

# Some Refinements of Ky Fan's Inequality

This is the Published version of the following publication

Gao, Peng (2004) Some Refinements of Ky Fan's Inequality. RGMIA research report collection, 7 (1).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17077/

## SOME REFINEMENTS OF KY FAN'S INEQUALITY

#### PENG GAO

ABSTRACT. We give some refinements of Ky Fan's inequality and also prove some inequalities involving the symmetric means.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$  be the generalized weighted power means:  $M_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i x_i^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ , where  $\omega_i > 0, 1 \le i \le n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$  and  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Here  $M_{n,0}(\mathbf{x})$  denotes the limit of  $M_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$  as  $r \to 0^+$ . Unless specified, we always assume  $0 < x_1 \le x_2 \dots \le x_n$ . We denote  $\sigma_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i (x_i - A_n)^2$ .

To any given  $\mathbf{x}, t \geq 0$  we associate  $\mathbf{x}' = (1 - x_1, 1 - x_2, \dots, 1 - x_n), \mathbf{x}_t = (x_1 + t, \dots, x_n + t)$ . When there is no risk of confusion, we shall write  $M_{n,r}$  for  $M_{n,r}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $M_{n,r,t}$  for  $M_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}_t)$  and  $M'_{n,r}$  for  $M_{n,r}(\mathbf{x}')$  if  $x_n < 1$ . The meaning of  $P_s, P'_s, P_{s,t}$  are similar. We also define  $A_n = M_{n,1}, G_n = M_{n,0}, H_n = M_{n,-1}$  and similarly for  $A'_n, G'_n, H'_n, A_{n,t}, G_{n,t}, H_{n,t}$ .

Recently, the author[7] proved the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** For  $r > s, x_1 > 0$ , the following inequalities are equivalent:

$$\frac{r-s}{2x_1}\sigma_n \ge M_{n,r} - M_{n,s} \ge \frac{r-s}{2x_n}\sigma_n,$$

(1.2) 
$$\frac{x_n}{1-x_n}(M_{n,r}-M_{n,s}) \ge M'_{n,r}-M'_{n,s} \ge \frac{x_1}{1-x_1}(M_{n,r}-M_{n,s}),$$

where in (1.2) we require  $x_n < 1$ .

For extensions and refinements of (1.1), see [2], [9], [12] and [13]. Inequality (1.2) is commonly referred as the additive Ky Fan's inequality. We refer the reader to the survey article[1] and the references therein for an account of Ky Fan's inequality.

D.Cartwright and M.Field[4] first proved the validity of (1.1) for r=1, s=0. Under the assumption  $x_n \leq 1/2$ , it is easy to show(see [6]) if  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , then  $A_n^{\alpha} - G_n^{\alpha} \geq A_n'^{\alpha} - G_n'^{\alpha}$  implies  $A_n^{\beta} - G_n^{\beta} \geq A_n'^{\beta} - G_n'^{\beta}$  and  $A_n^{\beta} - G_n^{\beta} \leq A_n'^{\beta} - G_n'^{\beta}$  implies  $A_n^{\alpha} - G_n^{\alpha} \leq A_n'^{\alpha} - G_n'^{\alpha}$ . Thus if  $x_n \leq 1/2$ , the above Theorem then implies  $A_n^{\alpha} - G_n^{\alpha} \geq A_n'^{\alpha} - G_n'^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \leq 1$ . Alzer[3] has given a counter example to show that  $A_n^{\alpha} - G_n^{\alpha}$  and  $A_n'^{\alpha} - G_n'^{\alpha}$  are not comparable in general for any fixed  $\alpha > 1$ . It is then interesting to seek for certain  $\alpha > 1$ , as a function of the weights so that  $A_n^{\alpha} - G_n^{\alpha}$  and  $A_n'^{\alpha} - G_n'^{\alpha}$  are comparable. One motivation is the following result of Pečarić and Alzer[15](see also [1], Theorem 7.2).

**Theorem 1.2.** For  $\omega_i = 1/n, 0 < x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n \le 1/2$ ,

$$(1.3) A_n^n - G_n^n \le A_n^{'n} - G_n^{'n}.$$

Theorem 1.2 suggests that  $A_n^{\alpha} - G_n^{\alpha} \leq A_n^{'\alpha} - G_n^{'\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = 1/q$  with  $q = \min\{\omega_i\}$ . We will show this is indeed the case in section 3. A similar result is also proved there. The idea of the proof of (1.3) also allows us to establish some inequalities involving the symmetric means in section 4.

Date: March 25, 2004.

Key words and phrases. Ky Fan's inequality, refinement of the Arithmetic-Geometric inequality.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15.

#### 2. Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1.** For 0 < q < 1,  $0 < G_n \le A_n \le 1$ ,  $f(q) = 2q(A_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{q}})$  is an increasing function of q.

*Proof.* Let  $x = A_n, y = G_n$ , then  $f'(q) = 2(x^{\frac{1}{q}} - y^{\frac{1}{q}}) - 2(\ln(x^{\frac{1}{q}})x^{\frac{1}{q}} - \ln(y^{\frac{1}{q}})y^{\frac{1}{q}}) \ge 0$ , since  $u - u \ln u$  increases with respect to u for  $0 < u \le 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $0 < q \le 1$ ,  $(1-q)^{1/q-1}$  is an increasing function of q, in particular,  $(1-q)^{1/q-1} \le 1/2$  when  $0 < q \le 1/2$  and the above inequality reverses when  $1/2 \le q < 1$ . In either case, equality holds if and only if q = 1/2.

Proof. It suffices to show  $f'(q) \ge 0$  for 0 < q < 1 with  $f(q) = (1/q - 1)\ln(1 - q)$ . Now  $f'(q) = -h(q)/q^2$  with  $h(q) = q + \ln(1 - q) < 0$  for 0 < q < 1, we are done.

### 3. The Main Results

To motivate our next result, we note that L. Hoehn and I. Niven[10] showed  $A_{n,t} - G_{n,t}$  is a decreasing function of t. It then follows that  $f(t,\alpha) = A_{n,t}^{\alpha} - G_{n,t}^{\alpha}$  is decreasing as a function of t(See [8], Theorem 2.1) for  $\alpha \leq 1$ . It's natural to ask whether one can have similar results for  $\alpha \geq 1$  and we have the following

**Proposition 3.1.** For  $0 < x_1 \le \cdots \le x_n$ ,  $q = \min\{\omega_i\}, t \ge 0$ ,  $f(t, \alpha)$  is a decreasing function of t for  $\alpha \le (1-q)^{-1}$  and  $f(t, \alpha)$  is an increasing function for  $\alpha \ge q^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* We will show the first assertion and the proof for the other one is similar. By Theorem 2.1 in [8], it suffices to prove the above result for  $\alpha = (1-q)^{-1}$ . Let  $f(t) = A_{n,t}^{(1-q)^{-1}} - G_{n,t}^{(1-q)^{-1}}$ , it suffices to show  $f'(0) \leq 0$  which is equivalent to  $A_n^q H_n^{1-q} \leq G_n$ , which is the weighted Sierpiński's inequality (See [7] for an extension of this) and this completes the proof.

Theorem 3.1. For  $0 < q \le \min\{\omega_i\}$ ,

(3.1) 
$$x_1^{\frac{1}{1-q}-2} \sigma_n \ge 2(1-q) \left( A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \right) \ge x_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}-2} \sigma_n$$

with equality holding if and only if n = 2, q = 1/2 or  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$ .

*Proof.* We prove the right-hand side inequality of (3.1) first. By homogeneity, we may assume  $0 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1$  in (3.1) and define

(3.2) 
$$D_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}} - \sigma_n/2(1-q).$$

We want to show  $D_n \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$  be the point in which the absolute minimum of  $D_n$  is reached.

We may assume  $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}$  and let  $a_n = 1$ . If  $a_i = a_{i+1}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , by combining  $a_i$  with  $a_{i+1}$  and  $\omega_i$  with  $\omega_{i+1}$ , while noticing increasing q will decrease the value of  $(1-q)(A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}}-G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}})$  by Lemma 2.1, we can reduce the determination of the absolute minimum of  $D_n$  to that of  $D_{n-1}$  with different weights. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{n-1} < 1$ . If  $a_1 > 0$  then  $\mathbf{a}$  is an interior point of  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , then we obtain

$$\nabla D_n(a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})=0$$

such that  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  solve the equation

(3.3) 
$$x^{2} - (A_{n} + A_{n}^{\frac{q}{1-q}})x + G_{n}^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = 0.$$

The above equation has at most two roots(regarding  $A_n$ ,  $G_n$  as constants), so we are reduced to the case n = 3. But if  $a_1 < a_2 < 1$  both satisfy (3.3), we will have

$$a_1 a_2 = a_1^{\omega_1/(1-q)} a_2^{\omega_2/(1-q)},$$

which is impossible since  $\omega_1 + q \le 1$ ,  $\omega_2 + q \le 1$  and the two equalities can's hold at the same time. Thus if  $a_1 > 0$ , we only need to prove  $D_2 \ge 0$ . In this case, by letting  $x = a_1 > 0$ , we get

$$D_2(x) = (\omega_1 x + \omega_2)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} - x^{\frac{\omega_1}{1-q}} - \frac{\omega_1 \omega_2 (x-1)^2}{2(1-q)}.$$

It's easy to check  $D_2(1) = D'_2(1) = 0$  and

$$\frac{1-q}{\omega_1}D''(x) = \frac{q\omega_1}{1-q}(\omega_1 x + \omega_2)^{\frac{2q-1}{1-q}} - (\frac{\omega_1}{1-q} - 1)x^{\frac{\omega_1}{1-q}-2} - \omega_2 
\ge \frac{q\omega_1}{1-q} + 1 - \frac{\omega_1}{1-q} - \omega_2 = 0.$$

with equality holding if and only if x = 1 or q = 1/2. Hence by the Taylor expansion at 1,  $D_2(x) \ge 0$  with equality holding if and only if x = 1 or q = 1/2.

If **a** is a boundary point of  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , then  $a_1=0$ , (3.2) is reduced to

$$E_n(x_1=0,\cdots,x_{n-1})=A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}}-\sigma_n/2(1-q).$$

We now show  $E_n \geq 0$ . Let  $(a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0,1]^{n-2}$  be the point in which the absolute minimum of  $E_n$  is reached. Similar to the argument above, we may assume  $0 = a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{n-1} < 1$  and it's easy to show by using the method above that we only need to consider the cases n=2 and n=3.  $E_2 \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $q^{1/(1-q)} \geq q/2$  and  $g(q) = (1-q)^{1/(1-q)} - q/2 \geq 0$ . The first inequality follows from Lemma 2.2 and one checks g(q) is a decreasing function of q hence  $g(q) \geq g(1/2) = 0$ . For the case n=3, we set  $x=a_2$  so that

$$\frac{1-q}{\omega_2}E_3'(a_2) = A_3^{\frac{q}{1-q}} - (a_2 - A_3) = 0.$$

Using this we get

$$\frac{(1-q)^2 A_3}{\omega_2} E_3''(a_2) = q \omega_2 A_3^{\frac{q}{1-q}} - (1-q)(1-\omega_2) A_3 
= q \omega_2 (a_2 - A_3) - (1-q)(1-\omega_2) A_3 
= q \omega_2 ((1-\omega_2)a_2 - \omega_3) - (1-q)(1-\omega_2)(\omega_2 a_2 + \omega_3) 
= \omega_2 (1-\omega_2)(2q-1)a_2 - q \omega_2 \omega_3 - (1-q)(1-\omega_2)\omega_3 < 0.$$

This implies  $E_3(x)$  takes its local maximum at  $a_2$  so in order to show  $E_3 \ge 0$ , we only need to show it for the cases  $a_2 = 0$  or  $a_2 = 1$  and we are then back to the case n = 2 and this completes the proof for the right-hand side inequality of (3.1).

For the left-hand side inequality of (3.1), we may again assume  $0 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1$  and define

(3.4) 
$$F_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \sigma_n/2(1-q) - x_1^{2-\frac{1}{1-q}} (A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}}).$$

We want to show  $F_n \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$  be the point in which the absolute minimum of  $F_n$  is reached.

Again we may assume  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{n-1} < 1$ . If  $a_1 > 0$  then **a** is an interior point of  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , and we obtain

$$\nabla F_n(a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})=0$$

such that  $a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$  solve the equation f(x) = 0 where

$$f(x) = x^2 - (A_n + a_1^{2 - \frac{1}{1-q}} A_n^{\frac{q}{1-q}})x + a_1^{2 - \frac{1}{1-q}} G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}}.$$

The above equation has at most two roots(regarding  $a_1, A_n, G_n$  as constants), so we are reduced to the case n=4. But note we also have  $f(a_1)=\omega_1^{-1}(2-\frac{1}{1-q})a_1^{2-\frac{1}{1-q}}(A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}}-G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}})\geq 0$  and  $f(1)\leq 0$  since otherwise by decreasing  $a_n=1$ , we will get a smaller value of  $F_n$ , contradicts to our

assumption. Thus we only need to consider the case n=3. In this case  $a_2$  is a root of f(x)=0 and the other root b satisfies  $b\geq 1$  since  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)=\infty$ . But then we will have

$$a_2 \leq ba_2 = a_1^{2-\frac{1}{1-q}} a_1^{\omega_1/(1-q)} a_2^{\omega_2/(1-q)},$$

which implies

$$a_1^{1-\omega_2/(1-q)} \le a_2^{1-\omega_2/(1-q)} \le a_1^{2-\frac{1}{1-q}} a_1^{\omega_1/(1-q)},$$

which is impossible. Thus if  $a_1 > 0$ , we only need to prove  $F_2 \ge 0$  and this case can be proved similarly to our treatment of  $D_2 \ge 0$ .

If **a** is a boundary point of  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , then  $a_1 = 0$ , (3.4) follows trivially and this completes the proof for the left-hand side inequality of (3.1).

Corollary 3.1. For  $0 < q \le \min\{\omega_i\}, 0 < x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n < 1, x_1 \ne x_n,$ 

$$\left(\frac{1-x_1}{x_1}\right)^{2-\frac{1}{1-q}} \ge \frac{A_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{1-q}}}{A_n^{'\frac{1}{1-q}} - G_n^{'\frac{1}{1-q}}} \ge \left(\frac{1-x_n}{x_n}\right)^{2-\frac{1}{1-q}}.$$

*Proof.* Apply (3.1) to both  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}'$  and take their quotients gives the desired result.

Theorem 3.2. For  $0 < q \le \min\{\omega_i\}$ ,

(3.5) 
$$x_n^{\frac{1}{q}-2}\sigma_n \ge 2q(A_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{q}}) \ge x_1^{\frac{1}{q}-2}\sigma_n$$

with equality holding if and only if n = 2, q = 1/2 or  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n$ .

*Proof.* We prove the left-hand side inequality first. By homogeneity, we may assume  $0 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1$  in (3.5) and define

$$D_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2q}\sigma_n - (A_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{q}}).$$

We want to show  $D_n \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$  be the point in which the absolute minimum of  $D_n$  is reached. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and again use Lemma 2.1, we may assume  $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{n-1} < a_n = 1$ . If  $a_1 > 0$  then  $\mathbf{a}$  is an interior point of  $[0, 1]^{n-1}$ , then we obtain

$$\nabla D_n(a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})=0$$

such that  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  solve the equation

(3.6) 
$$x^2 - (A_n + A_n^{\frac{1-q}{q}})x + G_n^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0.$$

The above equation has at most two roots(regarding  $A_n$ ,  $G_n$  as constants), so we are reduced to the case n = 3. But if  $a_1 < a_2 < 1$  both satisfy (3.6), we will have

$$a_1 a_2 = a_1^{\omega_1/q} a_2^{\omega_2/q},$$

which is impossible since  $\omega_1 \ge q, \omega_2 \ge q$  and the two equalities can's hold at the same time. Thus if  $a_1 > 0$ , we only need to prove  $D_2 \ge 0$ . In this case if  $x = a_1 > 0$  and  $\omega_1 = 1 - q, \omega_2 = q$  then

$$g(x) := x + G_2^{\frac{1}{q}}/x = A_2^{\frac{1-q}{q}} + A_2.$$

Note for  $x \leq u, q \leq 1/3$ ,

$$g'(u) = 1 - G_2^{\frac{1}{q}}/u^2 \ge g'(x) \ge 0,$$

since 0 < x < 1 and  $G_2 = x^{1-q}$ . Since  $x \le A_2$  in our case, we then have  $g(x) \le g(A_2) = A_2 + G_2^{\frac{1}{q}}/A_2$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose q > 1/3, then

$$D_2''(x) = \frac{1-q}{q^2} (q^2 - (1-q)^2 A_2^{\frac{1-2q}{q}} + (1-2q)x^{\frac{1-3q}{q}}) \ge \frac{1-q}{q^2} (q^2 - (1-q)^2 + (1-2q)) = 0,$$

with equality holding if and only if q = 1/2. As  $D_2(1) = D_2'(1) = 0$ , this shows  $D_2(x) \ge 0$  by considering the Taylor expansion of  $D_2$  at 1.

Now suppose  $\omega_1 = q$ ,  $\omega_2 = 1 - q$ , then  $D_2''(x) = (1 - q)(1 - A_2^{\frac{1-2q}{q}}) \ge 0$  with equality holding if and only if q = 1/2. As we also have  $D_2(1) = D_2'(1) = 0$ , this shows  $D_2(x) \ge 0$ .

Finally, we consider the case when  $D_n$  reaches its absolute minimum at **a** with  $a_1 = 0$ . Define

$$E_n(x_1 = 0, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2q}\sigma_n - A_n^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We show now  $E_n \ge 0$ .  $E_2 \ge 0$  is equivalent to  $g(q) = (1-q)/2 - q^{1/q} \ge 0$  and  $(1-q)/2 - (1-q)^{1/q} \ge 0$ , the second inequality follows from Lemma 2.2 and one checks g(q) is a decreasing function of q so that  $g(q) \ge g(1/2) = 0$  with equality holding if and only if q = 1/2.

Suppose now  $n \ge 3$  and let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-3}, 0 < a_2 < \dots < a_{n-1} < 1$  be the point in which the absolute minimum of  $E_n$  is reached. Then

$$\nabla E_n(a_2, \cdots, a_{n-1}) = 0$$

such that  $a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$  solve the equation

$$x - A_n - A_n^{\frac{1-q}{q}} = 0.$$

The above equation has at most one root(regarding  $A_n, G_n$  as constants). Thus it suffices to show  $E_3 \geq 0$  under the condition  $\omega_i \geq q$ . Now  $a_2 - A_3 = A_3^{\frac{1-q}{q}}$  and

$$E_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \omega_i (a_i - A_3)^2 / 2q - A_3^{1/q} \ge A_3^{\frac{2-2q}{q}} + A_3^2 / 2 - A_3^{1/q}$$

$$\ge 2\sqrt{A_3^{\frac{2-2q}{q}} \cdot A_3^2 / 2} - A_3^{1/q} = (\sqrt{2} - 1)A_3^{1/q} \ge 0.$$

This completes the proof for the left-hand side inequality of (3.5) and for the right-hand side inequality of (3.5), we may again assume  $0 \le x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = 1$  and define

(3.7) 
$$F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (A_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{q}}) - x_1^{\frac{1}{q} - 2} \sigma_n / 2q.$$

We want to show  $F_n \ge 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in [0, 1]^{n-1}$  be the point in which the absolute minimum of  $F_n$  is reached.

Again we may assume  $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{n-1} < 1$ . If  $a_1 > 0$  then **a** is an interior point of  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , and we obtain

$$\nabla F_n(a_1,\cdots,a_{n-1})=0$$

such that  $a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}$  solve the equation f(x) = 0 where

$$f(x) = a_1^{\frac{1}{q}-2} x^2 - (A_n^{\frac{1}{q}-1} + a_1^{\frac{1}{q}-2} A_n) x + G_n^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The above equation has at most two roots(regarding  $a_1, A_n, G_n$  as constants), so we are reduced to the case n = 4. But note we also have  $f(a_1) = -\omega_1^{-1}(\frac{1}{q} - 2)a_1^{\frac{1}{q} - 2}\sigma_n \leq 0$  and  $f(0) \geq 0$ . Thus we only need to consider the case n = 3. In this case  $a_2$  is a root of f(x) = 0 and the other root c satisfies  $0 < c \leq a_1$ . But then we will have

$$a_1 a_2 \ge c a_2 = a_1^{\frac{\omega_1}{q}} a_2^{\frac{\omega_2}{q}} a_1^{2 - \frac{1}{q}},$$

which implies

$$a_2^{1-\omega_2/q} \ge a_1^{1+(\omega_1-1)/q}$$

which is impossible. Thus if  $a_1 > 0$ , we only need to prove  $F_2 \ge 0$ . By renormalizing  $a_1 = 1, a_2 > 1$ , this case follows similarly to our treatment of  $D_2 \ge 0$ .

If **a** is a boundary point of  $[0,1]^{n-1}$ , then  $a_1 = 0$ , (3.7) follows trivially and this completes the proof for the right-hand side inequality of (3.5).

The following corollary generalizes Theorem 1.2, the proof is similar to that of Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. For  $0 < q \le \min\{\omega_i\}, 0 < x_1 \le x_2 \le \dots \le x_n < 1, x_1 \ne x_n,$ 

$$\left(\frac{x_n}{1-x_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-2} \ge \frac{A_n^{\frac{1}{q}} - G_n^{\frac{1}{q}}}{A_n^{\prime \frac{1}{q}} - G_n^{\prime \frac{1}{q}}} \ge \left(\frac{x_1}{1-x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}-2}.$$

4. Some Inequalities among Symmetric Means

Let  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , the s-th symmetric function  $E_s$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  and its mean  $P_s$  are defined by

$$E_s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_s \le n} \prod_{j=1}^s x_{i_j}, E_0 = 1; P_s(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{E_r(\mathbf{x})}{\binom{n}{2}}.$$

As mentioned in section 1, we shall write  $P_s$  for  $P_s(\mathbf{x})$  and the meaning of  $P'_s, P_{s,t}$  are similar. Theorem 1.2 can be generalized to inequalities involving the symmetric means.

**Theorem 4.1.** For n > 1,  $\omega_i = 1/n$ ,  $0 < x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$ ,  $t \ge 0$ ,  $2 \le r \le n$ .

$$(4.1) \qquad (\frac{x_1}{1-x_1})^{r-2}(A_n^{\prime r} - P_r^{\prime}) \leq A_n^r - P_r \leq (\frac{x_n}{1-x_n})^{r-2}(A_n^{\prime r} - P_r^{\prime}),$$

$$(4.2) (\frac{x_1}{t+x_1})^{r-2}(A_{n,t}^r - P_{r,t}) \le A_n^r - P_r \le (\frac{x_n}{t+x_n})^{r-2}(A_{n,t}^r - P_{r,t}),$$

(4.3) 
$$\frac{r(r-1)x_1^{r-2}}{2(n-1)}\sigma_n \le A_n^r - P_r \le \frac{r(r-1)x_n^{r-2}}{2(n-1)}\sigma_n,$$

where we need  $x_n < 1$  in (4.1).

*Proof.* We note (4.3) is a result of Dinghas[5], originally written as

$$\frac{r(r-1)x_1^{r-2}}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{k})(x_k - A_{k-1})^2 \le A_n^r - P_r \le \frac{r(r-1)x_n^{r-2}}{2n(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{k})(x_k - A_{k-1})^2.$$

By using the relation  $(k-1)A_{k-1} + a_k = A_k$ , one shows easily by induction that  $\sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \frac{1}{k})(x_k - A_{k-1})^2 = n\sigma_n$  and (4.3) then follows. Applying (4.3) to both  $A_n^r - P_r$  and  $A_n^{'r} - P_r'$  and take their quotients, we obtain (4.1). To show (4.2), we use another identity of Dinghas[5]:

$$(4.4) A_n^r - P_r = \frac{\binom{n-2}{r-s}}{\binom{n}{r}} \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{i=2}^k (i-1) \frac{(x_k - A_{k-1})^2}{k^2} P_{r-2,n-2}^{i-2,k-i}(A_{k-1}; A_k; x_{k+1}, \cdots, x_n)$$

where  $P_{r-2,n-2}^{i-2,k-i}(A_{k-1};A_k;x_{k+1},\cdots,x_n)$  denotes the (r-2)-th symmetric mean of the n-2 numbers  $A_{k-1}(i-2 \text{ times}), A_k(k-i \text{ times})$  and  $x_{k+1},\cdots,x_n$ .

 $A_{k-1}(i-2 \text{ times}), A_k(k-i \text{ times}) \text{ and } x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n.$ Now use (4.4) for  $(A_n^r - P_r)/x_n^{r-2}$  and  $(A_{n,t}^r - P_{r,t})/(x_n + t)^{r-2}$  and consider their differences, the right-hand side inequality of (4.2) follows from this and the observation

$$\frac{x_i}{x_n} \le \frac{x_i + t}{x_n + t}, 1 \le i \le n; \frac{A_i}{x_n} \le \frac{A_{i,t}}{x_n + t}, i = k - 1, k.$$

The left-hand side inequality of (4.2) can be shown similarly and this completes the proof.

We note here (4.2) also implies (4.3). This can be seen by noticing  $\lim_{t\to\infty}((x_n+t)^{2-r}(A_{n,t}^r-P_{r,t}))=r(r-1)\sigma_n/2(n-1)$ .

Corollary 4.1. For  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$(4.5) rx_1(A_n^{r-1} - P_{r-1}) \le (r-2)(A_n^r - P_r) \le rx_n(A_n^{r-1} - P_{r-1}).$$

Proof. Let  $f(t) = x_{n,t}^{2-r}(A_{n,t}^r - P_{r,t})$ . By (4.2), f is an increasing function of t and  $f'(0) \ge 0$  gives the right-hand inequality of (4.5) and the left-hand inequality of (4.5) follows similarly.

**Theorem 4.2.** For n > 1,  $\omega_i = 1/n$ ,  $0 < x_1 \le x_2 \le \cdots \le x_n$ ,  $t \ge 0$ ,  $1 \le r \le n-1$ .

$$(4.6) \quad \left(\frac{x_{1}}{1-x_{1}}\right)^{2r-2} \left(P_{r}^{'2} - P_{r-1}^{'} P_{r+1}^{'}\right) \leq P_{r}^{2} - P_{r-1} P_{r+1} \leq \left(\frac{x_{n}}{1-x_{n}}\right)^{2r-2} \left(P_{r}^{'2} - P_{r-1}^{'} P_{r+1}^{'}\right),$$

$$\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t+x_{1}}\right)^{2r-2} \left(P_{r,t}^{2} - P_{r-1,t} P_{r+1,t}\right) \leq P_{r}^{2} - P_{r-1} P_{r+1} \leq \left(\frac{x_{n}}{t+x_{n}}\right)^{2r-2} \left(P_{r,t}^{2} - P_{r-1,t} P_{r+1,t}\right),$$

$$\frac{x_{1}^{2r-2}}{(n-1)} \sigma_{n} \leq P_{r}^{2} - P_{r-1} P_{r+1} \leq \frac{x_{n}^{2r-2}}{(n-1)} \sigma_{n},$$

where we need  $x_n < 1$  in (4.6).

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, once we note the following identity of Muirhead[14](see also [11], Theorem 54).

$$P_r^2 - P_{r-1}P_{r+1} = (r(r+1)\binom{n}{r}\binom{n}{r+1})^{-1}\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \binom{2i}{i}\frac{(r,i)}{i+1},$$

where  $(r,i) = \sum x_1^2 \cdots x_{r-i-1}^2 x_{r-i} \cdots x_{r+i-1} (x_{r+i} - x_{r+i+1})^2$ , the summation extending over all products formed from the **x** and of the type shown.

We leave the proof of the following corollary to the reader since it is similar to the one of Corollary 4.1.

Corollary 4.2. For  $2 \le r \le n - 1$ ,

$$x_1(P_rP_{r-1} - P_{r-2}P_{r+1}) \le 2(P_r^2 - P_{r-1}P_{r+1}) \le x_n(P_rP_{r-1} - P_{r-2}P_{r+1}).$$

5. Further Discussions

**Theorem 5.1.** For  $-1 \le r \ne 1 \le 2$ ,

(5.1) 
$$|A_n - M_{n,r}| \ge \frac{|1 - r|\sigma_n}{2(dx_n + (1 - d)x_1)},$$

 $For -1/2 \le r < 1$ ,

(5.2) 
$$A_n - M_{n,r} \le \left(\frac{d}{x_1} + \frac{1-d}{x_n}\right) \frac{(1-r)\sigma_n}{2},$$

where  $d = \max\{(2-r)/3, (1+r)/3\}$  and equality hold in both cases if and only if  $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$ .

Proof. A close look at the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [8] shows that the first inequality holds. Similarly to the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [8], the proof of (5.2) can be reduced to the case n=2. By setting  $0 < x_1 = x \le x_2 = 1$ ,  $\omega_1 = q$ ,  $\omega_2 = 1 - q$ ,  $f(x) = x(qx+1-q-(qx^r+1-q)^{1/r}) - (1-r)q(1-q)(d+(1-d)x)(x-1)^2/2$ . We need to show  $f(x) \le 0$  for  $-1/2 \le r < 1$ . It's easy to check that f(1) = f'(1) = f''(1) = 0 and

$$f'''(x) = q(1-q)(1-r)[(q+(1-q)x^{-r})^{\frac{1-3r}{r}}x^{-r-1}((1-q)(1+r)x^{-r}+q(2-r)) - 3(1-d)].$$

Note  $(q+(1-q)x^{-r})^{\frac{1-3r}{r}}x^{-r-1} = (qx^r+(1-q))^{\frac{1-3r}{r}}x^{2r-2} \ge 1$  for  $-1 \le r < 1$ . For  $0 \le r \le 1/2$ ,  $(1-q)(1+r)x^{-r}+q(2-r) \ge 1+r+(1-2r)q \ge r+1$  and for 1/2 < r < 1,  $(1-q)(1+r)x^{-r}+q(2-r) \ge 1+r+(1-2r)q \ge r+1$  and for 1/2 < r < 1,  $(1-q)(1+r)x^{-r}+q(2-r) \ge 1+r+(1-2r)q \ge 2-r$ , (5.2) holds for our choice of d. When  $-1/2 \le r < 0$ , we write f'''(x) as

$$f'''(x) = q(1-q)(1-r)[(q+(1-q)x^{-r})^{\frac{1-3r}{r}}x^{-2r-1}((1-q)(1+r)+q(2-r)x^{r})-3(1-d)],$$

and the conclusion follows similarly.

We note here when r = 0, (5.2) implies (5.1). By writing  $f(t) = (d(x_n + t) + (1 - d)(x_1 + t))(A_{n,t} - G_{n,t})$  and noticing  $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = \sigma_n/2$ , it suffices to show  $f'(t) \leq 0$  or equivalently  $A_n - G_n + (dx_n + (1 - d)x_1)(1 - G_n/H_n) \leq 0$  since  $\mathbf{x}$  is arbitrary. Now by repeating the same method we see that (5.2) implies (5.1).

We end this paper by proving the following theorem, part of which was a conjecture of the author in [8].

**Theorem 5.2.** For  $0 < x_1 \le \cdots \le x_n$ ,  $q = \min\{\omega_i\}$ 

$$((1-q)/2x_1 + q/2x_n)\sigma_n \ge (A_n - G_n) \ge \sigma_n/2((1-q)x_n + qx_1)$$

*Proof.* For the right-hand side inequality, (5.1) shows

$$2(2x_n + x_1)(A_n - G_n) \ge 3\sigma_n.$$

Thus when  $q \leq 1/3$  we are done. But if q > 1/3, one must have n = 2 and one checks by direct calculation(see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [8], replacing c by 2q there) that the above conjecture holds for n = 2. The proof for the left-hand side inequality is similar.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is deeply indebted to Professor Huge Montgomery for his encouragement and financial support.

#### References

- [1] H. Alzer, The inequality of Ky Fan and related results, Acta Appl. Math., 38 (1995), 305-354.
- [2] H. Alzer, A new refinement of the arithmetic mean–geometric mean inequality, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **27** (1997), 663–667.
- [3] H. Alzer, Some inequalities for arithmetic and geometric means, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 129 (1999), 221–228.
- [4] D. I. Cartwright and M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 71 (1978), 36–38.
- [5] A.Dinghas, Some identities between arithmetic means and the other elementary symmetric functions of n numbers,  $Math.\ Ann.\ 120\ (1948),\ 154-157.$
- [6] P. Gao, A generalization of Ky Fan's inequality, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 28(2001), 419-425.
- [7] P. Gao, Ky Fan inequality and bounds for differences of means, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2003(2003), 995-1003.
- [8] P. Gao, A new approach to Ky Fan-type inequalities, RGMIA Research Report Collection 6(2), Article 8, 2003.
- [9] P. Gao, Certain bounds for the differences of means, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 4(4), Article 76, 10 pp. (electronic), 2003.
- [10] L. Hoehn and I. Niven, Averages on the move, Math. Mag., 58 (1985), 151-156.
- [11] G.H.Hardy, J.E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.
- [12] A.McD. Mercer, Bounds for A-G, A-H, G-H, and a family of inequalities of Ky Fan's type, using a general method, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 243 (2000), 163-173.
- [13] A.McD. Mercer, Improved upper and lower bounds for the difference  $A_n G_n$ , Rocky Mountain J. Math., **31** (2001), 553-560.
- [14] R.F. Muirhead, Inequalities relating to some algebraic means, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 19 (1901), 36-45.
- [15] J. Pečarić and H. Alzer, On Ky Fan's inequality. Festschrift for Hans Vogler on the occasion of his 60th birthday, Math. Pannon., 6 (1995), 85-93.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109 *E-mail address*: penggao@umich.edu