474	SV3	-0.483644	1.380017	-0.350463	0.726	RFDI
C(4)	-36.92541	SV4	1.977601	2.42782	0.814558	0.4153	GCF
C(5)	-7.825797	SV5	-0.300928	0.56382	-0.533731	0.5935	UR
C(6)	-554.5674	SV6	-0.829246	0.978908	-0.847113	0.3969	SUN
C(7)	-185.0644	SV7	-0.487694	0.879911	-0.554254	0.5794	OWN
C(8)	-142.0149	SV8	-1.53209	2.288343	-0.669519	0.5032	ROAD
C(9)	-7.36866	SV9	-0.271165	0.376184	-0.72083	0.471	dummy1
C(10)	-3.317922						

Log likelihood	-71.67691	Akaike info criterion	13.61282
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	14.01691
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.46321

Table 6.4.6 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-57.02533	SV1	1.175866	0.024228	48.53305	0	GCF
C(2)	-7.440481	SV2	-0.124527	0.027505	-4.527416	0	dummy1
C(3)	-40.93552						

Log likelihood	-16.4581	Akaike info criterion	3.243017
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.364243
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.198134

Table 6.4.7 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-4.608793	SV1	1.13585	0.123689	9.183126	0	RFDI
C(2)	-30.02154	SV2	0.817314	0.082697	9.88327	0	UR
C(3)	-189.6177	SV3	1.300306	0.285812	4.549513	0	SUN
C(4)	-4.506569	SV4	-0.491907	0.344553	-1.427667	0.1534	OWN
C(5)	-43.89808	SV5	-0.237667	0.050789	-4.679492	0	dummy2
C(6)	-2260.102						

Log likelihood	-42.52812	Akaike info criterion	8.088021
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	8.330474
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.998256

Table 6.4.8 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.292713	SV1	1.040947	0.021378	48.69281	0	ROAD
C(2)	-105.0234						

Log likelihood	-19.52427	Akaike info criterion	3.587379
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	3.668197
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.557457

Table 6.4.9 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-276.4897	SV1	0.531807	0.576621	0.922282	0.3564	GRP
C(2)	-8.387164	SV2	-0.121982	0.253296	-0.481578	0.6301	GCF
C(3)	-37.97713	SV3	1.086793	0.393746	2.760138	0.0058	UR
C(4)	-115.9767	SV4	0.695508	0.382989	1.816001	0.0694	ROAD
C(5)	-132.6709	SV5	-0.042709	0.023606	-1.809224	0.0704	dummy1
C(6)	-812.8984						

Log likelihood	-35.82423	Akaike info criterion	6.970705
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	7.213158
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.88094

Table 6.4.10 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-123.9074	SV1	0.504986	0.152644	3.308264	0.0009	GCF
C(2)	-8.712128	SV2	0.762097	0.154539	4.931435	0	ROAD
C(3)	-240.9294	SV3	-0.125818	0.020968	-6.000505	0	dummy1
C(4)	-236.0376						

Log likelihood	-19.64967	Akaike info criterion	3.941612
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.103248
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.881769

Table 6.4.11 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-7.008459	SV1	0.530875	1.747801	0.303739	0.7613	PCI
C(2)	-32.78844	SV2	-0.668909	1.731368	-0.386347	0.6992	GRP
C(3)	-59.19507	SV3	0.574177	0.81294	0.706297	0.48	RFDI
C(4)	-28.91993	SV4	0.689836	1.018906	0.677036	0.4984	GCF
C(5)	-249.6379	SV5	0.523873	2.337937	0.224075	0.8227	UR
C(6)	-29.07907	SV6	-0.616726	0.423689	-1.45561	0.1455	SUN
C(7)	-30.45184	SV7	-0.347967	0.334255	-1.041022	0.2979	OWN
C(8)	-5.445766	SV8	0.278085	1.088627	0.255445	0.7984	ROAD
C(9)	-45.23648	SV9	-0.079569	0.069425	-1.146119	0.2517	dummy1
C(10)	-123.2355	SV10	-0.086754	0.069612	-1.246247	0.2127	dummy2
C(11)	-10.89105						

Log likelihood	-74.0616	Akaike info criterion	14.17693
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.62143
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.01236

Table 6.4.12 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-343.681	SV1	-1.411097	1.919128	-0.73528	0.4622	PCI
C(2)	-6.192222	SV2	2.366378	6.891475	0.343378	0.7313	GRP
C(3)	-11.46207	SV3	1.524732	3.486887	0.437276	0.6619	RFDI
C(4)	-24.00491	SV4	-2.149718	2.887949	-0.744375	0.4566	GCF
C(5)	-37.75813	SV5	-0.237679	1.316152	-0.180586	0.8567	UR
C(6)	-250.6666	SV6	0.429202	0.924356	0.464326	0.6424	SUN
C(7)	-576.7272	SV7	-0.208429	0.767719	-0.271491	0.786	OWN
C(8)	-70.00505	SV8	1.381496	4.623172	0.29882	0.7651	ROAD
C(9)	-8.362402	SV9	-0.170489	0.320781	-0.531482	0.5951	dummy1
C(10)	-90.98179	SV10	-0.187855	0.213896	-0.878255	0.3798	dummy2
C(11)	-114.5008						

Log likelihood	-78.76273	Akaike info criterion	14.96045
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.40495
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.79589

Table 6.4.13 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-36.48411	SV1	1.014458	0.049035	20.68836	0	PCI
C(2)	-6.335688	SV2	1.060006	0.335937	3.155372	0.0016	UR
C(3)	-44.91853						

Log likelihood	-23.97897	Akaike info criterion	4.496496
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.617722
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.451613

Table 6.4.14 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-14.07585	SV1	1.038994	56.85756	0.018274	0.9854	PCI
C(2)	-0.872504	SV2	0.215211	117.4187	0.001833	0.9985	GRP
C(3)	-1.035992	SV3	-0.059925	32.79338	-0.001827	0.9985	RFDI
C(4)	-2.194066	SV4	0.617932	78.6276	0.007859	0.9937	GCF
C(5)	-1.230894	SV5	-0.62621	16.88074	-0.037096	0.9704	UR
C(6)	-20.0321	SV6	-0.44212	19.86157	-0.02226	0.9822	SUN
C(7)	-29.40491	SV7	-1.126749	22.34759	-0.050419	0.9598	OWN
C(8)	-9.968738	SV8	-1.369003	55.74751	-0.024557	0.9804	ROAD
C(9)	-1.036613	SV9	-0.068555	7.175141	-0.009555	0.9924	dummy1
C(10)	-0.025496	SV10	-0.134331	3.166765	-0.042419	0.9662	dummy2
C(11)	-0.693256						

Log likelihood	-84.99503	Akaike info criterion	15.99917
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.44367
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.8346

Table 6.4.15 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-90.67166	SV1	1.027673	0.033541	30.63974	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.562143	SV2	1.107453	0.39202	2.824994	0.0047	UR
C(3)	-56.17751						

Log likelihood	-17.07901	Akaike info criterion	3.346502
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.467729
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.30162

Table 6.4.16 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-263.6104	SV1	0.139699	4.135277	0.033782	0.9731	PCI
C(2)	-6400.906	SV2	-3.675548	8.117866	-0.452773	0.6507	GRP
C(3)	-65.33932	SV3	-3.359204	2.930546	-1.146272	0.2517	RFDI
C(4)	-3.600176	SV4	3.683538	5.607272	0.656922	0.5112	GCF
C(5)	-25.2891	SV5	0.465418	1.321402	0.352216	0.7247	UR
C(6)	-215.0704	SV6	-0.126256	1.45493	-0.086778	0.9308	SUN
C(7)	-374.1621	SV7	-0.26381	1.260554	-0.209281	0.8342	OWN
C(8)	-41.6812	SV8	3.223622	4.902481	0.657549	0.5108	ROAD
C(9)	-35.70628	SV9	-0.231342	0.208167	-1.111327	0.2664	dummy1
C(10)	-59.06348	SV10	-0.164424	0.213409	-0.770467	0.441	dummy2
C(11)	-92.28849						

Log likelihood	-80.30728	Akaike info criterion	15.21788
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.66238
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.05331

Table 6.4.17 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.137065	SV1	1.469319	0.078308	18.76345	0	GRP
C(2)	-6.471022	SV2	2.904911	0.99376	2.923152	0.0035	UR
C(3)	-1220.137						

Log likelihood	-25.29706	Akaike info criterion	4.716176
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.837403
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.671294

Table 6.4.18 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-8.985912	SV1	1.257013	0.118173	10.63708	0	GRP
C(2)	-4.955075	SV2	1.616365	0.617013	2.61966	0.0088	UR
C(3)	-30.63976						

Log likelihood	-28.17696	Akaike info criterion	5.19616
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.317387
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.151278

Table 6.4.19 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-85.16838	SV1	1.087855	0.01888	57.62022	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.939332	SV2	-0.185661	0.029425	-6.309643	0	dummy2
C(3)	-47.49895						

Log likelihood	-17.59317	Akaike info criterion	3.432196
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.553422
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.387313

Table 6.4.20 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.802046	SV1	-0.138985	1.175951	-0.118189	0.9059	UR
C(2)	-1.488221	SV2	-4.455513	0.648266	-6.872972	0	OWN
C(3)	-33.37931	SV3	-0.312598	0.209209	-1.494188	0.1351	dummy2
C(4)	-45.84863						

Log likelihood	-39.89974	Akaike info criterion	7.316623
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	7.478259
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.25678

Table 6.4.21 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-125.9952	SV1	1.664334	1.118065	1.488584	0.1366	PCI
C(2)	-177.4512	SV2	0.253408	0.140063	1.809236	0.0704	UR
C(3)	-35.47103	SV3	-0.927396	1.341871	-0.691122	0.4895	ROAD
C(4)	-5.884795						

Log likelihood	-31.54811	Akaike info criterion	5.924686
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.086321
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.864842

Table 6.4.22 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-155.8713	SV1	0.605596	0.917639	0.65995	0.5093	GRP
C(2)	-4.994961	SV2	1.228385	1.642798	0.747739	0.4546	RFDI
C(3)	-26.00226	SV3	0.669218	1.134185	0.590043	0.5552	SUN
C(4)	-21.25219	SV4	-0.268206	0.095165	-2.818313	0.0048	dummy1
C(5)	-13.05762						

Log likelihood	-41.33148	Akaike info criterion	7.721913
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.923957
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.647109

Table 6.4.23 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-454.4298	SV1	0.195019	3.423239	0.056969	0.9546	PCI
C(2)	-24.43385	SV2	3.055212	3.373438	0.905667	0.3651	GRP
C(3)	-56.15027	SV3	0.196725	0.533762	0.368562	0.7125	RFDI
C(4)	-25.22765	SV4	-1.744313	2.03534	-0.857013	0.3914	GCF
C(5)	-5.6852	SV5	0.562158	1.774906	0.316725	0.7515	UR
C(6)	-346.201	SV6	0.734566	1.356759	0.541412	0.5882	SUN
C(7)	-660.4019	SV7	1.013593	1.721521	0.588778	0.556	OWN
C(8)	-86.52608	SV8	-0.475973	3.847853	-0.123698	0.9016	ROAD
C(9)	-39.95271	SV9	-0.070625	0.218924	-0.3226	0.747	dummy1
C(10)	-87.19795	SV10	-0.058727	0.147438	-0.398315	0.6904	dummy2
C(11)	-113.9347						

Log likelihood	-80.08715	Akaike info criterion	15.18119
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.62569
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.01662

Table 6.4.24 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-516.3608	SV1	0.295708	0.449852	0.657344	0.511	GCF
C(2)	-132.8276	SV2	0.780379	0.395621	1.972543	0.0485	ROAD
C(3)	-6.459231	SV3	-0.349387	0.047935	-7.288716	0	dummy2
C(4)	-258.5531						

Log likelihood	-29.0353	Akaike info criterion	5.505883
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.667519
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.44604

Table 6.4.25 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-289.6987	SV1	0.044979	0.15656	0.287297	0.7739	PCI
C(2)	-11.29981	SV2	0.012088	0.31763	0.038058	0.9696	GRP
C(3)	-2702.392	SV3	-0.159379	0.049329	-3.230927	0.0012	RFDI
C(4)	-50.52169	SV4	0.555027	0.227151	2.44343	0.0145	GCF
C(5)	-129.5092	SV5	-0.324022	0.085086	-3.808186	0.0001	UR
C(6)	-201.9288	SV6	-0.656675	0.116151	-5.653632	0	SUN
C(7)	-528.21	SV7	0.956434	0.09162	10.43911	0	OWN
C(8)	-196.5855	SV8	1.019661	0.206893	4.92844	0	ROAD
C(9)	-1042.13	SV9	-0.284237	0.023269	-12.21546	0	dummy1
C(10)	-20.5705	SV10	-0.079347	0.014297	-5.550102	0	dummy2
C(11)	-11.81844						

Log likelihood	-74.80065	Akaike info criterion	14.30011
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.74461
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.13554

Table 6.4.26 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-295.5798	SV1	0.81433	0.664203	1.226026	0.2202	PCI
C(2)	-7.511108	SV2	0.104704	0.783018	0.133719	0.8936	GRP
C(3)	-19.27507	SV3	-0.052907	0.055505	-0.953198	0.3405	RFDI
C(4)	-211.1438	SV4	-0.58562	0.475223	-1.232305	0.2178	GCF
C(5)	-23.97294	SV5	-0.100053	0.269113	-0.371787	0.7101	UR
C(6)	-176.7779	SV6	-0.404719	0.306171	-1.321872	0.1862	SUN
C(7)	-104.2872	SV7	-0.896694	0.453478	-1.97737	0.048	OWN
C(8)	-111.0801	SV8	0.312042	1.369795	0.227802	0.8198	ROAD
C(9)	-10.21556	SV9	-0.196644	0.118772	-1.655634	0.0978	dummy1
C(10)	-116.3998	SV10	-0.220506	0.079984	-2.756869	0.0058	dummy2
C(11)	-58.33209						

Log likelihood	-83.17198	Akaike info criterion	15.69533
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.13983
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.53076

Table 6.4.27 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	2.329563	SV1	-8.20815	0.813363	-10.09162	0	UR
C(2)	-663.7818	SV2	-0.955247	0.727174	-1.313642	0.189	dummy1
C(3)	-105.3803						

Log likelihood	-47.71867	Akaike info criterion	8.453112
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	8.574338
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.408229

Table 6.4.28 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-87.28174	SV1	1.14656	0.071384	16.06194	0	GRP
C(2)	-5.792713	SV2	-0.387648	0.288134	-1.345372	0.1785	UR
C(3)	-36.3791	SV3	-0.155016	0.073361	-2.113075	0.0346	dummy1
C(4)	-176.5557	SV4	-0.17634	0.074326	-2.372533	0.0177	dummy2
C(5)	-220.9725						

Log likelihood	-40.77185	Akaike info criterion	7.628641
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.830686
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.553837

Table 6.4.29 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-131.8351	SV1	1.391069	0.709547	1.960503	0.0499	PCI
C(2)	-7.60333	SV2	-6.429313	2.467629	-2.605462	0.0092	GRP
C(3)	-27.65915	SV3	-0.310123	0.213435	-1.453013	0.1462	RFDI
C(4)	-66.13489	SV4	3.247821	1.35606	2.395043	0.0166	GCF
C(5)	-50.0095	SV5	-0.202419	0.082068	-2.466478	0.0136	UR
C(6)	-337.538	SV6	-0.65708	0.272461	-2.411652	0.0159	SUN
C(7)	-82.30083	SV7	-1.954798	0.529827	-3.689503	0.0002	OWN
C(8)	-57.51021	SV8	1.774505	1.051965	1.686848	0.0916	ROAD
C(9)	-40.60839	SV9	-0.262379	0.046201	-5.679059	0	dummy1
C(10)	-88.99274						

Log likelihood	-71.1284	Akaike info criterion	13.5214
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.92549
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.37179

Table 6.4.30 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-142.0462	SV1	-1.31953	4.156697	-0.317447	0.7509	PCI
C(2)	-6.456918	SV2	5.936505	5.516893	1.076059	0.2819	GRP
C(3)	-31.3833	SV3	0.010044	0.514852	0.019508	0.9844	RFDI
C(4)	-373.867	SV4	-3.247056	3.8876	-0.835234	0.4036	GCF
C(5)	-39.88535	SV5	-0.685455	0.376284	-1.821645	0.0685	UR
C(6)	-59.35903	SV6	-0.161779	2.373582	-0.068158	0.9457	SUN
C(7)	-30.5993	SV7	0.691803	2.746797	0.251858	0.8012	OWN
C(8)	-71.21084	SV8	0.346918	6.977938	0.049716	0.9603	ROAD
C(9)	-216.8074	SV9	-0.116074	0.221013	-0.525192	0.5994	dummy1
C(10)	-9.308264						

Log likelihood	-70.50563	Akaike info criterion	13.41761
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.82169
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.268

Table 6.4.31 TVP with dummy variables results for German tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-215.0822	SV1	0.92374	0.888029	1.040214	0.2982	PCI
C(2)	-21.4641	SV2	0.743151	2.345113	0.316894	0.7513	GRP
C(3)	-5.539324	SV3	-0.199841	0.247007	-0.809053	0.4185	RFDI
C(4)	-47.21743	SV4	-1.34345	1.653187	-0.812643	0.4164	GCF
C(5)	-26.8777	SV5	-0.938299	0.382355	-2.453998	0.0141	UR
C(6)	-594.3338	SV6	-0.107931	1.04328	-0.103453	0.9176	SUN
C(7)	-469.4002	SV7	0.025796	0.702414	0.036725	0.9707	OWN
C(8)	-79.92448	SV8	0.480027	0.616845	0.778196	0.4365	ROAD
C(9)	-41.26417	SV9	-0.217494	0.126496	-1.719368	0.0855	dummy1
C(10)	-5.925779						

Log likelihood	-73.7451	Akaike info criterion	13.95752
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	14.3616
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.80791

Table 6.5.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-189.3146	SV1	1.434143	0.028767	49.85296	0	ROAD
C(2)	-7.097021	SV2	-0.034292	0.039843	-0.860669	0.3894	dummy1
C(3)	-48.90996						

Log likelihood	-20.35443	Akaike info criterion	3.892405
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.013631
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.847522

Table 6.5.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.096907	SV1	1.263653	0.055933	22.59242	0	ROAD
C(2)	-5.928768	SV2	-0.181163	0.090227	-2.007862	0.0447	dummy1
C(3)	-48.69314						

Log likelihood	-27.78574	Akaike info criterion	5.130956
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.252183
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.086073

Table 6.5.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	2.044741	SV1	-9.72178	1.625457	-5.980952	0	UR
C(2)	-0.384631						

Log likelihood	-38.87518	Akaike info criterion	6.812531
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	6.893348
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.782609

Table 6.5.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-243.3974	SV1	0.810742	0.560468	1.446544	0.148	PCI
C(2)	-186.7073	SV2	0.781736	2.624559	0.297854	0.7658	GRP
C(3)	-7.003204	SV3	-2.301479	13.40998	-0.171624	0.8637	RFDI
C(4)	-82.1132	SV4	1.650511	8.625043	0.191363	0.8482	GCF
C(5)	-24.98781	SV5	1.231226	3.974457	0.309785	0.7567	UR
C(6)	-245.274	SV6	-0.803437	2.322927	-0.345873	0.7294	SUN
C(7)	-297.3265	SV7	1.03711	2.444284	0.4243	0.6713	OWN
C(8)	-66.57935	SV8	-0.171321	1.041341	-0.16452	0.8693	ROAD
C(9)	-26.94367	SV9	0.055256	0.396455	0.139375	0.8892	dummy1
C(10)	-13.68198						

Log likelihood	-64.21493	Akaike info criterion	12.36915
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	12.77324
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.21955

Table 6.5.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	3.478753	SV1	-12.11562	2.599988	-4.659876	0	UR
C(2)	-127.4278						

Log likelihood	-45.59138	Akaike info criterion	7.931897
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	8.012715
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.901976

Table 6.5.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-20.14603	SV1	-5.398013	178.2345	-0.030286	0.9758	PCI
C(2)	-0.845532	SV2	-4.517766	161.5459	-0.027966	0.9777	GRP
C(3)	-0.961307	SV3	1.618067	57.36227	0.028208	0.9775	RFDI
C(4)	-1.264283	SV4	0.895062	45.70681	0.019583	0.9844	GCF
C(5)	-1.079939	SV5	-0.289815	17.35766	-0.016697	0.9867	UR
C(6)	-14.54233	SV6	-0.03628	11.44921	-0.003169	0.9975	SUN
C(7)	-15.17452	SV7	-4.73627	164.9807	-0.028708	0.9771	OWN
C(8)	-4.787799	SV8	12.72212	383.5532	0.033169	0.9735	ROAD
C(9)	-0.980348	SV9	-0.074496	3.191061	-0.023345	0.9814	dummy1
C(10)	-0.011743	SV10	-0.148081	2.997976	-0.049394	0.9606	dummy2
C(11)	-0.464623						

Log likelihood	-82.86181	Akaike info criterion	15.64363
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.08813
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.47907

Table 6.5.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-932.0907	SV1	0.307658	1.914445	0.160704	0.8723	PCI
C(2)	-7.75376	SV2	0.441756	2.430714	0.181739	0.8558	GRP
C(3)	-11.16575	SV3	0.705348	1.011402	0.697396	0.4856	RFDI
C(4)	-17.97964	SV4	-0.491227	0.9195	-0.534233	0.5932	GCF
C(5)	-12.76948	SV5	0.048884	0.098719	0.495185	0.6205	UR
C(6)	-384.1511	SV6	0.722028	0.847233	0.852219	0.3941	SUN
C(7)	-264.6147	SV7	0.268508	2.256493	0.118994	0.9053	OWN
C(8)	-75.70619	SV8	0.233917	5.070347	0.046134	0.9632	ROAD
C(9)	-12.22559	SV9	-0.078719	0.086199	-0.913233	0.3611	dummy1
C(10)	-80.87209	SV10	-0.151968	0.117199	-1.296664	0.1947	dummy2
C(11)	-87.98599						

Log likelihood	-76.74208	Akaike info criterion	14.62368
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.06818
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.45911

Table 6.5.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-302.7071	SV1	-1.581035	2.634615	-0.600101	0.5484	PCI
C(2)	-7.014339	SV2	0.329601	2.833505	0.116323	0.9074	GRP
C(3)	-5.687301	SV3	-7.266892	6.132043	-1.185069	0.236	RFDI
C(4)	-52.82372	SV4	1.657898	1.930082	0.858978	0.3904	GCF
C(5)	-185.1386	SV5	-3.575257	2.636893	-1.355859	0.1751	UR
C(6)	-529.4651	SV6	2.452318	2.312077	1.060656	0.2888	SUN
C(7)	-278.5647	SV7	-1.512786	4.010075	-0.377246	0.706	OWN
C(8)	-61.30461	SV8	6.422619	5.962771	1.07712	0.2814	ROAD
C(9)	-12.43728	SV9	-0.651969	0.348055	-1.873177	0.061	dummy1
C(10)	-69.53204	SV10	-0.676681	0.394583	-1.714927	0.0864	dummy2
C(11)	-74.83069						

Log likelihood	-76.70831	Akaike info criterion	14.61805
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.06255
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.45348

Table 6.5.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-57.00977	SV1	1.323095	0.023209	57.0076	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.526422						

Log likelihood	-10.2269	Akaike info criterion	2.037817
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	2.118635
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.007896

Table 6.5.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-56.67165	SV1	1.269896	0.019197	66.15223	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.906047						

Log likelihood	-8.1393	Akaike info criterion	1.689883
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	1.770701
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	1.659962

Table 6.5.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1403.985	SV1	0.65141	0.6318	1.031038	0.3025	PCI
C(2)	-58.88527	SV2	0.485647	0.914514	0.531043	0.5954	GRP
C(3)	-11.82238	SV3	0.52884	0.436857	1.210556	0.2261	RFDI
C(4)	-8.527534	SV4	-0.284274	0.369608	-0.769124	0.4418	GCF
C(5)	-14.54576	SV5	0.797076	0.562752	1.41639	0.1567	UR
C(6)	-196.5812	SV6	-0.168421	0.144293	-1.167213	0.2431	SUN
C(7)	-98.04917	SV7	0.575188	0.769387	0.747592	0.4547	OWN
C(8)	-534.425	SV8	-0.026001	1.330304	-0.019545	0.9844	ROAD
C(9)	-15.40939	SV9	-0.097186	0.020535	-4.732617	0	dummy1
C(10)	-81.15076	SV10	-0.064096	0.019462	-3.293327	0.001	dummy2
C(11)	-74.67768						

Log likelihood	-73.2745	Akaike info criterion	14.04575
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.49025
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.88118

Table 6.5.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.805793	SV1	1.298227	0.008858	146.5628	0	GRP
C(2)	-24.56046						

Log likelihood	-11.32753	Akaike info criterion	2.221255
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	2.302073
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.191334

Table 6.5.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-245.6732	SV1	-2.233045	3.94882	-0.565497	0.5717	PCI
C(2)	-7.523907	SV2	-0.349166	2.694033	-0.129607	0.8969	GRP
C(3)	-11.81188	SV3	1.50788	3.062322	0.492398	0.6224	RFDI
C(4)	-24.04704	SV4	-0.668617	1.002917	-0.666672	0.505	GCF
C(5)	-7.088054	SV5	-0.030015	0.65281	-0.045978	0.9633	UR
C(6)	-376.0101	SV6	0.4149	0.517236	0.802148	0.4225	SUN
C(7)	-336.924	SV7	-2.481283	3.772915	-0.657657	0.5108	OWN
C(8)	-77.66119	SV8	4.716369	7.634975	0.617732	0.5368	ROAD
C(9)	-21.07171	SV9	-0.22371	0.141055	-1.585976	0.1127	dummy1
C(10)	-18.79985	SV10	-0.057294	0.211259	-0.271202	0.7862	dummy2
C(11)	-4.41284						

Log likelihood	-76.38069	Akaike info criterion	14.56345
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.00795
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.39888

Table 6.5.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-48.49303	SV1	1.579622	2.321989	0.680288	0.4963	PCI
C(2)	-25.08013	SV2	-1.896644	5.139164	-0.369057	0.7121	GRP
C(3)	-44.22116	SV3	0.358662	1.411949	0.254019	0.7995	RFDI
C(4)	-28.43392	SV4	2.127805	4.325628	0.491907	0.6228	GCF
C(5)	-24.06371	SV5	-0.169077	0.700806	-0.241261	0.8094	UR
C(6)	-66.20874	SV6	-0.225557	0.678547	-0.332412	0.7396	SUN
C(7)	-82.27778	SV7	0.9862	3.939105	0.250361	0.8023	OWN
C(8)	-3.814103	SV8	-1.382358	4.09359	-0.337688	0.7356	ROAD
C(9)	-25.54766	SV9	0.094135	0.376454	0.250058	0.8025	dummy1
C(10)	-22.3056	SV10	-0.103786	0.110273	-0.94117	0.3466	dummy2
C(11)	-8.437504						

Log likelihood	-78.49326	Akaike info criterion	14.91554
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.36004
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.75097

Table 6.5.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	1.158984	SV1	-17.46401	2.375116	-7.352908	0	UR
C(2)	0.875696	SV2	-0.229835	0.488952	-0.470056	0.6383	dummy2
C(3)	-7.641531						

Log likelihood	-43.4087	Akaike info criterion	7.734783
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	7.85601
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.689901

Table 6.5.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-26.68464	SV1	1.168723	0.036741	31.80934	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.262796	SV2	0.843425	0.204142	4.131552	0	UR
C(3)	-20.65421						

Log likelihood	-19.67427	Akaike info criterion	3.779045
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.900271
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.734162

Table 6.5.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-239.0859	SV1	1.006273	1.27258	0.790735	0.4291	RFDI
C(2)	-28.73962	SV2	-0.444553	1.631306	-0.272514	0.7852	UR
C(3)	-233.9969	SV3	0.622613	0.829427	0.750654	0.4529	ROAD
C(4)	-5.265646	SV4	-0.269354	0.131147	-2.053824	0.04	dummy1
C(5)	-13.99814	SV5	-0.154536	0.133109	-1.160977	0.2457	dummy2
C(6)	-18.55066						

Log likelihood	-49.29404	Akaike info criterion	9.215673
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	9.458126
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	9.125908

Table 6.5.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-108.2187	SV1	2.241464	0.111043	20.18564	0	RFDI
C(2)	-4.975372	SV2	1.050339	0.315053	3.333845	0.0009	UR
C(3)	-59.54149						

Log likelihood	-21.43216	Akaike info criterion	4.072027
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.193254
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.027145

Table 6.5.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-4.500648	SV1	1.309574	0.014717	88.98186	0	PCI
C(2)	-8.825022	SV2	1.220469	0.289613	4.21414	0	UR
C(3)	-21.37434	SV3	-0.126359	0.033842	-3.733758	0.0002	dummy2
C(4)	-80.20814						

Log likelihood	-24.84124	Akaike info criterion	4.806873
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.968508
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.747029

Table 6.5.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-118.5398	SV1	1.086571	0.01975	55.01672	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.849221	SV2	-0.093197	0.031625	-2.946984	0.0032	dummy2
C(3)	-46.77493						

Log likelihood	-18.31335	Akaike info criterion	3.552224
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.673451
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.507342

Table 6.5.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-12.90583	SV1	-1.068378	35.92335	-0.02974	0.9763	PCI
C(2)	-0.96887	SV2	0.753729	103.4509	0.007286	0.9942	GRP
C(3)	-1.419259	SV3	1.482072	33.45899	0.044295	0.9647	RFDI
C(4)	-1.893219	SV4	-0.365454	70.35723	-0.005194	0.9959	GCF
C(5)	-1.693684	SV5	1.590046	116.5197	0.013646	0.9891	UR
C(6)	-33.2441	SV6	-1.659146	50.432	-0.032899	0.9738	SUN
C(7)	-33.36684	SV7	1.911142	78.89481	0.024224	0.9807	OWN
C(8)	-6.012114	SV8	1.054978	58.92034	0.017905	0.9857	ROAD
C(9)	-1.088956	SV9	0.716647	60.3817	0.011869	0.9905	dummy1
C(10)	-0.030558	SV10	0.167366	11.02008	0.015187	0.9879	dummy2
C(11)	-0.724745						

Log likelihood	-80.13416	Akaike info criterion	15.18903
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.63353
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.02446

Table 6.5.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-159.143	SV1	1.046579	0.034214	30.58931	0	PCI
C(2)	-6.750247	SV2	-0.172296	0.054785	-3.144918	0.0017	dummy2
C(3)	-47.18847						

Log likelihood	-23.80849	Akaike info criterion	4.468081
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.589308
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.423199

Table 6.5.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-5.331738	SV1	1.383889	0.332638	4.160351	0	UR
C(2)	-36.43753	SV2	1.752076	0.063339	27.66184	0	ROAD
C(3)	-6.634423						

Log likelihood	-19.45993	Akaike info criterion	3.743322
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.864549
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.69844

Table 6.5.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-51.68431	SV1	1.384503	0.079667	17.37869	0	GCF
C(2)	-5.059808	SV2	-0.190206	0.081136	-2.34428	0.0191	dummy2
C(3)	-57.4601						

Log likelihood	-26.17271	Akaike info criterion	4.862118
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.983345
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.817235

Table 6.5.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-47.39454	SV1	1.359016	0.032884	41.32728	0	ROAD
C(2)	-6.829523	SV2	-0.182026	0.042078	-4.325899	0	dummy1
C(3)	-72.65819	SV3	-0.090301	0.042145	-2.142629	0.0321	dummy2
C(4)	-93.43388						

Log likelihood	-29.83371	Akaike info criterion	5.638952
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.800588
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.579109

Table 6.5.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-13.72731	SV1	0.628099	16.8565	0.037261	0.9703	PCI
C(2)	-0.888787	SV2	0.896053	26.29908	0.034072	0.9728	GRP
C(3)	-1.383206	SV3	0.149504	1.737244	0.086058	0.9314	RFDI
C(4)	-11.5984	SV4	-0.812764	24.88378	-0.032662	0.9739	GCF
C(5)	-1.418118	SV5	-0.07503	8.765281	-0.00856	0.9932	UR
C(6)	-9.816609	SV6	-0.468444	9.996284	-0.046862	0.9626	SUN
C(7)	-6.000927	SV7	-0.60992	64.04966	-0.009523	0.9924	OWN
C(8)	-4.221861	SV8	0.613411	62.67274	0.009788	0.9922	ROAD
C(9)	-1.276234	SV9	-0.351247	4.277693	-0.082111	0.9346	dummy1
C(10)	-4.141688	SV10	-0.229999	3.021506	-0.076121	0.9393	dummy2
C(11)	-0.050826						

Log likelihood	-88.06635	Akaike info criterion	16.51106
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.95556
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	16.34649

Table 6.5.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	2.577117	SV1	-9.292734	0.881077	-10.54702	0	UR
C(2)	-206.0353						

Log likelihood	-40.81291	Akaike info criterion	7.135485
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	7.216303
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.105564

Table 6.5.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-249.0454	SV1	-0.067954	1.263343	-0.053789	0.9571	PCI
C(2)	-8.17514	SV2	-1.449844	1.521344	-0.953002	0.3406	GRP
C(3)	-42.82583	SV3	-0.191235	0.601044	-0.318171	0.7504	RFDI
C(4)	-33.2624	SV4	1.178957	1.193136	0.988116	0.3231	GCF
C(5)	-114.0302	SV5	0.204683	0.196604	1.041093	0.2978	UR
C(6)	-320.2282	SV6	0.029029	0.190751	0.152184	0.879	SUN
C(7)	-92.76237	SV7	-1.304865	1.678128	-0.777572	0.4368	OWN
C(8)	-70.7253	SV8	2.715011	2.889302	0.939677	0.3474	ROAD
C(9)	-354.0957	SV9	-0.001757	0.0487	-0.036084	0.9712	dummy1
C(10)	-94.86424	SV10	-0.217627	0.051272	-4.244546	0	dummy2
C(11)	-113.0133						

Log likelihood	-76.45293	Akaike info criterion	14.57549
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.01999
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.41092

Table 6.5.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-107.9536	SV1	0.490477	0.212833	2.304519	0.0212	PCI
C(2)	-27.42042	SV2	0.383026	0.385129	0.99454	0.32	GCF
C(3)	-5.252431	SV3	-0.123483	0.063288	-1.951123	0.051	dummy1
C(4)	-216.4049						

Log likelihood	-31.04048	Akaike info criterion	5.840081
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.001716
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.780237

Table 6.5.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-464.5672	SV1	0.347857	1.330148	0.261517	0.7937	PCI
C(2)	-9.06696	SV2	-2.289664	2.755512	-0.830939	0.406	GRP
C(3)	-22.80157	SV3	0.050496	0.138224	0.36532	0.7149	RFDI
C(4)	-79.86571	SV4	1.763351	2.410311	0.731587	0.4644	GCF
C(5)	-27.54996	SV5	0.093201	0.133125	0.700104	0.4839	UR
C(6)	-747.276	SV6	0.52831	0.377023	1.401267	0.1611	SUN
C(7)	-262.6817	SV7	1.133975	2.347453	0.483066	0.629	OWN
C(8)	-100.3497	SV8	0.497256	2.552259	0.19483	0.8455	ROAD
C(9)	-467.872	SV9	0.028333	0.045047	0.628969	0.5294	dummy1
C(10)	-657.1078	SV10	-0.190988	0.190133	-1.004494	0.3151	dummy2
C(11)	-4.452121						

Log likelihood	-75.50301	Akaike info criterion	14.41717
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.86167
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.2526

Table 6.5.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Japanese tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-16.44148	SV1	0.498558	0.363588	1.371218	0.1703	PCI
C(2)	-63.86157	SV2	0.931451	0.644747	1.444676	0.1485	GRP
C(3)	-11.47731	SV3	-0.147801	0.077787	-1.900074	0.0574	RFDI
C(4)	-393.3413	SV4	-1.246875	0.515684	-2.417907	0.0156	GCF
C(5)	-9.696804	SV5	-0.973862	0.26624	-3.657835	0.0003	UR
C(6)	-39.24363	SV6	-0.137828	0.473276	-0.291222	0.7709	SUN
C(7)	-34.57011	SV7	0.276003	0.801364	0.344417	0.7305	OWN
C(8)	-998.8255	SV8	1.090368	0.432936	2.518541	0.0118	ROAD
C(9)	-7.630414	SV9	-0.193497	0.070653	-2.738679	0.0062	dummy1
C(10)	-26.36987	SV10	-0.325494	0.041218	-7.89681	0	dummy2
C(11)	-100.6654						

Log likelihood	-78.10089	Akaike info criterion	14.85015
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.29465
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.68558

Table 6.6.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.554746	SV1	1.487306	299.1486	0.004972	0.996	PCI
C(2)	-0.595143	SV2	-0.884924	64.63255	-0.013692	0.9891	GRP
C(3)	-0.535094	SV3	3.124368	155.5064	0.020092	0.984	RFDI
C(4)	-0.451524	SV4	-1.723835	73.73753	-0.023378	0.9813	GCF
C(5)	-0.488687	SV5	2.017403	91.59356	0.022026	0.9824	UR
C(6)	-3.368072	SV6	0.206619	36.15157	0.005715	0.9954	SUN
C(7)	-1.263952	SV7	-0.496911	488.9672	-0.001016	0.9992	OWN
C(8)	-0.469129	SV8	0.025551	680.3917	3.76E-05	1	ROAD
C(9)	-0.588383	SV9	0.120219	8.472934	0.014189	0.9887	dummy1
C(10)	-0.024196	SV10	0.834283	40.32756	0.020688	0.9835	dummy2
C(11)	-0.172835						

Log likelihood	-81.43532	Akaike info criterion	15.40589
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.85038
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.24132

Table 6.6.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-86.3303	SV1	-0.004609	1.059745	-0.004349	0.9965	PCI
C(2)	-184.8373	SV2	1.563946	1.209002	1.293584	0.1958	GRP
C(3)	-209.916	SV3	0.248506	0.280592	0.885648	0.3758	UR
C(4)	-163.897	SV4	0.211599	0.580044	0.364799	0.7153	SUN
C(5)	-39.33293	SV5	-0.228873	0.919693	-0.248857	0.8035	ROAD
C(6)	-5.315487						

Log likelihood	-47.76603	Akaike info criterion	8.961006
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	9.203459
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.871241

Table 6.6.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-674.6322	SV1	0.282604	0.941305	0.300226	0.764	PCI
C(2)	-8.869591	SV2	2.167285	2.212859	0.979405	0.3274	GRP
C(3)	-8.921321	SV3	1.541756	1.146158	1.345151	0.1786	RFDI
C(4)	-7.6263	SV4	-1.41293	1.716002	-0.823385	0.4103	GCF
C(5)	-51.94144	SV5	0.262139	0.247545	1.058954	0.2896	UR
C(6)	-534.4266	SV6	-0.147701	0.442511	-0.333778	0.7385	SUN
C(7)	-56.13308	SV7	-0.560537	1.395586	-0.40165	0.6879	OWN
C(8)	-26.55911	SV8	-0.737136	2.967103	-0.248436	0.8038	ROAD
C(9)	-30.65544	SV9	-0.566925	0.040696	-13.93089	0	dummy1
C(10)	-106.1454	SV10	-0.073073	0.04094	-1.784876	0.0743	dummy2
C(11)	-84.92005						

Log likelihood	-76.02763	Akaike info criterion	14.5046
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.9491
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.34004

Table 6.6.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1217.836	SV1	-1.892776	2.011431	-0.94101	0.3467	PCI
C(2)	-10.21983	SV2	8.778517	4.861511	1.805718	0.071	GRP
C(3)	-34.51603	SV3	-0.88917	1.462116	-0.608139	0.5431	RFDI
C(4)	-34.17827	SV4	-6.061647	3.301664	-1.835937	0.0664	GCF
C(5)	-5.090551	SV5	-0.23524	0.865926	-0.271663	0.7859	UR
C(6)	-669.092	SV6	0.347319	0.694051	0.500423	0.6168	SUN
C(7)	-767.5252	SV7	-0.207348	2.722709	-0.076155	0.9393	OWN
C(8)	-5.535311	SV8	0.508912	3.899558	0.130505	0.8962	ROAD
C(9)	-6.603629	SV9	-0.366242	0.20223	-1.811017	0.0701	dummy1
C(10)	-1274.586	SV10	-0.109635	0.146104	-0.750387	0.453	dummy2
C(11)	-1588.283						

Log likelihood	-81.31046	Akaike info criterion	15.38508
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.82958
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.22051

Table 6.6.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-120.2266	SV1	1.266605	0.441983	2.865731	0.0042	PCI
C(2)	-94.04121	SV2	-0.619343	0.796496	-0.777585	0.4368	GRP
C(3)	-183.8037	SV3	1.122271	0.41602	2.697634	0.007	RFDI
C(4)	-17.20307	SV4	1.05179	0.202767	5.187171	0	UR
C(5)	-138.6968	SV5	1.759734	0.585754	3.00422	0.0027	SUN
C(6)	-86.15962	SV6	-1.184761	0.710627	-1.667204	0.0955	OWN
C(7)	-5.464347						

Log likelihood	-49.9161	Akaike info criterion	9.486016
Parameters	7	Schwarz criterion	9.768878
Diffuse priors	6	Hannan-Quinn criter.	9.38129

Table 6.6.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-498.2038	SV1	0.546441	4.745506	0.115149	0.9083	PCI
C(2)	-6.848948	SV2	5.241002	3.602167	1.454958	0.1457	GRP
C(3)	-15.56188	SV3	-0.688416	1.56749	-0.439184	0.6605	RFDI
C(4)	-86.96367	SV4	-2.377489	1.219689	-1.949258	0.0513	GCF
C(5)	-47.62502	SV5	0.359616	0.504402	0.712955	0.4759	UR
C(6)	-63.16997	SV6	-0.302927	0.49769	-0.608665	0.5427	SUN
C(7)	-585.6865	SV7	-1.938863	7.434682	-0.260786	0.7943	OWN
C(8)	-17.61477	SV8	-0.655198	12.56738	-0.052135	0.9584	ROAD
C(9)	-9.141737	SV9	-0.162642	0.108234	-1.502694	0.1329	dummy1
C(10)	-8.169273	SV10	0.043825	0.071648	0.611681	0.5407	dummy2
C(11)	-8.410989						

Log likelihood	-76.93445	Akaike info criterion	14.65574
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.10024
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.49117

Table 6.6.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-39.42544	SV1	1.279323	0.50679	2.524367	0.0116	PCI
C(2)	-57.30113	SV2	0.221414	0.7066	0.313351	0.754	RFDI
C(3)	-4.31148	SV3	-0.264581	0.807528	-0.327643	0.7432	GCF
C(4)	-47.61514	SV4	-0.304917	0.219769	-1.387448	0.1653	UR
C(5)	-228.978						

Log likelihood	-39.86286	Akaike info criterion	7.477144
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.679188
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.40234

Table 6.6.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-371.7058	SV1	1.344461	2.519299	0.533665	0.5936	PCI
C(2)	-5.804851	SV2	1.775523	3.275786	0.542014	0.5878	GRP
C(3)	-22.80742	SV3	-5.05507	5.293753	-0.954912	0.3396	RFDI
C(4)	-20.88036	SV4	1.367217	2.018488	0.677347	0.4982	GCF
C(5)	-6.85358	SV5	-1.245823	2.322111	-0.536504	0.5916	UR
C(6)	-436.1055	SV6	1.218771	2.232822	0.545843	0.5852	SUN
C(7)	-296.6165	SV7	0.606325	3.220751	0.188256	0.8507	OWN
C(8)	-21.12632	SV8	-1.316558	6.224116	-0.211525	0.8325	ROAD
C(9)	-85.1905	SV9	-0.406478	0.3278	-1.240017	0.215	dummy1
C(10)	-34.94607	SV10	-0.311225	0.366033	-0.850267	0.3952	dummy2
C(11)	-109.2655						

Log likelihood	-77.42417	Akaike info criterion	14.73736
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.18186
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.57279

Table 6.6.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-297.4518	SV1	0.889095	0.650776	1.366207	0.1719	PCI
C(2)	-8.63423	SV2	-0.445653	1.290645	-0.345294	0.7299	GRP
C(3)	-10.17539	SV3	8.452545	7.570682	1.116484	0.2642	RFDI
C(4)	-9.333377	SV4	-6.978386	5.191323	-1.34424	0.1789	GCF
C(5)	-11.40546	SV5	-2.214275	3.166519	-0.699277	0.4844	UR
C(6)	-362.5108	SV6	2.560655	1.746975	1.465765	0.1427	SUN
C(7)	-364.8645	SV7	-1.244546	1.14051	-1.091219	0.2752	OWN
C(8)	-24.64206	SV8	0.871125	1.290355	0.675104	0.4996	ROAD
C(9)	-9.117619	SV9	-0.088839	2.183604	-0.040685	0.9675	dummy1
C(10)	-0.048392	SV10	-0.368439	0.810746	-0.454445	0.6495	dummy2
C(11)	-1.648683						

Log likelihood	-73.31832	Akaike info criterion	14.05305
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.49755
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.88848

Table 6.6.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.444923	SV1	-0.560226	0.620087	-0.903463	0.3663	OWN
C(2)	-2618.008	SV2	1.723779	0.344216	5.007836	0	ROAD
C(3)	-6.956616						

Log likelihood	-23.71806	Akaike info criterion	4.45301
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.574237
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.408128

Table 6.6.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-232.2285	SV1	1.893549	2.229645	0.849261	0.3957	PCI
C(2)	-17.65824	SV2	2.316135	5.142414	0.450398	0.6524	GRP
C(3)	-10.75391	SV3	-0.757426	1.4984	-0.50549	0.6132	RFDI
C(4)	-6.481821	SV4	-0.829638	2.144178	-0.386926	0.6988	GCF
C(5)	-7.281527	SV5	2.067171	1.59819	1.293445	0.1959	UR
C(6)	-304.0397	SV6	0.292932	0.838896	0.349187	0.7269	SUN
C(7)	-310.4911	SV7	-0.310303	4.056617	-0.076493	0.939	OWN
C(8)	-23.84511	SV8	-1.394818	7.418523	-0.188018	0.8509	ROAD
C(9)	-13.42628	SV9	-0.033643	0.083228	-0.404226	0.686	dummy1
C(10)	-14.51888	SV10	-0.01252	0.075195	-0.166496	0.8678	dummy2
C(11)	-7.458507						

Log likelihood	-76.14844	Akaike info criterion	14.52474
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.96924
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.36017

Table 6.6.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-13.55932	SV1	1.161599	37.04566	0.031356	0.975	PCI
C(2)	-0.852564	SV2	6.915383	200.7653	0.034445	0.9725	GRP
C(3)	-0.870836	SV3	0.59758	95.53011	0.006255	0.995	RFDI
C(4)	-1.487587	SV4	-3.512782	71.16022	-0.049364	0.9606	GCF
C(5)	-0.976882	SV5	0.663755	32.89178	0.02018	0.9839	UR
C(6)	-11.01618	SV6	0.192096	23.19184	0.008283	0.9934	SUN
C(7)	-13.37037	SV7	-3.567461	48.11148	-0.07415	0.9409	OWN
C(8)	-1.324171	SV8	-1.916713	134.9091	-0.014207	0.9887	ROAD
C(9)	-0.88231	SV9	0.146759	9.29839	0.015783	0.9874	dummy1
C(10)	-0.025132	SV10	-0.050682	5.054202	-0.010028	0.992	dummy2
C(11)	-1.011302						

Log likelihood	-83.5442	Akaike info criterion	15.75737
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.20186
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.5928

Table 6.6.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-151.99	SV1	-0.848341	1.601717	-0.529644	0.5964	PCI
C(2)	-7.434029	SV2	3.417556	1.600842	2.134849	0.0328	GRP
C(3)	-24.74553	SV3	3.65059	2.030683	1.797715	0.0722	RFDI
C(4)	-1443.667	SV4	-2.251593	0.567032	-3.970841	0.0001	GCF
C(5)	-25.78328	SV5	-0.149772	0.308722	-0.485136	0.6276	UR
C(6)	-754.7513	SV6	1.00363	0.320386	3.132562	0.0017	SUN
C(7)	-719.2527	SV7	-0.631902	2.101219	-0.300731	0.7636	OWN
C(8)	-25.44289	SV8	-1.739929	3.642205	-0.477713	0.6329	ROAD
C(9)	-16.62386	SV9	-0.178394	0.057886	-3.081838	0.0021	dummy1
C(10)	-91.03314	SV10	0.027156	0.055383	0.490337	0.6239	dummy2
C(11)	-93.36813						

Log likelihood	-77.1376	Akaike info criterion	14.6896
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.1341
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.52503

Table 6.6.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.960535	SV1	0.10375	0.20416	0.508181	0.6113	PCI
C(2)	-29.27377	SV2	1.924569	0.587048	3.278384	0.001	RFDI
C(3)	-21.46707	SV3	-0.287804	0.550576	-0.522734	0.6012	GCF
C(4)	-57.032	SV4	-0.08231	0.247166	-0.333016	0.7391	UR
C(5)	-37.42491						

Log likelihood	-38.6672	Akaike info criterion	7.277866
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.479911
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.203062

Table 6.6.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-254.6047	SV1	-1.877216	2.755109	-0.681358	0.4956	PCI
C(2)	-7.456897	SV2	-2.92668	5.224965	-0.560134	0.5754	GRP
C(3)	-85.47533	SV3	1.854478	3.636551	0.509955	0.6101	RFDI
C(4)	-11.53172	SV4	1.495799	2.19052	0.682851	0.4947	GCF
C(5)	-38.72962	SV5	0.887568	0.910927	0.974357	0.3299	UR
C(6)	-516.2107	SV6	-0.085104	0.823089	-0.103395	0.9176	SUN
C(7)	-212.9082	SV7	-3.458529	3.821401	-0.905042	0.3654	OWN
C(8)	-24.68451	SV8	6.063113	7.335795	0.826511	0.4085	ROAD
C(9)	-7.104634	SV9	-0.091572	0.076202	-1.201712	0.2295	dummy1
C(10)	-43.23622	SV10	-0.003577	0.086271	-0.041466	0.9669	dummy2
C(11)	-27.48844						

Log likelihood	-75.7706	Akaike info criterion	14.46177
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.90626
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.2972

Table 6.6.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-213.8906	SV1	1.600158	1.313073	1.218636	0.223	PCI
C(2)	-17.66956	SV2	-3.596947	2.672523	-1.3459	0.1783	GRP
C(3)	-7.937489	SV3	0.561093	1.082579	0.518293	0.6043	RFDI
C(4)	-22.6019	SV4	2.590492	2.018028	1.283675	0.1993	GCF
C(5)	-7.621601	SV5	0.888876	0.334984	2.653487	0.008	UR
C(6)	-327.6648	SV6	-0.067171	0.584002	-0.115018	0.9084	SUN
C(7)	-403.008	SV7	-1.729307	2.308187	-0.749206	0.4537	OWN
C(8)	-24.50937	SV8	1.47211	3.529541	0.417082	0.6766	ROAD
C(9)	-7.938523	SV9	0.039753	0.070189	0.56637	0.5711	dummy1
C(10)	-91.98837	SV10	-0.075817	0.06701	-1.131429	0.2579	dummy2
C(11)	-108.1352						

Log likelihood	-77.4876	Akaike info criterion	14.74793
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.19243
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.58336

Table 6.6.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.318059	SV1	1.5376	0.940737	1.634463	0.1022	RFDI
C(2)	-6.05221	SV2	1.402535	1.146036	1.223814	0.221	UR
C(3)	-10.36906	SV3	-2.027557	1.38221	-1.466895	0.1424	OWN
C(4)	-5.673872	SV4	1.470492	1.008635	1.457904	0.1449	ROAD
C(5)	-6.528765						

Log likelihood	-37.71169	Akaike info criterion	7.118615
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.32066
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.043811

Table 6.6.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.80373	SV1	-4.65304	1.291684	-3.602305	0.0003	OWN
C(2)	-27.53408	SV2	4.277433	0.75828	5.640966	0	ROAD
C(3)	-5.489849						

Log likelihood	-26.38972	Akaike info criterion	4.898286
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.019513
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.853404

Table 6.6.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-211.7403	SV1	-2.319257	2.284247	-1.015327	0.3099	PCI
C(2)	-28.56735	SV2	1.255266	5.628546	0.223018	0.8235	GRP
C(3)	-79.93119	SV3	2.21304	5.528498	0.400297	0.6889	RFDI
C(4)	-8.26165	SV4	-3.419121	4.176892	-0.81858	0.413	GCF
C(5)	-24.40074	SV5	2.220102	2.409966	0.921217	0.3569	UR
C(6)	-490.304	SV6	-0.268813	0.620607	-0.433146	0.6649	SUN
C(7)	-342.6506	SV7	-4.120432	2.930003	-1.40629	0.1596	OWN
C(8)	-24.84223	SV8	6.384308	9.451164	0.675505	0.4994	ROAD
C(9)	-6.449324	SV9	0.154011	0.181157	0.850154	0.3952	dummy1
C(10)	-13.14723	SV10	-0.083275	0.107909	-0.771721	0.4403	dummy2
C(11)	-8.803927						

Log likelihood	-75.09233	Akaike info criterion	14.34872
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.79322
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.18415

Table 6.6.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-6.432193	SV1	2.119435	0.693056	3.058101	0.0022	PCI
C(2)	-5.380831	SV2	-1.309873	0.903912	-1.449116	0.1473	GCF
C(3)	-124.4275						

Log likelihood	-27.04093	Akaike info criterion	5.006822
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.128049
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.96194

Table 6.6.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-44.15674	SV1	3.378287	2.674952	1.262934	0.2066	PCI
C(2)	-5.040245	SV2	3.099081	8.180371	0.378844	0.7048	GRP
C(3)	-312.31	SV3	3.718224	2.604974	1.427356	0.1535	RFDI
C(4)	-31.80329	SV4	-8.350906	4.891927	-1.707079	0.0878	GCF
C(5)	-28.71245	SV5	12.6308	9.153284	1.37992	0.1676	UR
C(6)	-27.92968	SV6	-9.427465	4.720518	-1.997125	0.0458	SUN
C(7)	-25.40326	SV7	1.172282	4.710754	0.248852	0.8035	OWN
C(8)	-25.14263	SV8	0.002965	5.057607	0.000586	0.9995	ROAD
C(9)	-27.76285	SV9	6.880952	4.767312	1.443361	0.1489	dummy1
C(10)	-21.8586	SV10	1.517588	0.899266	1.687585	0.0915	dummy2
C(11)	-19.28422						

Log likelihood	-77.02804	Akaike info criterion	14.67134
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.11584
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.50677

Table 6.6.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-4.558261	SV1	1.839839	0.789593	2.330111	0.0198	PCI
C(2)	-136.4244	SV2	0.866396	1.266099	0.684304	0.4938	GCF
C(3)	-193.7258	SV3	-1.740372	1.328663	-1.309867	0.1902	ROAD
C(4)	-5.359034						
Log likelihood	-32.18986	Akaike info criterion	6.031643				
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.193279				
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.9718				

Table 6.6.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-11.75487	SV1	-1.999124	96.78443	-0.020655	0.9835	PCI
C(2)	-0.845805	SV2	0.600115	91.45561	0.006562	0.9948	GRP
C(3)	-0.839243	SV3	-0.527455	25.02803	-0.021075	0.9832	RFDI
C(4)	-1.341025	SV4	1.425204	59.18884	0.024079	0.9808	GCF
C(5)	-0.908726	SV5	0.446562	36.59964	0.012201	0.9903	UR
C(6)	-11.82179	SV6	-0.414449	21.69713	-0.019102	0.9848	SUN
C(7)	-23.60203	SV7	-3.585788	93.22863	-0.038462	0.9693	OWN
C(8)	-1.327901	SV8	4.456028	179.9044	0.024769	0.9802	ROAD
C(9)	-0.894814	SV9	-0.067582	6.548388	-0.01032	0.9918	dummy1
C(10)	-0.014204	SV10	-0.040154	3.175264	-0.012646	0.9899	dummy2
C(11)	-0.510229						
Log likelihood	-85.65098	Akaike info criterion	16.1085				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.55299				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.94393				

Table 6.6.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-75.88768	SV1	2.57105	3.758836	0.684002	0.494	PCI
C(2)	-21.31371	SV2	10.27928	8.446061	1.217051	0.2236	GRP
C(3)	-365.5798	SV3	-0.857693	0.495793	-1.72994	0.0836	RFDI
C(4)	-70.8824	SV4	-4.661699	3.719856	-1.253193	0.2101	GCF
C(5)	-99.108	SV5	0.270684	1.326236	0.204099	0.8383	UR
C(6)	-807.0184	SV6	0.548687	2.24064	0.24488	0.8065	SUN
C(7)	-0.389042	SV7	3.676865	5.552112	0.662246	0.5078	OWN
C(8)	-19.56194	SV8	-9.745914	12.39389	-0.786348	0.4317	ROAD
C(9)	-81.38484	SV9	-0.61037	0.261995	-2.329697	0.0198	dummy1
C(10)	-57.94452	SV10	-0.207681	0.236829	-0.876926	0.3805	dummy2
C(11)	-58.17093						
Log likelihood	-79.18381	Akaike info criterion	15.03063				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.47513				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.86607				

Table 6.6.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-83.55551	SV1	0.667363	0.72708	0.917867	0.3587	PCI
C(2)	-216.0345	SV2	-0.077793	2.585788	-0.030085	0.976	GRP
C(3)	-41.58342	SV3	0.573797	0.532771	1.077006	0.2815	RFDI
C(4)	-5.113664	SV4	0.801637	2.073692	0.386575	0.6991	GCF
C(5)	-13.42063	SV5	0.945953	0.455402	2.077183	0.0378	UR
C(6)	-46.55993	SV6	0.164219	0.95543	0.17188	0.8635	SUN
C(7)	-52.23126	SV7	-0.548864	0.723811	-0.758298	0.4483	OWN
C(8)	-26.27837	SV8	0.090082	1.128692	0.079811	0.9364	ROAD
C(9)	-47.93836	SV9	0.022332	0.12324	0.181208	0.8562	dummy1
C(10)	-16.20451	SV10	0.068503	0.085777	0.79862	0.4245	dummy2
C(11)	-11.20313						

Log likelihood	-79.24711	Akaike info criterion	15.04119
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.48568
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.87662

Table 6.6.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-527.1172	SV1	-1.356734	2.144972	-0.632518	0.527	PCI
C(2)	-4.152288	SV2	2.556158	3.900031	0.65542	0.5122	GRP
C(3)	-61.47533	SV3	0.205699	0.268823	0.765185	0.4442	RFDI
C(4)	-317.7604	SV4	-0.111066	3.124327	-0.035549	0.9716	GCF
C(5)	-39.57066	SV5	-0.337386	1.437908	-0.234637	0.8145	UR
C(6)	-257.3406	SV6	-1.411524	2.112414	-0.668204	0.504	SUN
C(7)	-135.9845	SV7	1.89705	4.783365	0.396593	0.6917	OWN
C(8)	-134.1531	SV8	0.086269	7.903216	0.010916	0.9913	ROAD
C(9)	-34.48075	SV9	-0.284524	0.544685	-0.522364	0.6014	dummy1
C(10)	-1300.187	SV10	-0.015168	0.330288	-0.045924	0.9634	dummy2
C(11)	-44.75349						

Log likelihood	-86.07122	Akaike info criterion	16.17854
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.62304
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	16.01397

Table 6.6.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-48.36038	SV1	0.150397	3.422041	0.043949	0.9649	PCI
C(2)	-6.926572	SV2	-4.213994	5.425801	-0.776658	0.4374	GRP
C(3)	-212.3663	SV3	-0.154202	0.286183	-0.538822	0.59	RFDI
C(4)	-82.09785	SV4	3.214004	3.633477	0.884553	0.3764	GCF
C(5)	-35.14318	SV5	0.782903	0.666096	1.17536	0.2399	UR
C(6)	-78.15633	SV6	-0.593397	0.841758	-0.70495	0.4808	SUN
C(7)	-112.6678	SV7	-1.755003	4.18492	-0.419364	0.675	OWN
C(8)	-37.71444	SV8	3.8944	9.209932	0.422848	0.6724	ROAD
C(9)	-17.66275	SV9	-0.508239	0.1521	-3.341471	0.0008	dummy1
C(10)	-22.61041	SV10	-0.040768	0.056574	-0.720615	0.4711	dummy2
C(11)	-35.83824						

Log likelihood	-79.35629	Akaike info criterion	15.05938
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.50388
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.89481

Table 6.6.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1444.968	SV1	-5.257404	5.590207	-0.940467	0.347	PCI
C(2)	-33.38631	SV2	-6.760543	6.418628	-1.053269	0.2922	GRP
C(3)	-20.94241	SV3	-0.35834	0.637606	-0.562007	0.5741	RFDI
C(4)	-44.84118	SV4	4.563375	4.079923	1.118495	0.2634	GCF
C(5)	-55.3082	SV5	0.501894	0.454502	1.104272	0.2695	UR
C(6)	-1174.769	SV6	0.752167	1.773162	0.424195	0.6714	SUN
C(7)	-36.44566	SV7	-9.962232	7.956458	-1.252094	0.2105	OWN
C(8)	-23.72202	SV8	19.0666	16.18927	1.177731	0.2389	ROAD
C(9)	-4.911455	SV9	0.174621	0.19235	0.907826	0.364	dummy1
C(10)	-78.84537	SV10	-0.025015	0.116637	-0.214469	0.8302	dummy2
C(11)	-84.47441						

Log likelihood	-80.68421	Akaike info criterion	15.2807
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.7252
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.11613

Table 6.6.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-614.7525	SV1	-0.33355	0.178812	-1.865363	0.0621	PCI
C(2)	-51.68	SV2	1.732625	0.326072	5.313632	0	GCF
C(3)	-362.4072	SV3	0.248581	0.440947	0.563744	0.5729	UR
C(4)	-1.924629						

Log likelihood	-30.27567	Akaike info criterion	5.712611
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.874247
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.652768

Table 6.6.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.524571	SV1	0.287584	0.176738	1.62718	0.1037	PCI
C(2)	-9.320747	SV2	0.446706	0.304978	1.464718	0.143	GCF
C(3)	-26.37555						

Log likelihood	-26.49751	Akaike info criterion	4.916251
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.037478
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.871369

Table 6.6.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Korean tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-705.6451	SV1	-0.157354	0.878005	-0.179218	0.8578	PCI
C(2)	-6.482889	SV2	1.688434	2.052095	0.822785	0.4106	GRP
C(3)	-17.79387	SV3	-0.108105	0.204042	-0.529816	0.5962	RFDI
C(4)	-25.57635	SV4	-1.363018	1.580164	-0.86258	0.3884	GCF
C(5)	-17.59274	SV5	-0.497322	0.600338	-0.828404	0.4074	UR
C(6)	-1055.119	SV6	0.682249	0.896851	0.760717	0.4468	SUN
C(7)	-782.019	SV7	-0.724805	0.674136	-1.075161	0.2823	OWN
C(8)	-21.06678	SV8	1.670741	1.228475	1.360012	0.1738	ROAD
C(9)	-32.04206	SV9	-0.221929	0.170649	-1.300495	0.1934	dummy1
C(10)	-98.94837	SV10	-0.258546	0.087082	-2.969005	0.003	dummy2
C(11)	-97.67316						

Log likelihood	-81.1181	Akaike info criterion	15.35302
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.79751
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.18845

Table 6.7.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-32.52453	SV1	1.777608	0.047066	37.76806	0	RFDI
C(2)	-6.11239	SV2	-0.078092	0.04381	-1.782534	0.0747	dummy1
C(3)	-29.01173						

Log likelihood	-20.74073	Akaike info criterion	3.956788
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.078014
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.911905

Table 6.7.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-187.2053	SV1	0.638401	0.216922	2.942991	0.0033	PCI
C(2)	-4.340489	SV2	2.050404	0.745259	2.751264	0.0059	UR
C(3)	-33.22772	SV3	1.311888	0.85071	1.542109	0.123	SUN
C(4)	-163.8626	SV4	0.394924	0.262687	1.503403	0.1327	dummy2
C(5)	-15.26475						

Log likelihood	-46.58186	Akaike info criterion	8.596977
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	8.799022
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.522173

Table 6.7.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3364.927	SV1	1.286736	0.026265	48.99009	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.279018	SV2	-1.08002	0.033046	-32.68187	0	dummy1
C(3)	-64.09705	SV3	-0.277757	0.033663	-8.250998	0	dummy2
C(4)	-82.23675						

Log likelihood	-28.39029	Akaike info criterion	5.398381
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.560016
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.338538

Table 6.7.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-29.40428	SV1	1.026868	0.048478	21.18213	0	GRP
C(2)	-6.05329	SV2	-0.121052	0.056687	-2.135433	0.0327	dummy1
C(3)	-89.47468	SV3	-0.257995	0.059228	-4.355948	0	dummy2
C(4)	-114.8351						

Log likelihood	-32.82279	Akaike info criterion	6.137131
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.298766
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.077288

Table 6.7.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.590382	SV1	0.904908	0.035969	25.15783	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.260343	SV2	-0.304985	0.075602	-4.034092	0.0001	dummy2
C(3)	-35.88108						

Log likelihood	-24.71499	Akaike info criterion	4.619165
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.740391
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.574282

Table 6.7.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-462.4478	SV1	0.593623	1.033423	0.574424	0.5657	GCF
C(2)	-97.70253	SV2	0.547126	1.046033	0.523049	0.6009	ROAD
C(3)	-5.653377						

Log likelihood	-23.77171	Akaike info criterion	4.461952
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.583179
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.41707

Table 6.7.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.263925	SV1	1.351985	0.373557	3.619221	0.0003	UR
C(2)	-166.9684	SV2	-11.62856	1.1108	-10.46864	0	OWN
C(3)	-0.166872	SV3	-0.033226	0.16767	-0.198163	0.8429	dummy1
C(4)	-247.7528	SV4	-0.201353	0.170166	-1.183277	0.2367	dummy2
C(5)	-233.2574						

Log likelihood	-46.71189	Akaike info criterion	8.618648
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	8.820692
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.543843

Table 6.7.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.297383	SV1	1.078533	0.021328	50.56896	0	ROAD
C(2)	-4190.935						

Log likelihood	-19.49855	Akaike info criterion	3.583092
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	3.66391
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.553171

Table 6.7.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-30.82704	SV1	1.797011	1.001804	1.793775	0.0728	GRP
C(2)	-49.88748	SV2	-0.861712	0.861373	-1.000393	0.3171	RFDI
C(3)	-16.06505	SV3	-0.409968	0.694748	-0.590096	0.5551	UR
C(4)	-36.91242	SV4	0.34465	0.403	0.855209	0.3924	SUN
C(5)	-23.85097	SV5	-0.565221	0.6212	-0.909886	0.3629	OWN
C(6)	-80.42286	SV6	0.145754	0.598593	0.243494	0.8076	ROAD
C(7)	-7.860634	SV7	-0.058817	0.033156	-1.773928	0.0761	dummy1
C(8)	-362.8879	SV8	-0.126636	0.079204	-1.598859	0.1099	dummy2
C(9)	-6.598827						

Log likelihood	-61.39045	Akaike info criterion	11.73174
Parameters	9	Schwarz criterion	12.09542
Diffuse priors	8	Hannan-Quinn criter.	11.5971

Table 6.7.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-106.6014	SV1	1.373543	0.043408	31.64264	0	ROAD
C(2)	-6.274224	SV2	-0.174254	0.057685	-3.020772	0.0025	dummy1
C(3)	-39.98033						

Log likelihood	-23.50864	Akaike info criterion	4.418106
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.539333
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.373224

Table 6.7.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.598831	SV1	-12.04874	1.058194	-11.38614	0	UR
C(2)	-0.304269						

Log likelihood	-27.76008	Akaike info criterion	4.960014
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	5.040831
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.930092

Table 6.7.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-151.031	SV1	-1.644584	4.519603	-0.363878	0.7159	PCI
C(2)	-140.1462	SV2	4.124387	9.320049	0.442528	0.6581	GRP
C(3)	-26.99613	SV3	-2.433395	4.538145	-0.536209	0.5918	RFDI
C(4)	-326.3458	SV4	-0.892598	3.06283	-0.291429	0.7707	GCF
C(5)	-8.626743	SV5	-0.433885	2.602811	-0.166699	0.8676	UR
C(6)	-276.1095	SV6	0.195743	1.529493	0.127979	0.8982	SUN
C(7)	-2.486129	SV7	-4.826982	5.887027	-0.819935	0.4123	OWN
C(8)	-1065.868	SV8	0.347612	8.792371	0.039536	0.9685	ROAD
C(9)	-6.689613	SV9	-0.167713	0.652336	-0.257097	0.7971	dummy1
C(10)	-188.9517	SV10	-0.171824	0.307573	-0.558645	0.5764	dummy2
C(11)	-102.5012						

Log likelihood	-76.98042	Akaike info criterion	14.6634
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.1079
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.49883

Table 6.7.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-169.6715	SV1	1.220702	0.03522	34.65975	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.062719	SV2	1.055539	0.209047	5.049285	0	SUN
C(3)	-429.3482	SV3	-0.20299	0.037761	-5.375711	0	dummy1
C(4)	-96.62606						

Log likelihood	-27.6453	Akaike info criterion	5.274217
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.435852
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.214373

Table 6.7.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-2.667765	SV1	1.568777	0.057455	27.30444	0	RFDI
C(2)	-6.291227						

Log likelihood	-14.19643	Akaike info criterion	2.699405
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	2.780223
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.669484

Table 6.7.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-367.9517	SV1	0.073901	2.17187	0.034026	0.9729	PCI
C(2)	-38.60076	SV2	0.613047	3.903093	0.157067	0.8752	GRP
C(3)	-38.18977	SV3	0.030487	2.745724	0.011103	0.9911	RFDI
C(4)	-288.5231	SV4	-0.757675	2.058013	-0.368158	0.7128	GCF
C(5)	-56.9776	SV5	1.792405	0.697543	2.5696	0.0102	UR
C(6)	-2111.956	SV6	0.88249	1.008567	0.874994	0.3816	SUN
C(7)	-3.385389	SV7	-0.166156	2.619934	-0.06342	0.9494	OWN
C(8)	-2284.363	SV8	1.156651	2.166243	0.533943	0.5934	ROAD
C(9)	-184.0986	SV9	-0.166591	0.067703	-2.460624	0.0139	dummy2
C(10)	-273.4865						

Log likelihood	-65.75638	Akaike info criterion	12.62606
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.03015
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.47646

Table 6.7.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-37.25979	SV1	2.022632	0.113292	17.85324	0	RFDI
C(2)	-60.45523	SV2	0.888917	0.148002	6.006126	0	UR
C(3)	-4.223931	SV3	-1.143215	0.717556	-1.593207	0.1111	OWN
C(4)	-28.54063	SV4	-0.148122	0.033921	-4.366674	0	dummy1
C(5)	-20.3363	SV5	-0.058837	0.032877	-1.789644	0.0735	dummy2
C(6)	-12.41937						

Log likelihood	-41.15423	Akaike info criterion	7.859038
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	8.101492
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.769273

Table 6.7.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-115.703	SV1	2.151937	2.220218	0.969246	0.3324	PCI
C(2)	-9.694763	SV2	-2.348135	2.821987	-0.832086	0.4054	GRP
C(3)	-23.0434	SV3	3.147132	4.243493	0.741637	0.4583	RFDI
C(4)	-2087.821	SV4	-1.2464	1.176528	-1.059388	0.2894	GCF
C(5)	-6.6813	SV5	0.364434	1.489212	0.244716	0.8067	UR
C(6)	-432.0921	SV6	0.413381	0.837585	0.49354	0.6216	SUN
C(7)	-76.94614	SV7	-3.401342	2.885814	-1.178642	0.2385	OWN
C(8)	-444.9139	SV8	0.178917	1.810821	0.098804	0.9213	ROAD
C(9)	-7.075095	SV9	-0.061701	0.097979	-0.629735	0.5289	dummy1
C(10)	-52.03424						

Log likelihood	-67.65186	Akaike info criterion	12.94198
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.34607
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	12.79237

Table 6.7.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-34.48245	SV1	1.395069	0.128637	10.845	0	GRP
C(2)	-4.784868	SV2	2.315668	0.671572	3.448132	0.0006	UR
C(3)	-231.6606						

Log likelihood	-29.09229	Akaike info criterion	5.348715
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.469942
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.303832

Table 6.7.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-4.906585	SV1	0.805825	0.295691	2.725225	0.0064	UR
C(2)	-201.5364	SV2	1.399192	0.018468	75.7647	0	ROAD
C(3)	-8.239307	SV3	-0.203182	0.031622	-6.425382	0	dummy2
C(4)	-117.8175						

Log likelihood	-24.16979	Akaike info criterion	4.694964
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.8566
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.635121

Table 6.7.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-102.9248	SV1	1.395428	0.052345	26.65825	0	PCI
C(2)	-5.899794	SV2	-0.157884	0.06586	-2.397283	0.0165	dummy1
C(3)	-27.6297						

Log likelihood	-25.48711	Akaike info criterion	4.747852
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.869078
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.702969

Table 6.7.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-771.0116	SV1	2.209388	0.938678	2.353724	0.0186	
C(2)	-27.32711	SV2	-1.260704	1.064397	-1.18443	0.2362	
C(3)	-4.54647	SV3	-0.178407	0.106393	-1.676866	0.0936	
C(4)	-652.8267						

Log likelihood	-36.17759	Akaike info criterion	6.696265
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.857901
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.636422

Table 6.7.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-771.0116	SV1	2.209388	0.938678	2.353724	0.0186	PCI
C(2)	-27.32711	SV2	-1.260704	1.064397	-1.18443	0.2362	GCF
C(3)	-4.54647	SV3	-0.178407	0.106393	-1.676866	0.0936	dummy1
C(4)	-652.8267						

Log likelihood	-36.17759	Akaike info criterion	6.696265
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.857901
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.636422

Table 6.7.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.853841	SV1	1.28173	0.070141	18.2735	0	PCI
C(2)	-5.677096						

Log likelihood	-21.7824	Akaike info criterion	3.963734
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	4.044551
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.933812

Table 6.7.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	1.179125	SV1	-5.224602	0.305347	-17.11039	0	UR
C(2)	-5732.759						

Log likelihood	-32.78559	Akaike info criterion	5.797598
Parameters	2	Schwarz criterion	5.878415
Diffuse priors	1	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.767676

Table 6.7.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-188.2064	SV1	0.660786	0.821833	0.804039	0.4214	PCI
C(2)	-31.40358	SV2	0.813097	1.929722	0.421354	0.6735	GRP
C(3)	-8.010623	SV3	-0.144485	0.355984	-0.405875	0.6848	RFDI
C(4)	-29.2044	SV4	-0.694002	1.4678	-0.472818	0.6363	GCF
C(5)	-7.140085	SV5	-0.190178	0.336869	-0.564545	0.5724	UR
C(6)	-103.1538	SV6	-0.904197	0.681032	-1.327687	0.1843	SUN
C(7)	-342.0004	SV7	-1.440876	1.199225	-1.201506	0.2296	OWN
C(8)	-525.0432	SV8	0.586876	0.799421	0.734127	0.4629	ROAD
C(9)	-17.37718	SV9	-0.182386	0.09356	-1.949408	0.0512	dummy1
C(10)	-102.2098	SV10	-0.10627	0.064633	-1.64421	0.1001	dummy2
C(11)	-110.4187						

Log likelihood	-77.93738	Akaike info criterion	14.8229
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.26739
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.65833

Table 6.7.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.778404	SV1	-10.90835	190.6842	-0.057206	0.9544	PCI
C(2)	-0.72494	SV2	4.847459	68.1264	0.071154	0.9433	GRP
C(3)	-0.500179	SV3	-0.299492	5.969563	-0.05017	0.96	RFDI
C(4)	-0.682328	SV4	-6.554595	96.37043	-0.068015	0.9458	GCF
C(5)	-0.486528	SV5	-4.083938	60.45752	-0.067551	0.9461	UR
C(6)	-0.523093	SV6	-5.559177	67.3053	-0.082596	0.9342	SUN
C(7)	-0.488417	SV7	-31.3475	446.3641	-0.070229	0.944	OWN
C(8)	-1.704821	SV8	15.41949	257.7356	0.059827	0.9523	ROAD
C(9)	-0.503477	SV9	-1.728485	21.99851	-0.078573	0.9374	dummy1
C(10)	-0.004959	SV10	-0.762791	10.49826	-0.072659	0.9421	dummy2
C(11)	-0.17178						

Log likelihood	-86.42724	Akaike info criterion	16.23787
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.68237
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	16.0733

Table 6.7.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-76.21238	SV1	1.11304	0.094394	11.79144	0	PCI
C(2)	-5.254894	SV2	0.113259	0.476984	0.237448	0.8123	SUN
C(3)	-39.88955	SV3	-0.546121	0.091114	-5.993798	0	dummy1
C(4)	-87.32729						

Log likelihood	-35.85968	Akaike info criterion	6.643281
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.804916
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.583438

Table 6.7.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.659622	SV1	0.864786	0.068269	12.66742	0	PCI
C(2)	-24.50603	SV2	0.338273	0.192837	1.754195	0.0794	RFDI
C(3)	-458.3397						

Log likelihood	-25.71831	Akaike info criterion	4.786385
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.907612
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.741503

Table 6.7.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	2.373793	SV1	-4.593657	3.279421	-1.400753	0.1613	UR
C(2)	1.548794	SV2	0.83334	0.830519	1.003396	0.3157	dummy1
C(3)	-20.02705						

Log likelihood	-49.92233	Akaike info criterion	8.820388
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	8.941614
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.775505

Table 6.7.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-166.0043	SV1	2.363575	2.713797	0.870948	0.3838	PCI
C(2)	-7.133551	SV2	-9.616581	3.385532	-2.840493	0.0045	GRP
C(3)	-76.80976	SV3	0.255159	0.219488	1.162519	0.245	RFDI
C(4)	-30.34497	SV4	7.101019	2.325878	3.053049	0.0023	GCF
C(5)	-130.1431	SV5	-0.238196	0.531186	-0.448423	0.6538	UR
C(6)	-339.5643	SV6	1.049683	0.878793	1.194459	0.2323	SUN
C(7)	-105.3645	SV7	-0.085961	5.021997	-0.017117	0.9863	OWN
C(8)	-436.4502	SV8	0.078513	2.713287	0.028937	0.9769	ROAD
C(9)	-9.520221	SV9	0.006131	0.96116	0.006379	0.9949	dummy1
C(10)	-1.703554	SV10	-0.37289	0.459506	-0.811503	0.4171	dummy2
C(11)	-2.666149						

Log likelihood	-77.20384	Akaike info criterion	14.70064
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.14514
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.53607

Table 6.7.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Malaysian tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-300.5983	SV1	0.229733	0.761704	0.301605	0.763	PCI
C(2)	-7.010128	SV2	0.781431	1.263379	0.618525	0.5362	GRP
C(3)	-794.265	SV3	0.192789	0.149078	1.293207	0.1959	RFDI
C(4)	-32.53996	SV4	-0.265841	0.914387	-0.290732	0.7713	GCF
C(5)	-49.64198	SV5	-0.000233	0.208395	-0.001118	0.9991	UR
C(6)	-1029.338	SV6	0.176933	0.595919	0.296908	0.7665	SUN
C(7)	-603.9906	SV7	0.469395	1.276764	0.367644	0.7131	OWN
C(8)	-490.4906	SV8	0.260739	0.340274	0.76626	0.4435	ROAD
C(9)	-35.96128	SV9	-0.156393	0.04865	-3.214665	0.0013	dummy1
C(10)	-93.47057						

Log likelihood	-70.63262	Akaike info criterion	13.43877
Parameters	10	Schwarz criterion	13.84286
Diffuse priors	9	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.28916

Table 6.8.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-136.1412	SV1	-0.044547	3.732123	-0.011936	0.9905	PCI
C(2)	-6.295418	SV2	-1.888112	1.287194	-1.466844	0.1424	GRP
C(3)	-28.5774	SV3	1.436964	2.678737	0.536433	0.5917	RFDI
C(4)	-55.50519	SV4	0.386919	1.749947	0.221103	0.825	GCF
C(5)	-66.11501	SV5	2.979753	2.498839	1.192455	0.2331	UR
C(6)	-119.7296	SV6	0.551984	0.633502	0.871321	0.3836	SUN
C(7)	-102.7484	SV7	-1.490844	3.980568	-0.37453	0.708	OWN
C(8)	-108.918	SV8	1.41648	3.731579	0.379593	0.7042	ROAD
C(9)	-24.07275	SV9	0.183763	0.114746	1.60147	0.1093	dummy1
C(10)	-63.55116	SV10	1.169565	1.112752	1.051057	0.2932	dummy2
C(11)	-82.10475						

Log likelihood	-74.94431	Akaike info criterion	14.32405
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.76855
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.15948

Table 6.8.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.053576	SV1	0.937317	0.211379	4.434294	0	GRP
C(2)	-3.554539	SV2	-0.377556	1.990652	-0.189664	0.8496	UR
C(3)	-0.024933						

Log likelihood	-38.51298	Akaike info criterion	6.91883
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	7.040057
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.873948

Table 6.8.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.86E-06	SV1	0.877843	0.832385	1.054612	0.2916	UR
C(2)	-29.87632	SV2	-7.558504	3.12325	-2.420076	0.0155	OWN
C(3)	-386.2834	SV3	2.933243	0.797277	3.679078	0.0002	ROAD
C(4)	-628.1845						

Log likelihood	-39.82286	Akaike info criterion	7.30381
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	7.465446
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.243967

Table 6.8.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-27.36703	SV1	2.829889	5.193583	0.544882	0.5858	PCI
C(2)	-425.321	SV2	-2.635792	6.967791	-0.378282	0.7052	GRP
C(3)	-4.668596	SV3	1.894685	2.480379	0.763869	0.4449	RFDI
C(4)	-120.0519	SV4	2.238266	5.168496	0.433059	0.665	GCF
C(5)	-4.097742	SV5	0.809546	1.491406	0.542807	0.5873	UR
C(6)	-35.70494	SV6	0.574216	1.342182	0.427822	0.6688	SUN
C(7)	-225.2451	SV7	3.26991	5.807349	0.563064	0.5734	OWN
C(8)	-49.36688	SV8	-2.845038	5.363587	-0.530436	0.5958	ROAD
C(9)	-42.30155	SV9	-0.436923	0.330851	-1.320602	0.1866	dummy1
C(10)	-288.5497	SV10	-0.096926	0.265598	-0.364936	0.7152	dummy2
C(11)	-272.0467						

Log likelihood	-81.60826	Akaike info criterion	15.43471
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.87921
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.27014

Table 6.8.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.000532	SV1	-0.349121	4.206973	-0.082986	0.9339	PCI
C(2)	-2.113436	SV2	0.917661	3.49198	0.262791	0.7927	GCF
C(3)	-4.165905	SV3	-0.15472	1.793232	-0.08628	0.9312	UR
C(4)	-22.41016	SV4	0.723248	6.785445	0.106588	0.9151	OWN
C(5)	-15.14176						

Log likelihood	-52.15472	Akaike info criterion	9.525787
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	9.727831
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	9.450983

Table 6.8.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-179.9435	SV1	17.25052	18.39651	0.937706	0.3484	PCI
C(2)	-5.296553	SV2	13.14013	10.35069	1.269493	0.2043	GRP
C(3)	-49.09079	SV3	-4.428244	4.100937	-1.079813	0.2802	RFDI
C(4)	-27.74712	SV4	-4.381393	3.852273	-1.137353	0.2554	GCF
C(5)	-61.46621	SV5	1.596871	1.288998	1.238846	0.2154	UR
C(6)	-306.2402	SV6	-0.004732	0.775963	-0.006098	0.9951	SUN
C(7)	-136.7271	SV7	15.48358	18.65623	0.829941	0.4066	OWN
C(8)	-75.20485	SV8	-23.87872	24.34578	-0.980816	0.3267	ROAD
C(9)	-10.94311	SV9	-0.363506	0.268475	-1.353965	0.1757	dummy1
C(10)	-16.82913	SV10	0.19105	0.130935	1.459122	0.1445	dummy2
C(11)	-16.2883						

Log likelihood	-76.78059	Akaike info criterion	14.6301
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.0746
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.46553

Table 6.8.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.686115	SV1	1.619345	0.766808	2.1118	0.0347	GCF
C(2)	-21.45974	SV2	-0.633355	0.712198	-0.889296	0.3738	ROAD
C(3)	-6.617299						

Log likelihood	-26.51945	Akaike info criterion	4.919908
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.041135
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.875026

Table 6.8.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-306.5335	SV1	-0.622527	9.156589	-0.067987	0.9458	PCI
C(2)	-3.899588	SV2	-2.314322	5.586801	-0.414248	0.6787	GRP
C(3)	-23.06777	SV3	-4.948492	9.720292	-0.509089	0.6107	RFDI
C(4)	-55.09785	SV4	3.67798	3.735891	0.984499	0.3249	GCF
C(5)	-47.60834	SV5	-1.930786	5.034774	-0.38349	0.7014	UR
C(6)	-332.9081	SV6	3.422708	3.999512	0.855781	0.3921	SUN
C(7)	-149.6698	SV7	0.189128	9.836331	0.019227	0.9847	OWN
C(8)	-57.02018	SV8	2.829775	12.28474	0.230349	0.8178	ROAD
C(9)	-16.14505	SV9	-0.242567	0.565468	-0.428968	0.6679	dummy1
C(10)	-49.53504	SV10	-0.657713	0.664932	-0.989142	0.3226	dummy2
C(11)	-51.24683						

Log likelihood	-77.46575	Akaike info criterion	14.74429
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.18879
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.57972

Table 6.8.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.664444	SV1	0.903161	0.329238	2.743184	0.0061	PCI
C(2)	-1244.995	SV2	0.63564	0.330688	1.922177	0.0546	RFDI
C(3)	-2182.883						

Log likelihood	-24.97909	Akaike info criterion	4.663182
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.784409
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.6183

Table 6.8.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-165.3666	SV1	-2.580385	1.496272	-1.724542	0.0846	PCI
C(2)	-8.187394	SV2	9.033822	4.533353	1.992746	0.0463	GRP
C(3)	-8.235416	SV3	1.005132	1.814905	0.553821	0.5797	RFDI
C(4)	-8.132305	SV4	-7.557695	4.455153	-1.696394	0.0898	GCF
C(5)	-8.746061	SV5	0.012775	0.093008	0.137351	0.8908	UR
C(6)	-32.54468	SV6	1.064018	0.835456	1.273578	0.2028	SUN
C(7)	-221.6548	SV7	-0.602761	2.001789	-0.301111	0.7633	OWN
C(8)	-498.0035	SV8	0.069305	1.155703	0.059968	0.9522	ROAD
C(9)	-8.526053	SV9	-0.123843	0.083038	-1.491401	0.1359	dummy1
C(10)	-11.78301	SV10	-0.034858	0.068953	-0.505529	0.6132	dummy2
C(11)	-20.93397						

Log likelihood	-78.34926	Akaike info criterion	14.89154
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.33604
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.72697

Table 6.8.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-5.567645	SV1	1.928272	0.766869	2.514472	0.0119	UR
C(2)	-3.413838	SV2	-2.310281	0.717749	-3.218788	0.0013	OWN
C(3)	-163.3095	SV3	1.956005	0.169745	11.52322	0	ROAD
C(4)	-25.75849						

Log likelihood	-23.5992	Akaike info criterion	4.599867
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.761502
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.540023

Table 6.8.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-178.9925	SV1	0.381373	1.172002	0.325403	0.7449	PCI
C(2)	-32.60345	SV2	2.374211	2.471718	0.960551	0.3368	GRP
C(3)	-8.708465	SV3	-3.472515	1.331128	-2.608701	0.0091	RFDI
C(4)	-42.26829	SV4	1.703548	0.950252	1.792733	0.073	GCF
C(5)	-204.8886	SV5	0.585006	0.615996	0.949691	0.3423	UR
C(6)	-164.3322	SV6	-0.102471	0.328273	-0.312151	0.7549	SUN
C(7)	-218.5482	SV7	-0.042146	1.471433	-0.028643	0.9771	OWN
C(8)	-93.95836	SV8	-1.191752	2.283984	-0.521787	0.6018	ROAD
C(9)	-8.226908	SV9	-0.093105	0.362977	-0.256504	0.7976	dummy1
C(10)	-3.8058	SV10	0.01285	0.083619	0.153678	0.8779	dummy2
C(11)	-12.61853						

Log likelihood	-75.13701	Akaike info criterion	14.35617
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.80067
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.1916

Table 6.8.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-470.5944	SV1	-2.33264	3.89335	-0.599134	0.5491	PCI
C(2)	-7.824225	SV2	-1.951574	2.693834	-0.72446	0.4688	GRP
C(3)	-25.84419	SV3	3.770357	3.541662	1.064573	0.2871	RFDI
C(4)	-16.52539	SV4	-0.754104	0.925912	-0.814444	0.4154	GCF
C(5)	-67.15199	SV5	-0.001248	0.580198	-0.002152	0.9983	UR
C(6)	-1254.148	SV6	-0.003456	0.546406	-0.006326	0.995	SUN
C(7)	-1590.963	SV7	-1.751465	4.03885	-0.433654	0.6645	OWN
C(8)	-33.67022	SV8	3.363082	4.559728	0.737562	0.4608	ROAD
C(9)	-6.407869	SV9	-0.256708	0.106146	-2.418437	0.0156	dummy1
C(10)	-87.06465	SV10	-0.154627	0.090195	-1.714352	0.0865	dummy2
C(11)	-62.84577						

Log likelihood	-77.12962	Akaike info criterion	14.68827
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.13277
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.5237

Table 6.8.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-330.7655	SV1	7.909163	7.590201	1.042023	0.2974	PCI
C(2)	-55.7637	SV2	-20.14756	10.522	-1.914804	0.0555	GRP
C(3)	-21.95514	SV3	-1.261636	2.084531	-0.605237	0.545	RFDI
C(4)	-30.98846	SV4	15.61334	8.544264	1.827348	0.0676	GCF
C(5)	-18.00103	SV5	-0.639475	1.803357	-0.354603	0.7229	UR
C(6)	-64.89249	SV6	-3.151275	1.877778	-1.678193	0.0933	SUN
C(7)	-277.5914	SV7	1.484575	9.950072	0.149202	0.8814	OWN
C(8)	-1.955167	SV8	0.644979	9.426965	0.068419	0.9455	ROAD
C(9)	-19.97502	SV9	0.264002	0.758472	0.34807	0.7278	dummy1
C(10)	-17.73514	SV10	-0.256773	0.300605	-0.85419	0.393	dummy2
C(11)	-21.63348						

Log likelihood	-78.86629	Akaike info criterion	14.97771
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.42221
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.81315

Table 6.8.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.471172	SV1	7.073872	141.1974	0.050099	0.96	PCI
C(2)	-0.493667	SV2	-5.094182	157.5641	-0.032331	0.9742	GRP
C(3)	-0.479941	SV3	-6.304405	122.3281	-0.051537	0.9589	RFDI
C(4)	-0.429907	SV4	8.461791	91.39994	0.09258	0.9262	GCF
C(5)	-0.512668	SV5	3.429148	43.97988	0.077971	0.9379	UR
C(6)	-2.57258	SV6	1.610007	31.9178	0.050442	0.9598	SUN
C(7)	-1.265524	SV7	3.050753	134.7665	0.022637	0.9819	OWN
C(8)	-0.927409	SV8	-3.71656	169.5687	-0.021918	0.9825	ROAD
C(9)	-0.485095	SV9	-0.332082	3.79985	-0.087393	0.9304	dummy1
C(10)	-0.304728	SV10	0.227685	3.903619	0.058327	0.9535	dummy2
C(11)	-0.078546						

Log likelihood	-81.95533	Akaike info criterion	15.49255
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.93705
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.32799

Table 6.8.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-305.1928	SV1	-8.196934	3.673495	-2.231372	0.0257	PCI
C(2)	-13.92265	SV2	10.60205	4.542971	2.333727	0.0196	GRP
C(3)	-6.281571	SV3	-1.609159	2.061138	-0.780713	0.435	RFDI
C(4)	-15.37567	SV4	-6.202938	3.148926	-1.969858	0.0489	GCF
C(5)	-6.860209	SV5	-0.807045	0.675131	-1.19539	0.2319	UR
C(6)	-273.9823	SV6	-0.423023	0.791471	-0.534477	0.593	SUN
C(7)	-405.5569	SV7	-9.684782	4.797761	-2.018604	0.0435	OWN
C(8)	-77.63513	SV8	5.723777	4.971714	1.151269	0.2496	ROAD
C(9)	-7.65443	SV9	-0.323468	0.113047	-2.861358	0.0042	dummy1
C(10)	-95.34466	SV10	-0.3055	0.122086	-2.502339	0.0123	dummy2
C(11)	-116.8919						

Log likelihood	-77.95906	Akaike info criterion	14.82651
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.27101
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.66194

Table 6.8.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-29.42679	SV1	-1.102921	7.375972	-0.149529	0.8811	PCI
C(2)	-129.4879	SV2	-3.48484	8.156485	-0.427248	0.6692	GRP
C(3)	-87.32779	SV3	5.144757	9.921726	0.518534	0.6041	RFDI
C(4)	-40.57617	SV4	-0.387978	3.373723	-0.115	0.9084	GCF
C(5)	-90.80957	SV5	-2.00326	5.529782	-0.362267	0.7172	UR
C(6)	-64.30418	SV6	-0.273521	2.416875	-0.113172	0.9099	SUN
C(7)	-27.81221	SV7	-7.394452	8.565736	-0.863259	0.388	OWN
C(8)	-73.98647	SV8	1.771811	4.608848	0.384437	0.7007	ROAD
C(9)	-3.998364	SV9	-0.194017	0.369051	-0.52572	0.5991	dummy1
C(10)	-18.28458	SV10	-0.188476	0.311322	-0.605405	0.5449	dummy2
C(11)	-13.38247						

Log likelihood	-78.66597	Akaike info criterion	14.94433
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.38883
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.77976

Table 6.8.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-274.0818	SV1	3.285579	7.202794	0.456153	0.6483	PCI
C(2)	-43.16939	SV2	2.143688	4.135613	0.518348	0.6042	GRP
C(3)	-4.793982	SV3	0.241241	3.535506	0.068234	0.9456	RFDI
C(4)	-106.5056	SV4	-0.08727	2.170663	-0.040204	0.9679	GCF
C(5)	-17.1134	SV5	1.186523	2.526491	0.469633	0.6386	UR
C(6)	-140.5949	SV6	1.717434	1.26548	1.35714	0.1747	SUN
C(7)	-90.19343	SV7	4.880422	9.23421	0.528515	0.5971	OWN
C(8)	-39.10272	SV8	-5.355964	9.344331	-0.573178	0.5665	ROAD
C(9)	-90.83235	SV9	-0.397603	0.192245	-2.06821	0.0386	dummy1
C(10)	-45.50161	SV10	-0.199089	0.250124	-0.795962	0.4261	dummy2
C(11)	-56.51851						

Log likelihood	-79.23643	Akaike info criterion	15.03941
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.4839
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.87484

Table 6.8.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-7.754599	SV1	-0.493138	0.884196	-0.557725	0.577	GCF
C(2)	-66.86119	SV2	0.218049	0.833929	0.261472	0.7937	UR
C(3)	-70.82478	SV3	-1.395161	1.223491	-1.140312	0.2542	OWN
C(4)	-31.81966	SV4	2.000044	0.992483	2.015193	0.0439	ROAD
C(5)	-6.022166						

Log likelihood	-35.52638	Akaike info criterion	6.754397
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	6.956441
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.679592

Table 6.8.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-112.5608	SV1	0.418094	1.82134	0.229553	0.8184	PCI
C(2)	-23.81973	SV2	-0.68141	2.671311	-0.255085	0.7987	GRP
C(3)	-7.040106	SV3	0.985459	2.365497	0.416597	0.677	RFDI
C(4)	-72.6198	SV4	0.265217	1.464622	0.181082	0.8563	GCF
C(5)	-6.962249	SV5	0.903194	0.549573	1.643446	0.1003	UR
C(6)	-118.1709	SV6	0.022212	0.314697	0.070584	0.9437	SUN
C(7)	-303.6948	SV7	-1.603752	2.374533	-0.675397	0.4994	OWN
C(8)	-83.85816	SV8	1.058085	2.593501	0.407976	0.6833	ROAD
C(9)	-7.349576	SV9	0.096738	0.096114	1.006484	0.3142	dummy1
C(10)	-61.42485	SV10	-0.026137	0.099984	-0.26141	0.7938	dummy2
C(11)	-77.47401						

Log likelihood	-78.02831	Akaike info criterion	14.83805
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.28255
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.67348

Table 6.8.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-140.2291	SV1	-4.012007	4.321431	-0.928398	0.3532	PCI
C(2)	-19.46735	SV2	7.964041	9.423983	0.845082	0.3981	GRP
C(3)	-22.70673	SV3	-3.148338	2.80092	-1.124037	0.261	RFDI
C(4)	-136.8021	SV4	-2.490475	3.919843	-0.635351	0.5252	GCF
C(5)	-82.45487	SV5	-7.98548	9.976371	-0.800439	0.4235	UR
C(6)	-256.8043	SV6	5.075133	4.344084	1.168286	0.2427	SUN
C(7)	-229.0775	SV7	-6.092734	4.841761	-1.258372	0.2083	OWN
C(8)	-65.15193	SV8	1.616455	4.095001	0.394739	0.693	ROAD
C(9)	-4.795803	SV9	-4.055058	5.102109	-0.794781	0.4267	dummy1
C(10)	-8.169734	SV10	-0.88179	0.936851	-0.941227	0.3466	dummy2
C(11)	-6.103957						

Log likelihood	-76.70674	Akaike info criterion	14.61779
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.06229
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.45322

Table 6.8.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-29.87043	SV1	-0.719723	12.68665	-0.056731	0.9548	PCI
C(2)	-34.49665	SV2	3.559375	18.45722	0.192845	0.8471	GRP
C(3)	-5.89399	SV3	4.574144	8.626076	0.530269	0.5959	RFDI
C(4)	-21.45478	SV4	1.941934	10.57044	0.183714	0.8542	GCF
C(5)	-5.992576	SV5	-1.890894	3.923584	-0.48193	0.6299	UR
C(6)	-16.73145	SV6	3.15961	3.133736	1.008257	0.3133	SUN
C(7)	-21.95831	SV7	-7.01175	7.184307	-0.975981	0.3291	OWN
C(8)	-99.34608	SV8	-4.644342	3.857737	-1.203903	0.2286	ROAD
C(9)	-5.185014	SV9	0.281246	1.490648	0.188673	0.8503	dummy1
C(10)	-0.883333	SV10	0.159828	0.203785	0.784296	0.4329	dummy2
C(11)	-5.771244						

Log likelihood	-76.48146	Akaike info criterion	14.58024
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.02474
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.41567

Table 6.8.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-273.2579	SV1	-0.372789	7.988926	-0.046663	0.9628	PCI
C(2)	-37.65883	SV2	-1.474787	3.726403	-0.395767	0.6923	GRP
C(3)	-6.537327	SV3	0.400808	1.198537	0.334414	0.7381	RFDI
C(4)	-20.01661	SV4	0.997974	2.362473	0.422428	0.6727	GCF
C(5)	-6.765208	SV5	-0.13384	2.085413	-0.064179	0.9488	UR
C(6)	-195.576	SV6	-1.014489	0.927509	-1.093778	0.2741	SUN
C(7)	-528.4082	SV7	-1.747531	6.352707	-0.275084	0.7833	OWN
C(8)	-109.5613	SV8	2.329878	8.178619	0.284874	0.7757	ROAD
C(9)	-25.02621	SV9	-0.071367	0.240534	-0.296703	0.7667	dummy1
C(10)	-12.2496	SV10	-0.131071	0.330877	-0.396134	0.692	dummy2
C(11)	-3.538731						

Log likelihood	-78.64443	Akaike info criterion	14.94074
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.38524
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.77617

Table 6.8.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.192777	SV1	1.401751	1.052893	1.331333	0.1831	PCI
C(2)	-363.7863	SV2	0.409613	0.773214	0.529754	0.5963	GRP
C(3)	-139.6673	SV3	-1.283361	1.288304	-0.996163	0.3192	RFDI
C(4)	-43.45234	SV4	0.957961	0.84984	1.127225	0.2596	UR
C(5)	-193.4423						

Log likelihood	-45.03755	Akaike info criterion	8.339591
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	8.541635
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.264787

Table 6.8.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-253.0014	SV1	-1.518157	2.409507	-0.630069	0.5286	PCI
C(2)	-17.04602	SV2	3.058336	5.058129	0.604638	0.5454	GRP
C(3)	-11.7974	SV3	0.078918	0.886209	0.089051	0.929	RFDI
C(4)	-84.30354	SV4	-1.391408	3.885325	-0.358119	0.7203	GCF
C(5)	-4.993636	SV5	-0.646194	0.95574	-0.676119	0.499	UR
C(6)	-254.5047	SV6	-0.855558	1.892175	-0.452156	0.6512	SUN
C(7)	-1215.149	SV7	-1.203449	2.200553	-0.546885	0.5845	OWN
C(8)	-223.024	SV8	0.781472	2.277056	0.343194	0.7315	ROAD
C(9)	-7.343921	SV9	-0.359196	0.252769	-1.421044	0.1553	dummy1
C(10)	-1237.056	SV10	-0.038224	0.175103	-0.218296	0.8272	dummy2
C(11)	-1579.63						

Log likelihood	-79.76942	Akaike info criterion	15.12824
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.57273
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.96367

Table 6.8.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-29.59159	SV1	0.310063	3.67235	0.084432	0.9327	PCI
C(2)	-4.587695	SV2	1.260581	2.477787	0.508753	0.6109	GRP
C(3)	-19.10836	SV3	0.27358	0.198932	1.375245	0.1691	RFDI
C(4)	-38.2889	SV4	0.096717	3.533358	0.027373	0.9782	GCF
C(5)	-23.65944	SV5	-0.361264	2.029832	-0.177977	0.8587	UR
C(6)	-44.87379	SV6	-1.580672	2.755775	-0.573585	0.5662	SUN
C(7)	-37.46652	SV7	4.296226	11.10961	0.386713	0.699	OWN
C(8)	-39.80547	SV8	-1.737894	10.3501	-0.167911	0.8667	ROAD
C(9)	-33.15538	SV9	-0.586619	0.489521	-1.198354	0.2308	dummy1
C(10)	-44.12422	SV10	-0.299473	0.295187	-1.014518	0.3103	dummy2
C(11)	-57.11039						

Log likelihood	-83.29724	Akaike info criterion	15.71621
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.1607
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.55164

Table 6.8.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-340.3153	SV1	4.866378	10.04805	0.484311	0.6282	PCI
C(2)	-9.763385	SV2	4.929755	8.586667	0.574117	0.5659	GRP
C(3)	-5.691741	SV3	0.486092	0.502972	0.966439	0.3338	RFDI
C(4)	-17.1814	SV4	-3.242314	5.915355	-0.548118	0.5836	GCF
C(5)	-7.652461	SV5	-0.228398	0.76591	-0.298204	0.7655	UR
C(6)	-164.1845	SV6	0.532631	1.476078	0.360842	0.7182	SUN
C(7)	-75.88694	SV7	1.961297	9.397181	0.208711	0.8347	OWN
C(8)	-220.6697	SV8	-6.260513	14.77511	-0.42372	0.6718	ROAD
C(9)	-6.320561	SV9	-1.097435	0.296724	-3.6985	0.0002	dummy1
C(10)	-8.39024	SV10	-0.319626	0.19347	-1.652068	0.0985	dummy2
C(11)	-4.87443						

Log likelihood	-80.172	Akaike info criterion	15.19533
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.63983
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.03076

Table 6.8.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-35.78053	SV1	14.68848	7.817615	1.878896	0.0603	PCI
C(2)	-18.2453	SV2	3.041429	5.6987	0.533706	0.5935	GRP
C(3)	-19.8961	SV3	0.734382	0.551464	1.331695	0.183	RFDI
C(4)	-3.018864	SV4	-1.404405	3.665557	-0.383135	0.7016	GCF
C(5)	-34.3139	SV5	0.844436	0.564734	1.495281	0.1348	UR
C(6)	-151.5032	SV6	-3.769999	1.392514	-2.707332	0.0068	SUN
C(7)	-98.71266	SV7	12.05525	9.208646	1.309123	0.1905	OWN
C(8)	-51.79443	SV8	-19.29599	11.9403	-1.616039	0.1061	ROAD
C(9)	-26.23113	SV9	-0.387113	0.246733	-1.568953	0.1167	dummy1
C(10)	-277.9432	SV10	-0.304001	0.133684	-2.274028	0.023	dummy2
C(11)	-139.2034						

Log likelihood	-80.52633	Akaike info criterion	15.25439
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.69889
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.08982

Table 6.8.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-66.8823	SV1	1.967874	6.093782	0.322931	0.7467	PCI
C(2)	-105.8542	SV2	6.954802	16.88627	0.411861	0.6804	GRP
C(3)	-32.16947	SV3	-0.562407	1.154712	-0.487054	0.6262	RFDI
C(4)	-31.02802	SV4	-1.083238	7.719145	-0.140331	0.8884	GCF
C(5)	-2.639325	SV5	0.341388	0.774515	0.440777	0.6594	UR
C(6)	-85.21066	SV6	1.433798	1.765783	0.81199	0.4168	SUN
C(7)	-39.2985	SV7	-2.629656	10.4464	-0.251729	0.8013	OWN
C(8)	-35.13095	SV8	-7.05194	17.61703	-0.400291	0.6889	ROAD
C(9)	-17.95751	SV9	0.444551	0.519	0.856554	0.3917	dummy1
C(10)	-44.96408	SV10	-0.896908	0.343536	-2.610815	0.009	dummy2
C(11)	-56.45949						

Log likelihood	-82.39675	Akaike info criterion	15.56612
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.01062
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.40156

Table 6.8.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-27.41153	SV1	-0.635117	17.32197	-0.036665	0.9708	PCI
C(2)	-2.809731	SV2	8.456804	23.86397	0.354375	0.7231	GRP
C(3)	-34.63362	SV3	-0.624407	1.829304	-0.341336	0.7329	RFDI
C(4)	-45.91391	SV4	-4.557543	17.11213	-0.266334	0.79	GCF
C(5)	-23.2719	SV5	-0.454185	2.48255	-0.182951	0.8548	UR
C(6)	-57.77735	SV6	1.021586	5.490219	0.186074	0.8524	SUN
C(7)	-23.49145	SV7	-1.161219	22.75777	-0.051025	0.9593	OWN
C(8)	-74.67779	SV8	-1.986334	22.31694	-0.089006	0.9291	ROAD
C(9)	-4.495461	SV9	-0.093314	0.566995	-0.164577	0.8693	dummy1
C(10)	-7.934107	SV10	-0.238559	0.407019	-0.586112	0.5578	dummy2
C(11)	-13.24762						

Log likelihood	-81.34836	Akaike info criterion	15.39139
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.83589
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.22682

Table 6.8.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Philippines tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-55.63682	SV1	2.175539	1.0495	2.072928	0.0382	PCI
C(2)	-34.77379	SV2	-1.748665	1.215207	-1.438986	0.1502	GRP
C(3)	-52.01233	SV3	-0.291462	1.640523	-0.177664	0.859	OWN
C(4)	-2.862071	SV4	0.684729	0.674753	1.014785	0.3102	ROAD
C(5)	-53.63056						

Log likelihood	-36.36904	Akaike info criterion	6.89484
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.096884
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.820036

Table 6.9.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-23.52646	SV1	-0.100942	0.610583	-0.165321	0.8687	PCI
C(2)	-101.4721	SV2	-2.35122	1.138312	-2.065532	0.0389	GRP
C(3)	-9.075068	SV3	0.749922	1.930316	0.388497	0.6976	RFDI
C(4)	-44.20223	SV4	2.923414	1.387621	2.106782	0.0351	GCF
C(5)	-25.00659	SV5	0.053189	1.451262	0.03665	0.9708	UR
C(6)	-5.578628	SV6	-0.369143	0.355935	-1.037109	0.2997	SUN
C(7)	-668.2321	SV7	-0.582168	0.472221	-1.232828	0.2176	OWN
C(8)	-994.6526	SV8	0.628332	0.786816	0.798575	0.4245	ROAD
C(9)	-7.783094	SV9	0.073573	0.081854	0.898832	0.3687	dummy1
C(10)	-50.51528	SV10	0.010603	0.650198	0.016307	0.987	dummy2
C(11)	-72.65643						

Log likelihood	-76.32213	Akaike info criterion	14.55369
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.99819
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.38912

Table 6.9.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-103.7894	SV1	-3.183573	7.591249	-0.419374	0.6749	PCI
C(2)	-3.039268	SV2	24.447	20.68141	1.182076	0.2372	GRP
C(3)	-64.98929	SV3	-4.375675	44.22573	-0.09894	0.9212	RFDI
C(4)	-11.15828	SV4	-13.86304	26.52792	-0.522583	0.6013	GCF
C(5)	-25.57956	SV5	1.111608	3.133885	0.354706	0.7228	UR
C(6)	-34.26079	SV6	2.452297	4.098977	0.59827	0.5497	SUN
C(7)	-63.34617	SV7	-0.212748	9.727769	-0.02187	0.9826	OWN
C(8)	-90.88651	SV8	-4.274194	12.65439	-0.337764	0.7355	ROAD
C(9)	-2.655393	SV9	-0.533613	0.613706	-0.869492	0.3846	dummy1
C(10)	-6.811665	SV10	0.278385	1.027975	0.270809	0.7865	dummy2
C(11)	-7.630434						

Log likelihood	-82.76657	Akaike info criterion	15.62776
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.07226
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.46319

Table 6.9.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.361745	SV1	0.998008	0.27826	3.586599	0.0003	RFDI
C(2)	-4.14119	SV2	-2.177001	0.638339	-3.410416	0.0006	UR
C(3)	-34.28973	SV3	1.72729	1.076843	1.604031	0.1087	SUN
C(4)	-58.60843						

Log likelihood	-35.24593	Akaike info criterion	6.540988
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.702623
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.481144

Table 6.9.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-71.9344	SV1	-0.527806	2.12287	-0.248629	0.8036	PCI
C(2)	-17.57326	SV2	1.03712	7.163836	0.144772	0.8849	GRP
C(3)	-26.39878	SV3	0.861347	2.642134	0.326004	0.7444	RFDI
C(4)	-27.57331	SV4	-1.533706	3.515023	-0.436329	0.6626	GCF
C(5)	-15.35596	SV5	-0.026321	1.115937	-0.023587	0.9812	UR
C(6)	-724.4013	SV6	0.860331	2.502715	0.343759	0.731	SUN
C(7)	-0.726338	SV7	-0.636366	2.678238	-0.237606	0.8122	OWN
C(8)	-429.2875	SV8	1.294613	4.974776	0.260235	0.7947	ROAD
C(9)	-16.68766	SV9	0.24561	0.247696	0.99158	0.3214	dummy1
C(10)	-1299.411	SV10	0.121612	0.267965	0.453836	0.6499	dummy2
C(11)	-1671.418						

Log likelihood	-82.96563	Akaike info criterion	15.66094
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.10544
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.49637

Table 6.9.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-110.3388	SV1	0.313892	1.206636	0.260138	0.7948	PCI
C(2)	-8.005109	SV2	17.71348	11.63187	1.522841	0.1278	GRP
C(3)	-10.35491	SV3	-4.170586	2.114099	-1.972749	0.0485	RFDI
C(4)	-9.627343	SV4	-2.886636	5.261563	-0.548627	0.5833	GCF
C(5)	-7.463148	SV5	-2.560609	1.333599	-1.920074	0.0548	UR
C(6)	-411.8722	SV6	-0.580097	1.054387	-0.550174	0.5822	SUN
C(7)	-125.6662	SV7	5.246189	2.582825	2.031183	0.0422	OWN
C(8)	-134.1268	SV8	-15.34978	8.938283	-1.717307	0.0859	ROAD
C(9)	-4.863754	SV9	-0.587053	0.412776	-1.422206	0.155	dummy1
C(10)	-69.0434	SV10	-0.530537	0.29216	-1.815913	0.0694	dummy2
C(11)	-102.6566						

Log likelihood	-79.25692	Akaike info criterion	15.04282
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.48732
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.87825

Table 6.9.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.302156	SV1	-0.160858	0.609303	-0.264004	0.7918	PCI
C(2)	-843.5023	SV2	1.136417	0.50577	2.246907	0.0246	GRP
C(3)	-7.967607	SV3	0.972691	0.624348	1.55793	0.1192	SUN
C(4)	-251.7273						

Log likelihood	-34.29344	Akaike info criterion	6.38224
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	6.543876
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.322397

Table 6.9.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-376.2222	SV1	2.643535	9.365086	0.282276	0.7777	PCI
C(2)	-54.80828	SV2	35.22212	27.1923	1.295297	0.1952	GRP
C(3)	-3.162053	SV3	-13.97595	14.69356	-0.951161	0.3415	RFDI
C(4)	-7.56179	SV4	-7.073586	9.956546	-0.710446	0.4774	GCF
C(5)	-2.195228	SV5	-0.98257	1.27147	-0.772783	0.4397	UR
C(6)	-485.8982	SV6	-3.931216	10.58221	-0.371493	0.7103	SUN
C(7)	-206.6681	SV7	-1.567724	8.699221	-0.180214	0.857	OWN
C(8)	-320.9584	SV8	-20.55672	26.4135	-0.778266	0.4364	ROAD
C(9)	-3.296931	SV9	-0.456638	0.995039	-0.458914	0.6463	dummy1
C(10)	-449.4697	SV10	1.017117	1.453418	0.69981	0.484	dummy2
C(11)	-604.1673						

Log likelihood	-83.83381	Akaike info criterion	15.80564
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.25013
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.64107

Table 6.9.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-37.08637	SV1	1.499816	0.042891	34.96839	0	GRP
C(2)	-6.305451	SV2	-7.256886	0.493836	-14.69493	0	UR
C(3)	-56.23211						

Log likelihood	-20.86089	Akaike info criterion	3.976815
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.098041
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.931932

Table 6.9.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-13.52581	SV1	3.226802	3.447992	0.93585	0.3494	PCI
C(2)	-8.042635	SV2	1.711589	6.27768	0.272647	0.7851	GRP
C(3)	-5.470292	SV3	-5.320642	28.99433	-0.183506	0.8544	RFDI
C(4)	-8.962848	SV4	6.671071	18.51621	0.360283	0.7186	GCF
C(5)	-7.194955	SV5	7.178811	9.436108	0.760781	0.4468	UR
C(6)	-17.90846	SV6	-3.53382	6.245273	-0.565839	0.5715	SUN
C(7)	-17.61078	SV7	4.532786	2.903821	1.560973	0.1185	OWN
C(8)	-10.50522	SV8	-6.210097	7.457033	-0.832784	0.405	ROAD
C(9)	-5.196404	SV9	0.39577	2.203719	0.179592	0.8575	dummy1
C(10)	-0.048316	SV10	0.534437	0.971737	0.549981	0.5823	dummy2
C(11)	-7.322388						

Log likelihood	-77.36384	Akaike info criterion	14.72731
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.1718
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.56274

Table 6.9.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-106.2999	SV1	3.053931	2.960689	1.031493	0.3023	PCI
C(2)	-42.5126	SV2	7.270011	6.420605	1.132294	0.2575	GRP
C(3)	-29.208	SV3	14.10852	6.330975	2.22849	0.0258	RFDI
C(4)	-24.05927	SV4	-14.72399	7.21447	-2.040896	0.0413	GCF
C(5)	-19.88306	SV5	0.656789	0.430291	1.526383	0.1269	UR
C(6)	-847.8742	SV6	-0.316528	1.851552	-0.170953	0.8643	SUN
C(7)	-109.0517	SV7	8.087734	3.728156	2.169366	0.0301	OWN
C(8)	-188.2845	SV8	-8.026532	3.213	-2.498143	0.0125	ROAD
C(9)	-4.619674	SV9	0.880176	0.367622	2.394241	0.0167	dummy1
C(10)	-54.02708	SV10	0.438538	0.247507	1.77182	0.0764	dummy2
C(11)	-42.70535						

Log likelihood	-81.77556	Akaike info criterion	15.46259
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.90709
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.29802

Table 6.9.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-1.449466	SV1	0.92134	0.105648	8.720836	0	GRP
C(2)	-247.0917	SV2	0.883333	1.108867	0.796609	0.4257	SUN
C(3)	-1.331762						

Log likelihood	-29.99817	Akaike info criterion	5.499695
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.620921
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.454812

Table 6.9.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-97.54893	SV1	-0.703957	1.348395	-0.52207	0.6016	PCI
C(2)	-20.8769	SV2	2.504858	2.158655	1.160379	0.2459	RFDI
C(3)	-78.08422	SV3	-0.324164	0.730533	-0.443736	0.6572	UR
C(4)	-0.73348						

Log likelihood	-37.80916	Akaike info criterion	6.968193
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	7.129829
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.90835

Table 6.9.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-107.8495	SV1	0.301074	2.988623	0.10074	0.9198	PCI
C(2)	-60.49871	SV2	1.613686	7.205921	0.223939	0.8228	GRP
C(3)	-6.019607	SV3	9.161891	10.98202	0.834263	0.4041	RFDI
C(4)	-72.10059	SV4	-1.446577	2.697689	-0.536228	0.5918	GCF
C(5)	-4.143466	SV5	-0.615287	1.430088	-0.430244	0.667	UR
C(6)	-246.2952	SV6	0.535143	1.335773	0.400624	0.6887	SUN
C(7)	-103.9022	SV7	4.363755	3.319081	1.314748	0.1886	OWN
C(8)	-156.4598	SV8	-7.554658	6.513809	-1.159791	0.2461	ROAD
C(9)	-10.3777	SV9	0.124478	0.267121	0.466	0.6412	dummy1
C(10)	-44.21895	SV10	-0.296624	0.27491	-1.078987	0.2806	dummy2
C(11)	-59.04448						

Log likelihood	-81.09529	Akaike info criterion	15.34922
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.79371
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.18465

Table 6.9.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-27.28053	SV1	0.848975	0.125168	6.782681	0	PCI
C(2)	-6.553505	SV2	-0.89576	1.101336	-0.813339	0.416	UR
C(3)	-1.058776						

Log likelihood	-30.12565	Akaike info criterion	5.520942
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.642169
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.47606

Table 6.9.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-817.5797	SV1	0.523558	5.290742	0.098957	0.9212	PCI
C(2)	-97.23253	SV2	-3.228072	15.56837	-0.207348	0.8357	GRP
C(3)	-42.89205	SV3	-0.98209	12.94975	-0.075839	0.9395	RFDI
C(4)	-38.25093	SV4	5.145215	12.32186	0.417568	0.6763	GCF
C(5)	-3.896827	SV5	-0.014954	3.214988	-0.004651	0.9963	UR
C(6)	-1440.666	SV6	1.366989	2.82211	0.484385	0.6281	SUN
C(7)	-179.4672	SV7	0.053202	4.303402	0.012363	0.9901	OWN
C(8)	-391.2489	SV8	-0.590601	6.361641	-0.092838	0.926	ROAD
C(9)	-114.1505	SV9	0.146436	0.246146	0.594917	0.5519	dummy1
C(10)	-21.08058	SV10	0.131922	0.346904	0.380284	0.7037	dummy2
C(11)	-81.36329						

Log likelihood	-78.84759	Akaike info criterion	14.9746
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.4191
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.81003

Table 6.9.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-31.33911	SV1	-1.481826	3.995233	-0.370898	0.7107	PCI
C(2)	-182.6478	SV2	-4.942948	13.5494	-0.364809	0.7153	GRP
C(3)	-37.69417	SV3	6.083239	5.386869	1.129272	0.2588	RFDI
C(4)	-63.00333	SV4	3.438484	9.729518	0.353407	0.7238	GCF
C(5)	-69.36432	SV5	-1.39844	1.740082	-0.803663	0.4216	UR
C(6)	-30.59431	SV6	-2.00074	2.286194	-0.87514	0.3815	SUN
C(7)	-31.58949	SV7	0.8561	4.234155	0.202189	0.8398	OWN
C(8)	-27.24678	SV8	0.565141	9.346417	0.060466	0.9518	ROAD
C(9)	-3.656038	SV9	0.199587	0.3465	0.576009	0.5646	dummy1
C(10)	-7.389031	SV10	-0.05909	0.331169	-0.178427	0.8584	dummy2
C(11)	-6.968203						

Log likelihood	-81.3214	Akaike info criterion	15.3869
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.8314
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.22233

Table 6.9.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-29.15247	SV1	18.98931	21.34026	0.889835	0.3736	PCI
C(2)	-26.06469	SV2	25.88312	28.55539	0.906418	0.3647	GRP
C(3)	-24.35179	SV3	-63.82963	75.11609	-0.849747	0.3955	RFDI
C(4)	-470.1401	SV4	16.15943	18.91	0.854544	0.3928	GCF
C(5)	-52.88755	SV5	2.839388	4.259207	0.666647	0.505	UR
C(6)	-187.1582	SV6	3.240482	5.208831	0.622113	0.5339	SUN
C(7)	-0.436437	SV7	1.583211	2.817213	0.561978	0.5741	OWN
C(8)	-82.4642	SV8	-17.88634	17.97799	-0.994902	0.3198	ROAD
C(9)	-4.885435	SV9	-0.321635	0.9063	-0.354888	0.7227	dummy1
C(10)	-29.67487	SV10	-0.270935	0.710692	-0.381227	0.703	dummy2
C(11)	-22.92994						

Log likelihood	-78.65366	Akaike info criterion	14.94228
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.38677
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.77771

Table 6.9.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-0.099848	SV1	-2.38467	1.064811	-2.239525	0.0251	UR
C(2)	-6.205417	SV2	-2.827746	1.034283	-2.734017	0.0063	OWN
C(3)	-35.47626	SV3	1.045074	0.228301	4.577615	0	ROAD
C(4)	-23.13309						

Log likelihood	-39.05474	Akaike info criterion	7.17579
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	7.337426
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.115947

Table 6.9.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-68.3177	SV1	0.308787	4.418919	0.069878	0.9443	PCI
C(2)	-22.0083	SV2	-9.746987	33.93875	-0.287193	0.774	GRP
C(3)	-32.76188	SV3	-7.040554	36.02727	-0.195423	0.8451	RFDI
C(4)	-20.1542	SV4	7.408699	24.20279	0.306109	0.7595	GCF
C(5)	-3.122931	SV5	4.343482	13.62062	0.31889	0.7498	UR
C(6)	-246.0544	SV6	0.643956	2.863058	0.224919	0.822	SUN
C(7)	-139.0004	SV7	0.192199	4.934323	0.038951	0.9689	OWN
C(8)	-77.66112	SV8	10.71797	46.44219	0.230781	0.8175	ROAD
C(9)	-20.14994	SV9	0.524884	0.972916	0.539496	0.5895	dummy1
C(10)	-9.538047	SV10	-0.088754	0.66257	-0.133954	0.8934	dummy2
C(11)	-7.006977						

Log likelihood	-79.15105	Akaike info criterion	15.02518
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.46967
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.86061

Table 6.9.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.039903	SV1	1.621312	0.227055	7.140617	0	RFDI
C(2)	-104.2007	SV2	-1.00601	0.914373	-1.100219	0.2712	OWN
C(3)	-2.649042						

Log likelihood	-24.88831	Akaike info criterion	4.648052
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.769278
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.603169

Table 6.9.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-58.99619	SV1	-5.310391	6.94661	-0.764458	0.4446	PCI
C(2)	-108.3696	SV2	38.30394	35.72517	1.072184	0.2836	GRP
C(3)	-19.93476	SV3	-11.73613	15.63288	-0.750734	0.4528	RFDI
C(4)	-69.82919	SV4	3.453368	11.22508	0.307647	0.7584	GCF
C(5)	-1.735485	SV5	-49.99805	52.83502	-0.946305	0.344	UR
C(6)	-281.1254	SV6	7.81929	19.03128	0.410865	0.6812	SUN
C(7)	-122.7888	SV7	-5.845508	9.01841	-0.648175	0.5169	OWN
C(8)	-37.51563	SV8	-22.80915	18.12172	-1.258663	0.2082	ROAD
C(9)	-22.20727	SV9	-25.4177	27.41276	-0.927221	0.3538	dummy1
C(10)	-28.95773	SV10	-4.166316	5.124752	-0.812979	0.4162	dummy2
C(11)	-41.92626						

Log likelihood	-79.7387	Akaike info criterion	15.12312
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.56761
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.95855

Table 6.9.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-118.0293	SV1	-3.736528	4.385642	-0.851991	0.3942	PCI
C(2)	-48.47955	SV2	2.713594	9.764579	0.277902	0.7811	GRP
C(3)	-3.970513	SV3	3.426895	7.324241	0.467884	0.6399	RFDI
C(4)	-108.7911	SV4	-0.649096	6.241634	-0.103994	0.9172	GCF
C(5)	-171.5528	SV5	5.473618	3.141723	1.742235	0.0815	UR
C(6)	-236.935	SV6	-0.656222	3.443903	-0.190546	0.8489	SUN
C(7)	-226.2036	SV7	2.549814	2.459592	1.036682	0.2999	OWN
C(8)	-122.8984	SV8	0.887111	6.175384	0.143653	0.8858	ROAD
C(9)	-27.49113	SV9	-0.516814	0.203375	-2.541184	0.011	dummy1
C(10)	-43.9708	SV10	-0.265626	0.195405	-1.359366	0.174	dummy2
C(11)	-72.62671						

Log likelihood	-79.63217	Akaike info criterion	15.10536
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.54986
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.94079

Table 6.9.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-157.8684	SV1	1.880153	3.017553	0.623072	0.5332	PCI
C(2)	-19.71975	SV2	7.724731	9.024075	0.856014	0.392	GRP
C(3)	-51.67503	SV3	0.260357	0.634598	0.410271	0.6816	RFDI
C(4)	-28.90951	SV4	-1.497413	3.750125	-0.399297	0.6897	GCF
C(5)	-4.000148	SV5	1.739865	2.853816	0.609663	0.5421	UR
C(6)	-137.6783	SV6	0.963246	1.283842	0.750284	0.4531	SUN
C(7)	-278.8394	SV7	3.273825	3.712392	0.881864	0.3779	OWN
C(8)	-109.8526	SV8	-8.73435	9.126209	-0.957062	0.3385	ROAD
C(9)	-94.49373	SV9	0.365294	0.440327	0.829597	0.4068	dummy1
C(10)	-73.56918	SV10	0.169622	0.29346	0.578008	0.5633	dummy2
C(11)	-8.264308						

Log likelihood	-82.93501	Akaike info criterion	15.65584
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.10033
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.49127

Table 6.9.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-80.60491	SV1	0.939311	5.28064	0.177878	0.8588	PCI
C(2)	-28.44578	SV2	11.12974	10.56372	1.053582	0.2921	GRP
C(3)	-197.2299	SV3	-2.207769	1.983517	-1.113058	0.2657	RFDI
C(4)	-23.0229	SV4	-4.959547	5.252949	-0.944145	0.3451	GCF
C(5)	-2.955593	SV5	-0.84089	1.756203	-0.478811	0.6321	UR
C(6)	-49.71212	SV6	1.00551	4.263956	0.235816	0.8136	SUN
C(7)	-142.4679	SV7	-1.341952	3.434106	-0.390772	0.696	OWN
C(8)	-48.99637	SV8	-5.534205	8.935793	-0.61933	0.5357	ROAD
C(9)	-491.7572	SV9	-0.082067	0.315347	-0.260243	0.7947	dummy1
C(10)	-7.584875	SV10	0.153725	0.357819	0.429618	0.6675	dummy2
C(11)	-6.842303						

Log likelihood	-82.14529	Akaike info criterion	15.52421
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.96871
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.35965

Table 6.9.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-108.5086	SV1	1.813052	1.674933	1.082462	0.279	RFDI
C(2)	-2.36495	SV2	-0.15729	0.747227	-0.210498	0.8333	UR
C(3)	-72.27975	SV3	1.738545	1.936632	0.897716	0.3693	OWN
C(4)	-51.44234	SV4	-0.224958	1.108202	-0.202994	0.8391	ROAD
C(5)	-37.59437						

Log likelihood	-45.95681	Akaike info criterion	8.492802
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	8.694846
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.417997

Table 6.9.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-9.033664	SV1	5.521142	33.69363	0.163863	0.8698	PCI
C(2)	-0.801959	SV2	4.927536	23.24413	0.211991	0.8321	GRP
C(3)	-0.948508	SV3	0.393666	1.826451	0.215536	0.8293	RFDI
C(4)	-8.5987	SV4	1.628721	15.09156	0.107923	0.9141	GCF
C(5)	-1.054453	SV5	1.641598	10.01013	0.163994	0.8697	UR
C(6)	-3.838359	SV6	1.192035	8.389102	0.142093	0.887	SUN
C(7)	-2.821807	SV7	3.646398	18.36482	0.198553	0.8426	OWN
C(8)	-4.041328	SV8	-13.37047	65.47229	-0.204216	0.8382	ROAD
C(9)	-0.867519	SV9	1.181742	6.226034	0.189807	0.8495	dummy1
C(10)	-0.022913	SV10	0.566213	3.582068	0.158069	0.8744	dummy2
C(11)	-0.490412						

Log likelihood	-88.52985	Akaike info criterion	16.58831
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	17.03281
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	16.42374

Table 6.9.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-155.2766	SV1	-0.052439	5.890341	-0.008903	0.9929	PCI
C(2)	-100.9841	SV2	10.87277	16.84911	0.645302	0.5187	GRP
C(3)	-50.89792	SV3	-0.148931	0.903411	-0.164854	0.8691	RFDI
C(4)	-37.99418	SV4	-3.531236	11.95933	-0.29527	0.7678	GCF
C(5)	-17.69909	SV5	-3.574824	2.331335	-1.53338	0.1252	UR
C(6)	-326.1424	SV6	-0.677165	2.29628	-0.294897	0.7681	SUN
C(7)	-186.9896	SV7	2.335814	5.813698	0.401778	0.6878	OWN
C(8)	-125.0736	SV8	-7.39425	12.26247	-0.602998	0.5465	ROAD
C(9)	-2.645268	SV9	-0.039499	0.538312	-0.073376	0.9415	dummy1
C(10)	-86.52917	SV10	0.135347	0.406606	0.33287	0.7392	dummy2
C(11)	-17.43144						

Log likelihood	-85.34109	Akaike info criterion	16.05685
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.50135
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.89228

Table 6.9.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-3.675428	SV1	2.255562	25.05339	0.09003	0.9283	PCI
C(2)	-0.608518	SV2	9.799237	84.49601	0.115973	0.9077	GRP
C(3)	-0.629343	SV3	0.227166	11.29794	0.020107	0.984	RFDI
C(4)	-0.953349	SV4	-0.625427	63.98283	-0.009775	0.9922	GCF
C(5)	-0.595129	SV5	-1.879539	8.680226	-0.216531	0.8286	UR
C(6)	-3.61027	SV6	-1.400406	27.61991	-0.050703	0.9596	SUN
C(7)	-4.329599	SV7	5.078556	30.01112	0.169223	0.8656	OWN
C(8)	-2.983093	SV8	-12.87138	51.71814	-0.248876	0.8035	ROAD
C(9)	-0.593101	SV9	0.101579	3.345813	0.03036	0.9758	dummy1
C(10)	-0.003711	SV10	0.139949	2.579112	0.054262	0.9567	dummy2
C(11)	-0.490721						

Log likelihood	-86.88573	Akaike info criterion	16.31429
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.75879
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	16.14972

Table 6.9.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	0.000116	SV1	0.726728	0.095978	7.571812	0	GRP
C(2)	-7.184435	SV2	-0.147391	1.178108	-0.125108	0.9004	UR
C(3)	-0.624286						

Log likelihood	-36.9808	Akaike info criterion	6.663466
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	6.784693
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	6.618583

Table 6.9.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-62.5704	SV1	-1.660301	2.421438	-0.685668	0.4929	PCI
C(2)	-22.24369	SV2	33.01414	21.81966	1.513046	0.1303	GRP
C(3)	-23.40705	SV3	-1.677075	0.769084	-2.180615	0.0292	RFDI
C(4)	-46.18426	SV4	-16.63315	12.44542	-1.336487	0.1814	GCF
C(5)	-73.94637	SV5	0.294633	1.061613	0.277533	0.7814	UR
C(6)	-153.3514	SV6	-1.889711	1.37004	-1.379311	0.1678	SUN
C(7)	-43.29943	SV7	3.932696	3.557518	1.105461	0.269	OWN
C(8)	-47.72905	SV8	-11.39638	9.287494	-1.227067	0.2198	ROAD
C(9)	-4.189979	SV9	-0.920227	0.375477	-2.450821	0.0143	dummy1
C(10)	-19.63578	SV10	0.098028	0.228531	0.428949	0.668	dummy2
C(11)	-5.472593						

Log likelihood	-81.77206	Akaike info criterion	15.46201
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.90651
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.29744

Table 6.9.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Russian tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Variables
C(1)	-134.2261	SV1	0.729551	0.61282	1.190483	0.2339	PCI
C(2)	-17.86872	SV2	1.043577	1.017424	1.025705	0.305	GRP
C(3)	-6.662566	SV3	-0.148387	0.183378	-0.809188	0.4184	RFDI
C(4)	-36.7964	SV4	0.317678	1.074381	0.295684	0.7675	GCF
C(5)	-139.4691	SV5	-0.320261	0.454192	-0.705121	0.4807	UR
C(6)	-378.1478	SV6	1.268848	0.597972	2.121918	0.0338	SUN
C(7)	-326.04	SV7	0.408004	0.458209	0.890433	0.3732	OWN
C(8)	-75.82632	SV8	-0.987676	0.855481	-1.154527	0.2483	ROAD
C(9)	-42.22964	SV9	0.279991	0.128002	2.187398	0.0287	dummy1
C(10)	-65.88828	SV10	0.038794	0.064785	0.598802	0.5493	dummy2
C(11)	-82.21579						

Log likelihood	-80.37233	Akaike info criterion	15.22872
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.67322
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.06415

Table 6.10.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-5.88713	SV1	0.240458	0.048219	4.986777	0	UR
C(2)	-270.0851	SV2	1.197958	0.014139	84.72978	0	ROAD
C(3)	-8.857493						
Log likelihood	-12.51765	Akaike info criterion	2.586275				
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	2.707502				
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.541392				

Table 6.10.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-314.3794	SV1	2.167208	1.04835	2.067257	0.0387	PCI
C(2)	-7.180875	SV2	9.962028	2.760604	3.608641	0.0003	GRP
C(3)	-9.315769	SV3	-10.67593	4.514049	-2.365046	0.018	RFDI
C(4)	-105.8151	SV4	-3.97673	2.678508	-1.484681	0.1376	GCF
C(5)	-11.45101	SV5	1.260355	0.359771	3.503212	0.0005	UR
C(6)	-92.18007	SV6	1.454179	0.438449	3.31664	0.0009	SUN
C(7)	-183.4139	SV7	1.187797	4.073453	0.291595	0.7706	OWN
C(8)	-190.472	SV8	0.281793	1.275181	0.220983	0.8251	ROAD
C(9)	-8.251164	SV9	-0.060979	0.10468	-0.582531	0.5602	dummy1
C(10)	-6.733994	SV10	0.13705	0.257996	0.531211	0.5953	dummy2
C(11)	-4.035542						
Log likelihood	-77.13008	Akaike info criterion	14.68835				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.13284				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.52378				

Table 6.10.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1283.159	SV1	-1.645977	3.171823	-0.518937	0.6038	PCI
C(2)	-24.97176	SV2	-0.767758	5.063448	-0.151628	0.8795	GRP
C(3)	-24.76202	SV3	1.558381	2.563301	0.607958	0.5432	RFDI
C(4)	-5.187051	SV4	-1.224287	4.654195	-0.26305	0.7925	GCF
C(5)	-6.965985	SV5	0.131018	0.69046	0.189754	0.8495	UR
C(6)	-1108.134	SV6	-0.461458	1.189941	-0.387799	0.6982	SUN
C(7)	-170.3465	SV7	-5.147023	5.993106	-0.858824	0.3904	OWN
C(8)	-354.4004	SV8	3.308004	5.047706	0.655348	0.5122	ROAD
C(9)	-39.27677	SV9	-0.868924	0.121063	-7.177461	0	dummy1
C(10)	-257.33	SV10	-0.085587	0.106723	-0.801953	0.4226	dummy2
C(11)	-115.2766						
Log likelihood	-76.59155	Akaike info criterion	14.59859				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.04309				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.43402				

Table 6.10.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-44.95635	SV1	-2.316819	4.561997	-0.507852	0.6116	PCI
C(2)	-103.7886	SV2	2.146946	6.586083	0.325982	0.7444	GRP
C(3)	-24.06751	SV3	0.839786	2.411373	0.34826	0.7276	RFDI
C(4)	-8.256031	SV4	-2.346979	4.384321	-0.535312	0.5924	GCF
C(5)	-3.577795	SV5	-0.557637	1.599396	-0.348655	0.7273	UR
C(6)	-300.1485	SV6	-0.19834	1.313481	-0.151004	0.88	SUN
C(7)	-83.23314	SV7	-3.912787	11.03614	-0.354543	0.7229	OWN
C(8)	-107.404	SV8	2.545093	5.180539	0.49128	0.6232	ROAD
C(9)	-31.25158	SV9	-0.159219	0.315126	-0.505256	0.6134	dummy1
C(10)	-42.66187	SV10	-0.218781	0.258945	-0.844893	0.3982	dummy2
C(11)	-57.79863						

Log likelihood	-81.16466	Akaike info criterion	15.36078
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.80527
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.19621

Table 6.10.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-123.4331	SV1	6.083478	4.93108	1.233701	0.2173	PCI
C(2)	-6.14026	SV2	85.52965	69.68374	1.227398	0.2197	GRP
C(3)	-23.50544	SV3	-5.041069	7.120467	-0.707969	0.479	RFDI
C(4)	-26.99685	SV4	-44.56303	33.28855	-1.338689	0.1807	GCF
C(5)	-128.1466	SV5	-7.823008	7.428869	-1.053055	0.2923	UR
C(6)	-471.7018	SV6	6.097297	4.220488	1.44469	0.1485	SUN
C(7)	-122.8315	SV7	62.30119	52.81712	1.179564	0.2382	OWN
C(8)	-116.5946	SV8	-45.7711	38.80852	-1.179409	0.2382	ROAD
C(9)	-152.0904	SV9	-3.351676	2.950861	-1.13583	0.256	dummy1
C(10)	-116.1221	SV10	-1.305987	1.212094	-1.077464	0.2813	dummy2
C(11)	-9.720662						

Log likelihood	-75.33845	Akaike info criterion	14.38974
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.83424
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.22517

Table 6.10.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-221.6291	SV1	-1.675253	0.110622	-15.1439	0	PCI
C(2)	-45.81492	SV2	-1.603216	0.136645	-11.73274	0	GRP
C(3)	-32.69439	SV3	0.519545	0.048472	10.71853	0	RFDI
C(4)	-62.44315	SV4	1.351257	0.052228	25.87213	0	GCF
C(5)	-44.01737	SV5	0.26239	0.013878	18.90756	0	UR
C(6)	-5468.082	SV6	-0.46238	0.027085	-17.07121	0	SUN
C(7)	-9.694399	SV7	-2.668262	0.193018	-13.82391	0	OWN
C(8)	-669.8799	SV8	2.817496	0.16784	16.78682	0	ROAD
C(9)	-31.39327	SV9	-0.082831	0.002129	-38.9003	0	dummy1
C(10)	-186.9872	SV10	-0.007765	0.002363	-3.28607	0.001	dummy2
C(11)	-347.3206						

Log likelihood	-70.55863	Akaike info criterion	13.5931
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.0376
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.42854

Table 6.10.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-284.1335	SV1	2.590957	1.862439	1.391164	0.1642	PCI
C(2)	-7.598315	SV2	0.210936	2.015131	0.104676	0.9166	GRP
C(3)	-55.93656	SV3	1.177313	1.156839	1.017698	0.3088	RFDI
C(4)	-24.9676	SV4	-0.148209	0.773984	-0.191489	0.8481	GCF
C(5)	-209.5818	SV5	0.837321	0.088237	9.489435	0	UR
C(6)	-34.81652	SV6	2.09898	0.835192	2.513169	0.012	SUN
C(7)	-175.02	SV7	7.185697	3.72856	1.927204	0.054	OWN
C(8)	-194.771	SV8	-2.134648	2.723419	-0.783812	0.4332	ROAD
C(9)	-50.07109	SV9	0.018909	0.278527	0.06789	0.9459	dummy1
C(10)	-4.247942	SV10	-0.35739	0.170732	-2.093281	0.0363	dummy2
C(11)	-5.204284						

Log likelihood	-76.33465	Akaike info criterion	14.55578
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.00027
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.39121

Table 6.10.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.239164	SV1	0.583182	0.620654	0.939624	0.3474	GCF
C(2)	-78.25966	SV2	-0.121593	1.237551	-0.098253	0.9217	UR
C(3)	-24.25188	SV3	-3.134818	2.699908	-1.161083	0.2456	OWN
C(4)	-95.30283	SV4	-0.131474	0.71672	-0.183438	0.8545	ROAD
C(5)	-2038.947						

Log likelihood	-38.56591	Akaike info criterion	7.260985
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.46303
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.186181

Table 6.10.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-7.944389	SV1	-0.798139	0.238261	-3.349849	0.0008	PCI
C(2)	-12.71873	SV2	1.035166	0.324235	3.192647	0.0014	GRP
C(3)	-22.05329	SV3	0.383702	1.472458	0.260586	0.7944	RFDI
C(4)	-26.96211	SV4	-1.266866	1.034762	-1.224306	0.2208	GCF
C(5)	-10.61186	SV5	-0.685753	0.497058	-1.379622	0.1677	UR
C(6)	-19.86607	SV6	0.84769	0.40239	2.106639	0.0351	SUN
C(7)	-23.53864	SV7	-3.164861	0.618657	-5.115697	0	OWN
C(8)	-21.76042	SV8	1.370157	0.299744	4.571096	0	ROAD
C(9)	-69.05902	SV9	-0.112216	0.014952	-7.505186	0	dummy1
C(10)	-69.27657	SV10	-0.16787	0.057536	-2.917644	0.0035	dummy2
C(11)	-13.37585						

Log likelihood	-69.6388	Akaike info criterion	13.4398
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	13.8843
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.27523

Table 6.10.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-30.5953	SV1	-0.633145	0.660877	-0.958037	0.338	PCI
C(2)	-9.001212	SV2	0.690137	1.465707	0.470856	0.6377	GRP
C(3)	-12.75113	SV3	-1.518851	0.807464	-1.881015	0.06	RFDI
C(4)	-28.06624	SV4	0.667401	1.55049	0.430446	0.6669	GCF
C(5)	-67.72995	SV5	0.000263	0.049652	0.005307	0.9958	UR
C(6)	-72.10253	SV6	-0.101657	0.255652	-0.397639	0.6909	SUN
C(7)	-29.17121	SV7	-2.622431	2.227015	-1.177554	0.239	OWN
C(8)	-28.34163	SV8	1.476484	0.470417	3.138668	0.0017	ROAD
C(9)	-19.05696	SV9	-0.233294	0.040069	-5.82225	0	dummy1
C(10)	-42.35047	SV10	-0.061067	0.034954	-1.747079	0.0806	dummy2
C(11)	-8.634162						

Log likelihood	-76.06553	Akaike info criterion	14.51092
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.95542
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.34635

Table 6.10.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1057.728	SV1	1.233623	0.057904	21.3045	0	PCI
C(2)	-7.921155	SV2	1.488966	0.576351	2.583438	0.0098	UR
C(3)	-23.9208						

Log likelihood	-14.52552	Akaike info criterion	2.92092
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.042147
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.876037

Table 6.10.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-833.796	SV1	-2.983521	2.107077	-1.415952	0.1568	PCI
C(2)	-32.98453	SV2	-1.27046	4.609038	-0.275645	0.7828	GRP
C(3)	-44.96583	SV3	-1.102008	2.319986	-0.475006	0.6348	RFDI
C(4)	-7.215201	SV4	-1.170693	1.759772	-0.665253	0.5059	GCF
C(5)	-6.438254	SV5	-1.560725	1.224967	-1.274096	0.2026	UR
C(6)	-467.99	SV6	-0.411272	0.71877	-0.572188	0.5672	SUN
C(7)	-970.46	SV7	-11.80343	4.978806	-2.370735	0.0178	OWN
C(8)	-313.3759	SV8	5.285049	4.07924	1.295596	0.1951	ROAD
C(9)	-10.01158	SV9	-0.507784	0.29292	-1.733527	0.083	dummy1
C(10)	-22.27719	SV10	-0.261087	0.14917	-1.750268	0.0801	dummy2
C(11)	-150.0552						

Log likelihood	-75.83532	Akaike info criterion	14.47255
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.91705
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.30798

Table 6.10.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.02258	SV1	1.643209	0.100216	16.3966	0	RFDI
C(2)	-6.27773	SV2	0.463081	0.578614	0.800328	0.4235	SUN
C(3)	-36.09005						

Log likelihood	-25.20751	Akaike info criterion	4.701251
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.822478
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.656368

Table 6.10.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-148.98	SV1	-0.017108	0.353171	-0.048441	0.9614	PCI
C(2)	-46.94833	SV2	-0.111398	0.851511	-0.130824	0.8959	RFDI
C(3)	-105.7015	SV3	1.186712	0.685689	1.730685	0.0835	GCF
C(4)	-5.953618	SV4	-0.506544	0.154757	-3.273149	0.0011	UR
C(5)	-43.44899	SV5	0.336575	0.42309	0.795515	0.4263	SUN
C(6)	-379.3472						

Log likelihood	-43.23587	Akaike info criterion	8.205979
Parameters	6	Schwarz criterion	8.448432
Diffuse priors	5	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.116214

Table 6.10.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.583996	SV1	0.800834	0.799714	1.001401	0.3166	RFDI
C(2)	-49.78542	SV2	0.570525	0.615058	0.927596	0.3536	ROAD
C(3)	-7.2009						

Log likelihood	-22.54271	Akaike info criterion	4.257118
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.378344
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.212235

Table 6.10.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-52.60642	SV1	1.944818	0.659849	2.947369	0.0032	GCF
C(2)	-154.2277	SV2	-0.812343	0.666373	-1.219051	0.2228	ROAD
C(3)	-5.748028						

Log likelihood	-23.92101	Akaike info criterion	4.486835
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.608061
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.441952

Table 6.10.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-26.78442	SV1	-1.338009	8.432671	-0.15867	0.8739	PCI
C(2)	-5.412865	SV2	-3.379508	8.588539	-0.39349	0.694	GRP
C(3)	-6.585272	SV3	3.535196	16.77335	0.210763	0.8331	RFDI
C(4)	-75.38325	SV4	-0.825616	2.832932	-0.291435	0.7707	GCF
C(5)	-26.22373	SV5	-1.137348	9.430062	-0.120609	0.904	UR
C(6)	-66.87312	SV6	0.140164	3.83318	0.036566	0.9708	SUN
C(7)	-29.25943	SV7	-13.7464	9.433113	-1.457249	0.145	OWN
C(8)	-28.50961	SV8	2.033173	7.28306	0.279165	0.7801	ROAD
C(9)	-6.042537	SV9	-0.171871	2.193838	-0.078343	0.9376	dummy1
C(10)	-0.05925	SV10	-0.082698	0.36529	-0.22639	0.8209	dummy2
C(11)	-13.44387						

Log likelihood	-76.84585	Akaike info criterion	14.64098
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.08547
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.47641

Table 6.10.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-139.4655	SV1	1.118763	0.11336	9.869141	0	PCI
C(2)	-5.073843	SV2	1.863619	0.700006	2.662291	0.0078	UR
C(3)	-27.8956						

Log likelihood	-29.99127	Akaike info criterion	5.498545
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.619772
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.453662

Table 6.10.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.850342	SV1	0.385955	0.194059	1.988852	0.0467	UR
C(2)	-2449.697	SV2	1.345677	0.01513	88.94137	0	ROAD
C(3)	-191.4098						

Log likelihood	-19.19586	Akaike info criterion	3.69931
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.820537
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.654427

Table 6.10.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-226.7275	SV1	1.440368	1.167209	1.234028	0.2172	PCI
C(2)	-7.588851	SV2	2.036488	1.600879	1.272106	0.2033	GRP
C(3)	-11.58439	SV3	1.088901	1.743095	0.624694	0.5322	RFDI
C(4)	-23.07427	SV4	-0.599224	0.934878	-0.640964	0.5215	GCF
C(5)	-17.9265	SV5	0.06621	0.343434	0.192787	0.8471	UR
C(6)	-246.1198	SV6	0.114471	0.178291	0.642047	0.5208	SUN
C(7)	-245.8455	SV7	3.667047	2.371087	1.546568	0.122	OWN
C(8)	-216.1144	SV8	-2.178126	1.319056	-1.651276	0.0987	ROAD
C(9)	-18.48924	SV9	-0.097477	0.052376	-1.861099	0.0627	dummy1
C(10)	-88.63378	SV10	-0.099203	0.062212	-1.594584	0.1108	dummy2
C(11)	-112.5888						

Log likelihood	-76.60867	Akaike info criterion	14.60144
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.04594
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.43688

Table 6.10.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.915502	SV1	0.830698	0.268629	3.092364	0.002	GRP
C(2)	-7.473003	SV2	-2.517278	1.141604	-2.205035	0.0275	OWN
C(3)	-30.25229						

Log likelihood	-19.13649	Akaike info criterion	3.689415
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.810642
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.644532

Table 6.10.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-72.10539	SV1	1.011778	0.971111	1.041876	0.2975	PCI
C(2)	-5.347747	SV2	-0.168484	1.305612	-0.129046	0.8973	GCF
C(3)	-29.65395						

Log likelihood	-27.86123	Akaike info criterion	5.143539
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.264765
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.098656

Table 6.10.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-249.6704	SV1	-8.510065	6.40386	-1.328896	0.1839	PCI
C(2)	-20.8603	SV2	-1.331136	4.763344	-0.279454	0.7799	GRP
C(3)	-5.838574	SV3	-1.300387	1.016319	-1.279507	0.2007	RFDI
C(4)	-21.86038	SV4	2.501371	3.076034	0.813181	0.4161	GCF
C(5)	-31.27663	SV5	-3.303623	2.464907	-1.340263	0.1802	UR
C(6)	-191.3443	SV6	-0.921644	1.236403	-0.745424	0.456	SUN
C(7)	-470.4433	SV7	-9.009196	9.684638	-0.930256	0.3522	OWN
C(8)	-169.1678	SV8	10.14183	8.178025	1.240132	0.2149	ROAD
C(9)	-25.76985	SV9	-0.623129	0.358963	-1.735911	0.0826	dummy1
C(10)	-13.87139	SV10	-0.339291	0.191042	-1.776001	0.0757	dummy2
C(11)	-18.94618						

Log likelihood	-78.50548	Akaike info criterion	14.91758
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.36208
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.75301

Table 6.10.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.981635	SV1	0.877379	0.212934	4.120431	0	PCI
C(2)	-45.70599	SV2	-0.095922	0.710595	-0.134988	0.8926	RFDI
C(3)	-4.626609						

Log likelihood	-26.03923	Akaike info criterion	4.839872
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.961098
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.794989

Table 6.10.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-340.7192	SV1	0.463989	0.658042	0.705105	0.4807	PCI
C(2)	-7.803701	SV2	1.054944	2.166185	0.487005	0.6263	GRP
C(3)	-20.40843	SV3	0.60014	0.346045	1.73428	0.0829	RFDI
C(4)	-24.25942	SV4	-2.00947	1.560449	-1.287751	0.1978	GCF
C(5)	-22.65832	SV5	-0.664327	0.334767	-1.984443	0.0472	UR
C(6)	-206.9951	SV6	-1.579759	0.689446	-2.291347	0.0219	SUN
C(7)	-522.2936	SV7	1.433045	1.766247	0.811351	0.4172	OWN
C(8)	-217.2196	SV8	1.566852	0.741553	2.112934	0.0346	ROAD
C(9)	-59.7908	SV9	-0.259192	0.082032	-3.159657	0.0016	dummy1
C(10)	-88.75689	SV10	-0.165653	0.058866	-2.814071	0.0049	dummy2
C(11)	-93.98911						

Log likelihood	-77.04371	Akaike info criterion	14.67395
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.11845
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.50938

Table 6.10.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-145.6359	SV1	0.748776	1.414329	0.529422	0.5965	PCI
C(2)	-44.70703	SV2	0.979843	0.791042	1.238674	0.2155	GRP
C(3)	-67.09878	SV3	0.256225	0.078381	3.268953	0.0011	RFDI
C(4)	-194.1346	SV4	-0.675397	0.7373	-0.916041	0.3596	GCF
C(5)	-5.41286	SV5	-0.170681	0.376828	-0.452941	0.6506	UR
C(6)	-165.9524	SV6	-0.720303	0.412108	-1.74785	0.0805	SUN
C(7)	-76.35486	SV7	1.456446	4.102921	0.354978	0.7226	OWN
C(8)	-196.6436	SV8	0.143844	2.149251	0.066928	0.9466	ROAD
C(9)	-24.53567	SV9	-0.260841	0.166383	-1.567711	0.1169	dummy1
C(10)	-123.5575	SV10	-0.177679	0.103363	-1.718988	0.0856	dummy2
C(11)	-159.9771						

Log likelihood	-81.65201	Akaike info criterion	15.442
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.8865
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.27743

Table 6.10.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-31.11729	SV1	1.018089	67.03351	0.015188	0.9879	PCI
C(2)	-1.37768	SV2	1.125026	83.14088	0.013532	0.9892	GRP
C(3)	-1.750358	SV3	0.021529	4.344194	0.004956	0.996	RFDI
C(4)	-3.584451	SV4	-0.730105	56.47039	-0.012929	0.9897	GCF
C(5)	-1.86778	SV5	-0.040522	7.997785	-0.005067	0.996	UR
C(6)	-42.754	SV6	-0.14352	14.10527	-0.010175	0.9919	SUN
C(7)	-44.02156	SV7	2.983875	102.4256	0.029132	0.9768	OWN
C(8)	-26.35992	SV8	-0.02922	103.1601	-0.000283	0.9998	ROAD
C(9)	-1.684129	SV9	-0.694874	2.966032	-0.234277	0.8148	dummy1
C(10)	-0.005126	SV10	-0.132014	2.117395	-0.062347	0.9503	dummy2
C(11)	-0.580255						

Log likelihood	-84.42662	Akaike info criterion	15.90444
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.34893
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.73987

Table 6.10.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-149.8891	SV1	-1.003369	5.118956	-0.19601	0.8446	PCI
C(2)	-23.95694	SV2	-2.144831	5.977925	-0.358792	0.7198	GRP
C(3)	-50.20108	SV3	-0.140403	0.701477	-0.200153	0.8414	RFDI
C(4)	-283.1019	SV4	2.207439	4.316678	0.511374	0.6091	GCF
C(5)	-34.99157	SV5	0.143842	0.672607	0.213857	0.8307	UR
C(6)	-273.6015	SV6	-0.318235	1.556909	-0.204401	0.838	SUN
C(7)	-75.13505	SV7	2.245423	8.757491	0.2564	0.7976	OWN
C(8)	-181.9583	SV8	3.63316	8.36531	0.434313	0.6641	ROAD
C(9)	-4.966549	SV9	-0.138234	0.162152	-0.852497	0.3939	dummy1
C(10)	-239.3897	SV10	-0.317317	0.160624	-1.975534	0.0482	dummy2
C(11)	-174.546						

Log likelihood	-79.25481	Akaike info criterion	15.04247
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.48697
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.8779

Table 6.10.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-673.6812	SV1	-0.268911	0.333186	-0.807089	0.4196	PCI
C(2)	-28.08751	SV2	1.798636	0.659699	2.726447	0.0064	GCF
C(3)	-106.855	SV3	1.428881	1.13763	1.256015	0.2091	UR
C(4)	-0.080088						

Log likelihood	-38.87576	Akaike info criterion	7.145961
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	7.307596
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.086118

Table 6.10.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-48.91121	SV1	-5.418165	3.619119	-1.497095	0.1344	PCI
C(2)	-20.71213	SV2	-8.173474	13.99902	-0.583861	0.5593	GRP
C(3)	-26.61111	SV3	1.09041	0.778936	1.39987	0.1616	RFDI
C(4)	-2.381918	SV4	2.930547	8.533413	0.34342	0.7313	GCF
C(5)	-31.65208	SV5	-0.155709	1.15592	-0.134706	0.8928	UR
C(6)	-114.4196	SV6	-1.123472	1.163551	-0.965555	0.3343	SUN
C(7)	-31.01808	SV7	-15.09987	10.34425	-1.459735	0.1444	OWN
C(8)	-36.30717	SV8	9.093589	5.343003	1.701962	0.0888	ROAD
C(9)	-523.3667	SV9	0.277802	0.342706	0.810613	0.4176	dummy1
C(10)	-769.5389	SV10	-0.074213	0.197726	-0.37533	0.7074	dummy2
C(11)	-39.41049						

Log likelihood	-79.40074	Akaike info criterion	15.06679
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.51129
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.90222

Table 6.10.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Singaporean tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-618.9145	SV1	0.716916	1.271546	0.563814	0.5729	PCI
C(2)	-6.112347	SV2	1.862745	2.613288	0.712797	0.476	GRP
C(3)	-7.051372	SV3	0.025843	0.312181	0.082783	0.934	RFDI
C(4)	-75.85251	SV4	-1.022789	1.925631	-0.531145	0.5953	GCF
C(5)	-10.02096	SV5	-0.114801	0.826426	-0.138912	0.8895	UR
C(6)	-213.6395	SV6	0.337309	1.239505	0.272132	0.7855	SUN
C(7)	-1954.475	SV7	5.280506	4.02688	1.311314	0.1898	OWN
C(8)	-117.6104	SV8	0.280026	1.603439	0.174641	0.8614	ROAD
C(9)	-46.19728	SV9	-0.08106	0.223141	-0.363266	0.7164	dummy1
C(10)	-1297.998	SV10	-0.357457	0.122826	-2.91028	0.0036	dummy2
C(11)	-1642.693						

Log likelihood	-80.42951	Akaike info criterion	15.23825
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.68275
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.07368

Table 6.11.1 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-277.414	SV1	-0.749981	1.842819	-0.406975	0.684	PCI
C(2)	-10.39254	SV2	0.009346	0.976479	0.009571	0.9924	GRP
C(3)	-31.43666	SV3	-0.929606	2.138595	-0.434681	0.6638	RFDI
C(4)	-9.568776	SV4	1.378777	1.333817	1.033708	0.3013	GCF
C(5)	-13.64093	SV5	-1.321784	1.562962	-0.845692	0.3977	UR
C(6)	-450.969	SV6	-0.68107	0.4692	-1.451555	0.1466	SUN
C(7)	-159.3815	SV7	-2.155766	3.523596	-0.611808	0.5407	OWN
C(8)	-173.4351	SV8	1.928712	2.825077	0.682711	0.4948	ROAD
C(9)	-7.425543	SV9	-0.068807	0.374456	-0.183752	0.8542	dummy1
C(10)	-3.643756	SV10	-0.696807	0.702515	-0.991875	0.3213	dummy2
C(11)	-5.798651						

Log likelihood	-74.77125	Akaike info criterion	14.29521
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.73971
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.13064

Table 6.11.2 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-144.3213	SV1	0.363482	1.538869	0.236201	0.8133	PCI
C(2)	-33.49159	SV2	0.251783	1.666514	0.151083	0.8799	GRP
C(3)	-29.51062	SV3	0.805874	0.454164	1.774413	0.076	GCF
C(4)	-34.79965	SV4	0.860648	1.548948	0.555634	0.5785	UR
C(5)	-0.658842						

Log likelihood	-46.23071	Akaike info criterion	8.538451
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	8.740496
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	8.463647

Table 6.11.3 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1749.11	SV1	-2.966852	2.891445	-1.026079	0.3049	PCI
C(2)	-22.73491	SV2	-4.47488	5.526955	-0.809647	0.4181	GRP
C(3)	-38.77063	SV3	3.328503	3.041446	1.094382	0.2738	RFDI
C(4)	-100.4834	SV4	0.200744	4.627876	0.043377	0.9654	GCF
C(5)	-27.88028	SV5	0.112647	0.695317	0.162009	0.8713	UR
C(6)	-1543.929	SV6	-0.421197	1.256873	-0.335115	0.7375	SUN
C(7)	-274.8697	SV7	-4.849092	4.499291	-1.077746	0.2811	OWN
C(8)	-244.1284	SV8	7.130706	5.982426	1.191942	0.2333	ROAD
C(9)	-5.294297	SV9	-0.974225	0.121511	-8.017585	0	dummy1
C(10)	-220.2996	SV10	-0.098317	0.112234	-0.875997	0.381	dummy2
C(11)	-296.0094						

Log likelihood	-78.00078	Akaike info criterion	14.83346
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.27796
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.66889

Table 6.11.4 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-37.16957	SV1	-1.378994	2.4973	-0.552194	0.5808	PCI
C(2)	-4.848896	SV2	4.010741	5.314941	0.754616	0.4505	GRP
C(3)	-42.63076	SV3	-0.54865	2.563611	-0.214014	0.8305	RFDI
C(4)	-32.21893	SV4	-2.824489	3.497835	-0.807496	0.4194	GCF
C(5)	-6.181987	SV5	-0.039119	0.940367	-0.0416	0.9668	UR
C(6)	-221.9749	SV6	0.199577	1.308406	0.152535	0.8788	SUN
C(7)	-76.79239	SV7	-0.770518	8.607066	-0.089522	0.9287	OWN
C(8)	-86.35048	SV8	1.09598	5.471835	0.200295	0.8413	ROAD
C(9)	-101.2944	SV9	-0.32681	0.239467	-1.364737	0.1723	dummy1
C(10)	-48.37463	SV10	-0.135389	0.177073	-0.764596	0.4445	dummy2
C(11)	-47.55825						

Log likelihood	-80.36546	Akaike info criterion	15.22758
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.67207
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.06301

Table 6.11.5 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-64.44945	SV1	3.656032	4.424811	0.826257	0.4087	PCI
C(2)	-117.6356	SV2	9.536211	23.56072	0.40475	0.6857	GRP
C(3)	-24.92291	SV3	-3.758531	5.979279	-0.628593	0.5296	RFDI
C(4)	-11.05404	SV4	1.300406	15.09156	0.086168	0.9313	GCF
C(5)	-37.65333	SV5	-1.320496	3.61761	-0.365019	0.7151	UR
C(6)	-311.2836	SV6	3.546396	3.576621	0.991549	0.3214	SUN
C(7)	-93.30353	SV7	7.334612	12.13216	0.60456	0.5455	OWN
C(8)	-42.70272	SV8	-16.79117	16.23141	-1.034487	0.3009	ROAD
C(9)	-2.399182	SV9	-0.340275	1.130965	-0.300872	0.7635	dummy1
C(10)	-31.15998	SV10	-0.636547	0.845181	-0.753149	0.4514	dummy2
C(11)	-42.52505						

Log likelihood	-81.17793	Akaike info criterion	15.36299
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.80749
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.19842

Table 6.11.6 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-133.9881	SV1	8.534128	13.53054	0.630731	0.5282	PCI
C(2)	-8.197262	SV2	9.477124	16.03833	0.590905	0.5546	GRP
C(3)	-6.908545	SV3	-0.950383	4.118434	-0.230763	0.8175	RFDI
C(4)	-63.83888	SV4	-2.98236	5.06189	-0.589179	0.5557	GCF
C(5)	-18.49423	SV5	-0.257899	0.683568	-0.377284	0.706	UR
C(6)	-253.9298	SV6	1.225172	2.363239	0.518429	0.6042	SUN
C(7)	-123.3361	SV7	17.52976	28.39989	0.617247	0.5371	OWN
C(8)	-103.3531	SV8	-17.3899	28.11371	-0.618556	0.5362	ROAD
C(9)	-7.691298	SV9	-0.475174	0.401443	-1.183663	0.2365	dummy1
C(10)	-10.81255	SV10	-0.095142	0.157954	-0.602344	0.5469	dummy2
C(11)	-6.373431						

Log likelihood	-75.55906	Akaike info criterion	14.42651
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.87101
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.26194

Table 6.11.7 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.495791	SV1	0.351626	0.332243	1.058338	0.2899	UR
C(2)	-30.0181	SV2	3.994847	0.13701	29.15723	0	OWN
C(3)	-36.79229						

Log likelihood	-29.9591	Akaike info criterion	5.493183
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.614409
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.4483

Table 6.11.8 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-250.9659	SV1	-4.407162	7.218939	-0.6105	0.5415	PCI
C(2)	-4.247938	SV2	-0.894894	6.75108	-0.132556	0.8945	GRP
C(3)	-35.00757	SV3	-5.511162	12.28511	-0.448605	0.6537	RFDI
C(4)	-23.74584	SV4	1.618367	4.412746	0.366748	0.7138	GCF
C(5)	-4.039046	SV5	-5.908371	5.423733	-1.089355	0.276	UR
C(6)	-225.7782	SV6	0.408024	5.69493	0.071647	0.9429	SUN
C(7)	-133.5689	SV7	-9.651379	11.2945	-0.85452	0.3928	OWN
C(8)	-80.49605	SV8	10.58377	12.72058	0.832019	0.4054	ROAD
C(9)	-741.4599	SV9	-0.478125	0.691689	-0.691242	0.4894	dummy1
C(10)	-44.05021	SV10	-0.482619	0.850584	-0.567397	0.5704	dummy2
C(11)	-56.37852						

Log likelihood	-78.47923	Akaike info criterion	14.91321
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.3577
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.74864

Table 6.11.9 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-441.5467	SV1	0.918772	0.31549	2.912204	0.0036	PCI
C(2)	-146.0238	SV2	1.738298	0.479137	3.627981	0.0003	GRP
C(3)	-10.78946	SV3	1.966739	3.31415	0.593437	0.5529	RFDI
C(4)	-14.2854	SV4	-2.799231	2.355586	-1.188338	0.2347	GCF
C(5)	-11.86194	SV5	-0.151677	1.20427	-0.12595	0.8998	UR
C(6)	-386.0646	SV6	0.588296	0.831711	0.707333	0.4794	SUN
C(7)	-415.7146	SV7	-1.318072	0.688419	-1.914638	0.0555	OWN
C(8)	-206.3011	SV8	-0.239007	0.503914	-0.474301	0.6353	ROAD
C(9)	-10.44315	SV9	0.00634	0.022015	0.287982	0.7734	dummy1
C(10)	-15.11673	SV10	-0.159918	0.139846	-1.143533	0.2528	dummy2
C(11)	-6.788201						

Log likelihood	-70.46705	Akaike info criterion	13.57784
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.02234
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.41327

Table 6.11.10 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-84.49863	SV1	-1.536969	1.516773	-1.013315	0.3109	PCI
C(2)	-27.99064	SV2	1.529049	4.541155	0.336709	0.7363	GRP
C(3)	-6.097318	SV3	-4.051455	3.35854	-1.206314	0.2277	RFDI
C(4)	-1102.162	SV4	2.841933	5.392873	0.526979	0.5982	GCF
C(5)	-39.41714	SV5	-0.056134	0.123457	-0.454688	0.6493	UR
C(6)	-1536.084	SV6	-0.420687	1.112866	-0.378021	0.7054	SUN
C(7)	-42.6958	SV7	-5.751912	4.050072	-1.4202	0.1555	OWN
C(8)	-193.1366	SV8	2.655733	2.064988	1.286077	0.1984	ROAD
C(9)	-22.81838	SV9	-0.192663	0.157877	-1.220336	0.2223	dummy1
C(10)	-98.39574	SV10	-0.051218	0.09394	-0.545219	0.5856	dummy2
C(11)	-36.88069						

Log likelihood	-79.67847	Akaike info criterion	15.11308
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.55758
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.94851

Table 6.11.11 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-218.894	SV1	-0.925807	2.882345	-0.321199	0.7481	PCI
C(2)	-34.59174	SV2	-6.008475	7.992295	-0.751783	0.4522	GRP
C(3)	-13.89524	SV3	2.170969	2.247007	0.96616	0.334	RFDI
C(4)	-7.066027	SV4	1.733011	3.126213	0.554348	0.5793	GCF
C(5)	-8.51714	SV5	3.201046	1.812738	1.765863	0.0774	UR
C(6)	-315.5381	SV6	-0.979879	1.561015	-0.627719	0.5302	SUN
C(7)	-392.8325	SV7	-5.9323	7.011539	-0.846077	0.3975	OWN
C(8)	-206.8479	SV8	6.982125	8.309671	0.840241	0.4008	ROAD
C(9)	-79.70592	SV9	0.022329	0.140514	0.158911	0.8737	dummy1
C(10)	-29.83242	SV10	-0.05242	0.056858	-0.921939	0.3566	dummy2
C(11)	-20.02103						

Log likelihood	-73.93573	Akaike info criterion	14.15596
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.60045
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.99139

Table 6.11.12 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-519.2305	SV1	-1.232504	1.61698	-0.762226	0.4459	PCI
C(2)	-162.686	SV2	2.584582	6.24471	0.413884	0.679	GRP
C(3)	-7.577346	SV3	0.258015	3.479674	0.074149	0.9409	RFDI
C(4)	-24.84177	SV4	-2.755297	1.942043	-1.418763	0.156	GCF
C(5)	-227.5159	SV5	-0.586642	1.193276	-0.491623	0.623	UR
C(6)	-358.9202	SV6	0.834597	0.667292	1.250722	0.211	SUN
C(7)	-340.6975	SV7	-4.641725	2.855355	-1.625621	0.104	OWN
C(8)	-162.0776	SV8	2.594827	4.742658	0.547125	0.5843	ROAD
C(9)	-6.638256	SV9	-0.234659	0.317542	-0.738985	0.4599	dummy1
C(10)	-11.69724	SV10	-0.240054	0.183926	-1.305169	0.1918	dummy2
C(11)	-157.1126						

Log likelihood	-76.68863	Akaike info criterion	14.61477
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.05927
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.4502

Table 6.11.13 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-152.7503	SV1	-0.696579	3.236745	-0.21521	0.8296	PCI
C(2)	-7.495679	SV2	0.62608	3.8135	0.164175	0.8696	GRP
C(3)	-12.5869	SV3	2.305519	5.034231	0.457968	0.647	RFDI
C(4)	-57.07102	SV4	-1.277133	1.336685	-0.955448	0.3394	GCF
C(5)	-51.12236	SV5	0.280626	0.833855	0.336541	0.7365	UR
C(6)	-469.4165	SV6	-0.232207	0.739074	-0.314186	0.7534	SUN
C(7)	-276.4109	SV7	-3.295801	5.202679	-0.633481	0.5264	OWN
C(8)	-114.7284	SV8	1.257609	5.521015	0.227786	0.8198	ROAD
C(9)	-5.583685	SV9	0.002409	0.142859	0.016861	0.9865	dummy1
C(10)	-62.06338	SV10	-0.09429	0.13539	-0.696438	0.4862	dummy2
C(11)	-83.6034						

Log likelihood	-78.47418	Akaike info criterion	14.91236
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.35686
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.74779

Table 6.11.14 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-83.11274	SV1	1.3055	6.125506	0.213125	0.8312	PCI
C(2)	-5.167224	SV2	3.834678	10.26609	0.373529	0.7088	GRP
C(3)	-7.504225	SV3	1.742343	2.917921	0.597118	0.5504	RFDI
C(4)	-4.3704	SV4	-2.64466	8.53648	-0.309807	0.7567	GCF
C(5)	-6.422923	SV5	0.192034	1.735697	0.110638	0.9119	UR
C(6)	-161.5163	SV6	0.395439	2.792084	0.141629	0.8874	SUN
C(7)	-192.1122	SV7	2.391934	12.00087	0.199313	0.842	OWN
C(8)	-103.1746	SV8	-3.359363	10.25985	-0.327428	0.7433	ROAD
C(9)	-5.217842	SV9	-0.009945	0.853351	-0.011654	0.9907	dummy1
C(10)	-4.77284	SV10	-0.115251	0.412314	-0.279522	0.7798	dummy2
C(11)	-4.389106						

Log likelihood	-80.11543	Akaike info criterion	15.1859
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.6304
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.02134

Table 6.11.15 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-4.064425	SV1	-1.810187	93.32259	-0.019397	0.9845	PCI
C(2)	-0.561438	SV2	-0.071567	166.2354	-0.000431	0.9997	GRP
C(3)	-0.567196	SV3	2.899997	141.401	0.020509	0.9836	RFDI
C(4)	-0.596049	SV4	-1.655689	85.55731	-0.019352	0.9846	GCF
C(5)	-0.575317	SV5	-0.028032	37.76144	-0.000742	0.9994	UR
C(6)	-7.467485	SV6	0.237925	27.98248	0.008503	0.9932	SUN
C(7)	-3.283169	SV7	-4.75988	118.7696	-0.040077	0.968	OWN
C(8)	-3.013301	SV8	3.144975	145.7595	0.021576	0.9828	ROAD
C(9)	-0.562617	SV9	0.030432	3.635574	0.008371	0.9933	dummy1
C(10)	-0.009562	SV10	-0.327964	3.844082	-0.085317	0.932	dummy2
C(11)	-0.059007						

Log likelihood	-82.08522	Akaike info criterion	15.5142
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.9587
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.34963

Table 6.11.16 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-269.2831	SV1	-0.585887	3.104602	-0.188716	0.8503	PCI
C(2)	-18.49711	SV2	-5.692871	6.90514	-0.82444	0.4097	GRP
C(3)	-5.235376	SV3	0.406869	2.709666	0.150155	0.8806	RFDI
C(4)	-61.23393	SV4	3.104312	4.717649	0.658021	0.5105	GCF
C(5)	-6.274944	SV5	1.300335	0.87311	1.489315	0.1364	UR
C(6)	-176.86	SV6	-0.443469	1.201546	-0.369082	0.7121	SUN
C(7)	-293.4088	SV7	-7.953976	6.351733	-1.252253	0.2105	OWN
C(8)	-102.1897	SV8	6.358499	6.230864	1.020484	0.3075	ROAD
C(9)	-7.134279	SV9	0.145563	0.180107	0.808205	0.419	dummy1
C(10)	-63.29571	SV10	-0.166803	0.163363	-1.021052	0.3072	dummy2
C(11)	-78.49789						

Log likelihood	-79.31565	Akaike info criterion	15.05261
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.49711
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.88804

Table 6.11.17 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-145.406	SV1	-0.894326	4.55274	-0.196437	0.8443	PCI
C(2)	-8.331921	SV2	1.740712	6.599795	0.263752	0.792	GRP
C(3)	-6.252442	SV3	2.86484	9.358539	0.30612	0.7595	RFDI
C(4)	-15.48087	SV4	-2.410792	1.882456	-1.280663	0.2003	GCF
C(5)	-6.468358	SV5	-2.793361	5.400525	-0.517239	0.605	UR
C(6)	-293.1214	SV6	-1.130272	1.793325	-0.630266	0.5285	SUN
C(7)	-132.6979	SV7	-8.633993	6.787551	-1.272033	0.2034	OWN
C(8)	-114.6279	SV8	2.129253	6.125243	0.347619	0.7281	ROAD
C(9)	-6.033848	SV9	-0.131309	1.922592	-0.068298	0.9455	dummy1
C(10)	-0.321761	SV10	0.000993	0.373135	0.002662	0.9979	dummy2
C(11)	-3.490548						

Log likelihood	-77.50518	Akaike info criterion	14.75086
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.19536
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.58629

Table 6.11.18 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-386.9878	SV1	16.95563	5.274011	3.21494	0.0013	PCI
C(2)	-125.2535	SV2	15.40579	3.903512	3.94665	0.0001	GRP
C(3)	-76.79212	SV3	7.974337	2.632361	3.029347	0.0025	RFDI
C(4)	-204.3668	SV4	-7.89818	1.957697	-4.034425	0.0001	GCF
C(5)	-5.225467	SV5	8.129084	2.234695	3.63767	0.0003	UR
C(6)	-137.5617	SV6	3.761351	1.332201	2.823411	0.0048	SUN
C(7)	-411.0801	SV7	29.70102	9.880302	3.006084	0.0026	OWN
C(8)	-139.2094	SV8	-33.41682	9.509923	-3.51389	0.0004	ROAD
C(9)	-28.07273	SV9	0.202496	0.15963	1.268535	0.2046	dummy1
C(10)	-48.55295	SV10	0.499485	0.22543	2.215703	0.0267	dummy2
C(11)	-45.86417						

Log likelihood	-78.3771	Akaike info criterion	14.89618
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.34068
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.73161

Table 6.11.19 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.605859	SV1	0.96264	0.678398	1.418989	0.1559	UR
C(2)	-24.78082	SV2	1.342731	0.043069	31.17605	0	ROAD
C(3)	-6.710472						

Log likelihood	-23.8921	Akaike info criterion	4.482017
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.603243
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.437134

Table 6.11.20 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2045.057	SV1	2.762636	2.372578	1.164403	0.2443	PCI
C(2)	-23.75333	SV2	4.116025	6.09464	0.675352	0.4995	GRP
C(3)	-62.05771	SV3	-2.190705	4.409306	-0.496837	0.6193	RFDI
C(4)	-37.65259	SV4	-0.176825	2.67724	-0.066047	0.9473	GCF
C(5)	-4.794258	SV5	-0.187292	0.981141	-0.190892	0.8486	UR
C(6)	-491.8315	SV6	0.453589	0.570502	0.795071	0.4266	SUN
C(7)	-2838.82	SV7	5.612457	6.493956	0.864259	0.3874	OWN
C(8)	-81.99389	SV8	-5.407899	6.152286	-0.879007	0.3794	ROAD
C(9)	-21.62577	SV9	-0.15957	0.185872	-0.85849	0.3906	dummy1
C(10)	-199.0279	SV10	-0.271341	0.179957	-1.507811	0.1316	dummy2
C(11)	-9.308546						

Log likelihood	-78.71031	Akaike info criterion	14.95172
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.39622
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.78715

Table 6.11.21 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-250.7852	SV1	0.982711	0.597968	1.643416	0.1003	PCI
C(2)	-9.709037	SV2	0.998892	1.555691	0.642089	0.5208	GRP
C(3)	-8.450245	SV3	-0.493312	0.542469	-0.909382	0.3631	RFDI
C(4)	-21.39191	SV4	0.562029	0.834639	0.67338	0.5007	GCF
C(5)	-14.77104	SV5	-0.826151	1.81227	-0.455865	0.6485	UR
C(6)	-546.1358	SV6	-1.939494	0.862487	-2.248723	0.0245	SUN
C(7)	-448.6914	SV7	-0.411931	1.02038	-0.403703	0.6864	OWN
C(8)	-165.7752	SV8	-0.527119	0.866469	-0.608353	0.543	ROAD
C(9)	-8.534382	SV9	-0.277105	0.937815	-0.295479	0.7676	dummy1
C(10)	-5.687354	SV10	-0.129161	0.16981	-0.760622	0.4469	dummy2
C(11)	-17.36887						

Log likelihood	-73.85826	Akaike info criterion	14.14304
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.58754
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.97847

Table 6.11.22 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-112.6124	SV1	2.329701	4.873496	0.478035	0.6326	PCI
C(2)	-20.84887	SV2	-3.402933	9.797854	-0.347314	0.7284	GRP
C(3)	-55.94891	SV3	-0.5317	5.889375	-0.090281	0.9281	RFDI
C(4)	-20.27907	SV4	0.657528	4.420293	0.148752	0.8817	GCF
C(5)	-9.03028	SV5	2.489494	1.828404	1.361567	0.1733	UR
C(6)	-127.8393	SV6	-2.15608	2.830209	-0.76181	0.4462	SUN
C(7)	-381.2731	SV7	3.883346	5.554978	0.699075	0.4845	OWN
C(8)	-102.4395	SV8	1.361041	3.533678	0.385163	0.7001	ROAD
C(9)	-5.816214	SV9	-0.454257	0.442906	-1.025629	0.3051	dummy1
C(10)	-3.347798	SV10	-0.091196	0.139388	-0.654258	0.5129	dummy2
C(11)	-6.596673						

Log likelihood	-75.9401	Akaike info criterion	14.49002
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.93451
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.32545

Table 6.11.23 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1576.24	SV1	-2.402395	1.495516	-1.606399	0.1082	PCI
C(2)	-33.36211	SV2	5.482426	1.552898	3.530448	0.0004	GRP
C(3)	-10.94651	SV3	-0.714953	0.256065	-2.792071	0.0052	RFDI
C(4)	-59.53081	SV4	-2.126651	1.065072	-1.996721	0.0459	GCF
C(5)	-18.78766	SV5	0.744127	0.576132	1.291591	0.1965	UR
C(6)	-1043.996	SV6	0.693277	0.408065	1.698936	0.0893	SUN
C(7)	-1781.559	SV7	-0.936129	2.376685	-0.39388	0.6937	OWN
C(8)	-428.8745	SV8	0.500395	2.698147	0.185459	0.8529	ROAD
C(9)	-9.575576	SV9	-0.148906	0.084373	-1.764847	0.0776	dummy1
C(10)	-496.8436	SV10	-0.065827	0.033144	-1.986086	0.047	dummy2
C(11)	-34.51081						

Log likelihood	-74.9248	Akaike info criterion	14.3208
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.7653
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.15623

Table 6.11.24 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.938405	SV1	1.286502	0.120355	10.68924	0	PCI
C(2)	-103.5515	SV2	1.155199	0.544322	2.12227	0.0338	UR
C(3)	-21.82376						

Log likelihood	-28.57474	Akaike info criterion	5.262457
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.383683
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.217574

Table 6.11.25 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-39.15999	SV1	1.887505	0.148879	12.67814	0	PCI
C(2)	-6.644315	SV2	0.339245	0.163847	2.070499	0.0384	UR
C(3)	-64.62796	SV3	-1.86612	0.695048	-2.68488	0.0073	OWN
C(4)	-48.43095						

Log likelihood	-26.385	Akaike info criterion	5.064166
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.225802
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.004323

Table 6.11.26 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-113.2027	SV1	0.628427	1.997215	0.314651	0.753	PCI
C(2)	-5.784954	SV2	-0.543262	2.261699	-0.240201	0.8102	GRP
C(3)	-141.2694	SV3	0.375994	0.277869	1.353137	0.176	RFDI
C(4)	-155.0882	SV4	1.115772	3.234132	0.344999	0.7301	GCF
C(5)	-50.66343	SV5	0.359372	1.322342	0.271769	0.7858	UR
C(6)	-67.20565	SV6	0.167518	2.094699	0.079972	0.9363	SUN
C(7)	-56.48493	SV7	3.212808	10.48588	0.306394	0.7593	OWN
C(8)	-78.00005	SV8	-1.135101	7.052121	-0.160959	0.8721	ROAD
C(9)	-45.58363	SV9	-0.268143	0.976604	-0.274567	0.7836	dummy1
C(10)	-1.794892	SV10	-0.272329	0.666077	-0.408855	0.6826	dummy2
C(11)	-1.984843						

Log likelihood	-81.87425	Akaike info criterion	15.47904
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.92354
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.31447

Table 6.11.27 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-73.18386	SV1	2.410127	3.308636	0.728435	0.4663	PCI
C(2)	-23.56342	SV2	4.11759	5.909292	0.696799	0.4859	GRP
C(3)	-18.25268	SV3	0.316484	0.307885	1.02793	0.304	RFDI
C(4)	-291.6841	SV4	-2.957579	3.863338	-0.76555	0.4439	GCF
C(5)	-5.156679	SV5	0.389264	0.667823	0.582886	0.56	UR
C(6)	-115.6683	SV6	0.67879	0.755777	0.898135	0.3691	SUN
C(7)	-29.06736	SV7	0.827246	5.40806	0.152965	0.8784	OWN
C(8)	-253.375	SV8	-3.091554	6.81527	-0.453622	0.6501	ROAD
C(9)	-19.91328	SV9	-0.926549	0.177574	-5.217807	0	dummy1
C(10)	-20.19034	SV10	-0.125339	0.105103	-1.192536	0.2331	dummy2
C(11)	-21.38774						

Log likelihood	-80.81206	Akaike info criterion	15.30201
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.74651
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.13744

Table 6.11.28 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-89.85552	SV1	-0.061206	2.642802	-0.02316	0.9815	PCI
C(2)	-33.24156	SV2	2.018686	3.932193	0.513374	0.6077	GRP
C(3)	-12.92838	SV3	0.529404	0.334572	1.582331	0.1136	RFDI
C(4)	-25.2729	SV4	-0.704702	2.429048	-0.290115	0.7717	GCF
C(5)	-5.593983	SV5	0.234053	0.314651	0.74385	0.457	UR
C(6)	-201.6824	SV6	-1.261888	0.941017	-1.340982	0.1799	SUN
C(7)	-92.68128	SV7	0.151612	4.393853	0.034505	0.9725	OWN
C(8)	-108.6568	SV8	-0.360777	5.745875	-0.062789	0.9499	ROAD
C(9)	-25.33301	SV9	-0.070329	0.121022	-0.581124	0.5612	dummy1
C(10)	-122.1788	SV10	-0.211732	0.079001	-2.680107	0.0074	dummy2
C(11)	-347.1984						

Log likelihood	-79.74457	Akaike info criterion	15.1241
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.56859
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.95953

Table 6.11.29 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-62.39924	SV1	0.277088	5.154906	0.053752	0.9571	PCI
C(2)	-3.591351	SV2	25.06874	17.85102	1.404331	0.1602	GRP
C(3)	-17.98377	SV3	-2.440142	1.201919	-2.030204	0.0423	RFDI
C(4)	-18.0735	SV4	-6.484963	7.765368	-0.835113	0.4037	GCF
C(5)	-17.61607	SV5	0.990048	0.749399	1.321122	0.1865	UR
C(6)	-145.5786	SV6	2.278528	2.034482	1.119955	0.2627	SUN
C(7)	-42.54366	SV7	13.9442	11.17877	1.247382	0.2123	OWN
C(8)	-51.24986	SV8	-22.6671	18.94214	-1.196649	0.2314	ROAD
C(9)	-18.21363	SV9	-0.026864	0.549494	-0.048888	0.961	dummy1
C(10)	-37.33002	SV10	-0.701849	0.315891	-2.221809	0.0263	dummy2
C(11)	-61.14394						

Log likelihood	-82.29229	Akaike info criterion	15.54871
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.99321
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.38415

Table 6.11.30 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.366044	SV1	7.01704	2.944168	2.38337	0.0172	OWN
C(2)	-241.7996	SV2	-1.223108	0.657577	-1.860023	0.0629	ROAD
C(3)	-91.55345						

Log likelihood	-29.9931	Akaike info criterion	5.498851
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.620077
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.453968

Table 6.11.31 TVP with dummy variables results for Thailand tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-184.0125	SV1	-0.482088	1.106461	-0.435703	0.6631	PCI
C(2)	-5.608159	SV2	3.885599	2.088602	1.860383	0.0628	GRP
C(3)	-19.81095	SV3	-0.46479	0.256788	-1.810011	0.0703	RFDI
C(4)	-34.70822	SV4	-2.849623	1.755553	-1.623205	0.1045	GCF
C(5)	-23.27283	SV5	-0.565793	0.769644	-0.735136	0.4623	UR
C(6)	-189.1548	SV6	-1.58072	1.135864	-1.391646	0.164	SUN
C(7)	-643.4644	SV7	1.365786	1.599756	0.853746	0.3932	OWN
C(8)	-77.65591	SV8	0.177167	1.504555	0.117753	0.9063	ROAD
C(9)	-22.38994	SV9	0.004128	0.214823	0.019214	0.9847	dummy1
C(10)	-647.5745	SV10	-0.154861	0.110421	-1.402468	0.1608	dummy2
C(11)	-835.435						

Log likelihood	-81.10294	Akaike info criterion	15.35049
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.79499
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.18592

Table 6.12.1 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1001.526	SV1	-0.543622	0.769724	-0.706256	0.48	OWN
C(2)	-113.3739	SV2	1.143597	0.229169	4.990194	0	ROAD
C(3)	-7.501608						

Log likelihood	-15.16824	Akaike info criterion	3.028041
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.149267
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.983158

Table 6.12.2 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-126.6184	SV1	1.170724	0.929896	1.258983	0.208	PCI
C(2)	-27.22401	SV2	0.960572	2.784075	0.345024	0.7301	GRP
C(3)	-5.964264	SV3	-0.823276	1.888266	-0.435996	0.6628	GCF
C(4)	-86.1234	SV4	0.55756	0.177455	3.141973	0.0017	UR
C(5)	-34.33895	SV5	0.772549	0.448663	1.721891	0.0851	SUN
C(6)	-1110.838	SV6	-0.740022	0.59088	-1.252407	0.2104	ROAD
C(7)	-2012.358						

Log likelihood	-49.92147	Akaike info criterion	9.486912
Parameters	7	Schwarz criterion	9.769774
Diffuse priors	6	Hannan-Quinn criter.	9.382186

Table 6.12.3 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.229089	SV1	-3.66739	2.615104	-1.402388	0.1608	OWN
C(2)	-25.4259	SV2	0.05637	0.818575	0.068863	0.9451	ROAD
C(3)	-6.6273						

Log likelihood	-31.875	Akaike info criterion	5.8125
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.933727
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.767618

Table 6.12.4 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-216.2857	SV1	1.410093	3.870458	0.364322	0.7156	PCI
C(2)	-73.17532	SV2	-0.660602	3.11452	-0.212104	0.832	GRP
C(3)	-1082.562	SV3	0.210592	1.209017	0.174184	0.8617	RFDI
C(4)	-1174.637	SV4	-0.43635	2.191423	-0.199117	0.8422	GCF
C(5)	-4.76249	SV5	0.489677	0.787387	0.621902	0.534	UR
C(6)	-659.9102	SV6	-0.034651	0.748454	-0.046296	0.9631	SUN
C(7)	-142.8767	SV7	-2.080157	4.767468	-0.436323	0.6626	OWN
C(8)	-80.8182	SV8	-0.484596	4.271103	-0.113459	0.9097	ROAD
C(9)	-823.7256	SV9	-0.254797	0.177775	-1.433261	0.1518	dummy1
C(10)	-452.6869	SV10	-0.063473	0.161941	-0.391951	0.6951	dummy2
C(11)	-585.1047						

Log likelihood	-79.61095	Akaike info criterion	15.10182
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.54632
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.93726

Table 6.12.5 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-92.20388	SV1	-0.079693	2.761673	-0.028857	0.977	PCI
C(2)	-5.896079	SV2	-3.184479	6.249571	-0.509552	0.6104	GRP
C(3)	-27.57642	SV3	4.560372	1.72126	2.649437	0.0081	RFDI
C(4)	-19.25859	SV4	-2.631767	4.026561	-0.653602	0.5134	GCF
C(5)	-72.6854	SV5	1.450379	1.049169	1.382408	0.1668	UR
C(6)	-595.7464	SV6	-0.279166	1.043882	-0.267431	0.7891	SUN
C(7)	-141.7795	SV7	-0.53006	3.800485	-0.139472	0.8891	OWN
C(8)	-55.44414	SV8	5.216461	3.813205	1.367999	0.1713	ROAD
C(9)	-290.6612	SV9	0.206429	0.316479	0.652267	0.5142	dummy1
C(10)	-15.60931	SV10	0.218785	0.243061	0.900126	0.3681	dummy2
C(11)	-88.41368						

Log likelihood	-77.69659	Akaike info criterion	14.78277
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.22726
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.6182

Table 6.12.6 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-698.4214	SV1	3.634617	7.56705	0.480322	0.631	PCI
C(2)	-8.508578	SV2	1.550872	1.738376	0.892138	0.3723	GRP
C(3)	-39.17509	SV3	-0.402238	0.51864	-0.775563	0.438	RFDI
C(4)	-24.1991	SV4	-0.079122	1.036557	-0.076331	0.9392	GCF
C(5)	-51.39332	SV5	0.089029	0.139682	0.637369	0.5239	UR
C(6)	-245.0118	SV6	-0.203594	0.237392	-0.857629	0.3911	SUN
C(7)	-796.0668	SV7	2.470938	6.202601	0.398371	0.6904	OWN
C(8)	-211.3425	SV8	-3.997763	8.141653	-0.491026	0.6234	ROAD
C(9)	-97.22205	SV9	-0.134227	0.027379	-4.902595	0	dummy1
C(10)	-11.25284	SV10	0.02544	0.035683	0.71294	0.4759	dummy2
C(11)	-11.24335						

Log likelihood	-73.86755	Akaike info criterion	14.14459
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.58909
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.98002

Table 6.12.7 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.530323	SV1	0.214586	0.151962	1.412098	0.1579	PCI
C(2)	-1665.345	SV2	0.767225	0.215096	3.566897	0.0004	RFDI
C(3)	-83.86608	SV3	0.686681	0.450044	1.525809	0.1271	SUN
C(4)	-116.9133						

Log likelihood	-28.4299	Akaike info criterion	5.404984
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.566619
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.345141

Table 6.12.8 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-185.429	SV1	-12.76356	15.29061	-0.834732	0.4039	PCI
C(2)	-19.20437	SV2	-0.537577	3.707056	-0.145015	0.8847	GRP
C(3)	-5.874969	SV3	-8.083136	6.934926	-1.165569	0.2438	RFDI
C(4)	-6.424435	SV4	2.593164	2.448894	1.058912	0.2896	GCF
C(5)	-5.024537	SV5	-8.509737	5.376049	-1.582898	0.1134	UR
C(6)	-54.81465	SV6	1.375652	3.00874	0.457219	0.6475	SUN
C(7)	-196.0978	SV7	-13.31003	10.66805	-1.247653	0.2122	OWN
C(8)	-65.95381	SV8	17.53142	17.78039	0.985998	0.3241	ROAD
C(9)	-29.25628	SV9	-1.054407	0.759863	-1.387629	0.1653	dummy1
C(10)	-12.0312	SV10	-0.921101	0.496932	-1.853575	0.0638	dummy2
C(11)	-17.42278						

Log likelihood	-75.44206	Akaike info criterion	14.40701
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.85151
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.24244

Table 6.12.9 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-84.88618	SV1	0.631483	0.871917	0.724246	0.4689	PCI
C(2)	-69.7231	SV2	-0.672762	0.96591	-0.696506	0.4861	GCF
C(3)	-27.42195	SV3	3.1316	2.102546	1.489432	0.1364	UR
C(4)	-141.906	SV4	-1.992609	3.761126	-0.529791	0.5963	OWN
C(5)	-2.40587						

Log likelihood	-39.43633	Akaike info criterion	7.406055
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.6081
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.331251

Table 6.12.10 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-31.04598	SV1	0.571293	0.509639	1.120977	0.2623	RFDI
C(2)	-56.39942	SV2	0.731932	0.440117	1.663039	0.0963	GCF
C(3)	-7.608831	SV3	-0.096193	0.02386	-4.031647	0.0001	UR
C(4)	-21.08547						
Log likelihood	-24.17325	Akaike info criterion	4.695542				
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.857178				
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.635699				

Table 6.12.11 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-3.183063	SV1	0.759915	0.246162	3.08705	0.002	RFDI
C(2)	-116.6843	SV2	-1.197582	0.756742	-1.58255	0.1135	OWN
C(3)	-636.5622	SV3	0.252649	0.12311	2.052223	0.0401	ROAD
C(4)	-51.79125						
Log likelihood	-24.36568	Akaike info criterion	4.727613				
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.889248				
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.66777				

Table 6.12.12 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-223.2959	SV1	-0.063602	4.271771	-0.014889	0.9881	PCI
C(2)	-6.735165	SV2	3.003183	13.79852	0.217645	0.8277	GRP
C(3)	-16.44102	SV3	-0.945332	4.009004	-0.235802	0.8136	RFDI
C(4)	-23.89593	SV4	-0.49264	3.799689	-0.129653	0.8968	GCF
C(5)	-43.48307	SV5	0.567454	1.160817	0.48884	0.625	UR
C(6)	-234.0966	SV6	-0.328478	0.966684	-0.339799	0.734	SUN
C(7)	-277.5514	SV7	-0.392939	4.138122	-0.094956	0.9243	OWN
C(8)	-78.81474	SV8	-0.877562	5.435676	-0.161445	0.8717	ROAD
C(9)	-9.078906	SV9	0.049087	0.363368	0.135089	0.8925	dummy1
C(10)	-4.827972	SV10	0.043954	0.175335	0.250686	0.8021	dummy2
C(11)	-14.85609						
Log likelihood	-75.18593	Akaike info criterion	14.36432				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.80882				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.19975				

Table 6.12.13 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-346.7735	SV1	1.515488	2.853334	0.531129	0.5953	PCI
C(2)	-8.847382	SV2	-0.259286	1.305456	-0.198617	0.8426	GRP
C(3)	-25.91096	SV3	1.686748	1.891718	0.891649	0.3726	RFDI
C(4)	-24.6657	SV4	-0.785675	0.444859	-1.76612	0.0774	GCF
C(5)	-37.35782	SV5	0.273866	0.288959	0.947765	0.3432	UR
C(6)	-501.6301	SV6	0.447336	0.238984	1.871827	0.0612	SUN
C(7)	-529.856	SV7	-1.082481	3.961566	-0.273246	0.7847	OWN
C(8)	-113.2993	SV8	-1.447369	3.383565	-0.427765	0.6688	ROAD
C(9)	-8.296006	SV9	-0.151212	0.046857	-3.227064	0.0013	dummy1
C(10)	-15.00878	SV10	-0.018448	0.04667	-0.395287	0.6926	dummy2
C(11)	-22.65767						

Log likelihood	-74.66251	Akaike info criterion	14.27708
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.72158
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.11252

Table 6.12.14 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.598748	SV1	0.139328	198.929	0.0007	0.9994	PCI
C(2)	-0.611158	SV2	2.455466	173.7998	0.014128	0.9887	GRP
C(3)	-0.499776	SV3	2.03654	57.89914	0.035174	0.9719	RFDI
C(4)	-0.453552	SV4	-4.380328	147.7949	-0.029638	0.9764	GCF
C(5)	-0.508888	SV5	0.075807	36.67834	0.002067	0.9984	UR
C(6)	-1.838412	SV6	0.181002	21.01653	0.008612	0.9931	SUN
C(7)	-2.54649	SV7	-5.123807	211.4463	-0.024232	0.9807	OWN
C(8)	-0.75575	SV8	-0.450721	196.6915	-0.002292	0.9982	ROAD
C(9)	-0.505192	SV9	0.01095	19.39235	0.000565	0.9995	dummy1
C(10)	-0.264873	SV10	-0.05771	5.50427	-0.010485	0.9916	dummy2
C(11)	-0.013616						

Log likelihood	-82.81157	Akaike info criterion	15.63526
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.07976
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.47069

Table 6.12.15 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1325.27	SV1	1.018884	3.99306	0.255164	0.7986	PCI
C(2)	-129.8741	SV2	0.466535	2.674684	0.174426	0.8615	GRP
C(3)	-8.419462	SV3	0.074829	2.213574	0.033804	0.973	RFDI
C(4)	-18.48847	SV4	0.544471	2.180356	0.249716	0.8028	GCF
C(5)	-7.624246	SV5	0.005514	0.640601	0.008607	0.9931	UR
C(6)	-131.7759	SV6	0.243727	0.481243	0.506454	0.6125	SUN
C(7)	-928.0687	SV7	0.81599	3.318899	0.245862	0.8058	OWN
C(8)	-172.6229	SV8	-1.074474	3.896304	-0.275767	0.7827	ROAD
C(9)	-24.62644	SV9	-0.061729	0.051833	-1.190925	0.2337	dummy1
C(10)	-78.73451	SV10	-0.096695	0.077586	-1.246294	0.2127	dummy2
C(11)	-69.71087						

Log likelihood	-73.52252	Akaike info criterion	14.08709
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.53159
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.92252

Table 6.12.16 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-122.4418	SV1	1.105892	5.28823	0.209123	0.8344	PCI
C(2)	-6.384779	SV2	-1.903988	4.982708	-0.382119	0.7024	GRP
C(3)	-520.5294	SV3	0.5818	1.737177	0.334911	0.7377	RFDI
C(4)	-10.86282	SV4	3.064456	3.448715	0.888579	0.3742	GCF
C(5)	-45.05052	SV5	0.531553	0.657462	0.808493	0.4188	UR
C(6)	-173.5354	SV6	-0.495542	0.980808	-0.505239	0.6134	SUN
C(7)	-175.3494	SV7	0.993511	3.963122	0.250689	0.8021	OWN
C(8)	-63.41146	SV8	-1.156252	4.930198	-0.234524	0.8146	ROAD
C(9)	-594.04	SV9	-0.075627	0.132588	-0.570393	0.5684	dummy1
C(10)	-208.7871	SV10	-0.000666	0.111781	-0.005957	0.9952	dummy2
C(11)	-10.01156						

Log likelihood	-76.60073	Akaike info criterion	14.60012
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.04462
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.43555

Table 6.12.17 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-40.12281	SV1	-4.56058	6.429994	-0.709267	0.4782	PCI
C(2)	-5.93679	SV2	7.2979	4.478118	1.62968	0.1032	GRP
C(3)	-252.5044	SV3	-4.164373	5.85614	-0.711112	0.477	RFDI
C(4)	-24.68693	SV4	-0.329861	1.816176	-0.181624	0.8559	GCF
C(5)	-31.50917	SV5	2.032665	4.054735	0.501306	0.6162	UR
C(6)	-520.6423	SV6	0.358864	1.207567	0.297179	0.7663	SUN
C(7)	-280.2796	SV7	0.406375	5.670895	0.07166	0.9429	OWN
C(8)	-62.03567	SV8	2.675621	5.452318	0.490731	0.6236	ROAD
C(9)	-24.65659	SV9	-0.593905	0.271583	-2.186829	0.0288	dummy1
C(10)	-28.53734	SV10	-0.045716	0.18755	-0.243752	0.8074	dummy2
C(11)	-60.34543						

Log likelihood	-75.98415	Akaike info criterion	14.49736
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.94186
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.33279

Table 6.12.18 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-272.7764	SV1	14.37646	7.11825	2.019662	0.0434	PCI
C(2)	-6.015969	SV2	4.389156	3.279884	1.338205	0.1808	GRP
C(3)	-8.528807	SV3	4.240006	2.184794	1.94069	0.0523	RFDI
C(4)	-24.83798	SV4	-2.560016	1.504174	-1.701941	0.0888	GCF
C(5)	-10.48609	SV5	4.361512	1.79284	2.432739	0.015	UR
C(6)	-216.5995	SV6	0.210862	0.634063	0.332557	0.7395	SUN
C(7)	-334.5902	SV7	13.30479	5.776106	2.303418	0.0213	OWN
C(8)	-80.67222	SV8	-17.03688	6.960057	-2.447807	0.0144	ROAD
C(9)	-8.911277	SV9	-0.022231	0.256339	-0.086727	0.9309	dummy1
C(10)	-4.850519	SV10	0.280315	0.595517	0.470709	0.6378	dummy2
C(11)	-2.214142						

Log likelihood	-77.63923	Akaike info criterion	14.7732
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.2177
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.60864

Table 6.12.19 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.868886	SV1	1.089303	0.037354	29.16125	0	PCI
C(2)	-34.75613	SV2	-0.254395	0.411232	-0.618617	0.5362	SUN
C(3)	-179.089						

Log likelihood	-18.5142	Akaike info criterion	3.5857
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	3.706927
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.540818

Table 6.12.20 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-3.31603	SV1	-0.293889	0.30313	-0.969512	0.3323	GRP
C(2)	-22.16536	SV2	1.764097	0.429431	4.107987	0	RFDI
C(3)	-44.45643	SV3	0.74321	0.273102	2.721364	0.0065	UR
C(4)	-22.4432	SV4	0.595028	0.406583	1.463486	0.1433	ROAD
C(5)	-67.93837						

Log likelihood	-31.24287	Akaike info criterion	6.040479
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	6.242523
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.965675

Table 6.12.21 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-203.8159	SV1	3.465277	4.802265	0.721592	0.4705	PCI
C(2)	-5.75335	SV2	-8.033299	8.897986	-0.902822	0.3666	GRP
C(3)	-136.6696	SV3	1.025171	3.61551	0.283548	0.7768	RFDI
C(4)	-19.00403	SV4	4.382446	5.74542	0.762772	0.4456	GCF
C(5)	-33.3339	SV5	5.619616	11.51785	0.487905	0.6256	UR
C(6)	-420.4507	SV6	-4.402789	5.320037	-0.827586	0.4079	SUN
C(7)	-332.9467	SV7	1.168601	8.538193	0.136868	0.8911	OWN
C(8)	-50.62716	SV8	0.632943	4.148608	0.152568	0.8787	ROAD
C(9)	-130.3661	SV9	2.987014	5.666315	0.527153	0.5981	dummy1
C(10)	-30.77059	SV10	0.708348	0.890791	0.79519	0.4265	dummy2
C(11)	-57.62758						

Log likelihood	-74.20049	Akaike info criterion	14.20008
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.64458
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.03551

Table 6.12.22 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-266.2019	SV1	1.436414	3.338987	0.430194	0.6671	PCI
C(2)	-29.60185	SV2	-4.072955	5.512913	-0.738803	0.46	GRP
C(3)	-29.30796	SV3	-2.519041	2.409659	-1.045393	0.2958	RFDI
C(4)	-35.24434	SV4	4.486794	2.815142	1.593807	0.111	GCF
C(5)	-6.157564	SV5	0.099115	1.305358	0.07593	0.9395	UR
C(6)	-560.0315	SV6	-0.128331	1.228774	-0.104438	0.9168	SUN
C(7)	-970.336	SV7	8.39504	5.371704	1.562826	0.1181	OWN
C(8)	-63.63522	SV8	3.243735	1.948403	1.664817	0.0959	ROAD
C(9)	-22.88284	SV9	-0.240589	0.113885	-2.112554	0.0346	dummy1
C(10)	-176.6659	SV10	-0.176139	0.095977	-1.835213	0.0665	dummy2
C(11)	-170.0035						

Log likelihood	-74.77627	Akaike info criterion	14.29605
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.74054
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.13148

Table 6.12.23 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-804.5293	SV1	-3.333509	6.203334	-0.537374	0.591	PCI
C(2)	-8.781184	SV2	-1.112471	3.312298	-0.335861	0.737	GRP
C(3)	-8.994111	SV3	-0.238831	0.67328	-0.354727	0.7228	RFDI
C(4)	-28.86734	SV4	1.070096	1.978189	0.540947	0.5885	GCF
C(5)	-9.542693	SV5	-0.674023	1.384852	-0.486711	0.6265	UR
C(6)	-994.9044	SV6	-0.662821	0.773108	-0.857346	0.3913	SUN
C(7)	-168.3072	SV7	-3.576871	7.973662	-0.448586	0.6537	OWN
C(8)	-127.8632	SV8	4.832771	7.681666	0.629131	0.5293	ROAD
C(9)	-8.703348	SV9	-0.228251	0.212572	-1.07376	0.2829	dummy1
C(10)	-162.5268	SV10	-0.145344	0.082565	-1.760355	0.0783	dummy2
C(11)	-25.75239						

Log likelihood	-76.74486	Akaike info criterion	14.62414
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.06864
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.45957

Table 6.12.24 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-510.4612	SV1	-3.043236	16.82189	-0.180909	0.8564	PCI
C(2)	-7.187537	SV2	-3.178671	9.599718	-0.331121	0.7406	GRP
C(3)	-43.28279	SV3	-0.327339	0.408941	-0.800455	0.4234	RFDI
C(4)	-54.80505	SV4	1.290032	4.604378	0.280175	0.7793	GCF
C(5)	-93.40301	SV5	-0.689776	2.563047	-0.269123	0.7878	UR
C(6)	-247.0682	SV6	-0.351523	1.165866	-0.301512	0.763	SUN
C(7)	-101.5569	SV7	-4.497642	13.95085	-0.322392	0.7472	OWN
C(8)	-94.96768	SV8	5.569705	22.13323	0.251644	0.8013	ROAD
C(9)	-22.28104	SV9	-0.033224	0.320613	-0.103627	0.9175	dummy1
C(10)	-15.37261	SV10	-0.469845	0.382895	-1.227086	0.2198	dummy2
C(11)	-50.74565						

Log likelihood	-74.68621	Akaike info criterion	14.28104
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.72553
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.11647

Table 6.12.25 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2007.867	SV1	2.447121	1.144879	2.137449	0.0326	PCI
C(2)	-7.250163	SV2	-2.375938	2.320113	-1.024061	0.3058	GRP
C(3)	-20.19742	SV3	0.001991	0.372677	0.005342	0.9957	RFDI
C(4)	-128.625	SV4	0.489138	1.684642	0.290352	0.7715	GCF
C(5)	-28.30832	SV5	0.580995	0.419537	1.384846	0.1661	UR
C(6)	-162.2415	SV6	-0.195525	0.780511	-0.250508	0.8022	SUN
C(7)	-2020.788	SV7	-2.825127	2.203338	-1.282203	0.1998	OWN
C(8)	-124.5365	SV8	-0.824129	1.061628	-0.776288	0.4376	ROAD
C(9)	-10.03888	SV9	-0.020453	0.125628	-0.162803	0.8707	dummy1
C(10)	-103.2089	SV10	0.029962	0.074843	0.400327	0.6889	dummy2
C(11)	-306.3099						

Log likelihood	-77.38813	Akaike info criterion	14.73135
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.17585
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.56679

Table 6.12.26 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-150.9706	SV1	1.404912	1.416757	0.991639	0.3214	PCI
C(2)	-6.797001	SV2	0.530341	1.582725	0.335081	0.7376	GRP
C(3)	-364.5347	SV3	0.26256	0.07469	3.51534	0.0004	RFDI
C(4)	-141.8985	SV4	-0.02754	0.692443	-0.039773	0.9683	GCF
C(5)	-161.4501	SV5	-0.220882	0.405958	-0.5441	0.5864	UR
C(6)	-107.3819	SV6	-0.587628	0.421948	-1.392653	0.1637	SUN
C(7)	-68.3054	SV7	2.094297	3.076493	0.680742	0.496	OWN
C(8)	-44.07435	SV8	-0.487636	2.278219	-0.214042	0.8305	ROAD
C(9)	-723.8705	SV9	-0.229212	0.198192	-1.156512	0.2475	dummy1
C(10)	-38.4151	SV10	-0.143186	0.11302	-1.266911	0.2052	dummy2
C(11)	-13.44948						

Log likelihood	-81.97897	Akaike info criterion	15.4965
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.94099
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.33193

Table 6.12.27 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.867624	SV1	-22.85063	305.2457	-0.07486	0.9403	PCI
C(2)	-0.582841	SV2	4.401658	183.8828	0.023937	0.9809	GRP
C(3)	-0.479215	SV3	0.152723	7.947742	0.019216	0.9847	RFDI
C(4)	-0.468378	SV4	-2.256417	102.0682	-0.022107	0.9824	GCF
C(5)	-0.448379	SV5	0.135204	23.16582	0.005836	0.9953	UR
C(6)	-1.16827	SV6	0.034283	20.31703	0.001687	0.9987	SUN
C(7)	-1.523519	SV7	-10.54326	217.3687	-0.048504	0.9613	OWN
C(8)	-0.570237	SV8	25.8955	350.6713	0.073846	0.9411	ROAD
C(9)	-0.518323	SV9	-1.018406	6.812473	-0.149491	0.8812	dummy1
C(10)	-0.088209	SV10	-0.007272	3.514987	-0.002069	0.9983	dummy2
C(11)	-0.005862						

Log likelihood	-84.50851	Akaike info criterion	15.91808
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.36258
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.75352

Table 6.12.28 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-9.475191	SV1	-3.225564	111.2458	-0.028995	0.9769	PCI
C(2)	-0.838914	SV2	1.616673	92.10685	0.017552	0.986	GRP
C(3)	-0.863504	SV3	0.271924	7.787512	0.034918	0.9721	RFDI
C(4)	-1.996723	SV4	-1.621075	56.83987	-0.02852	0.9772	GCF
C(5)	-0.919723	SV5	0.155506	9.465504	0.016429	0.9869	UR
C(6)	-9.405932	SV6	-0.739589	21.35669	-0.03463	0.9724	SUN
C(7)	-14.06917	SV7	-4.550393	139.3175	-0.032662	0.9739	OWN
C(8)	-2.976863	SV8	4.371722	148.2701	0.029485	0.9765	ROAD
C(9)	-0.853386	SV9	-0.183183	4.127957	-0.044376	0.9646	dummy1
C(10)	-0.098272	SV10	-0.03213	2.801038	-0.011471	0.9908	dummy2
C(11)	0.031286						

Log likelihood	-84.42129	Akaike info criterion	15.90355
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.34805
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.73898

Table 6.12.29 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-98.67855	SV1	-0.67436	6.284845	-0.107299	0.9146	PCI
C(2)	-4.37796	SV2	-1.272899	13.69758	-0.092929	0.926	GRP
C(3)	-42.54685	SV3	-0.188553	1.221418	-0.154372	0.8773	RFDI
C(4)	-176.9252	SV4	1.508708	6.285524	0.240029	0.8103	GCF
C(5)	-868.779	SV5	-0.12581	0.625802	-0.201038	0.8407	UR
C(6)	-237.1392	SV6	-0.034066	1.117337	-0.030489	0.9757	SUN
C(7)	-69.86619	SV7	1.852137	10.12642	0.182901	0.8549	OWN
C(8)	-43.36756	SV8	3.033112	14.09282	0.215224	0.8296	ROAD
C(9)	-479.1891	SV9	-0.079537	0.526341	-0.151113	0.8799	dummy1
C(10)	-47.12508	SV10	-0.167599	0.269029	-0.622977	0.5333	dummy2
C(11)	-79.34685						

Log likelihood	-81.51015	Akaike info criterion	15.41836
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.86286
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.25379

Table 6.12.30 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-382.4838	SV1	0.424444	0.262951	1.614154	0.1065	RFDI
C(2)	-44.35308	SV2	0.563498	0.152182	3.702789	0.0002	ROAD
C(3)	-5.116012						

Log likelihood	-27.0469	Akaike info criterion	5.007817
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.129043
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.962934

Table 6.12.31 TVP with dummy variables results for British tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-557.8059	SV1	0.913356	1.13753	0.802929	0.422	PCI
C(2)	-7.34644	SV2	-1.28982	2.153324	-0.59899	0.5492	GRP
C(3)	-6.830857	SV3	0.034011	0.227404	0.14956	0.8811	RFDI
C(4)	-24.29451	SV4	0.028781	1.485164	0.019379	0.9845	GCF
C(5)	-7.307313	SV5	-0.846502	0.726834	-1.164643	0.2442	UR
C(6)	-2509.355	SV6	0.688056	1.056598	0.6512	0.5149	SUN
C(7)	-216.0158	SV7	-0.287746	3.005143	-0.095751	0.9237	OWN
C(8)	-33.63842	SV8	1.079828	1.296124	0.833121	0.4048	ROAD
C(9)	-54.782	SV9	-0.099737	0.210498	-0.473816	0.6356	dummy1
C(10)	-121.7207	SV10	-0.265985	0.097198	-2.736531	0.0062	dummy2
C(11)	-114.385						

Log likelihood	-79.5428	Akaike info criterion	15.09047
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.53496
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.9259

Table 6.13.1 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Beijing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-154.4102	SV1	5.597525	5.032782	1.112213	0.266	PCI
C(2)	-8.240242	SV2	0.08208	0.892593	0.091957	0.9267	GRP
C(3)	-118.1422	SV3	0.758223	1.538865	0.492716	0.6222	RFDI
C(4)	-233.4733	SV4	-0.950007	1.52082	-0.624668	0.5322	GCF
C(5)	-212.2993	SV5	1.866961	1.521064	1.227405	0.2197	UR
C(6)	-340.6374	SV6	0.141878	0.276855	0.512464	0.6083	SUN
C(7)	-140.0469	SV7	2.388061	3.662436	0.652042	0.5144	OWN
C(8)	-100.4892	SV8	-4.580198	5.066194	-0.904071	0.366	ROAD
C(9)	-19.35736	SV9	0.014185	0.077966	0.181937	0.8556	dummy1
C(10)	-7.461222	SV10	0.711759	0.68625	1.037171	0.2997	dummy2
C(11)	-10.63131						

Log likelihood	-71.9534	Akaike info criterion	13.82557
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.27007
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.661

Table 6.13.2 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Tianjin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-50.83801	SV1	2.0512	1.450404	1.414226	0.1573	PCI
C(2)	-647.1984	SV2	-0.122738	1.773298	-0.069215	0.9448	GRP
C(3)	-46.62048	SV3	0.099346	0.643922	0.154282	0.8774	SUN
C(4)	-135.515	SV4	-1.185213	1.059356	-1.118805	0.2632	ROAD
C(5)	-4.94617						

Log likelihood	-41.43545	Akaike info criterion	7.739241
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	7.941285
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	7.664437

Table 6.13.3 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Hebei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-0.56379	SV1	0.509153	0.403616	1.261479	0.2071	PCI
C(2)	-259.7764	SV2	0.537067	0.546067	0.983518	0.3254	GCF
C(3)	-233.8745						

Log likelihood	-30.92832	Akaike info criterion	5.65472
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.775947
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.609838

Table 6.13.4 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Shanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-151.6692	SV1	-0.253531	2.441869	-0.103827	0.9173	PCI
C(2)	-6.160501	SV2	0.888083	2.214135	0.401097	0.6883	GRP
C(3)	-28.72213	SV3	-0.635194	1.280722	-0.495965	0.6199	RFDI
C(4)	-26.18428	SV4	-1.076251	2.013965	-0.534394	0.5931	GCF
C(5)	-146.0681	SV5	-0.162806	0.920834	-0.176802	0.8597	UR
C(6)	-351.3765	SV6	-0.210212	0.635741	-0.330656	0.7409	SUN
C(7)	-159.2563	SV7	-4.780968	8.753682	-0.546166	0.585	OWN
C(8)	-101.9886	SV8	0.66458	3.740863	0.177654	0.859	ROAD
C(9)	-30.80324	SV9	-0.317164	0.180096	-1.761081	0.0782	dummy1
C(10)	-7.906355	SV10	-0.153366	0.181203	-0.846379	0.3973	dummy2
C(11)	-4.92177						

Log likelihood	-78.52688	Akaike info criterion	14.92115
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.36564
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.75658

Table 6.13.5 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Inner Mongolia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-28.06831	SV1	0.587769	0.078299	7.506692	0	PCI
C(2)	-16.83293	SV2	0.505419	0.184914	2.733259	0.0063	RFDI
C(3)	-5.555634	SV3	0.3426	0.117404	2.918127	0.0035	UR
C(4)	-25.29391						

Log likelihood	-24.93462	Akaike info criterion	4.822436
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.984072
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.762593

Table 6.13.6 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Liaoning
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-15.29261	SV1	1.877548	7.404149	0.253581	0.7998	PCI
C(2)	-7.213047	SV2	-1.904942	6.009554	-0.316986	0.7513	GRP
C(3)	-12.24758	SV3	-0.010713	1.112683	-0.009628	0.9923	RFDI
C(4)	-30.70351	SV4	1.222167	2.161971	0.565302	0.5719	GCF
C(5)	-17.53145	SV5	0.269239	0.303431	0.887316	0.3749	UR
C(6)	-12.95572	SV6	-0.143964	0.330716	-0.435312	0.6633	SUN
C(7)	-17.96255	SV7	-1.893116	7.185475	-0.263464	0.7922	OWN
C(8)	-16.83959	SV8	-0.763895	8.162921	-0.093581	0.9254	ROAD
C(9)	-12.30134	SV9	-0.083063	0.04719	-1.76018	0.0784	dummy1
C(10)	-20.95079	SV10	-0.036195	0.070278	-0.515025	0.6065	dummy2
C(11)	-10.73261						

Log likelihood	-73.85304	Akaike info criterion	14.14217
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.58667
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.9776

Table 6.13.7 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Jilin
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-30.89358	SV1	1.558389	0.096177	16.20332	0	RFDI
C(2)	-7.790405	SV2	1.177811	1.217051	0.967758	0.3332	UR
C(3)	-0.061805	SV3	0.12001	0.306659	0.391348	0.6955	SUN
C(4)	-298.9243						

Log likelihood	-28.59855	Akaike info criterion	5.433092
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.594727
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.373249

Table 6.13.8 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Heilongjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.361648	SV1	1.960912	0.823013	2.382603	0.0172	GCF
C(2)	-168.4856	SV2	-0.781578	0.842268	-0.927945	0.3534	ROAD
C(3)	-6.433675						

Log likelihood	-27.7478	Akaike info criterion	5.124633
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.24586
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.07975

Table 6.13.9 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Shanghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-423.689	SV1	-0.364703	0.8211	-0.444163	0.6569	PCI
C(2)	-8.31754	SV2	0.687374	1.441035	0.477	0.6334	GRP
C(3)	-63.86656	SV3	-1.562847	6.505585	-0.240232	0.8102	RFDI
C(4)	-2063.553	SV4	0.502856	4.363299	0.115247	0.9082	GCF
C(5)	-311.532	SV5	0.407246	2.219586	0.183478	0.8544	UR
C(6)	-150.6292	SV6	0.123463	1.279995	0.096456	0.9232	SUN
C(7)	-586.7487	SV7	-1.710905	3.281598	-0.521363	0.6021	OWN
C(8)	-146.7281	SV8	1.462809	1.330502	1.099441	0.2716	ROAD
C(9)	-181.8294	SV9	-0.096551	0.054726	-1.764253	0.0777	dummy1
C(10)	-40.21929	SV10	-0.064744	0.209843	-0.308537	0.7577	dummy2
C(11)	-54.59642						

Log likelihood	-71.19606	Akaike info criterion	13.69934
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.14384
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.53477

Table 6.13.10 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Jiangsu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-3.231669	SV1	0.978982	0.268511	3.645968	0.0003	GRP
C(2)	-56.06151	SV2	-0.177108	0.249471	-0.709933	0.4777	SUN
C(3)	-123.5672	SV3	0.331518	0.282063	1.175331	0.2399	ROAD
C(4)	-33.5757						
Log likelihood	-24.60157	Akaike info criterion	4.766929				
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	4.928565				
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	4.707086				

Table 6.13.11 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Zhejiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-24.59046	SV1	0.458775	2.519522	0.182088	0.8555	PCI
C(2)	-11.57576	SV2	3.307529	1.419372	2.330277	0.0198	GRP
C(3)	-11.1281	SV3	-0.709467	0.46742	-1.517837	0.1291	RFDI
C(4)	-41.51551	SV4	-0.544652	0.3306	-1.647466	0.0995	GCF
C(5)	-14.31482	SV5	0.285221	0.861948	0.330903	0.7407	UR
C(6)	-23.00636	SV6	-0.024943	0.098247	-0.253881	0.7996	SUN
C(7)	-47.32009	SV7	2.698249	1.013722	2.661726	0.0078	OWN
C(8)	-9.418522	SV8	-1.015446	2.394392	-0.424093	0.6715	ROAD
C(9)	-13.21575	SV9	-0.117739	0.061445	-1.916154	0.0553	dummy1
C(10)	-7.402841	SV10	-0.087297	0.025091	-3.479165	0.0005	dummy2
C(11)	-15.26397						
Log likelihood	-68.89714	Akaike info criterion	13.31619				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	13.76069				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.15162				

Table 6.13.12 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Anhui
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1720.655	SV1	-1.106931	0.408554	-2.709387	0.0067	PCI
C(2)	-29.18452	SV2	-3.202303	3.022539	-1.059474	0.2894	GRP
C(3)	-135.8206	SV3	1.071048	0.870041	1.231032	0.2183	RFDI
C(4)	-8.309656	SV4	-0.304667	0.487212	-0.625328	0.5318	GCF
C(5)	-23.92434	SV5	-0.771905	0.48747	-1.583492	0.1133	UR
C(6)	-1895.297	SV6	-0.019114	0.13921	-0.1373	0.8908	SUN
C(7)	-2319.375	SV7	-5.386466	2.494508	-2.159329	0.0308	OWN
C(8)	-77.94749	SV8	4.029458	1.626023	2.478107	0.0132	ROAD
C(9)	-46.6779	SV9	-0.371564	0.117166	-3.171256	0.0015	dummy1
C(10)	-689.855	SV10	-0.313005	0.080304	-3.897731	0.0001	dummy2
C(11)	-971.6631						
Log likelihood	-71.5056	Akaike info criterion	13.75093				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.19543				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.58637				

Table 6.13.13 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Fujian
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.920678	SV1	-6.498354	463.8578	-0.014009	0.9888	PCI
C(2)	-0.588325	SV2	1.5486	165.2165	0.009373	0.9925	GRP
C(3)	-0.533817	SV3	3.277655	145.0831	0.022592	0.982	RFDI
C(4)	-0.535366	SV4	-1.90615	39.9245	-0.047744	0.9619	GCF
C(5)	-0.526356	SV5	0.657923	22.66357	0.02903	0.9768	UR
C(6)	-2.750032	SV6	0.158915	19.47717	0.008159	0.9935	SUN
C(7)	-2.495327	SV7	-3.495078	250.2153	-0.013968	0.9889	OWN
C(8)	-1.342745	SV8	6.356034	518.0601	0.012269	0.9902	ROAD
C(9)	-0.53665	SV9	-0.222045	5.726609	-0.038774	0.9691	dummy1
C(10)	-0.467822	SV10	0.06469	4.054948	0.015953	0.9873	dummy2
C(11)	-0.022802						

Log likelihood	-81.07018	Akaike info criterion	15.34503
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.78953
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	15.18046

Table 6.13.14 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Jiangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-475.015	SV1	3.903364	6.013378	0.649113	0.5163	PCI
C(2)	-31.48554	SV2	-1.692223	10.29452	-0.164381	0.8694	GRP
C(3)	-103.0093	SV3	0.679177	2.522069	0.269294	0.7877	RFDI
C(4)	-24.97885	SV4	2.469807	6.317016	0.390977	0.6958	GCF
C(5)	-4.864616	SV5	-0.994761	1.283535	-0.775017	0.4383	UR
C(6)	-618.5886	SV6	-0.273157	0.953786	-0.286392	0.7746	SUN
C(7)	-83.71452	SV7	0.03167	9.949786	0.003183	0.9975	OWN
C(8)	-246.598	SV8	-4.898563	6.240713	-0.784936	0.4325	ROAD
C(9)	-33.63844	SV9	0.326074	0.631586	0.516278	0.6057	dummy1
C(10)	-41.73161	SV10	-0.05915	0.245033	-0.241397	0.8092	dummy2
C(11)	-35.36795						

Log likelihood	-77.61069	Akaike info criterion	14.76845
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.21295
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.60388

Table 6.13.15 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Shandong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-32.40433	SV1	0.488181	0.822145	0.59379	0.5527	RFDI
C(2)	-29.59166	SV2	0.861174	0.541497	1.590356	0.1118	UR
C(3)	-680.1587	SV3	0.95948	0.643026	1.492131	0.1357	ROAD
C(4)	-6.542834						

Log likelihood	-26.57254	Akaike info criterion	5.095424
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.25706
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.035581

Table 6.13.16 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Henan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-31.344	SV1	3.0266	7.136482	0.424103	0.6715	PCI
C(2)	-7.190817	SV2	-1.889332	3.809538	-0.495948	0.6199	GRP
C(3)	-9.018833	SV3	-0.25747	1.295302	-0.198772	0.8424	RFDI
C(4)	-84.13693	SV4	2.514156	2.700611	0.930958	0.3519	GCF
C(5)	-9.330191	SV5	0.301643	0.687726	0.438609	0.6609	UR
C(6)	-16.3642	SV6	-0.645088	0.704886	-0.915167	0.3601	SUN
C(7)	-13.53108	SV7	-0.261701	3.555366	-0.073607	0.9413	OWN
C(8)	-54.31097	SV8	-2.847616	8.459223	-0.336629	0.7364	ROAD
C(9)	-7.80017	SV9	-0.12067	0.120709	-0.999676	0.3175	dummy1
C(10)	-437.6227	SV10	-0.152781	0.121817	-1.254188	0.2098	dummy2
C(11)	-496.5489						

Log likelihood	-75.40729	Akaike info criterion	14.40121
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.84571
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.23665

Table 6.13.17 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Hubei
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-201.4585	SV1	0.03775	4.203792	0.00898	0.9928	PCI
C(2)	-6.453899	SV2	-0.728251	7.092429	-0.10268	0.9182	GRP
C(3)	-43.78769	SV3	-0.179734	5.542027	-0.032431	0.9741	RFDI
C(4)	-22.07284	SV4	0.488143	1.365679	0.357436	0.7208	GCF
C(5)	-22.21439	SV5	0.430415	3.03603	0.141769	0.8873	UR
C(6)	-465.2762	SV6	-1.027128	0.966972	-1.062211	0.2881	SUN
C(7)	-262.1533	SV7	-7.037941	7.477739	-0.941186	0.3466	OWN
C(8)	-147.4235	SV8	0.203139	3.190433	0.063671	0.9492	ROAD
C(9)	-8.072287	SV9	-0.491425	0.177197	-2.773322	0.0055	dummy1
C(10)	-12.14477	SV10	-0.063724	0.245144	-0.259944	0.7949	dummy2
C(11)	-4.475718						

Log likelihood	-75.12208	Akaike info criterion	14.35368
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.79818
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.18911

Table 6.13.18 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Hunan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-376.9691	SV1	7.201847	1.341807	5.367276	0	PCI
C(2)	-8.839175	SV2	0.64929	2.184321	0.29725	0.7663	GRP
C(3)	-10.89538	SV3	2.910141	0.803705	3.620906	0.0003	RFDI
C(4)	-37.73481	SV4	0.056976	0.723036	0.078801	0.9372	GCF
C(5)	-8.175051	SV5	3.698861	0.537828	6.87741	0	UR
C(6)	-312.4373	SV6	0.178812	0.216308	0.826654	0.4084	SUN
C(7)	-529.6592	SV7	5.575044	3.006477	1.854344	0.0637	OWN
C(8)	-186.1436	SV8	-8.460084	1.529911	-5.529789	0	ROAD
C(9)	-10.61002	SV9	0.055835	0.504899	0.110586	0.9119	dummy1
C(10)	-2.990249	SV10	0.122466	0.258091	0.474508	0.6351	dummy2
C(11)	-3.858507						

Log likelihood	-75.33994	Akaike info criterion	14.38999
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.83449
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.22542

Table 6.13.19 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Guangdong
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-211.3782	SV1	0.60921	1.482616	0.410902	0.6811	PCI
C(2)	-8.473688	SV2	-3.133727	2.679829	-1.169376	0.2423	GRP
C(3)	-14.66657	SV3	-1.290299	2.426599	-0.531731	0.5949	RFDI
C(4)	-107.5737	SV4	1.521325	1.837205	0.828065	0.4076	GCF
C(5)	-74.24724	SV5	2.187237	1.025168	2.13354	0.0329	UR
C(6)	-535.6652	SV6	-0.100247	0.253184	-0.395946	0.6921	SUN
C(7)	-364.2914	SV7	-1.359781	1.836918	-0.740252	0.4591	OWN
C(8)	-152.631	SV8	3.587021	4.040363	0.887797	0.3747	ROAD
C(9)	-24.41425	SV9	0.100918	0.079435	1.270448	0.2039	dummy1
C(10)	-10.6168	SV10	-0.15498	0.073732	-2.101939	0.0356	dummy2
C(11)	-6.98204						

Log likelihood	-71.44076	Akaike info criterion	13.74013
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.18462
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.57556

Table 6.13.20 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Guangxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1039.842	SV1	1.75116	1.32413	1.322498	0.186	PCI
C(2)	-10.56643	SV2	0.924809	1.00978	0.915852	0.3597	GRP
C(3)	-11.99154	SV3	-0.161198	1.055039	-0.152789	0.8786	RFDI
C(4)	-31.57113	SV4	-0.532279	0.545837	-0.975163	0.3295	GCF
C(5)	-84.98957	SV5	0.045942	0.205515	0.223548	0.8231	UR
C(6)	-335.6281	SV6	-0.038783	0.118007	-0.328652	0.7424	SUN
C(7)	-151.5922	SV7	0.012796	1.547295	0.00827	0.9934	OWN
C(8)	-166.6105	SV8	-1.290247	1.731812	-0.745027	0.4563	ROAD
C(9)	-8.276723	SV9	-0.040466	0.031132	-1.299816	0.1937	dummy1
C(10)	-125.57	SV10	-0.205771	0.03802	-5.412135	0	dummy2
C(11)	-161.3309						

Log likelihood	-74.42794	Akaike info criterion	14.23799
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.68249
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.07342

Table 6.13.21 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Hainan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-147.3523	SV1	-1.791513	7.828811	-0.228836	0.819	PCI
C(2)	-64.62553	SV2	2.321456	10.95031	0.211999	0.8321	GRP
C(3)	-13.31007	SV3	1.054442	1.79366	0.587872	0.5566	RFDI
C(4)	-203.8411	SV4	0.305468	4.070778	0.075039	0.9402	GCF
C(5)	-5.307827	SV5	1.311529	6.522452	0.201079	0.8406	UR
C(6)	-269.9258	SV6	-2.227112	2.300641	-0.96804	0.333	SUN
C(7)	-57.22335	SV7	4.557947	6.54512	0.696389	0.4862	OWN
C(8)	-572.4165	SV8	2.128081	5.172479	0.411424	0.6808	ROAD
C(9)	-37.75685	SV9	0.70297	3.441814	0.204244	0.8382	dummy1
C(10)	-28.87686	SV10	0.25756	0.594042	0.433573	0.6646	dummy2
C(11)	-21.62088						

Log likelihood	-72.74869	Akaike info criterion	13.95812
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.40261
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.79355

Table 6.13.22 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Chongqing
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1409.361	SV1	-5.585548	5.215738	-1.070903	0.2842	PCI
C(2)	-20.9337	SV2	12.19219	10.35159	1.177809	0.2389	GRP
C(3)	-77.77878	SV3	-1.473801	4.574426	-0.322183	0.7473	RFDI
C(4)	-54.72569	SV4	-6.227904	5.54956	-1.122234	0.2618	GCF
C(5)	-5.032005	SV5	-0.726029	1.68371	-0.431208	0.6663	UR
C(6)	-655.1394	SV6	0.818118	2.263322	0.361468	0.7177	SUN
C(7)	-475.3909	SV7	-2.429678	9.849073	-0.246691	0.8051	OWN
C(8)	-106.192	SV8	2.489138	4.643169	0.536086	0.5919	ROAD
C(9)	-69.81672	SV9	-0.244983	0.114165	-2.145861	0.0319	dummy1
C(10)	-13.89756	SV10	-0.044699	0.119539	-0.37393	0.7085	dummy2
C(11)	-128.9908						

Log likelihood	-75.39134	Akaike info criterion	14.39856
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.84306
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.23399

Table 6.13.23 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Sichuan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-83.91165	SV1	1.173387	0.093616	12.53407	0	GRP
C(2)	-6.744089	SV2	0.350931	0.126701	2.769749	0.0056	RFDI
C(3)	-262.5761	SV3	0.912997	0.317531	2.875295	0.004	UR
C(4)	-277.9843						

Log likelihood	-27.85097	Akaike info criterion	5.308496
Parameters	4	Schwarz criterion	5.470131
Diffuse priors	3	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.248652

Table 6.13.24 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Guizhou
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-223.5148	SV1	0.056273	1.25793	0.044734	0.9643	PCI
C(2)	-24.14046	SV2	1.967062	1.453568	1.353265	0.176	GRP
C(3)	-788.6087	SV3	-0.011787	0.087889	-0.134114	0.8933	RFDI
C(4)	-611.8412	SV4	-1.192751	0.605125	-1.971081	0.0487	GCF
C(5)	-31.23927	SV5	-0.159968	0.283103	-0.56505	0.572	UR
C(6)	-6.599685	SV6	-0.156627	0.266713	-0.587251	0.557	SUN
C(7)	-33.13235	SV7	-1.721345	1.529653	-1.125317	0.2605	OWN
C(8)	-33.24834	SV8	-0.200718	2.096962	-0.095718	0.9237	ROAD
C(9)	-1463.955	SV9	-0.179816	0.034465	-5.217388	0	dummy1
C(10)	-261.0788	SV10	-0.340558	0.048071	-7.084491	0	dummy2
C(11)	-9.581342						

Log likelihood	-72.99863	Akaike info criterion	13.99977
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.44427
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.8352

Table 6.13.25 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Yunnan
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-3341.998	SV1	1.958235	0.82739	2.366762	0.0179	PCI
C(2)	-9.101797	SV2	-3.820744	2.378241	-1.606542	0.1082	GRP
C(3)	-35.27456	SV3	0.126146	0.233533	0.540162	0.5891	RFDI
C(4)	-44.17876	SV4	2.442305	1.589057	1.536952	0.1243	GCF
C(5)	-7.89156	SV5	0.361989	0.316643	1.143207	0.253	UR
C(6)	-283.6994	SV6	0.596872	0.715529	0.834169	0.4042	SUN
C(7)	-1985.228	SV7	-1.999226	1.410428	-1.41746	0.1563	OWN
C(8)	-147.0549	SV8	-0.23027	0.696485	-0.330617	0.7409	ROAD
C(9)	-31.01484	SV9	-0.117351	0.07378	-1.590552	0.1117	dummy1
C(10)	-311.75	SV10	-0.092459	0.049677	-1.861195	0.0627	dummy2
C(11)	-267.895						

Log likelihood	-76.21923	Akaike info criterion	14.53654
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.98104
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.37197

Table 6.13.26 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Tibet
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-1.854726	SV1	2.323544	44.8519	0.051805	0.9587	PCI
C(2)	-0.620461	SV2	3.522589	83.82932	0.042021	0.9665	GRP
C(3)	-0.513275	SV3	0.085751	3.700543	0.023172	0.9815	RFDI
C(4)	-2.003479	SV4	-0.305656	20.8411	-0.014666	0.9883	GCF
C(5)	-0.523545	SV5	0.131626	11.91241	0.01105	0.9912	UR
C(6)	-1.346056	SV6	-0.139949	12.69443	-0.011024	0.9912	SUN
C(7)	-1.047801	SV7	7.50324	211.5168	0.035473	0.9717	OWN
C(8)	-1.028343	SV8	-2.827239	77.05724	-0.03669	0.9707	ROAD
C(9)	-0.50902	SV9	-0.15695	5.617273	-0.027941	0.9777	dummy1
C(10)	-0.466649	SV10	-0.210373	3.791004	-0.055493	0.9557	dummy2
C(11)	-0.012534						

Log likelihood	-87.21604	Akaike info criterion	16.36934
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	16.81384
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	16.20477

Table 6.13.27 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Shaanxi
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	1.93E-05	SV1	1.249049	2.037617	0.612995	0.5399	PCI
C(2)	-5.303597	SV2	-0.247234	2.833389	-0.087257	0.9305	GRP
C(3)	-6.98787	SV3	0.009106	0.518656	0.017557	0.986	RFDI
C(4)	-4.771011	SV4	0.159441	1.724334	0.092466	0.9263	SUN
C(5)	-54.81702						

Log likelihood	-50.41185	Akaike info criterion	9.235309
Parameters	5	Schwarz criterion	9.437354
Diffuse priors	4	Hannan-Quinn criter.	9.160505

Table 6.13.28 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Gansu
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-2.270106	SV1	-3.042597	0.779133	-3.905108	0.0001	OWN
C(2)	-101.8411	SV2	0.47697	0.223821	2.131033	0.0331	ROAD
C(3)	-352.177						
Log likelihood	-20.75649	Akaike info criterion	3.959415				
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	4.080641				
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.914532				

Table 6.13.29 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Qinghai
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-334.1841	SV1	-0.202901	0.72244	-0.280856	0.7788	PCI
C(2)	-8.277567	SV2	-3.529589	2.014184	-1.752367	0.0797	GRP
C(3)	-21.59444	SV3	0.19196	0.145858	1.31607	0.1882	RFDI
C(4)	-139.9641	SV4	1.726815	0.90809	1.901592	0.0572	GCF
C(5)	-27.03807	SV5	-0.161757	0.078828	-2.052011	0.0402	UR
C(6)	-594.2951	SV6	-0.197602	0.161869	-1.220752	0.2222	SUN
C(7)	-153.3345	SV7	-2.283354	1.983713	-1.151051	0.2497	OWN
C(8)	-168.3394	SV8	2.771198	1.800011	1.539545	0.1237	ROAD
C(9)	-22.15318	SV9	-0.054598	0.062451	-0.874258	0.382	dummy1
C(10)	-81.27816	SV10	-0.20124	0.038853	-5.179578	0	dummy2
C(11)	-10.22784						
Log likelihood	-77.72556	Akaike info criterion	14.78759				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	15.23209				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.62302				

Table 6.13.30 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Ningxia
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-374.1987	SV1	-0.410675	3.036003	-0.135268	0.8924	PCI
C(2)	-8.156488	SV2	3.273072	2.051085	1.595776	0.1105	GRP
C(3)	-34.76019	SV3	-0.075558	0.137734	-0.548575	0.5833	RFDI
C(4)	-56.42453	SV4	-2.195516	1.500343	-1.463343	0.1434	GCF
C(5)	-25.17709	SV5	-0.273981	0.232106	-1.180414	0.2378	UR
C(6)	-864.3619	SV6	-0.366134	0.285698	-1.281539	0.2	SUN
C(7)	-245.101	SV7	-3.136643	1.953697	-1.605491	0.1084	OWN
C(8)	-177.9119	SV8	-0.323582	4.167031	-0.077653	0.9381	ROAD
C(9)	-26.42784	SV9	-0.060003	0.063608	-0.943323	0.3455	dummy1
C(10)	-57.45095	SV10	-0.178796	0.040623	-4.401368	0	dummy2
C(11)	-34.01883						
Log likelihood	-75.76507	Akaike info criterion	14.46084				
Parameters	11	Schwarz criterion	14.90534				
Diffuse priors	10	Hannan-Quinn criter.	14.29628				

Table 6.13.31 TVP with dummy variables results for American tourists to Xinjiang
Two year ahead forecast

Method: Maximum likelihood (Marquardt)
Included observations: 12

	Coefficient		Final State	Root MSE	z-Statistic	Prob.	Varibles
C(1)	-46.98452	SV1	0.074163	0.499042	0.148611	0.8819	UR
C(2)	-133.1419	SV2	1.444545	0.119053	12.13361	0	ROAD
C(3)	-4.295071						

Log likelihood	-27.68792	Akaike info criterion	5.114653
Parameters	3	Schwarz criterion	5.23588
Diffuse priors	2	Hannan-Quinn criter.	5.06977

Appendix IV

Table 7.1.1 RMSE for arrivals from Australian to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	29454.94	42708.16	42926.80	62440.10	22872.90	14200.43	163847.18	10409.99	23681.82
Tianjin	1867.31	1327.22	1309.26	2887.11	1501.51	293.13	1828.72	606.30	1295.84
Hebei	6774.13	5298.63	12650.28	2332.41	8164.63	2431.42	5734.38	8473.46	2584.04
Shanxi	8839.84	7321.40	9129.48	8527.47	8829.51	7678.35	3698.88	8164.33	6380.67
Inner Mongolia	2239.88	2082.68	786.87	2615.12	1521.12	1283.86	1860.90	2074.12	1358.71
Liaoning	2425.56	3596.69	3708.46	3639.60	2149.36	1315.20	2682.54	2184.71	2529.97
Jilin	1548.20	481.11	1314.60	1153.12	4781.13	3310.86	1108.47	1776.12	1012.16
Heilongjiang	564.93	891.15	1324.30	697.12	540.52	785.82	626.24	552.12	993.74
Shanghai	30765.39	28669.54	6440.79	42885.87	23410.27	23410.27	21413.83	11229.36	11781.27
Jiangsu	37596.79	47145.53	53093.06	64163.34	32523.79	23795.83	26757.68	15386.04	32586.14
Zhejiang	4653.36	26582.52	23723.46	37421.86	7689.24	4189.06	4263.98	7057.69	14801.53
Anhui	4816.36	4926.92	5837.23	2938.78	3876.83	1394.28	2677.79	4096.43	3566.49
Fujian	4160.29	4230.23	5370.35	5062.92	1808.73	1808.73	1389.21	781.08	3237.52
Jiangxi	1279.79	2425.24	2102.27	2719.86	797.20	827.43	2427.77	2427.77	2179.42
Shandong	6327.26	7268.89	7765.83	9747.58	6458.22	4122.88	3105.23	10094.53	5442.41
Henan	5344.98	3874.83	6615.91	617.02	2868.59	4935.59	8954.16	1762.84	910.16
Hubei	36210.35	35708.66	43076.21	43576.28	40533.82	26935.22	26299.64	19193.14	25488.20
Hunan	2092.94	2192.58	2662.82	144.70	1191.64	666.14	3487.46	3491.26	1129.18
Guangdong	66776.47	56500.41	77776.89	61257.63	60745.61	47464.43	21675.85	34314.14	33355.28
Guangxi	17323.91	13936.38	15771.08	10037.49	17039.93	6802.93	2300.52	2927.61	8226.97
Hainan	399.75	2065.60	1721.72	4190.01	3614.08	2859.98	4196.61	898.25	582.19
Chongqing	975.93	8770.61	8106.47	15524.75	11400.97	4463.99	4809.90	2364.27	5361.71
Sichuan	6898.49	9031.82	9644.37	12026.03	5310.96	4015.18	3759.42	4698.76	6708.58
Guizhou	549.58	1870.80	4146.99	3555.59	305.99	467.55	706.06	889.44	1289.77
Yunnan	1665.08	11762.20	12040.11	18545.35	7188.08	4579.33	3467.47	4028.43	5201.48
Tibet	6626.03	5628.38	7111.68	4517.27	16199.58	5280.91	4312.01	4026.88	4756.64
Shaanxi	1733.51	8638.26	29900.55	7843.50	12329.35	7110.05	36620.62	17132.16	6140.76
Gansu	4130.31	1429.87	1383.94	987.22	7978.02	5578.41	4410.28	4000.60	2045.75
Qinghai	571.98	437.60	400.80	272.13	373.51	352.50	685.85	669.53	515.87
Ningxia	337.18	271.89	322.08	261.56	304.30	300.83	128.90	172.35	215.06
Xinjiang	1629.50	251.76	769.73	464.62	1961.95	1030.60	650.65	0.00	276.09

Table 7.1.2 RMSE for arrivals from Canada to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	39952.10	33523.96	43184.95	28568.29	38983.55	13582.48	11034.76	7926.89	18942.98
Tianjin	20573.23	89937.42	13572.35	14517.89	23548.84	13794.83	15321.11	16361.00	18695.29
Hebei	6500.86	89937.42	15095.42	9988.80	6087.71	1166.87	113042.61	2898066.70	3542.40
Shanxi	8737.87	7336.31	9727.67	7635.29	8887.23	7859.48	11772.29	4284.74	6136.68
Inner Mongolia	1191.33	1598.76	3845.79	2942.92	1082.33	775.66	3777.61	920.20	407.16
Liaoning	2873.81	89937.42	4653.97	5710.43	3321.34	2587.91	5192.92	24304.49	3127.44
Jilin	2793.06	1941.37	1552.96	2434.24	2713.89	1846.90	2772.07	17529.30	2241.18
Heilongjiang	887.85	420.96	2517.78	23.59	559.40	420.62	32586.69	1267.22	693.80
Shanghai	6928.84	27263.28	24148.59	30193.49	4136.56	3349.96	1255397.33	14166.28	17152.19
Jiangsu	26788.84	38761.21	35689.95	39516.72	13091.46	11518.87	34074.47	16446.14	24962.23
Zhejiang	16252.31	89937.42	28667.26	30104.10	23530.48	2784.42	10813.83	2236.14	15296.49
Anhui	5685.83	6189.32	6723.14	5669.57	3592.91	1739.78	3360.50	6249.98	4834.71
Fujian	11679.78	12848.68	14408.85	14917.33	11676.61	8508.17	13296.20	10847.17	10335.08
Jiangxi	2859.16	1261.88	4452.56	2628.31	6559.54	9445.00	479.50	980.47	1374.59
Shandong	6618.43	6880.60	6704.63	8576.86	6306.19	3210.53	8785.38	17136.34	5214.55
Henan	9739.17	8127.53	9584.62	3499.02	5051.57	3817.77	13585.40	724275.64	5549.81
Hubei	25932.93	25093.86	29397.36	19317.18	21560.85	19658.06	22689.19	23081.90	19334.99
Hunan	6109.53	4349.11	6155.58	344.96	7267.23	2797.93	4363.04	5042.36	3367.60
Guangdong	54018.65	44456.85	61414.62	48388.12	56789.36	39528.24	56124.63	28184.53	28878.74
Guangxi	16896.23	13709.13	19402.36	11606.10	17361.55	14053.62	1821.20	2327.84	9238.41
Hainan	2210.85	2326.73	2668.72	3348.13	1706.36	1575.23	1367.02	10843.11	1326.94
Chongqing	2417.32	4675.26	4543.20	3932.73	1842.82	7946.24	6945.92	3128.62	4392.59
Sichuan	7982.19	89937.42	10389.09	9444.45	5910.28	524.98	4723.40	5128.23	6197.88
Guizhou	1861.27	2186.34	4237.66	1181.87	1730.57	1202.06	1071.19	2625577.17	1472.28
Yunnan	5568.30	6287.02	7278.84	7074.73	5717.59	3354.55	1946.89	1755.96	4623.17
Tibet	8323.94	6471.64	9149.20	6932.69	8171.49	2984.23	4130.73	4435.52	4988.64
Shaanxi	9876.96	9592.60	23081.35	5458.57	16310.31	5884.47	55859.39	11190.75	6973.18
Gansu	1189.55	1282.29	1284.45	350.86	1216.57	575.09	3339.46	586.70	1935.28
Qinghai	549.58	656.22	766.21	78.51	697.85	665.66	944.74	723.08	826.10
Ningxia	137.29	178.16	135.28	76.74	101.15	101.00	203.01	203.01	183.88
Xinjiang	571.29	407.38	681.64	126.26	448.13	990.48	1110.63	1227.40	717.93

Table 7.1.3 RMSE for arrivals from France to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	36791.56	33133.65	54505.65	15722.61	37511.70	15120.37	50465.19	14107.47	16114.60
Tianjin	623.24	1440.05	1903.98	829.77	1347.00	1275.25	1509.99	767.76	1830.69
Hebei	24864.94	18253.96	40159.54	19012.03	29222.19	10458.55	24272.40	1315550.24	8846.59
Shanxi	22250.51	25452.17	31343.50	27452.04	28880.24	29820.50	24286.78	14855.89	8773.74
Inner Mongolia	7494.78	8557.23	462.87	1570.18	2628.32	632.49	2319.24	2319.24	5219.12
Liaoning	4425.13	4689.82	5091.54	7708.36	3728.55	2414.10	6110.56	4344.78	3242.28
Jilin	2413.40	1115.16	289.76	3723.25	2405.34	210.83	1583.98	2398.34	143.78
Heilongjiang	986.48	1112.95	1235.52	16.11	1377.46	1344.79	1048.68	1192004.53	1190.43
Shanghai	13770.25	31365.67	42918.62	12270.39	26650.62	16422.58	3675239.26	170610.88	20822.50
Jiangsu	32117.77	48116.96	98789.51	71964.76	16977.59	13375.40	23684.23	12502.40	29208.50
Zhejiang	6590.04	27998.55	27489.51	38547.87	8218.42	4900.74	4954.33	23317.48	16099.56
Anhui	5709.50	9055.00	8955.31	12064.91	3942.07	1931.28	5996.03	41120.15	6361.66
Fujian	1176.61	3336.41	2989.75	5251.81	357.06	645.01	924.51	1843.11	1798.02
Jiangxi	1262.18	2221.94	1700.86	2502.82	636.07	587.11	2844.84	4070.61	2055.40
Shandong	5570.64	7272.70	7180.94	10845.02	5311.45	3518.60	11070.88	126474.35	4794.05
Henan	17810.48	14189.94	16267.64	13147.75	18884.04	12562.34	6889.47	6889.47	8232.81
Hubei	26180.05	21069.94	20160.80	20976.98	22718.95	2905.92	64920.04	62535.62	13637.98
Hunan	5461.23	4370.24	6690.60	2600.17	6049.87	5169.92	2323.21	4826.61	3209.65
Guangdong	14069.83	28046.45	68514.25	39026.83	18358.52	28696.68	69259.30	57638.51	16495.87
Guangxi	41453.36	28434.12	50735.23	24882.25	41189.57	22835.61	80638.82	38842.32	16803.24
Hainan	494.72	2475.35	2830.46	4111.69	707.26	604.54	5483.56	2528.78	1162.80
Chongqing	10526.06	8475.68	12178.31	3763.16	10879.70	7433.24	9102.60	108600.45	5711.77
Sichuan	13057.96	18084.33	20163.01	13395.02	8895.43	7529.78	13360.82	7986.53	12287.04
Guizhou	2919.30	2335.81	3813.63	1044.43	3460.62	1467.26	1536.78	1843.61	1836.60
Yunnan	17902.07	26861.49	28043.13	37041.22	14495.21	17629.63	18548.60	28689.32	20925.61
Tibet	4217.81	4490.64	4205.09	881.09	5336.58	3725.41	4252.80	4054.18	4510.59
Shaanxi	31032.45	18514.53	41157.59	4146.74	44162.95	4111.59	274997.20	32214.25	8589.67
Gansu	2398.87	3810.73	2998.73	2647.45	2431.32	2926.75	4354.36	9999.14	4544.86
Qinghai	403.28	374.44	194.84	22.22	465.99	442.08	3203.24	8148.45	472.00
Ningxia	151.78	194.94	222.77	148.71	133.22	200.67	348.50	186.65	215.61
Xinjiang	1980.04	1221.75	3451.29	1144.38	2307.54	408.48	1323.51	5694.75	1635.44

Table 7.1.4 RMSE for arrivals from Germany to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	53551.91	34180.13	64754.30	23405.29	48759.57	27565.36	54502.99	53490.51	16117.33
Tianjin	7162.60	4691.01	5727.90	4752.63	1441.84	3648.43	5654.45	5749.10	4141.79
Hebei	1721.98	8550.05	15812.76	12678.91	11107.19	5561.85	11332.82	3049.89	4919.48
Shanxi	17624.92	15812.00	19441.12	12795.12	16982.04	13702.21	13218.94	6605.21	13977.85
Inner Mongolia	580.69	1441.41	2325.88	5460.92	32928.50	24893.77	406.15	1524.85	1009.79
Liaoning	763.44	7000.29	6984.55	10038.12	3610.78	1494.27	3458.59	2105.25	4675.68
Jilin	5924.31	5317.75	5667.44	1952.45	8171.65	812.69	446.94	1254.89	1097.31
Heilongjiang	365.38	511.37	951.51	79.92	689.25	377.37	1391.97	1530.52	497.13
Shanghai	80917.85	31125.07	6119.83	67754.89	110033.64	76583.48	68522.40	73242.49	3904.66
Jiangsu	58571.00	79229.95	76642.96	108485.83	48403.68	41807.29	45571.77	18346.16	53881.45
Zhejiang	6192.96	27767.72	23851.43	55289.70	28722.40	15508.03	1481.66	22780.08	14690.00
Anhui	2729.41	7748.63	8573.56	12198.03	874.41	1336.36	2130.57	20479.75	5692.62
Fujian	4881.82	3996.21	1014.00	5612.76	5705.48	13745.96	4778.19	2208.64	1257.64
Jiangxi	5391.62	4783.81	5291.10	4565.21	4205.29	746.24	3976.58	4510.56	3372.31
Shandong	1535.26	9470.14	9144.72	10293.60	4835.44	4364.95	3502.05	3502.05	5725.25
Henan	17289.45	16776.69	22517.19	6535.04	24566.92	19615.48	12752.16	8193.16	15910.37
Hubei	51627.54	57676.02	75457.20	12394.86	6836.95	18214.39	16969.51	16969.51	31670.99
Hunan	13381.57	10023.80	14743.39	10091.51	14304.43	4342.70	5016.77	5250.51	6979.17
Guangdong	45593.30	49523.91	72646.19	68474.45	47021.41	23204.42	5691.28	36274.65	37497.59
Guangxi	15133.07	11121.17	18175.48	3156.80	17473.82	7781.89	13568.12	4540.93	6453.82
Hainan	3519.42	4838.38	6236.51	8260.05	2335.43	2324.71	1331.15	948.30	2082.53
Chongqing	15157.98	16891.38	23507.33	2903.52	3124.63	4136.58	15571.38	64347.41	9813.13
Sichuan	8583.27	12923.62	14579.75	7081.27	6117.65	4601.45	5682.68	9445.67	7467.95
Guizhou	1792.19	1514.15	3004.77	679.74	2070.29	702.52	2097.63	2899.12	1172.80
Yunnan	12397.06	13410.08	13361.14	13425.80	3723.21	9029.19	3909.71	4821.95	9975.82
Tibet	8133.41	8808.54	8384.86	1487.39	8939.08	8206.51	8147.18	8001.97	9261.13
Shaanxi	102306.21	512651.03	34553.79	149.82	37136.69	10440.38	855283.47	50129.67	70164.04
Gansu	6262.98	4186.99	7554.13	1478.58	5651.32	3802.05	3444.87	3537.47	3604.42
Qinghai	730.79	729.92	696.41	253.51	726.48	602.01	355.18	688.67	901.55
Ningxia	292.40	243.87	340.05	51.65	334.54	360.84	154.26	99.20	207.12
Xinjiang	1206.16	927.91	1020.11	75.45	1525.49	732.77	1629.31	1124.72	1301.61

Table 7.1.5 RMSE for arrivals from Japan to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	102306.21	89937.42	108194.67	547.68	100073.51	143849.36	163847.18	163847.18	70164.04
Tianjin	27821.89	27007.15	15868.19	4112.32	13991.38	10610.34	531294.79	41318.65	18296.90
Hebei	4511.91	9077.26	10148.85	1387.22	8701.57	8837.69	83954.70	83954.70	2508.29
Shanxi	50760.35	42736.51	56310.86	24235.86	60177.38	73767.49	35623.55	37790.49	41850.37
Inner Mongolia	14168.33	13558.64	16546.90	8787.87	15571.82	6704.26	2858.58	37268.32	12470.41
Liaoning	15990.55	133868.59	512763.75	148445.99	21829.98	126639.10	1155888.98	440935311.34	90236.99
Jilin	623.98	16221.91	21176.21	22359.15	9182.84	709.75	33759.92	6366.51	8997.34
Heilongjiang	15624.48	7004.70	24434.19	2008.03	15151.65	32330.67	5800.79	1078868.36	5401.03
Shanghai	229895.34	94870.02	499971.20	234230.81	347833.42	79719.78	72201.70	72201.70	35962.11
Jiangsu	86684.37	235333.29	450032.13	333777.61	103185.12	104722.77	100348.11	1.60	150662.42
Zhejiang	57476.45	186668.39	541558.72	222493.02	32771.91	163857.62	74438.57	10999.78	117170.37
Anhui	21529.86	26624.04	29898.40	12203.37	560559.02	42448.27	6706.89	13618.16	22526.54
Fujian	16661.47	29550.86	157691.54	14475.27	18728.05	31631.89	34157.11	576604.63	18017.93
Jiangxi	5079.02	9803.89	8641.20	18309.81	243269.30	5401.73	7585.16	12167.76	6334.43
Shandong	43999.23	96595.66	85128.05	88712.42	33680.44	22086.14	107507.10	334140.16	61712.35
Henan	4116.82	6884.66	12954.72	1129.51	576900.79	5636.28	14020.61	45902.51	7583.56
Hubei	3741.71	12947.06	36841.55	357.60	817824.93	25440.22	57754.33	12809.03	21220.51
Hunan	26535.65	21121.44	27173.62	3224.62	71689.05	100087.90	80161.96	71782.87	14442.78
Guangdong	103088.94	266626.91	225679.16	329743.21	1042174.18	28495.71	648144.91	160501.63	164758.12
Guangxi	91991.14	16104.17	36576.76	1070.57	16011.10	16420.35	23135.60	20439.38	62485.47
Hainan	13071.79	89937.42	13240.53	4563.75	6545.32	7520.03	6432.58	1143476.17	7583.51
Chongqing	34455.52	21146.34	15293.02	1845.21	25883.37	26840.73	56001.57	28641.42	26864.57
Sichuan	86023.71	100494.29	161068.39	79602.63	75852.20	86176.79	52705.18	164219.67	67029.02
Guizhou	10927.77	7671.18	11127.82	4046.90	11645.10	7683.16	11814.98	455.17	4879.09
Yunnan	47046.47	39401.83	48842.21	4383.27	58375.30	63345.99	7723.33	26574.14	31996.45
Tibet	48190.78	48046.39	50614.38	38533.14	49938.23	54667.01	45747.46	45103.31	46507.22
Shaanxi	6092.38	7851.69	9732.01	25.06	74536.89	68233.75	71246329.51	4127.07	11573.82
Gansu	3442.99	3461.15	4949.01	342.08	7025.46	1496.89	15250.58	221218.63	1668.61
Qinghai	10866.68	9121.70	10920.38	8015.17	11267.64	11307.27	7197.09	7236.14	7763.91
Ningxia	263.55	89937.42	301.20	11.24	318.80	490.35	853.92	447.61	342.40
Xinjiang	9958.40	13444.23	8653.90	1174.71	12340.11	25343.35	8040.76	20333.36	9369.56

Table 7.1.6 RMSE for arrivals from Korea to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	30315.83	35608.16	87724.97	20788.51	391954.84	444199.48	399074.61	681069.57	25082.10
Tianjin	48209.66	12850.35	15608.55	2184.36	34038.43	32359.57	8045.04	8045.04	9606.86
Hebei	14872.29	19172.19	15555.34	26523.55	8033.31	37773.03	89194.72	49578.71	11228.87
Shanxi	3842.58	5645.00	9137.23	1578.70	1958.90	2117.69	7448.44	9120.57	6787.54
Inner Mongolia	4537.33	6943.91	8911.80	10648.26	6047.37	4536.08	16926.56	17789.62	5672.70
Liaoning	204208.43	202661.57	248264.02	95456.15	123134.72	170351.53	1065241.88	95851.43	114046.60
Jilin	75964.52	58999.94	78072.17	24024.36	96525.58	78105.56	8688.01	16767.21	27107.32
Heilongjiang	3539.51	6150.55	14101.68	1316.00	8005.08	3982.85	18057.74	14084.38	6534.27
Shanghai	35566.39	147974.56	134615.30	194750.04	112533.27	86509.63	149715.09	149503.76	107703.67
Jiangsu	28781.80	109382.45	72240.72	160611.42	152766.42	152766.42	85661.88	268806.57	55957.18
Zhejiang	37868.07	167584.67	116633.11	193306.27	192811.11	141178.65	131534.55	186155.70	83556.34
Anhui	105250.86	139784.83	149499.64	219501.20	122363.37	128869.54	68890.29	97670.94	79816.46
Fujian	23585.19	10698.71	7904.30	8747.94	40823.53	37138.59	38397.20	27686.58	11336.05
Jiangxi	4275.25	10997.78	11239.23	15918.24	5181.38	5473.05	26301.51	5075.53	7025.15
Shandong	191305.15	394517.23	356491.63	389083.48	178297.81	83733.22	1725395.70	132890485.79	230350.07
Henan	31056.90	31140.53	405981.31	37138.86	33135.90	24479.66	8990.60	114701.79	21537.74
Hubei	18794.58	3263.61	6926.76	5772.49	24898.59	20804.25	12470.40	73127.14	2478.89
Hunan	383631.03	148368.48	355373.29	271806.68	304484.11	731332.39	381174.54	8183926.03	36436.67
Guangdong	74033.58	135255.48	237152.51	175425.71	51998.65	41758.90	37319.59	2109742.49	97673.25
Guangxi	24601.55	26443.92	140545.46	1534.89	15432.34	15825.73	45889.22	35500.66	29338.02
Hainan	91638.81	86220.34	107032.57	88867.93	11083.72	24607.13	14992.02	1367712988.19	62766.86
Chongqing	10410.27	5044.67	22466.21	4063.64	13742.23	11937.72	5060.66	11444.70	5728.72
Sichuan	31634.83	61729.79	67058.50	84943.39	17555.33	15967.96	61130.75	243553.45	47844.36
Guizhou	483.93	3008.70	42573.24	4340.83	913.33	1487.61	18886.35	4390.28	1856.07
Yunnan	17289.06	35608.43	65858.49	37886.90	15227.01	16161.91	15955.66	21247.31	19987.06
Tibet	6323.65	5902.44	51246.02	2289.53	6772.39	7146.68	4699.46	4526.06	4961.92
Shaanxi	24399.64	3775.24	71942.89	89.50	22825.39	30836.22	1531679.10	4079184.69	4115.19
Gansu	14323.81	14000.18	18116.97	14457.74	13246.02	11851.88	4821641.44	54817547587.27	10439.33
Qinghai	2112.81	2257.36	6566.83	2244.60	1977.07	1977.07	2116.95	2110.81	2244.92
Ningxia	56.71	81.52	449.58	4.11	36.70	48.04	204.13	38.35	70.71
Xinjiang	994.47	2795.60	7889.47	1699.46	928.78	1050.77	1645.42	5972.88	2160.76

Table 7.1.7 RMSE for arrivals from Malaysia to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	6338.99	7378.37	6882.99	529.86	11532.45	7699.30	36502.43	35938.78	13887.75
Tianjin	6265.18	4213.08	679.66	90.59	6148.85	571.85	2431.33	530.41	682.03
Hebei	4622.47	2607.48	34675.38	444.94	30193.45	3639.26	46030.64	10391.88	2685.24
Shanxi	15326.43	11312.80	13593.56	16401.96	9824.88	11980.33	11895.05	8399.25	9541.89
Inner Mongolia	641.91	349.14	1055.09	27.98	575.40	309.09	433.02	633.10	715.32
Liaoning	4468.75	5165.90	6281.62	3587.53	2165.70	1835.55	6852.96	2756.59	3669.88
Jilin	5914.46	10433.55	17977.80	1697.36	10684.07	283.42	2732.86	1399.23	843.15
Heilongjiang	1277.27	692.29	2542.44	808.41	1513.89	749.82	831.20	1419.95	1648.49
Shanghai	14229.44	24880.46	21023.64	14353.05	13146.52	13146.52	22457.80	13397.92	26295.85
Jiangsu	32502.21	20807.87	7394.73	25786.16	75893.42	26920.07	52318.37	137328.77	11653.60
Zhejiang	41233.21	26173.94	9904.98	41108.65	33952.23	47848.92	58221.80	93271.80	13706.61
Anhui	2497.81	4241.73	5734.53	1385.27	3882.58	3196.61	5214.04	7801.92	4546.82
Fujian	26628.16	6855.51	39242.55	2188.63	1625.07	5511.07	19005.04	21650.03	4864.67
Jiangxi	2066.63	2684.34	2790.46	3355.28	2289.20	1485.39	1112.93	453.99	1994.70
Shandong	1850.28	5434.71	5256.17	2884.67	1444.52	8275.56	6743.05	26690.91	4250.39
Henan	11730.78	12115.28	14075.03	6765.71	8477.69	7304.07	13063.34	11073.57	5773.94
Hubei	19627.08	21180.70	32117.96	18233.83	15626.30	17068.29	9340.70	15495.06	15186.05
Hunan	12587.94	8025.36	16027.29	5660.40	13497.38	9541.05	7975.14	2159.52	4029.40
Guangdong	140805.80	112564.59	187974.30	107964.87	121597.98	50475.86	94658.12	105478.56	73090.24
Guangxi	112964.01	19249.31	30751.20	63752.22	351231.26	142668.83	51574.59	49398.93	17513.49
Hainan	14202.41	4719.37	14652.74	161.80	23033.37	20040.98	13479.13	11504.71	7926.98
Chongqing	10276.58	9070.22	18143.93	10596.59	7971.45	7376.31	4576.09	5122.09	6496.19
Sichuan	59724.20	8030.98	14592.62	11444.64	118522.77	26033.04	32306.81	23690.57	15568.70
Guizhou	3126.31	2805.62	808.66	104.48	5025.45	3084.98	2969.53	2969.53	1945.16
Yunnan	37276.91	34712.21	51725.83	18203.13	21124.53	17422.28	28610.56	15331.71	30397.44
Tibet	4888.20	4084.17	5350.78	4338.22	5067.48	4469.30	2688.39	3467.93	3323.67
Shaanxi	12060.24	2515.77	5694.92	1918.12	29920.81	1430.27	11736.66	4136.79	2412.22
Gansu	808.86	963.85	263.04	304.59	1813.28	581.14	1816.67	1868.86	1162.83
Qinghai	121.14	73.85	265.81	15.23	263.40	107.64	66.41	391.91	59.45
Ningxia	82.38	95.59	310.99	112.43	85.60	16.61	168.05	196.71	13.21
Xinjiang	320.91	47.18	171.48	19.41	653.97	164.16	510.58	912.74	35.78

Table 7.1.8 RMSE for arrivals from Phillipines to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	8609.11	7247.27	11817.35	6248.26	11029.10	7772.39	2428.78	8458.17	4284.08
Tianjin	3217.63	2134.49	634.38	69.60	2347.72	494.75	413.30	413.30	682.09
Hebei	4079.10	3186.12	6159.99	172.80	5642.12	2000.08	904416.04	83674.48	1926.94
Shanxi	3193.49	2603.24	3115.24	987.13	3496.19	2703.63	2250.87	3009.02	2231.83
Inner Mongolia	702.70	581.82	937.58	671.26	931.25	284.63	901.65	533.95	659.43
Liaoning	3860.81	4252.38	5411.49	2784.64	2602.86	1079.49	11288504.36	6692.61	2723.37
Jilin	985.92	299.91	342.03	445.38	1373.72	404.13	997.81	1226.26	335.85
Heilongjiang	313.83	249.94	345.20	3.42	333.75	356.28	991.26	447.22	295.31
Shanghai	35296.05	34931.97	66895.08	19478.42	42687.18	12435.73	47356.21	10867.57	11838.83
Jiangsu	6889.78	1363.90	9246.54	5101.27	7626.90	4753.43	2847.32	7397.39	3278.87
Zhejiang	1953.60	9790.75	10226.24	12435.02	1427.04	32137.55	83910.87	83910.87	5410.02
Anhui	1712.75	1389.97	2332.88	639.43	1784.11	444.53	605.55	1865.83	1099.48
Fujian	13451.53	89937.42	13290.91	1157.64	4940.74	11437.74	71135.90	55042.30	4108.67
Jiangxi	2285.59	2093.66	2343.29	2701.38	2176.03	322.92	3963.44	2168.01	1618.26
Shandong	6406.86	5660.56	9080.32	515.50	5731.92	3949.52	4871175.55	10880.42	4614.91
Henan	4155.46	3616.99	3679.31	2077.65	756.86	745.45	5988.54	2281912.18	2053.77
Hubei	5641.84	4540.62	7137.63	3282.50	4813.73	4930.13	3353.42	4535.62	3412.00
Hunan	1781.95	1746.50	2161.87	72.71	1922.94	640.43	1495.06	1748.76	1511.81
Guangdong	11487.74	10594.11	20566.04	2618.40	11883.75	709.45	16255.35	31230.81	4080.49
Guangxi	3546.92	3183.56	4048.83	2550.99	3620.41	331.10	1104.30	2797.92	2349.18
Hainan	2301.14	1974.42	2478.91	2071.11	2205.69	1814.91	2479.58	2090.59	1983.86
Chongqing	171.88	1235.87	1520.11	2147.12	1560.54	1248.08	9812.67	40895.67	544.75
Sichuan	1215.21	1600.53	1105.36	2033.20	2390.94	2293.79	1597.44	6921.61	1793.61
Guizhou	663.31	681.55	1068.21	270.88	733.17	583.67	1814.24	317.75	708.03
Yunnan	6199.99	4933.93	6678.99	1231.47	5364.22	2716.58	3681.85	3679.12	3850.24
Tibet	82.02	98.24	155.61	4.09	89.42	72.49	82.99	120.47	116.47
Shaanxi	881.49	693.96	2798.33	158.73	2040.32	608.70	2165.14	2165.14	556.15
Gansu	103.64	175.65	762.68	2.02	394.31	318.60	1001.46	875.82	208.01
Qinghai	50.40	30.92	77.91	1.53	40.58	33.28	2770715.20	146.34	40.11
Ningxia	30.51	17.40	13.11	8.03	26.94	13.23	73.50	32.24	21.97
Xinjiang	60.17	41.86	81.76	6.06	52.91	24.71	69.24	69.24	39.05

Table 7.1.9 RMSE for arrivals from Russia to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	71162.84	75695.67	103712.05	79259.98	25097.42	25097.42	6442.88	6469.09	43982.15
Tianjin	941.32	1436.56	7974.83	245.50	1529.37	225.51	1444.76	1084.01	377.04
Hebei	33022.62	27726.54	48578.56	43869.55	15865.99	6042.88	47618.87	47618.87	11950.61
Shanxi	2803.99	2771.37	3825.51	1397.13	3288.41	2779.31	2717.69	724.01	2044.97
Inner Mongolia	351151.12	215939.41	392701.29	216930.52	46555.97	80527.92	280318.68	378446.72	63333.22
Liaoning	74274.37	89937.42	66302.24	63821.65	75990.96	27468.56	57999.84	57999.84	29741.46
Jilin	76420.57	58786.83	111667.81	40389.00	60707.74	50142.98	86699.96	97619.24	26726.91
Heilongjiang	545714.32	459932.39	861354.78	345145.35	651315.58	218216.84	96236.45	96236.45	278948.14
Shanghai	41321.80	23164.03	41335.42	25.06	43634.46	15475.79	87473287.98	137765.45	9294.08
Jiangsu	34257.62	89937.42	34880.16	27075.19	30147.89	15916.86	24356.22	23827.91	17797.71
Zhejiang	29858.47	22807.22	32061.19	26261.71	21426.25	8393.81	20042.11	20042.11	11358.34
Anhui	6845.96	6359.93	7086.26	5582.33	6631.49	5253.03	4022.56	4373.61	5617.51
Fujian	2124.47	1664.41	2410.74	797.78	477.44	1452.26	2542.53	2750.73	782.33
Jiangxi	2416.12	1958.12	2310.99	1448.36	1800.97	320.14	1706.20	488.26	1194.27
Shandong	18150.29	13949.68	22613.08	15150.50	18133.27	7991.54	18961.48	10999.20	7151.98
Henan	8404.20	10300.12	11859.82	10341.85	4358.38	4267.51	123549.95	14528.89	6208.57
Hubei	4273.77	3416.32	4374.66	3287.76	4140.82	2780.50	4131.99	4976.27	2433.60
Hunan	3830.11	3736.89	5141.35	650.07	3740.47	2650.31	1967.68	4461.30	3899.71
Guangdong	23217.97	89937.42	25466.79	10294.81	20240.19	9268.13	18572.86	830622.86	7703.07
Guangxi	809.22	703.05	784.23	638.51	234.02	738.74	481.37	157.40	477.04
Hainan	111957.49	86585.52	116334.64	106636.73	110018.29	29016.01	1868953.95	46387678.25	61098.83
Chongqing	1234.03	926.94	1247.06	27.88	631.08	222.56	5553.38	3964.73	610.78
Sichuan	4187.08	3048.38	4607.96	2719.83	1934.08	2371.66	2685.40	3889.13	1814.86
Guizhou	505.23	715.54	841.83	1214.23	4906.41	154.39	780.78	888.69	392.26
Yunnan	6810.20	5359.57	3685.72	4333.49	1589.00	1589.00	2847.54	3468.54	3806.09
Tibet	6874.91	5801.89	7027.88	5571.06	6707.89	6417.90	5561.05	9326.89	4546.78
Shaanxi	6306.16	4506.78	6861.79	2102.81	7814.55	1675.08	140966.66	62958.54	1947.11
Gansu	2906.05	2223.25	3668.90	2589.87	3168.07	839.29	2655.13	2477.70	2153.83
Qinghai	237.16	211.53	281.69	117.55	223.77	179.54	545.58	218.79	204.68
Ningxia	41.96	52.49	73.63	6.63	47.73	40.63	62.72	225.14	58.73
Xinjiang	53819.96	47642.97	61219.27	5824.31	58648.39	49774.39	17042.24	32811.47	38204.99

Table 7.1.10 RMSE for arrivals from Singapore to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	20917.37	19980.42	20349.18	269.63	47845.02	81369.42	81637.26	84403.13	13398.60
Tianjin	1750.67	2591.85	3469.82	133.05	1948.11	9460.57	2062.30	6244.49	2875.06
Hebei	19709.61	12451.05	40243.18	2899.15	28317.82	2915.94	38574.38	191293.07	4992.39
Shanxi	943.67	1685.80	8687.82	410.55	2806.96	2493.67	1151.31	957.89	2684.02
Inner Mongolia	2322.52	1512.40	2213.71	724.91	2322.13	2286.27	1372.96	2362.43	1659.03
Liaoning	1499.49	5823.12	22346.26	4087.84	634.50	752.76	58379.89	50218.78	3943.90
Jilin	1599.98	2652.60	11113.69	1317.59	3510.86	1275.62	4430.43	2917.44	870.36
Heilongjiang	865.62	1130.64	997.49	280.98	612.89	1052.51	26483.26	878.31	1261.48
Shanghai	25995.66	17896.83	128204.35	24677.86	20881.64	14811.11	1041824.18	4147.46	8959.17
Jiangsu	21783.42	50626.36	46087.99	44290.43	11776.57	22440.09	36661.64	41194.67	30650.03
Zhejiang	1571.86	30629.56	8529.26	11459.34	13112.60	3139.82	426637.15	29164.73	12169.62
Anhui	2383.36	3495.32	72680.19	157.51	2644.16	2656.07	8983.48	189018.69	3883.32
Fujian	28490.30	18434.48	81288.42	3198.96	16939.36	11501.37	14431.29	19505.22	11670.57
Jiangxi	3407.89	5383.30	45289.86	6954.09	5202.42	3042.02	2416.24	1704.39	3628.62
Shandong	11235.03	11206.95	32380.83	8999.92	5943.56	15192.80	2142.45	11631.02	8730.38
Henan	2341.32	4000.93	12907.04	2295.80	9049.21	5296.96	6627.91	3319.78	3991.65
Hubei	22349.87	18896.08	17602.63	14343.38	20495.82	20495.82	20527.90	23627.68	15990.46
Hunan	12286.71	10625.12	13191.12	6663.12	14630.23	7590.88	9506.02	8506.31	8743.97
Guangdong	56914.65	66185.98	220721.61	44273.27	52352.19	3901.37	34151.57	23229.68	34249.20
Guangxi	2593.00	9231.42	114872.86	13165.68	5708.60	10099.42	6789.75	12631.43	5067.53
Hainan	14083.11	11032.16	13081.58	8759.81	14843.25	10027.08	21655.29	6463.02	7610.04
Chongqing	12690.97	89937.42	11268.92	11113.15	12055.19	12352.64	20471.38	9302.77	10334.58
Sichuan	23470.84	22176.66	81085.36	46202.86	18187.21	46706.09	24744.23	749223.25	7967.35
Guizhou	5646.70	2673.08	22464.30	72.06	5692.32	4150.30	3765.82	1733.40	2149.82
Yunnan	22089.28	19907.18	72564.90	2567.45	24608.72	14885.06	6506.34	9311.24	16197.70
Tibet	9793.24	8759.10	49406.95	8258.70	9840.56	10002.71	7667.66	6743.27	7688.21
Shaanxi	5399.63	4107.21	10505.41	1876.30	6066.55	2165.88	1596105184.23	1009.16	3057.69
Gansu	3138.50	3337.96	5811.58	207.78	2588.61	837.59	6515.62	83813.32	2332.92
Qinghai	994.39	940.46	5192.49	65.80	945.24	820.37	1466.26	762.53	926.68
Ningxia	118.82	115.45	377.49	60.32	104.68	105.92	5703.75	5171.88	86.31
Xinjiang	518.10	364.68	1211.80	55.43	458.91	455.14	787.53	1985.97	633.51

Table 7.1.11 RMSE for arrivals from Thailand to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	8974.12	6514.03	21387.10	1119.07	9470.84	1607.62	51658.68	56533.90	542.09
Tianjin	186.52	303.17	377.69	51.34	161.76	212.19	323.29	323.29	322.51
Hebei	4290.74	3235.93	6650.52	123.78	5675.84	2982.42	17790.46	508855.28	1826.91
Shanxi	102306.21	1980.54	2668.73	680.07	2973.17	2504.87	3173.50	2301.40	70164.04
Inner Mongolia	1563.07	671.17	1346.93	54.86	2735.61	1465.77	4923.89	5158.46	965.15
Liaoning	1036.08	1880.39	2212.09	1199.54	875.85	735.82	1731.29	5037.67	1289.89
Jilin	818.81	394.51	178.95	857.20	1639.84	380.49	19413.36	1814.98	171.35
Heilongjiang	346.55	624.19	994.18	35.07	1313.23	1310.31	14614.99	340308.66	938.69
Shanghai	17136.72	6419.49	31280.11	17714.89	27656.26	26306.48	19065.98	15825.87	2206.29
Jiangsu	10083.55	10668.06	8980.54	17966.36	26760.51	26760.51	36355.19	109476.83	3381.46
Zhejiang	8046.57	22915.84	23103.93	39543.31	37627.87	6145.06	135143.27	9083757.61	10816.10
Anhui	3014.77	4305.65	4026.01	2063.48	2829.89	3374.71	4442.35	34685.70	4713.76
Fujian	10635.68	7152.15	24072.71	17452.34	23701.89	18799.55	22670.10	23077.70	2133.38
Jiangxi	1923.01	1779.43	2271.73	824.22	1908.12	1500.68	1555.54	1644.71	1556.91
Shandong	1445.08	2449.88	6344.54	3848.80	1478.98	2150.72	4720.06	113319.99	2479.84
Henan	3671.21	3051.21	17462.40	2175.30	5095.14	1386.58	2682049.84	28405338.73	3502.93
Hubei	7543.35	6502.55	12019.72	5503.33	6406.63	3926.26	8252.31	47566.26	4602.04
Hunan	1045.75	1008.63	2487.66	209.40	1604.41	504.30	2598.08	2518.39	3412.64
Guangdong	26398.27	45781.38	129032.57	61847.65	82680.72	16250.18	112353.57	48235.36	15470.95
Guangxi	16242.19	13592.80	44767.23	19730.85	36846.21	4410.68	53891.37	32832.53	3484.47
Hainan	700.97	963.42	5184.76	884.00	1566.22	877.96	1596.42	1130.60	146.21
Chongqing	4060.30	3655.81	6087.49	4367.25	3864.72	2600.37	1851.67	7066.08	2833.19
Sichuan	13725.86	21136.19	70284.54	8038.90	16725.66	16370.61	30387.36	26049.43	19669.51
Guizhou	2038.92	1371.32	2087.65	281.04	1548.22	416.62	408.72	408.72	1271.69
Yunnan	35484.58	20349.81	84057.93	1111.75	36169.03	16227.73	36177.13	36897.14	17628.84
Tibet	2833.57	2496.57	1402.00	1979.32	2943.10	1204.39	1382.69	2952.09	2101.34
Shaanxi	1983.82	1237.39	6788.99	287.64	2200.69	1165.58	1357126.05	4754.80	1391.38
Gansu	672.40	817.41	1120.33	528.53	616.02	848.56	987.79	689.56	1032.74
Qinghai	103.82	146.83	166.20	61.79	102.02	115.85	66.05	154.62	244.59
Ningxia	65.15	69.86	77.35	6.09	71.69	70.64	74.24	78.07	69.30
Xinjiang	363.94	313.88	348.57	95.03	231.79	355.00	562.41	512.37	309.47

Table 7.1.12 RMSE for arrivals from UK to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	27340.46	31531.88	29213.66	14562.46	16863.67	123101.06	150374.46	41349.00	16546.20
Tianjin	1547.52	89937.42	3362.54	1190.19	1753.30	1295.59	2828.14	2814.83	3102.35
Hebei	19124.82	12775.75	37713.95	11093.91	25814.63	9835.15	195930.92	7948.92	4444.64
Shanxi	10840.74	9927.72	12564.87	8481.13	11058.57	9661.94	8737.44	8933.42	8522.92
Inner Mongolia	666.49	965.50	1025.49	3717.93	2636.87	359.91	348.25	19590.39	1029.00
Liaoning	6928.79	7717.33	8709.97	6682.17	5813.73	4993.32	10284.35	9531.22	5698.23
Jilin	1775.33	1235.86	1134.44	1183.37	1690.24	1346.80	3442.10	1241.95	1416.04
Heilongjiang	795.74	829.76	1006.74	56.58	1153.91	1048.39	493.27	105643651.11	480.69
Shanghai	49610.41	46184.47	123049.82	102202.46	8465.85	88344.31	43372.87	39234.44	16273.14
Jiangsu	50308.95	68199.80	76691.38	101176.81	35239.54	39287.79	27608.08	25310.55	46507.00
Zhejiang	5154.49	22538.08	23329.18	25188.58	3755.01	3062.61	15277.61	1126.11	12502.40
Anhui	11768.86	11628.68	12191.18	12855.30	10142.56	7801.26	8787.19	10053.54	9539.77
Fujian	3921.01	5907.48	6297.23	8297.00	2729.84	2359.71	9932.28	5771.68	3905.31
Jiangxi	10677.44	2952.70	2793.31	6191.45	11842.77	10483.73	1314.39	1337.17	2939.76
Shandong	8460.44	12704.66	13856.51	13237.71	8880.65	8387.22	5313.32	13998.34	8816.51
Henan	3075.21	4733.05	3450.71	4819.65	7135.39	6448.67	10611.80	7592.09	2033.86
Hubei	49334.22	54477.51	70997.73	20004.45	10597.09	9720.91	92788.00	458922.81	31284.60
Hunan	8558.24	7830.58	10014.79	5067.47	9422.70	2215.13	6116.93	5652.18	6968.52
Guangdong	5465.46	35398.27	31111.10	56254.63	16784.19	9626.35	11387.43	46200.42	20907.88
Guangxi	27546.63	19626.77	31112.43	16689.81	27403.41	9612.08	4643.78	1142.30	12581.54
Hainan	7136.93	8844.68	9097.50	9063.02	4684.62	5481.20	9527.75	67301.67	7939.82
Chongqing	4262.97	5701.98	5346.74	1778.77	20057.35	3445.64	67868.23	279500.56	5682.23
Sichuan	21467.86	24592.76	27755.14	28702.15	17400.65	10991.40	10909.86	44088.01	18241.15
Guizhou	3690.76	2946.78	6685.38	2728.01	4436.63	999.91	1554.84	451321.02	2323.70
Yunnan	10704.79	10431.25	13627.40	4583.96	10183.37	4168.68	4971.18	9673.88	7606.26
Tibet	6156.74	5894.45	7384.15	2629.62	6562.18	4702.74	4390.23	3873.94	5311.34
Shaanxi	34154.80	19633.97	39051.40	3860.62	42325.50	2428.10	184944.80	3505437318634.56	7694.07
Gansu	1198.54	2549.10	1978.63	1141.15	1364.71	1576.45	1612.85	233811.18	2554.02
Qinghai	542.50	589.62	831.84	148.28	501.13	20.34	5955.39	1172.16	453.33
Ningxia	59.54	63.27	105.91	5.66	36.63	67.85	2960.31	143.87	56.29
Xinjiang	346.99	540.37	417.18	62.10	758.72	495.20	523.38	1338.92	461.36

Table 7.1.13 RMSE for arrivals from USA to the 31 Chinese provinces

Province	Holt	ExpSm	ARMA	Neural	BSM	BSMI	TVP	TVP D	Naïve
Beijing	117103.75	140366.30	170024.43	75441.06	122570.22	49775.83	103768.15	251447.55	78180.71
Tianjin	1613.54	4819.43	7488.92	3179.76	3922.16	2147.99	8928.87	7454.42	7504.27
Hebei	24789.71	16996.89	33539.71	20373.78	23827.18	5986.26	22844.83	17529.27	10218.59
Shanxi	27459.66	22646.11	28317.45	21660.44	27105.28	23987.68	37502.56	18370.56	18993.10
Inner Mongolia	4202.90	4374.66	5078.37	5680.98	2632.88	24247.40	6253.39	3562.57	3774.10
Liaoning	9637.12	12877.43	13342.31	25.06	36312.67	39750.92	22949.61	29460.11	8265.55
Jilin	2916.23	1126.12	6914.68	808.57	537.51	2412.21	700.65	1810.08	841.44
Heilongjiang	1027.98	1464.83	5186.60	697.21	942.59	1434.46	180118.00	1954.40	2034.60
Shanghai	112406.66	105657.04	56092.61	187833.61	220241.82	134064.07	11799652.11	90606.42	32003.12
Jiangsu	124077.83	173342.99	183108.34	211078.99	71401.05	55250.02	107856.65	61505.40	111334.61
Zhejiang	17239.05	82404.30	82193.72	120813.21	4674.14	6206.55	23580.75	94831.40	48208.07
Anhui	14213.97	18421.57	19195.10	12928.14	8382.77	20117.04	1746.89	17532.23	12528.05
Fujian	81367.20	101110.59	112305.43	97115.09	71525.18	46164.19	53265.49	1157826.57	83416.91
Jiangxi	22695.00	17378.11	19838.65	21147.12	17961.45	8263.25	26689.68	22742.66	7961.36
Shandong	34622.72	33526.64	34845.27	48864.71	33186.26	20434.95	51107.00	51107.00	24088.71
Henan	18741.94	15910.20	16983.55	14800.04	14490.63	2863.00	3692.80	23213.41	10031.38
Hubei	24013.77	43655.95	62905.31	5034.19	11096.33	111157.76	119096.35	66071.69	15243.34
Hunan	25361.85	21418.81	50593.12	2134.31	28521.11	13105.41	38041.86	48077.05	5161.31
Guangdong	147340.58	179953.10	193902.57	212634.54	116044.12	83051.28	293305.86	500056.78	111112.80
Guangxi	34679.16	27660.61	49111.61	25110.65	36747.07	11514.24	43508.04	26809.64	16329.01
Hainan	2086.29	6353.48	6218.65	11284.79	2465.87	2242.10	5591.34	217899.77	2865.17
Chongqing	73653.49	66904.49	147714.17	67126.39	57614.54	4250.26	597297.29	1243400.32	44891.83
Sichuan	42747.87	34540.61	65422.87	33655.34	10573.96	7553.97	35061.86	8556.03	21544.74
Guizhou	9240.64	7403.84	13185.60	7011.26	11019.91	1377.88	10916.72	5262.49	4475.08
Yunnan	27545.28	22898.20	23585.80	22041.48	24092.22	10159.68	8808.49	32229.31	12345.34
Tibet	26037.00	26720.78	26298.52	7972.13	25632.13	32570.64	25700.30	13818.81	25704.08
Shaanxi	61476.87	36236.65	108338.33	12262.61	87690.94	10238.21	9801003.22	34343.16	18411.07
Gansu	9868.29	10218.39	10063.79	6576.79	7091.85	4634.86	1638748.47	8973.99	9021.03
Qinghai	2140.00	2025.71	2392.97	2109.16	2078.33	2390.03	3299.15	1960.29	1574.86
Ningxia	3687.93	3636.27	439.53	198.32	347.05	189.51	603.48	94.87	2291.95
Xinjiang	1897.78	1117.07	1945.79	59.28	2747.97	1551.32	2269.81	4747.72	1411.54