

Exponential Stability and Bounded Convolutions

This is the Published version of the following publication

Barbu, Dorel, Buşe, Constantin and Dragomir, Sever S (2000) Exponential Stability and Bounded Convolutions. RGMIA research report collection, 3 (3).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17321/

EXPONENTIAL STABILITY AND BOUNDED CONVOLUTIONS

Dorel Barbu, Constantin Buşe, Sever S. Dragomir

Abstract

We consider a mild solution u_f of a well-posed inhomogeneous, Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t), \quad u(0) = 0$$

on a Banach space X, where $A(\cdot)$ is periodic. We prove that if for every almost periodic X-valued functions f, with f(0) = 0, the solution u_f is almost periodic, then the solution of the well-posed Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t), \quad u(0) = x \in X,$$

is uniformly exponentially stable.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47D06, 34G10 Keywords and phrases: evolution semigroup, exponential stability, periodic evolution families, almost periodic function.

1. Introduction

Let X be a complex Banach space and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the space of all bounded and linear operators on X. We denote by $||\cdot||$ the norms of vectors and operators on X. Let $BUC(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ the Banach space of all X-valued bounded and uniformly continuous functions on \mathbf{R}_+ endowed with sup-norm and $AP(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ the space of almost periodic function in the sense of Bohr, i.e. the linear closed hull in $BUC(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ of the set of all functions

$$\{e^{i\mu \cdot}x:\ \mu\in\mathbf{R},\ x\in X\}.$$

Let $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ the set of all functions $f \in AP(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ such that f(0) = 0. It is clear that $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ is a closed subspace of $AP(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ or of $BUC(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$. We recall that a strongly continuous semigroup on X is a family $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators acting on the Banach space X which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) for all $t, s \in \mathbf{R}_+ := [0, \infty);$
- (ii) T(0) = Id, Id is the identity operator on $\mathcal{L}(X)$;
- (iii) the function $t \mapsto T(t)x : \mathbf{R}_+ \to X$ is continuous on \mathbf{R}_+ for all $x \in X$ (or, equivalently this function is continuous in t = 0).

Let \mathbf{T} be a strongly continuous semigroup on X and A it's infinitesimal generator. It is well known that in this case the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t), \quad x(0) = x \in X \tag{1.1}$$

is well-posed and the mild solution of (1.1) is defined by

$$x(t) = T(t)x \quad (t \ge 0)$$

For a locally integrable function $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \to X$, a mild solution of the inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = Au(t) + f(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad u(0) = 0$$

is defined by

$$u_f(t) = \int_0^t T(t-\xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \quad t \ge 0.$$

For a well-posed Cauchy problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) \quad (t \ge 0), \quad x(0) = x \in X$$
 (1.2)

with (unbounded) linear operators A(t) the solution lead to an evolution family $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t,s): t \ge s \ge 0\}$ in the space $\mathcal{L}(X)$, that is

- (e₁) $U(t,t) = Id, U(t,\tau)U(\tau,s) = U(t,s) \text{ for } t \ge \tau \ge s \ge 0;$
- (e₂) the map $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous for every $x \in X$.

When the Cauchy problem (1.2) is periodic, i.e. there exists q > 0 such that A(t+q) = A(t) for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, the corresponding evolution family \mathcal{U} on X has exponential growth, i.e. there exist $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ and M > 0 such that

$$||U(t,s)|| \le M e^{\omega(t-s)} \quad \forall t \ge s \ge 0, \tag{1.3}$$

see [BP, Lemma 4.1] or [DK, Theorem 6.6]. We recall that an evolution family \mathcal{U} , as above, is called uniformly exponentially stable if there are $\omega < 0$ and M > 0 such that (1.3) holds. For a locally integrable function $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \to X$ a mild solution of the well-posed inhomogeneous Cauchy problem

$$\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t) + f(t), \quad (t \ge 0), \quad u(0) = 0$$

is defined by

$$u_f(t) = \int_0^t U(t,\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \quad (t \ge 0).$$

We shall prove the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathbf{T} = \{T(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a strongly continuous semigroup on X. The following statements are equivalent:

• (1) T is uniformly exponentially stable, i.e. it's growth bound

$$\omega_0(\mathbf{T}) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln ||T(t)|}{t}$$

is negative;

- (2) the function $t \mapsto \int_0^t T(\xi) f(t-\xi) d\xi$: $\mathbf{R}_+ \to X$ belongs to $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ for all $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$;
- (3) $\sup_{t\geq 0} ||\int_0^t T(\xi)f(t-\xi)d\xi|| = M_f < \infty, \ \forall \ f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X).$

THEOREM 2. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U(t,s) : t \ge s \ge 0\}$ be a q-periodic evolution family on X. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) U is uniformly exponentially stable;
- (ii) the function $t \mapsto u_f(t) = \int_0^t U(t,\xi) f(\xi) d\xi$: $\mathbf{R}_+ \to X$ belongs to $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ for all $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$;
- (iii) $\sup_{t>0} || \int_0^t U(t,\xi) f(\xi) d\xi || = K_f < \infty$, for all $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$.

2. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of implications $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ are obvious and we omit the details. The proof of $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ is based on the following result which has been proved in [BDL, Proposition 4], see also [VS, Corollary 4.5 and its Reformulation] for a related result:

If $\sup_{t>0} || \int_0^t e^{-i\mu\xi} T(t-\xi)g(\xi)d\xi || < \infty$ for every $g \in P_q^0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ and some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ then T(q) is power bounded and $e^{i\mu} \in \rho(T(q))$. Here $P_q^0(\mathcal{R}_+, X)$ is the set of all X-valued continuous functions such that f(t+q) = f(t) for any $t \ge 0$ and f(0) = 0.

Now we prove that (1) implies (2). Let $\mathcal{T} = {\{\mathcal{T}^t\}_{t\geq 0}}$ the evolution semigroup associated of **T** on the space $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$, i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{T}^t f)(s) = \begin{cases} T(t)f(s-t), & s \ge t \\ 0, & 0 \le s \le t \end{cases}$$

for every $f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$. It is easy to see that \mathcal{T}^t acts on $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and, in addition, \mathcal{T} is strongly continuous, see [NM, Lemma 2]. Let (G, D(G)) the infinitesimal generator of \mathcal{T} and $u, f \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$. As in [MRS, Lemma 1.1] it is can be proves that $u \in D(G)$ and Gu = -fif and only if $u = u_f$. Moreover, if \mathbf{T} is uniformly exponentially stable then the growth bound of \mathcal{T} is negative, hence G is invertible. It follows that $u_f \in D(G) \subset AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is finished.

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of $(\mathbf{iii}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{i})$ follows from the fact that if $u_{e^{-i\mu \cdot g(\cdot)}}$ is bounded for every $g \in P_q^0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ and some $\mu \in \mathbf{R}$ then the monodromy operator V := U(q, 0) is power bounded and $e^{i\mu} \in \rho(V)$, see [B, Proof of Theorem 4]. Here $\rho(V)$ is the resolvent set of V. The proofs of $(\mathbf{i}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{iii})$ and $(\mathbf{ii}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{iii})$ are obvious and the proof of $(\mathbf{i}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{ii})$ follows along the lines of $(\mathbf{1}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{2})$ from Theorem 1. Another proof for the implication $(\mathbf{ii}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{i})$ we will give here. This proof is based on a method indicated in [CLMR, Theorem 2.5]. Let $h : \mathbf{R} \to AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$, (G, D(G))the infinitesimal generator of the evolutionary semigroup E associated to \mathcal{U} on $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$, and

$$[(\tilde{G}h)(\theta)](t) := [Gh(\theta)](t) = \int_{0}^{t} U(t, t-\xi)(h(\theta))(t-\xi)d\xi, \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}, t \ge 0.$$

It is easy to see that the function

$$\theta \mapsto \int_{0} U(\cdot, \cdot -\xi) h(\theta)(\cdot -\xi) d\xi$$
 belongs to $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$

for all $h \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$, i.e. \tilde{G} is a linear operator on $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$. Moreover \tilde{G} is bounded on $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$, because

$$\begin{aligned} ||\tilde{G}h||_{AP(\mathbf{R},AP_0(\mathbf{R},X))} &= \sup_{\theta \in \mathbf{R}} ||Gh(\theta)||_{AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+,X)} \\ &\leq ||G||_{\mathcal{L}(AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+,X))} ||h||_{AP(\mathbf{R},AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+,X))}. \end{aligned}$$

For the isometry J defined on the space $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ by

$$[(Jh)(\theta)](t) = (h(\theta + t))(t),$$

we have

$$[(J^{-1}\tilde{G}Jh)(\theta)](t) = \int_{0}^{t} U(t,t-\xi)(h(\theta-\xi))(t-\xi)d\xi$$

Let $E = \{E^t\}_{t \ge 0}$ be the evolution semigroup on $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$, defined by

$$(E^t f)(\xi) = \begin{cases} U(t, t - \xi)f(t - \xi), & t \ge \xi\\ 0, & 0 \le t \le \xi \end{cases}$$

and

$$(G_*h)(\theta) := \int_0^\infty E^\tau h(\theta - \tau) d\tau \quad \theta \in \mathbf{R}, \quad h \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)).$$

A simple calculus show that $G_* = J^{-1}\tilde{G}J$, therefore G_* is a bounded operator on $AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$. Each function $g_+ \in AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ can be extended to a function $g \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$ by setting

$$g(\theta) = \begin{cases} g_+(\theta), & \text{if } \theta \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } \theta < 0 \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $G_*g \in AP(\mathbf{R}, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$. Consider the function $f_+ : \mathbf{R}_+ \to AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$, defined by

$$f_{+}(r) = \int_{0}^{r} E^{\tau} g_{+}(r-\tau) d\tau \quad (r \ge 0).$$

It is easy to see that for all $t \ge 0$, we have

$$[f_+(\theta)](t) = \int_0^{\min(\theta,t)} U(t,t-\tau)(g_+(\theta-\tau))(t-\tau)d\tau, \quad \theta \ge 0,$$

and

$$[(G_*g)(\theta)](t) = \begin{cases} (f_+(\theta))(t), & \text{if } \theta \ge 0\\ 0, & \text{if } \theta < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $G_*g|_{\mathbf{R}_+} = f_+$ belongs to $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X))$. From Theorem 1 ((3) \Rightarrow (1)) with **T** replaced by *E* and *X* replaced by $AP_0(\mathbf{R}_+, X)$ it results that *E* is uniformly exponentially stable. Now is easy to see that \mathcal{U} is uniformly exponentially stable, cf. [CLMR, Theorem 2.2].

References

- [B] C. Buşe, Exponential Stability for Periodic Evolution Families of Bounded Linear Operators, submitted.
- [BP] C. Buşe and A. Pogan, Individual Exponential Stability for Evolution Families of Linear and Bounded Operators, submitted.
- [BDL] C. Buşe, S. S. Dragomir and V. Lupulescu, *Characterizations of stability for strongly continuous semigroups by boundedness of its convolution with almost periodic function*, submitted.
- [CLMR] S. Clark, Y. Latushkin, S. Montgomery-Smith and T. Randolph, Stability radius and internal versus external stability in Banach spaces: an evolution semigroup approach, Tübinger Berichte zur Funktionalanalysis, 7(1998), 72-102.
- [DK] D. Daners, P. Koch Medina, Abstract Evolution Equations, Periodic Problems and Applications, Pitman Research Notes, 1992.

- [MRS] N. V. Minh, F. Räbiger and R. Schnaubelt, Exponential stability, exponential expansiveness and exponential dichotomy of evolution equations on the half line, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 32(1998), 332-353.
- [NM] T. Naito and Nguyen Van Minh, Evolution semigroups and spectral criteria for almost periodic solutions of periodic evolution equations, J. Differential Equations 152(1999), 358-376.
- [VS] Vũ Quốc Phóng and E. Schüler, The operator equation AX XB = C, admisibility and asymptotic behaviour of differential equations, J. Diff. Equations, 145 (1998), 394-419.

D. Barbu and C. Buşe West University of Timişoara, Bd. V. Parvan 4, 1900 Timişoara, România E-mail buse@hilbert.math.uvt.ro

S. S. Dragomir Victoria University of Technology P.O. Box 14428, MCMC Melbourne, Victoria 8001, Australia E-mail sever@matilda.vu.edu.au