

Generalizations of Weighted Ostrowski Type Inequalities for Mappings of Bounded Variation and their Applications

This is the Published version of the following publication

Tseng, Kuei-Lin, Hwang, Shiow-Ru and Dragomir, Sever S (2006) Generalizations of Weighted Ostrowski Type Inequalities for Mappings of Bounded Variation and their Applications. Research report collection, 9 (1).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17469/

GENERALIZATIONS OF WEIGHTED OSTROWSKI TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR MAPPINGS OF BOUNDED VARIATION AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

KUEI-LIN TSENG, SHIOW RU HWANG, AND S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish some generalizations of weighted Ostrowski type Inequalities, and give several applications for r-moments, expectation of a continuous random variable and the Beta mapping.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this section, let a < b in \mathbb{R} , $I_n : a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$ be a partition of the interval [a, b], $\xi_i \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$, $l_i := x_{i+1} - x_i$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and $\nu(l) = \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} l_i$.

The Ostrowski's inequality [10, p. 469], states that if f' exists and is bounded on (a, b), then, for all $x \in [a, b]$, we have the inequality

(1.1)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt - f(x)(b-a) \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} (b-a)^{2} + \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right)^{2} \right] \|f'\|_{\infty},$$

where

$$\left\|f'\right\|_{\infty} := \sup_{t \in (a,b)} \left|f'\left(t\right)\right| < \infty.$$

Now if f is as above, then we can approximate the integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt$ by the Ostrowski quadrature formula $A_{O}(f, I_{n}, \xi)$, having an error given by $R_{O}(f, I_{n}, \xi)$, where

$$A_O(f, I_n, \xi) := \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i) l_i,$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimation

$$R_O(f, I_n, \xi) \le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{4} l_i^2 + \left(\xi_i - \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} \right)^2 \right] \|f'\|_{\infty}.$$

For some recent results which generalize, improve and extend this classic inequality (1.1), see the papers [2, 3, 8, 9].

Recently, Dragomir [2] proved the following two Ostrowski type inequalities for mappings of bounded variation:

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15, 26D10.

Key words and phrases. Ostrowski type inequalities, Bounded variation.

The first author is supported in part by grant NSC 93-2115-M-156-002 of the National Science Council of Taiwan.

Theorem 1. Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping of bounded variation. Then

(1.2)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt - f(x)(b-a) \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$, where $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on the interval [a, b]. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible.

Theorem 2. Let $A_O(f, I_n, \xi)$ and $R_O(f, I_n, \xi)$ be as above and let f and $\bigvee_a^b(f)$ be defined as in Theorem 1, then we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt = A_{O}(f, I_{n}, \xi) + R_{O}(f, I_{n}, \xi)$$

and the remainder term $R_O(f, I_n, \xi)$ satisfies the estimation

$$|R_{O}(f, I_{n}, \xi)| \leq \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left[\frac{1}{2} l_{i} + \left| \xi_{i} - \frac{x_{i} + x_{i+1}}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

$$\leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \nu(l) + \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} \left| \xi_{i} - \frac{x_{i} + x_{i+1}}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

$$\leq \nu(l) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f).$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is sharp in (1.3).

The Simpson's inequality, states that if $f^{(4)}$ exists and is bounded on (a, b), then

(1.4)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt - \frac{b-a}{3} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{(b-a)^{5}}{2880} \left\| f^{(4)} \right\|_{\infty},$$
where

$$\left\|f^{(4)}\right\|_{\infty} := \sup_{t \in (a,b)} \left|f^{(4)}\left(t\right)\right| < \infty.$$

Let f be as above, then we can approximate the integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt$ by the Simpson's quadrature formula $A_{S}(f, I_{n})$, having an error given by $R_{S}(f, I_{n})$, where

$$A_{S}(f, I_{n}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{l_{i}}{3} \left[\frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{x_{i} + x_{i+1}}{2}\right) \right],$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimation

$$|R_S(f, I_n)| \le \frac{1}{2880} \left\| f^{(4)} \right\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} l_i^5.$$

For some recent results which generalize, improve and extend this classic inequality (1.4), see the papers [4] - [7], [12] - [14].

Recently, Dragomir [6] proved the following two Simpson type inequalities for mappings of bounded variation:

Theorem 3. Let f and $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ be defined as in Theorem 2. Then

(1.5)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt - \frac{b-a}{3} \left[\frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \right| \leq \frac{1}{3} (b-a) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f).$$

(1.

The constant $\frac{1}{3}$ is the best possible.

Theorem 4. Let $A_S(f, I_n)$ and $R_S(f, I_n)$ be as above and let f and $\bigvee_a^b(f)$ be defined as in Theorem 3, then we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt = A_{S}\left(f, I_{n}\right) + R_{S}\left(f, I_{n}\right)$$

and the remainder term $R_{S}(f, I_{n})$ satisfies the estimation

(1.6)
$$|R_S(f,I_n)| \le \frac{1}{3}\nu(l)\bigvee_a^b(f).$$

The constant $\frac{1}{3}$ is the best possible.

In this paper, we establish weighted generalizations of Theorems 1-4, and give several applications for r-moments, expectation of a continuous random variable and the Beta mapping.

2. Some Integral Inequalities

Theorem 5. Let $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, $g : [a,b] \to [0,\infty)$ be continuous and positive on (a,b) and let $h : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable such that h'(t) = g(t) on [a,b]. Let $c = h^{-1}\left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(b)\right)$ and $d = h^{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(b)\right)$. Suppose that f and $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ are defined as in Theorem 4. Then, for all $x \in [c,d]$, we have

(2.1)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \leq K \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f),$$

where

$$K := \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) \, dt + \left| h\left(x\right) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right|, & \text{if } 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \max\left\{ \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t\right) \, dt + \left| h\left(x\right) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right|, \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t\right) \, dt \right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t\right) \, dt, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \le \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)$ denotes the total variation of f on the interval [a, b]. In (2.1), the constant $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ as $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ and the constant $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$ as $\frac{2}{3} \le \alpha \le 1$ are the best possible.

Proof. Let $x \in [c, d]$. Define

$$s(t) := \begin{cases} h(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(b) \right], & t \in [a, x) \\ h(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2}h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(b) \right], & t \in [x, b] \end{cases}$$

Using integration by parts, we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a}^{b} s(t) df(t) \\ &= \left[h(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h(b) \right] \right] \cdot f(t) \Big|_{t=a}^{t=x} - \int_{a}^{x} f(t)g(t) dt \\ &+ \left[h(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) h(b) \right] \right] \cdot f(t) \Big|_{t=x}^{t=b} - \int_{x}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt \\ &= \left[(1 - \alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] [h(b) - h(a)] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt \\ \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \qquad = \left[(1 - \alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt - \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known [1, p. 159] that if $\mu, \nu : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ are such that μ is continuous on [a, b] and ν is of bounded variation on [a, b], then $\int_a^b \mu(t) d\nu(t)$ exists and [1, p. 177]

(2.3)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} \mu(t) \, d\nu(t) \right| \leq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |\mu(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b} (\nu) \, .$$

Now, using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \sup_{t \in [a,b]} |s(t)| \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} dt = 0$$

Since $h(t) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(b)\right]$ is increasing on the interval [a, x), $h(t) - \left[\frac{\alpha}{2}h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(b)\right]$ is increasing on the interval [x, b], $\max\{\sigma, \rho\} = \frac{\sigma + \rho}{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\sigma - \rho|$ for $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right| \le \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \left(h(b) - h(a) \right) = \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt,$$

we have

(2.5)

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t\in[a,b]} &|s\left(t\right)| \\ &= \max\left\{h(x) - \left[\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(a) + \frac{\alpha}{2}h(b)\right], \\ & \left[\frac{\alpha}{2}h(a) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)h(b)\right] - h(x), \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[h(b) - h(a)\right]\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\left[h(b) - h(a)\right] + \left|h(x) - \frac{h\left(a\right) + h\left(b\right)}{2}\right|, \frac{\alpha}{2}\left[h(b) - h(a)\right]\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\frac{1 - \alpha}{2}\int_{a}^{b}g\left(t\right)dt + \left|h(x) - \frac{h\left(a\right) + h\left(b\right)}{2}\right|, \frac{\alpha}{2}\int_{a}^{b}g\left(t\right)dt\right\} \\ &= K. \end{split}$$

Thus, by (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain (2.1).

Suppose $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$. We assume that the inequality (2.1) holds with a constant $C_1 > 0$, i.e.,

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right|$$
$$\leq \left[C_{1} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) .$$

Let

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{as } t \in [a,b] \setminus \left\{ h^{-1} \left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right) \right\} \\\\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{as } t = h^{-1} \left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2} \right) \end{cases}$$

Then f is with bounded variation on [a, b], and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt = 0, \qquad \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) = 1$$

and for $x = h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2}\right)$, we get in (2.1)

$$\frac{1-\alpha}{2} \le C_1$$

which implies the constant $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$ is the best possible. Suppose $\frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1$. We assume that the inequality (2.1) holds with a constant $C_2 > 0$, i.e.,

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right|$$
$$\leq C_{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f).$$

Let

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{as } t \in [a, b) \\ 1 & \text{as } t = b \end{cases}$$

Then f is with bounded variation on [a, b] and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt = 0, \qquad \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) = 1.$$

we get in (2.1)

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \le C_2$$

which implies the constant $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ is the best possible.

This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Under the conditions of Theorem 5, we have the following remarks and corollaries.

Remark 1.

- (1) If we choose $\alpha = 0$ and $g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$ on [a, b], then the inequality (2.1) reduces to (1.2).
- (2) If we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, $g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$ on [a, b] and $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, then the inequality (2.1) reduces to (1.5).
- (3) If we choose $\alpha = 0$, then for all $x \in [a, b]$ the inequality (2.1) reduces to the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - f(x) \cdot \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \\ & \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt + \left| h(x) - \frac{h(a) + h(b)}{2} \right| \right] \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f), \end{aligned}$$

which is the "weighted Ostrowski" inequality.

(4) If we choose $\alpha = 1$, then the inequality (2.1) reduces to the following inequality

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

which is the "weighted trapezoid" inequality.

(5) If we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ and $x = h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2}\right)$, then the inequality (2.1) reduces to the following inequality

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[\frac{2}{3}f(x) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \le \frac{1}{3} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

which is the "weighted Simpson" inequality.

Corollary 1. Let $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, $f \in C^{(1)}[a, b]$. Then we have the inequality

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \le K \cdot \|f'\|_{1}$$

for all $x \in [c, d]$, where $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the L_1 -norm, namely

$$||f'||_1 := \int_a^b |f'(t)| dt.$$

Corollary 2. Let $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitzian mapping with the constant L > 0. Then we have the inequality

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \le KL(b-a)$$

for all $x \in [c,d]$.

Corollary 3. Let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic mapping. Then we have the inequality

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(x) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \leq K \cdot |f(b) - f(a)|$$

 $\mathbf{6}$

for all $x \in [c, d]$.

Remark 2. The following inequality is well-known in the literature as the Bullen's inequality [11, p. 141]:

(2.6)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt \leq \frac{b-a}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \leq (b-a) \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2},$$

where $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex. Using the above results and (2.1), letting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $g(t) \equiv 1$ on [a,b], h(t) = t on [a,b], $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$, we obtain the following error bound of the first inequality in (2.6),

$$0 \le \frac{b-a}{2} \left[f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) + \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t)dt \le \frac{1}{4} \left(b-a\right) \bigvee_{a}^{b} \left(f\right),$$

provided that f is of bounded variation on [a, b].

3. Applications for Quadrature Formula

Throughout this section, let a < b in \mathbb{R} and let α , g and h be defined as in Theorem 5. Let $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $I_n: a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = b$ be a partition of [a,b] and $c_i = h^{-1} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(x_i) + \frac{\alpha}{2} h(x_{i+1}) \right), d_i = h^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} h(x_i) + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) h(x_{i+1}) \right)$ and $\zeta_i \in [c_i, d_i]$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$. Put $L_i := h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_i) = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} g(t) dt$ and define the sum

$$A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[(1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i$$

and

(3.1)

$$R_O(f,g,h,I_n,\zeta) = \int_a^b f(t)g(t)dt - A_O(f,g,h,I_n,\zeta) dt$$

We have the following approximation of the integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt$.

Theorem 6. Let f be defined as in Theorem 5 and let

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)dt = A_O\left(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta\right) + R_O\left(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta\right),$$

then, the remainder term $R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)$ satisfies the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} |R_O(f,g,h,I_n,\zeta)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K_i \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (f) \\ &\leq M_1 \cdot \bigvee_a^b (f) \\ &\leq M_2 \cdot \bigvee_a^b (f) \\ &\leq M_3 \cdot \bigvee_a^b (f) \,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_{i} := \begin{cases} \frac{1-\alpha}{2}L_{i} + \left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}L_{i} + \left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|, \frac{\alpha}{2}L_{i}\right\}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}L_{i}, & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ (i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1), \\ M_{1} := \begin{cases} \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1}\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}L_{i} + \left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|\right\}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1}\left\{\max\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right) + \left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|\right|, \frac{\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right)\right\}\right\}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ \\ \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1}\left\{\max\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right) + \left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|\right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right) + \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1}\left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|, & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \\ M_{2} := \begin{cases} \max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1}\left\{\max\left\{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right) + \left|h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i})+h(x_{i+1})}{2}\right|\right|, & \frac{\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right)\right\}\right\}, \\ \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < \alpha < \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right), & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\nu(L) := \max \{L_i | i = 0, 1, ..., n-1\}$. In the third inequality of (3.1), the constant $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ as $0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2}$ and the constant $\frac{1-\alpha}{2}$ as $\frac{2}{3} \le \alpha \le 1$ are the best possible.

Proof. Apply Theorem 5 on the intervals $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ to get

$$\left| \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(\zeta_{i}) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_{i} \right| \leq K_{i} \bigvee_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}} (f) ,$$

for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$.

Using this and the generalized triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left| \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(t)g(t) \, dt - \left[(1-\alpha) f(\zeta_i) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_i) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K_i \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (f) \\ &\leq \left(\max_{i=0,1,\dots,n-1} K_i \right) \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigvee_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (f) = M_1 \cdot \bigvee_a^b (f) \leq M_2 \cdot \bigvee_a^b (f) \end{aligned}$$

and the first inequality, second inequality and third inequality in (3.1) are proved. For the fourth inequality in (3.1), we observe that

$$\left| h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i}) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{2} \cdot L_{i} \qquad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1);$$

and then

$$\max_{\substack{=0,1,\dots,n-1\\ \nu_{i}}} \left| h\left(\zeta_{i}\right) - \frac{h(x_{i}) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right| \le \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right)$$

and $M_2 \leq M_3$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Under the conditions of Theorem 6, we have the following remarks and corollaries.

Remark 3.

- (1) If we choose $\alpha = 0$ and $g(t) \equiv 1, h(t) = t$ on [a, b] and $\xi_i = \zeta_i$ (i = 0, 1, ..., n 1), then the inequality (3.1) reduces to (1.3).
- (2) If we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, $g(t) \equiv 1$, h(t) = t on [a,b] and $\zeta_i = \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$, then the third inequality in (3.1) reduces to (1.6).

Corollary 4. In Theorem 6, let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitzian mapping with the constant L > 0 and choose $\zeta_i := h^{-1} \left(\frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right)$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$. Then

$$M_{1} := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}\nu\left(L\right), & \text{if } 0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}\nu\left(L\right), & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and we have the formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)dt = A_{O}(f,g,h,I_{n},\zeta) + R_{O}(f,g,h,I_{n},\zeta)$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[(1-\alpha)f(\zeta_{i}) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_{i} + R_{O}(f,g,h,I_{n},\zeta)$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimation

$$|R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \le M_1 L (b - a).$$

Corollary 5. In Theorem 6, let $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a monotonic mapping and let ζ_i (i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1) and M_1 be defined as in Corollary 4. Then the remainder $R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)$ satisfies the estimation

$$|R_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)| \le M_1 \cdot |f(b) - f(a)|.$$

The case of equidistant divisions is embodied in the following corollary and remark:

Corollary 6. Suppose that

$$x_i := h^{-1} \left[h(a) + \frac{i(h(b) - h(a))}{n} \right] \qquad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$L_{i} := h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_{i})$$

= $\frac{h(b) - h(a)}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt$ (*i* = 0, 1, ..., *n* - 1)

In Theorem 6, let $\zeta_i = h^{-1} \left(\frac{h(x_i) + h(x_{i+1})}{2} \right)$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$, then $M_1 := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2n} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt, & \text{if } 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2n} \int_a^b g(t) \, dt, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$ and we have the formula

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)dt = A_{O}(f,g,h,I_{n},\zeta) + R_{O}(f,g,h,I_{n},\zeta)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{a}^{b} g(t)dt \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[(1-\alpha)f(\zeta_{i}) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(x_{i}) + f(x_{i+1})}{2} \right] L_{i}$$
$$+ R_{O}(f,g,h,I_{n},\zeta)$$

and the remainder satisfies the estimate

$$|R_O(f,g,h,I_n,\zeta)| \le M_1 \cdot \bigvee_a^b (f) \,.$$

Remark 4. If we want to approximate the integral $\int_a^b f(t) g(t) dt$ by $A_O(f, g, h, I_n, \zeta)$ with an accuracy less than $\varepsilon > 0$, we need at least $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ points for the partition I_n , where

$$K_{\varepsilon} := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2\varepsilon} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t\right) dt, & \text{if } 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha}{2\varepsilon} \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t\right) dt, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}, \qquad n_{\varepsilon} := \left[K_{\varepsilon} \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) \right] + 1$$

and [r] denotes the Gaussian integer of $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

4. Some Inequalities for Random Variables

Throughout this section, let 0 < a < b in \mathbb{R} , $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and let X be a continuous random variable having the continuous probability density function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is positive on (a, b) and assume that the r-moment

$$E_r(X) := \int_a^b t^r g(t) \, dt,$$

is finite.

Theorem 7. The inequality

(4.1)
$$\left| E_r(X) - \left[(1-\alpha) \cdot \left(h^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right)^r + \alpha \cdot \frac{a^r + b^r}{2} \right] \right| \le \overline{K} \cdot |b^r - a^r|$$

holds where $h(t) = \int_{a}^{t} g(x) dx$ $(t \in [a, b])$ and

$$\overline{K} := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$$

.

Proof. If we put $f(t) = t^r$, and $x = h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2}\right)$ in Corollary 3, then

$$\overline{K} = K = \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}, & \text{if } 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \\ \frac{\alpha}{2}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$$

and we obtain the inequality

(4.2)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) dt - \left[(1-\alpha) \cdot f\left(h^{-1}\left(\frac{h(a)+h(b)}{2}\right)\right) + \alpha \cdot \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \right] \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt \right| \leq \overline{K} \cdot |f(b)-f(a)|.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g\left(t\right)dt &= E_{r}\left(X\right), \quad h\left(a\right) = 0, \quad h\left(b\right) = \int_{a}^{b} g\left(t\right)dt = 1, \\ \frac{f\left(a\right) + f\left(b\right)}{2} &= \frac{a^{r} + b^{r}}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left|f\left(b\right) - f\left(a\right)\right| = \left|b^{r} - a^{r}\right|, \end{aligned}$$

(4.1) follows from (4.2). \blacksquare

If we choose r = 1 in Theorem 7, then we have the following remark: **Remark 5.** If E(X) is the expectation of the random variable X, then

$$\left| E\left(X\right) - \left[(1-\alpha) \cdot h^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \alpha \cdot \frac{a+b}{2} \right] \right| \le \overline{K} \cdot (b-a).$$

5. An Inequality for the Beta Mapping

The following mapping is well-known in the literature as the *Beta mapping*:

$$\beta(p,q) := \int_0^1 t^{p-1} (1-t)^{q-1} dt, \quad p > 0, \ q > 0.$$

Theorem 8. Let p > 0, q > 1 and n be a positive integer. Then the inequality

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \beta\left(p,q\right) - \frac{1}{np} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(\left[1 - \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{q-1} + \left[1 - \left(\frac{i+1}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{q-1} \right) + (1-\alpha) \left[1 - \left(\frac{2i+1}{2n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^{q-1} \right\} \right| \leq \overline{M}$$

holds where

$$\overline{M} := \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2np}, & \text{if } 0 \le \alpha \le \frac{1}{2} \\\\ \frac{\alpha}{2np}, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1 \end{cases}$$

.

Proof. If we put a = 0, b = 1, $f(t) = (1-t)^{q-1}$, $g(t) = t^{p-1}$ and $h(t) = \frac{t^p}{p}$ $(t \in [0,1])$ in Corollary 6, then, $\int_a^b g(t)dt = \frac{1}{p}$, $h^{-1}(t) = (pt)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ $(t \in [0,1])$, $x_i = (\frac{i}{n})^{\frac{1}{p}}$ (i = 0, 1, ..., n), $\zeta_i = \frac{2i+1}{2np}$ (i = 0, 1, ..., n-1), $\bigvee_a^b(f) = 1$ and $\overline{M} = M_1$, so that the inequality (5.1) holds.

References

- T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, Second Edition, Addision-Wesley Publishing Company, 1975.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications, *Math. Inequal. Applics.*, 4(1) (2001), 59–66.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for Lipschitzian mappings and applications, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math., 46(1) (2001), 33–40.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, On Simpson's quadrature formula and applications, *Mathematica*, 43(66)(2) (2001), 185–194.
- S. S. Dragomir, On Simpson's quadrature formula for Lipschitzian mappings and applications, Soochow J. Math., 25(2) (1999), 175–180.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, On Simpson's quadrature formula for mappings of bounded variation and applications, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, 30(1) (1999), 53–58.
- [7] S. S. Dragomir, R. P. Agarwal and P. Cerone, On Simpson's inequality and applications, J. Inequal. Appl., 5(6) (2000), 533–579.
- [8] S. S. Dragomir, A generalization of Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications in numerical integration, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.*, accepted.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, A new generalization of Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings whose derivatives are bounded and applications in numerical integration and for special means, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **11**(1) (1998), 105–109.
- [10] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić and A. M. Fink, Inequalities for Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [11] J. E. Pečarić, F. Proschan, Y. L. Tong, Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications, Academic Press Inc., 1992.
- [12] J. Pečarić and S. Varošanec, A note on Simpson's inequality for Lipschitzian functions, Soochow J. Math., 27(1) (2001), 53–57.
- [13] J. Pečarić and S. Varošanec, A note on Simpson's inequality for functions of bounded variation, Tamkang J. of Math., 31(3) (2000), 239–242.
- [14] N. Ujević, New bounds for Simpson's inequality, Tamkang J. of Math., **33**(2) (2002), 129–138.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALETHEIA UNIVERSITY, TAMSUI, TAIWAN 25103 E-mail address: kltseng@email.au.edu.tw

CHINA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, NANKANG, TAIPEI, TAIWAN11522

School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Victoria University of Technology, PO Box 14428, MCMC, Victoria 8001, Australia.

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au *URL*: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/dragomir