

# **Riemann Hypothesis in Special Cases**

This is the Published version of the following publication

Hassani, Mehdi (2004) Riemann Hypothesis in Special Cases. Research report collection, 7 (2).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17164/

# **RIEMANN HYPOTHESIS IN SPECIAL CASES**

### MEHDI HASSANI

ABSTRACT. In this note, we show that the Riemann Hypothesis is true in some special cases.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Riemann zeta-function is defined for Re(s) > 1 by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s},$$

and extended by analytic continuation to the complex plan with one singularity at s = 1; in fact a simple pole with residues 1. The Riemann hypothesis [1] states that the non-real zeros of the Riemann zeta-function all lie on the line  $Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Now, let  $\sigma(n)$  denote the sum of positive divisors of n; in 2002 Lagarias [3] showed that Riemann hypothesis holds if and only if

(1) 
$$\sigma(n) \le H_n + e^{H_n} \ln H_n,$$

for every  $\mathbb{N}$ , where  $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$ . In this note we show that the inequality (1) holds, when n is a power of a prime number and for some sufficiently large square free values of n; by square free integer we mean one that in its factoring to primes, the power of factors all are equal to 1.

## 2. Main Results

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all primes and  $H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$ . It is easy to see that  $H_n > \ln n \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$ (2)

**Theorem 1.** The inequality (1) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $p \geq 17$ . since  $17 > e^e$ , we have  $p \ln \ln p > p$ and  $\ln p > 1$ . Thus,  $\ln p + p \ln \ln p > p + 1 = \sigma(p)$  and combining this with (2) yields result for  $p \ge 17$ . For p < 17, we obtain the result by a simple calculation. 

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11M26, 11S40, 11A41, 62G07.

Key words and phrases. Riemann Hypothesis, Zeta-function, Primes, Density, ABC conjecture.

**Theorem 2.** The inequality (1) holds for all  $n = p^a$ , in which  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* We know that

(3) 
$$\sigma(p^a) = \sum_{t=0}^{a} p^t = \frac{p^{a+1} - 1}{p - 1} < 2p^a,$$

and by (2) we have

$$H_{p^a} > \ln p^a = a \ln p.$$

So,

(4)  $H_{p^{a}} + e^{H_{p^{a}}} \ln H_{p^{a}} > a \ln p + p^{a} \ln \ln p^{a}.$ 

For  $p^a \ge 1619 > e^{(e^2)}$ , we have  $\ln \ln p^a > 2$  and  $a \ln p > 0$ , so

$$p^a(\ln\ln p - 2) + a\ln p > 0,$$

combining this inequality with (3) and (4) yields (1) for  $n = p^a \ge 1619$ . For  $p^a \le 1618$ , if a = 1 then (1) holds by previous theorem. The other possible cases are: (a = 2, p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37), (a = 3, p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11), (a = 4, p = 2, 3, 5), (a = 5, 6, p = 2, 3) and (a = 7, 8, 9, 10, p = 2), which in all of them, (1) follow by a simple calculation.

**Theorem 3.** The inequality (1) holds for some sufficiently large square free values of n.

*Proof.* Suppose  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k$  in which  $p_i \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $2 \le p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ . Since  $\sigma(n) = (p_1 + 1)(p_2 + 1) \cdots (p_k + 1)$  and

$$\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = (1+\frac{1}{p_1})(1+\frac{1}{p_2})\cdots(1+\frac{1}{p_k}) < (1+\frac{1}{2})(1+\frac{1}{3})\cdots(1+\frac{1}{k}) = \frac{k+2}{2},$$
we obtain

we obtain

$$\sigma(n) < (1 + \frac{k}{2})n.$$

Now, for  $n > e^{(e^{1+\frac{k}{2}})}$  we yield  $\ln \ln n > 1 + \frac{k}{2}$  and  $n \ln \ln n > (1 + \frac{k}{2})n > \sigma(n)$ . Combining this with relation (2) yields (1) for  $n > e^{(e^{1+\frac{k}{2}})}$  and n square free with k distinct prime factors.

Note 1. In the theorem 3,  $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k > k! > \Gamma(k)$  and so,

$$k < \Gamma^{-1}(n).$$

**Corollary 1.** The inequality (1) holds for all n = pq, in which  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $2 \le p < q$ .

*Proof.* For  $n > e^{(e^2)}$  or  $n \ge 1619$ , use Theorem 3, and for  $n \le 1618$  check it by a computer.

**Corollary 2.** For proving (1) for n = pqr, we should check it for  $n \le 195339$  and the other cases yield by Theorem 3.

Note 2. We guess that if we consider the ABC-conjecture [4](or [5]), then we can yield the inequality (1) at least for all sufficiently large square free integers and since the density of them is  $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$  [2], we may yield that the probability that the Riemann hypothesis be true is more that 60%.

### References

- [1] J.B. Conrey, The Riemann Hypothesis, Notices of the AMS, 51(2003)341-353.
- [2] M. Hassani, "Self Sharpening Inequality", RGMIA Research Report Collection, 6(4), Article 1, 2003.
- [3] J. Lagarias, An Elementary Problem Equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis, Amer. Math. Monthly, 109(2002)534-543.
- [4] http://mathworld.wolfram.com/abcConjecture.html
- [5] http://www.math.unicaen.fr/ nitaj/abc.html

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SIENCES *E-mail address*: mhassani@iasbs.ac.ir