

The Beesack-Darst-Pollard Inequalities and Approximation of the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

This is the Published version of the following publication

Barnett, Neil S and Dragomir, Sever S (2007) The Beesack-Darst-Pollard Inequalities and Approximation of the Riemann-Stieltjes Integral. Research report collection, 10 (2).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17534/

THE BEESACK-DARST-POLLARD INEQUALITIES AND APPROXIMATIONS OF THE RIEMANN-STIELTJES INTEGRAL

N.S. BARNETT AND S.S. DRAGOMIR

ABSTRACT. Utilising the Beesack version of the Darst-Pollard inequality, some error bounds for approximating the Riemann-Stieltjes integral are given. Some applications related to the trapezoid and mid-point quadrature rules are provided.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, R. Darst and H. Pollard [3] obtained the following inequality for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral:

(1.1)
$$\int_{a}^{b} h(t) dg(t) \leq \inf_{t \in [a,b]} h(t) [g(b) - g(a)] + S(g;a,b) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (h)$$

where $\bigvee_{a}^{b}(h)$ denotes the total variation of h on [a, b] and

(1.2)
$$S(g; a, b) := \sup_{a \le \alpha < \beta \le b} [g(\beta) - g(\alpha)]$$

under the assumption that h is of bounded variation and g is continuous on [a, b].

As P.R. Beesack observed in [1] that, by replacing g with (-g) in (1.1), we can also obtain the "dual" Darst-Pollard inequality

(1.3)
$$\int_{a}^{b} h(t) dg(t) \ge \inf_{t \in [a,b]} h(t) [g(b) - g(a)] + s(g;a,b) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (h)$$

where

(1.4)
$$s(g; a, b) := \inf_{a \le \alpha < \beta \le b} \left[g(\beta) - g(\alpha) \right].$$

Beesack also showed that the inequalities (1.1) and (1.4) remain valid even if g is *not* continuous on [a, b], provided only that g is bounded on [a, b] and $\int_a^b h(t) dg(t)$ exists.

In a recent paper [6], in order to approximate the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$ by the quadrature rule

$$\frac{m+M}{2}\left[u\left(b\right)-u\left(a\right)\right]$$

Date: March 2, 2007.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15, 41A55.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Riemann integral, Trapezoid rule, Midpoint rule.

where $m \leq f(t) \leq M$ for each $t \in [a, b]$, the second author defined the *error* functional

$$\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b) := \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \, du(t) - \frac{m+M}{2} \left[u(b) - u(a) \right]$$

and showed that

$$(1.5) \qquad |\Delta\left(f, u, m, M; a, b\right)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left(M - m\right) \bigvee_{a}^{b} \left(u\right) \\ & \text{if } u \text{ is of bounded variation;} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(M - m\right) L \left(b - a\right) \\ & \text{if } f \text{ is } L - \text{Lipschitzian;} \\ \int_{a}^{b} \left|f\left(t\right) - \frac{m + M}{2}\right| du\left(t\right) \\ & \text{if } u \text{ is monotonic nondecreasing.} \end{cases}$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible in both inequalities. The last inequality in (1.5) is also sharp.

In the same paper [6], in order to approximate the integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$ in terms of the generalised trapezoid rule

$$\left[u\left(b\right)-\frac{n+N}{2}\right]f\left(b\right)+\left[\frac{n+N}{2}-u\left(a\right)\right]f\left(a\right),$$

the second author introduced the error functional

$$\nabla(f, u, n, N; a, b) := \left[u(b) - \frac{n+N}{2}\right] f(b) + \left[\frac{n+N}{2} - u(a)\right] f(a) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \, du(t) \, ,$$

where $-\infty < n \le u(t) \le N < \infty$ for $t \in [a, b]$ and showed that

(1.6)
$$|\nabla (f, u, n, N; a, b)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (N - n) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) \\ & \text{if } f \text{ is of bounded variation;} \\ \frac{1}{2} (N - n) K (b - a) \\ & \text{if } f \text{ is } K - \text{Lipschitzian;} \\ \int_{a}^{b} |u(t) - \frac{n+N}{2}| df(t) \\ & \text{if } f \text{ is monotonic nondecreasing.} \end{cases}$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible in (1.6) and the last inequality is sharp.

In this paper, by use of the Beesack-Darst-Pollard inequalities (1.1) and (1.3), we provide other error bounds for the functionals Δ and ∇ . Applications for the generalised trapezoid and Ostrowski inequalities are also given.

2. The Results

We can state the following result concerning the error bounds for the error functional $\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b)$.

Theorem 1. Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of bounded variation and assume that

(2.1)
$$-\infty < m = \inf_{t \in [a,b]} f(t), \quad \sup_{t \in [a,b]} f(t) = M < \infty.$$

If u is bounded and the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$ exists, then

$$(2.2) |\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b)| \leq \min\left\{\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \cdot S(u; a, b) - \frac{1}{2}(M - m)[u(b) - u(a)] + \frac{1}{2}(M - m)[u(b) - u(a)] - \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) \cdot s(u; a, b)\right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)[S(u; a, b) - s(u; a, b)].$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible and the inequalities are sharp. *Proof.* If we apply the inequality (1.3) for h(t) = f(t), g(t) = u(t), we can write,

(2.3)
$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \, du(t) \ge m \left[u(b) - u(a) \right] + s(u;a,b) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) \, .$$

If we apply the same inequality (1.3) for h(t) = M - f(t) and g(t) = u(t), we get

(2.4)
$$M[u(b) - u(a)] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t) \ge s(u; a, b) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$$

since, obviously, $\bigvee_{a}^{b} (M - f) = \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f)$. The inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) give the following double inequality that is of interest:

(2.5)
$$M[u(b) - u(a)] - s(u; a, b) \ge \int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t) \\\ge m[u(b) - u(a)] + s(u; a, b).$$

Now, if we subtract from all terms the same quantity

$$\frac{M+m}{2}\left[u\left(b\right)-u\left(a\right)\right]$$

we get

(2.6)
$$\frac{1}{2} (M - m) [u (b) - u (a)] - s (u; a, b)$$
$$\geq \int_{a}^{b} f (t) du (t) - \frac{M + m}{2} [u (b) - u (a)]$$
$$\geq -\frac{1}{2} (M - m) [u (b) - u (a)] + s (u; a, b)$$

which is equivalent to

(2.7)
$$|\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b)| \le \frac{1}{2} (M - m) [u(b) - u(a)] - s(u; a, b).$$

On utilising (1.1) we can also prove in a similar way that

(2.8)
$$|\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b)| \le \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) S(u; a, b) - \frac{1}{2} (M - m) [u(b) - u(a)].$$

These show that the first inequality in (2.2) is valid. The second part is obvious since for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\min(\alpha, \beta) \leq \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}$.

For the sharpness of the inequality, we assume that $u(t) = t, t \in [a, b]$. Since for this selection of u we have

$$S(u; a, b) = b - a$$
 and $s(u; a, b) = 0$,

hence the inequality (2.3) becomes

(2.9)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t) - \frac{M+m}{2} (b-a) \right|$$
$$\leq \min \left\{ (b-a) \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) - \frac{1}{2} (M-m) (b-a), \frac{1}{2} (M-m) (b-a) \right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) (b-a).$$

If we consider the function $f_0: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_0(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [a, b]; \\ k & \text{if } t = b, \end{cases}$$

where k > 0, then obviously m = 0, M = k, $\int_a^b f_0(t) dt = 0$, $\bigvee_a^b (f_0) = k$ and in all parts of (2.9) we get the same quantity $\frac{1}{2}k(b-a)$.

The following corollary that provides error bounds for the error functional $\nabla(f, u, n, N; a, b)$ can be stated as well.

Corollary 1. Let $u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of bounded variation such that there exist the constants n, N with

(2.10)
$$-\infty < n = \inf_{t \in [a,b]} u(t), \quad \sup_{t \in [a,b]} u(t) = N < \infty.$$

If f is bounded and the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$ exists, then

$$(2.11) \quad |\nabla(f, u, n, N; a, b)| \le \min\left\{\bigvee_{a}^{b}(u) S(f; a, b) - \frac{1}{2}(N - n) [f(b) - f(a)] - \frac{1}{2}(N - n) [f(b) - f(a)] - \bigvee_{a}^{b}(u) s(f; a, b)\right\}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2}\bigvee_{a}^{b}(u) [S(f; a, b) - s(f; a, b)].$$

The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible and the inequalities are sharp.

Proof. Follows by Theorem 1 on utilising the identity

$$f(b)\left[u(b) - \frac{n+N}{2}\right] + f(a)\left[\frac{n+N}{2} - u(a)\right] - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) du(t)$$

= $\int_{a}^{b}\left[u(t) - \frac{n+N}{2}\right] df(t)$
= $\int_{a}^{b} u(t) df(t) - \frac{n+N}{2} [f(b) - f(a)].$

4

The details are omitted.

The following particular cases of Theorem 1 may be of interest in applications.

Corollary 2. Assume that $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is as in Theorem 1. If $u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is of the $r - H - H\ddot{o}lder$ type, i.e.,

(2.12)
$$|u(t) - u(s)| \le H |t - s|^r \text{ for any } t, s \in [a, b],$$

where H > 0 and $s \in (0, 1]$ are given, then

(2.13)
$$|\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b)| \leq \min\left\{H(b-a)^{r}\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) - \frac{1}{2}(M-m)[u(b) - u(a)], \frac{1}{2}(M-m)[u(b) - u(a)] + H(b-a)^{r}\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)\right\} \leq H(b-a)^{r}\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f).$$

Proof. For any $a \leq \alpha < \beta \leq b$ we have, by (2.12), that

$$-H(\beta - \alpha)^{r} \le u(\beta) - u(s) \le H(\beta - \alpha)^{r}.$$

This implies that

$$S(u; a, b) \le \sup_{a \le \alpha < \beta \le b} \left[H \left(\beta - \alpha \right)^r \right] = H \left(b - a \right)^r$$

and

$$s(u; a, b) \ge \inf_{a \le \alpha < \beta \le b} \left[-H \left(\beta - \alpha \right)^r \right] = -\sup_{a \le \alpha < \beta \le b} \left[H \left(\beta - \alpha \right)^r \right]$$
$$= -H \left(b - a \right)^r.$$

Utilising (2.2) we deduce the desired inequality (2.13).

Corollary 3. Assume that f is as in Theorem 1. If $u : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is monotonic nondecreasing and such that the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b f(t) du(t)$ exists, then

(2.14)
$$|\Delta(f, u, m, M; a, b)| \le \min\left\{\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f) - \frac{1}{2}(M - m), \frac{1}{2}(M - m)\right\} [u(b) - u(a)] \le \frac{1}{2}[u(b) - u(a)]\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f).$$

The proof is obvious by Theorem 1 on taking into account that for the monotonic nondecreasing function $u:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ we have:

$$S(u;a,b) = u(b) - u(a)$$

and

$$s\left(u;a,b\right) = 0.$$

3. Applications

The following inequality obtained in [2] is known as the trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation:

(3.1)
$$\left|\frac{1}{b-a}\int_{a}^{b}f(t)\,dt - \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\bigvee_{a}^{b}(f)\,dt$$

where the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is best possible in the sense that it cannot be replaced by a smaller constant.

The following trapezoid inequality for the larger class of Riemann integrable functions can be stated:

Proposition 1. Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable on [a, b], then:

(3.2)
$$\left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right|$$

$$\leq \min \left\{ S(f; a, b) - \frac{1}{2} [f(b) - f(a)], \frac{1}{2} [f(b) - f(a)] - s(f; a, b) \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} [S(f; a, b) - s(f; a, b)].$$

Proof. We use the following identity holding for the Riemann integrable function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$:

(3.3)
$$f(b)(b-x) + f(a)(x-a) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt = \int_{a}^{b} (t-x) df(t)$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$, see [2].

We observe that $\sup_{t \in [a,b]} (t-x) = b - a$, $\inf_{t \in [a,b]} (t-x) = a - x$, for $x \in [a,b]$ and, applying Theorem 1 for the Stieltjes integral $\int_a^b (t-x) df(t)$, $x \in [a,b]$, we obtain:

(3.4)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} (t-x) df(t) - \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - x\right) [f(b) - f(a)] \right|$$
$$\leq \min\left\{ \bigvee_{a}^{b} (\cdot - x) S(f; a, b) - \frac{1}{2} (b-a) [f(b) - f(a)], \frac{1}{2} (b-a) [f(b) - f(a)] - \bigvee_{a}^{b} (\cdot - x) s(f; a, b) \right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_{a}^{b} (\cdot - x) [S(f; a, b) - s(f; a, b)].$$

On utilising the identity (3.3) and the fact that $\bigvee_a^b (\cdot - x) = b - a$, we deduce from (3.4) the desired result (3.2).

In [5], S.S. Dragomir obtained the following Ostrowski type inequality for functions of bounded variation:

(3.5)
$$\left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right|}{b-a} \right] \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f),$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$. The constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is the best possible in (3.5).

The best inequality one can obtain from (3.5) is the *mid-point inequality*:

(3.6)
$$\left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_{a}^{b} (f) ,$$

for which $\frac{1}{2}$ is also the best possible constant.

In order to extend (3.5) to the larger class of Riemann integrable functions, we can state:

Proposition 2. Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be Riemann integrable on [a, b], then:

$$(3.7) \qquad \left| f(x) - \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right| \\ \leq \min \left\{ S(f; a, b) - \frac{1}{2} \left[f(b) - f(a) \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[f(b) - f(a) \right] - s(f; a, b) \right\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[S(f; a, b) - s(f; a, b) \right].$$

Proof. We use the Montgomery type identity [5] for the Riemann integrable function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\int_{a}^{b} p(t, x) df(t) = f(x)(b-a) - \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$, where the kernel $p : [a, b]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$p\left(t,x\right) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} t-a & \text{if } t \in \left[a,x\right], \\ \\ t-b & \text{if } t \in \left(x,b\right]. \end{array} \right.$$

For any fixed $x \in [a, b]$, the function $p(\cdot, x)$ is of bounded variation, and

$$\bigvee_{a}^{b} p(\cdot, x) = \bigvee_{a}^{x} p(\cdot, x) + \bigvee_{x}^{b} p(\cdot, x)$$
$$= x - a + b - x = b - a.$$

Also, observe that

$$\sup_{t \in [a,b]} p(t,x) = x - a \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{t \in [a,b]} p(t,x) = x - b$$

for any $x \in [a, b]$.

Now, applying Theorem 1 for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_{a}^{b} p(t, x) df(t)$, we can write that

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{a}^{b} p(t,x) \, df(t) - \left(x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \cdot [f(b) - f(a)] \right| \\ &\leq (b-a) \min \left\{ S(f;a,b) - \frac{1}{2} \left[f(b) - f(a) \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[f(b) - f(a) \right] - s(f;a,b) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[S(f;a,b) - s(f;a,b) \right], \end{split}$$

which is clearly equivalent to (3.2).

The following mid-point inequality holds.

Corollary 4. Let f be as in Proposition 2, then

(3.8)
$$\left| f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(t) dt \right|$$
$$\leq \min \left\{ S\left(f; a, b\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left[f\left(b\right) - f\left(a\right)\right], \frac{1}{2} \left[f\left(b\right) - f\left(a\right)\right] - s\left(f; a, b\right) \right\}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[S\left(f; a, b\right) - s\left(f; a, b\right)\right].$$

References

- P.R. BEESACK, Bounds for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals, Rocky Mt. J. Math., 6(1) (1975), 75-78.
- [2] P. CERONE, S.S. DRAGOMIR and C.E.M. PEARCE, A generalised trapezoid inequality for functions of bounded variation, *Turkish J. Math.*, 24(2) (2000), 147-163.
- [3] R. DARST and H. POLLARD, An inequality for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25(4) (1970), 912-913.
- [4] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Ostrowski's inequality for monotonous mappings and applications, J. KSIAM (Korea), 3(1) (1999), 127-135.
- [5] S.S. DRAGOMIR, On the Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications, *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, 4(1) (2001), 59-66.
- [6] S.S. DRAGOMIR, Approximating the Stieltjes integral of bounded functions and applications for three point quadrature rules, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll., 10 (2007), Supplement, Article 6 [ONLINE http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10(E).html].
- [7] Z. LIU, Refinement of an inequality of Grüss type for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Soochow J. Math., 30(4) (2004), 483-489.

School of Computer Science and Mathematics, Victoria University, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, VIC 8001, Australia.

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{neil.barnett} @vu.edu.au \\$

E-mail address: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au *URL*: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/dragomir