

Refinements, Extensions and Generalizations of the Second Kershaw's Double Inequality

This is the Published version of the following publication

Qi, Feng (2007) Refinements, Extensions and Generalizations of the Second Kershaw's Double Inequality. Research report collection, 10 (2).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17538/

REFINEMENTS, EXTENSIONS AND GENERALIZATIONS OF THE SECOND KERSHAW'S DOUBLE INEQUALITY

FENG QI

ABSTRACT. In the paper, the second Kershaw's double inequality concerning ratio of two gamma functions is refined, extended and generalized elegantly.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [13], the following two double inequalities were established:

$$\left(x+\frac{s}{2}\right)^{1-s} < \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+s)} < \left(x-\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{s+\frac{1}{4}}\right)^{1-s},\tag{1}$$

$$\exp\left[(1-s)\psi\left(x+\sqrt{s}\right)\right] < \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+s)} < \exp\left[(1-s)\psi\left(x+\frac{s+1}{2}\right)\right], \qquad (2)$$

where 0 < s < 1, $x \ge 1$, Γ is the classical Euler's gamma function, and ψ is the logarithmic derivative of Γ . They are called the first and second Kershaw's double inequality respectively.

In [2, Theorem 2.7], the double inequality (2) was generalized to

$$-\left|\psi^{(n+1)}(L_{-(n+2)}(x,y))\right| < \frac{\left|\psi^{(n)}(x)\right| - \left|\psi^{(n)}(y)\right|}{x-y} < -\left|\psi^{(n+1)}(A(x,y))\right|, \quad (3)$$

where x and y are positive numbers, n is a positive integer, and $L_p(a, b)$ defined in [4, p. 385] by

$$L_{p}(a,b) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{b^{p+1} - a^{p+1}}{(p+1)(b-a)}\right]^{1/p}, & p \neq -1, 0\\ \frac{b-a}{\ln b - \ln a}, & p = -1\\ \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{b^{b}}{a^{a}}\right)^{1/(b-a)}, & p = 0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

stands for the generalized logarithmic mean of order $p \in \mathbb{R}$ for positive numbers a and b with $a \neq b$. Note that $L_{-2}(a, b) = \sqrt{ab} = G(a, b), L_{-1}(a, b) = L(a, b), L_0(a, b) = I(a, b)$ and $L_1(a, b) = \frac{a+b}{2} = A(a, b)$ are called respectively the geometric mean, the logarithmic mean, the identric or exponential mean and the arithmetic

Date: This manuscript was completed on 28 January 2007.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A48, 26A51, 26D20, 33B10, 33B15, 65R10.

Key words and phrases. Kershaw's double inequality, refinement, extension, generalization, generalized logarithmic mean, ratio of two gamma functions, psi function, polygamma function, inequality, monotonicity.

This paper was typeset using $\mathcal{A}_{M}S$ -IAT_EX.

mean. It is known [4, pp. 386–387, Theorem 3] that the generalized logarithmic mean $L_p(a, b)$ of order p is increasing in p for $a \neq b$. Therefore, inequalities

$$G(a,b) < L(a,b) < I(a,b) < A(a,b)$$
 (5)

are valid for a > 0 and b > 0 with $a \neq b$. See also [26, 27].

There have been a lot of literature about these two double inequalities and their history, background, refinements, extensions, generalizations and applications. For more information, please refer to [5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 30, 32, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 49] and the references therein.

In [23], the following generalization, extension and refinement of the second Kershaw's double inequality (2) were obtained: For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \neq t$, the function

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma(x+s)}{\Gamma(x+t)}\right]^{1/(s-t)} \frac{1}{e^{\psi(L(s,t;x))}} \tag{6}$$

is decreasing in $x > -\min\{s,t\}$. In particular, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > -\min\{s,t\}$ with $s \neq t$,

$$e^{\psi(L(s,t;x))} < \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+s)}{\Gamma(x+t)}\right]^{1/(s-t)} < e^{\psi(A(s,t;x))},$$
(7)

where L(s,t;x) = L(x+s,x+t) and A(s,t;x) = A(x+s,x+t) for $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x > -\min\{s,t\}$ with $s \neq t$.

In [41], the right hand side inequalities in (3) and (7) were refined as follows: For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \neq t$ and $x > -\min\{s, t\}$, inequalities

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma(x+s)}{\Gamma(x+t)}\right]^{1/(s-t)} \le e^{\psi(I(s,t;x))}$$
(8)

and

$$\frac{(-1)^n \left[\psi^{(n-1)}(x+s) - \psi^{(n-1)}(x+t)\right]}{s-t} \le (-1)^n \psi^{(n)}(I(s,t;x)),\tag{9}$$

hold, where I(s,t;x) = I(x+s,x+t) and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These inequalities (8) and (9) refine, extend and generalize the right hand side inequality in the second Kershaw's double inequality (2).

The aim of this paper is to generalize, extend and refine the right hand side inequalities in (2), (3) and (7). Meanwhile, the left hand side inequalities in (3) and (7) and inequalities (8) and (9) are recovered.

The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1. For real numbers s > 0 and t > 0 with $s \neq t$ and an integer $i \ge 0$, inequality

$$(-1)^{i}\psi^{(i)}(L_{p}(s,t)) \leq \frac{(-1)^{i}}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \psi^{(i)}(u) \,\mathrm{d}u \leq (-1)^{i}\psi^{(i)}(L_{q}(s,t))$$
(10)

holds if $p \leq -i - 1$ and $q \geq -i$.

Theorem 2. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \neq t$ and $x > -\min\{s, t\}$, inequality

$$e^{\psi(L_p(s,t;x))} < \left[\frac{\Gamma(x+s)}{\Gamma(x+t)}\right]^{1/(s-t)} < e^{\psi(L_q(s,t;x))}$$

$$\tag{11}$$

holds if $p \leq -1$ and $q \geq 0$.

Theorem 3. For $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $s \neq t$ and $x > -\min\{s, t\}$, the function

$$(-1)^{i} \left[\psi^{(i)}(L_{p}(s,t;x)) - \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \psi^{(i)}(x+u) \,\mathrm{d}u \right]$$
(12)

is increasing in x if either $p \leq -(i+2)$ or p = -(i+1) and decreasing in x if $p \geq 1$, where $i \geq 0$ is an integer.

Remark 1. It is conjectured that the function (12) is decreasing (even completely monotonic) in x if $p \ge -i$ and that its negative is decreasing (even completely monotonic) in x if $p \le -(i+1)$.

2. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. It is apparent that the function $f(x) = (-1)^i \psi^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \ge 0$ is strictly increasing, the function $g(x) = x^p$ for $p \ne 0$ is monotonic in $(0, \infty)$, and the inverse function of g is $g^{-1}(x) = x^{1/p}$. Straightforward computation gives

$$g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t-s}\int_{s}^{t}g(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right) = L_{p}(s,t),$$
(13)

$$h(x) \triangleq f \circ g^{-1}(x) = (-1)^{i} \psi^{(i)} \left(x^{1/p} \right)$$
(14)

and

$$h''(x) = (-1)^{i} \frac{x^{1/p-2}}{p^{2}} \left[x^{1/p} \psi^{(i+2)} \left(x^{1/p} \right) - (p-1) \psi^{(i+1)} \left(x^{1/p} \right) \right]$$

= $(-1)^{i} \frac{x^{1/p-2}}{p^{2}} \left[u \psi^{(i+2)}(u) - (p-1) \psi^{(i+1)}(u) \right]$
= $\frac{x^{1/p-2}}{p^{2}} \left[(1-p) \left| \psi^{(i+1)}(u) \right| - u \left| \psi^{(i+2)}(u) \right| \right],$

where $u = x^{1/p}$. When $p \ge 1$, we have $h''(x) \le 0$. It was proved in [42] that the function $x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| - \alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x)|$ is completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $0 \le \alpha \le i \in \mathbb{N}$ and that the function $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)|$ is completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \ge i + 1$. A function f is called completely monotonic on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I and $0 \le (-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) < \infty$ for all $k \ge 0$ on I, see [3, 25, 50]. This means that if $1 - p \le i + 1$ for $i \ge 0$ then $h''(x) \le 0$ and that if $1 - p \ge i + 2$ for $i \ge 0$ then $h''(x) \ge 0$. In conclusion, for $i \ge 0$, if $p \ge -i$ then $h''(x) \le 0$, if $p \le -i - 1$ then $h''(x) \ge 0$. It was obtained in [6] (see also [4, p. 274, Lemma 2]) that if g is strictly monotonic, f is strictly increasing and $f \circ g^{-1}$ is convex (or concave, respectively) on an interval I, then

$$g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t-s}\int_{s}^{t}g(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right) \leq f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{t-s}\int_{s}^{t}f(u)\,\mathrm{d}u\right) \tag{15}$$

holds (or reverses, respectively) for $s, t \in I$. Therefore, when $p \leq -i - 1$ for $i \geq 0$, inequality

$$(-1)^{i}\psi^{(i)}(L_{p}(s,t)) \leq \frac{(-1)^{i}}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \psi^{(i)}(u) \,\mathrm{d}u$$
(16)

holds for positive numbers s and t; when $p \ge -i$ for $i \ge 0$, inequality (16) reverses. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. Proof of Theorem 2. Taking logarithm on all sides of (11) yields

$$\psi(L_p(s,t;x)) < \frac{\ln \Gamma(x+s) - \ln \Gamma(x+t)}{s-t} = \frac{1}{s-t} \int_t^s \psi(x+u) \, \mathrm{d}u < \psi(L_q(s,t;x))$$

which is the same as inequality (10) for the case of i = 0. The proof is complete. \Box *Proof of Theorem 3.* Easy calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial L_p(s,t;x)}{\partial x} = \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_p(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1}.$$
(17)

Since the generalized logarithmic mean $L_p(a, b)$ is strictly increasing in p, hence $\frac{\partial L_p(s,t;x)}{\partial x} \stackrel{\geq}{=} 1$ if $p \stackrel{\leq}{=} 1$. It is clear that the derivative of the function defined by (12) equals

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{p,i,s,t}(x) &= (-1)^{i} \left[\psi^{(i+1)}(L_{p}(s,t;x)) \frac{\partial L_{p}(s,t;x)}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \psi^{(i+1)}(x+u) \, \mathrm{d}u \right] \\ &= \left| \psi^{(i+1)}(L_{p}(s,t;x)) \right| \frac{\partial L_{p}(s,t;x)}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \left| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+u) \right| \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &\geqq \left| \psi^{(i+1)}(L_{p}(s,t;x)) \right| - \frac{1}{t-s} \int_{s}^{t} \left| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+u) \right| \, \mathrm{d}u \end{aligned}$$

if $p \leq 1$. Combining this with Theorem 1 yields that if $p \leq 1$ and $p \leq -i - 2$ the derivative of (12) is non-negative and that if $p \geq 1$ and $p \geq -i - 1$ the derivative of (12) is non-positive. Consequently, when $p \leq -i - 2$ the function (12) is increasing, when $p \geq 1$ the function (12) is decreasing in $x > -\min\{s, t\}$.

In [1, p. 260, 6.4.10], the following formula is listed: For $z \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\psi^{(n)}(z) = (-1)^{n+1} n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z+k)^{n+1}}.$$
(18)

Further considering (17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{p,i,s,t}(x) &= (i+1)! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_p(s,t;x)} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{\left[L_p(s,t;x)+k \right]^{i+2}} - \frac{1}{t-s} \int_s^t \frac{1}{(x+u+k)^{i+2}} \, \mathrm{d}u \right\} \\ &= (i+1)! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_p(s,t;x)} \right]^{p-1} \frac{1}{\left[L_p(s,t;x)+k \right]^{i+2}} - \frac{1}{\left[L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k) \right]^{i+2}} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(i+1)!}{\left[L_p(s,t;x)+k \right]^{i+2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_p(s,t;x)} \right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_p(s,t;x)+k}{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)} \right]^{i+2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (17) implies that the function $L_p(s,t;x+k) - k$ is increasing in k for p < 1. Thus, inequality

$$L_p(s,t;x) \le L_p(s,t;x+k) - k < A(s,t;x)$$
(19)

holds for p < 1 and $k \ge 0$. This means that

$$\frac{L_p(s,t;x)+k}{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)} \le \frac{L_p(s,t;x+k)}{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)}$$
(20)

and

REFINEMENTS AND GENERALIZATIONS OF KERSHAW'S DOUBLE INEQUALITY 5

$$\left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{p}(s,t;x)+k}{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{i+2} \\
\geq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{p}(s,t;x+k)}{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{i+2} \\
= \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)}{L_{p}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\geq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)}{L_{-(i+1)}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\geq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x+k)}{L_{-(i+1)}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\geq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x)}{L_{-(i+1)}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x)}{L_{-(i+1)}(s,t;x+k)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x)}{L_{-(i+1)}(s,t;x)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{-(i+2)}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{-(i+2)} \\
\leq \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} - \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x)}{L_{p}(s,t;x)}\right]^{p-1} + \left[\frac{L_{p-1}(s,t;x$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{for } -i-2 0, \end{array}$

where E(p,q;a,b) is defined for $p,q\in\mathbb{R}$ and a,b>0 by

$$\begin{split} E(p,q;a,b) &= \left[\frac{p}{q} \cdot \frac{b^q - a^q}{b^p - a^p}\right]^{1/(q-p)}, \qquad pq(p-q)(a-b) \neq 0; \\ E(p,0;a,b) &= \left[\frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{b^p - a^p}{\ln b - \ln a}\right]^{1/p}, \qquad p(a-b) \neq 0; \\ E(p,p;a,b) &= \frac{1}{e^{1/p}} \left[\frac{a^{a^p}}{b^{b^p}}\right]^{1/(a^p - b^p)}, \qquad p(a-b) \neq 0; \\ E(0,0;a,b) &= \sqrt{ab}, \qquad a \neq b; \\ E(p,q;a,a) &= a, \qquad a = b. \end{split}$$

It is remarked that the monotonicity, Schur-convexity, logarithmic convexity, comparison, generalizations, applications and history of the extended mean values E(p,q;a,b) have been investigated in many articles such as [8, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 33, 37, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 51, 52, 53] and the references listed in [4, pp. 393–399]. As a result, the function $Q_{-(i+1),i,s,t}(x)$ is non-negative, and then the function (12) for p = -(i+1) is increasing in x. The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, 9th printing, Washington, 1970.
- [2] N. Batir, On some properties of digamma and polygamma functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007), no. 1, 452-465; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006. 05.065.

6

- [3] C. Berg, Integral representation of some functions related to the gamma function, Mediterr. J. Math. 1 (2004), no. 4, 433–439.
- [4] P. S. Bullen, Handbook of Means and Their Inequalities, Mathematics and its Applications, Volume 560, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003. 1, 1, 2, 2
- [5] J. Bustoz and M. E. H. Ismail, On gamma function inequalities, Math. Comp. 47 (1986), 659–667. 1
- [6] G. T. Cargo, Comparable means and generalized convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 12 (1965), 387–392. 2
- [7] Ch.-P. Chen, Monotonicity and convexity for the gamma function, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (2005), no. 4, Art. 100; Available online at http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php? sid=574. 1
- [8] Ch.-P. Chen and F. Qi, An alternative proof of monotonicity for the extended mean values, Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1 (2004), no. 2, Art. 11; Available online at http://ajmaa. org/cgi-bin/paper.pl?string=v1n2/V112P11.tex. 2
- [9] N. Elezović, C. Giordano and J. Pečarić, The best bounds in Gautschi's inequality, Math. Inequal. Appl. 3 (2000), 239–252.
- [10] T. Erber, The gamma function inequalities of Gurland and Gautschi, Scand. Actuar. J. 1960 (1961), 27–28. 1
- [11] W. Gautschi, Some elementary inequalities relating to the gamma and incomplete gamma function, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1959), no. 1, 77–81.
- [12] J. D. Kečlić and P. M. Vasić, Some inequalities for the gamma function, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.) 11 (1971), 107–114. 1
- [13] D. Kershaw, Some extensions of W. Gautschi's inequalities for the gamma function, Math. Comp. 41 (1983), no. 164, 607–611.
- [14] A. Laforgia, Further inequalities for the gamma function, Math. Comp. 42 (1984), no. 166, 597–600. 1
- [15] E. B. Leach and M. C. Sholander, Extended mean values, Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), 84–90. 2
- [16] E. B. Leach and M. C. Sholander, *Extended mean values II*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **92** (1983), 207–223. 2
- [17] Z. Páles, Inequalities for differences of powers, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 131 (1988), 271-281. 2
- [18] J. E. Pečarić, F. Qi, V. Šimić and S.-L. Xu, Refinements and extensions of an inequality, III, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 227 (1998), no. 2, 439–448. 2
- [19] F. Qi, A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and application to the best bounds in the second Gautschi-Kershaw's inequality, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 11; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n4.html. 1
- [20] F. Qi, A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and the best bounds in the first Kershaw's double inequality, J. Comput. Appl. Math. (2007), in press; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2006.09.005. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 2, Art. 16; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n2.html. 1
- [21] F. Qi, A completely monotonic function involving divided difference of psi function and an equivalent inequality involving sum, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 5; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n4.html. 1
- [22] F. Qi, A completely monotonic function involving divided differences of psi and polygamma functions and an application, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 8; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n4.html. 1
- [23] F. Qi, A new lower bound in the second Kershaw's double inequality, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 10 (2007), no. 1, Art. ??; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n1.html. 1
- [24] F. Qi, A note on Schur-convexity of extended mean values, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 35 (2005), no. 5, 1787–1793. 2
- [25] F. Qi, Certain logarithmically N-alternating monotonic functions involving gamma and q-gamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 3, Art. 5, 413-422; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html. 2
- [26] F. Qi, Generalized abstracted mean values, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 1 (2000), no. 1, Art. 4; Available online at http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=97. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 2 (1999), no. 5, Art. 4, 633-642; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n5. html. 1, 2

- [27] F. Qi, Generalized weighted mean values with two parameters, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 454 (1998), no. 1978, 2723–2732. 1, 2
- [28] F. Qi, Logarithmic convexity of extended mean values, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), no. 6, 1787–1796. 2
- [29] F. Qi, Logarithmic convexity of the extended mean values, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 2 (1999), no. 5, Art. 5, 643-652; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n5.html. 2
- [30] F. Qi, Monotonicity results and inequalities for the gamma and incomplete gamma functions, Math. Inequal. Appl. 5 (2002), no. 1, 61-67. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 2 (1999), no. 7, Art. 7, 1027-1034; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v2n7.html. 1
- [31] F. Qi, Schur-convexity of the extended mean values, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 4 (2001), no. 4, Art. 4, 529–533; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v4n4.html. 2
- [32] F. Qi, The best bounds in Kershaw's inequality and two completely monotonic functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 2; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu. au/v9n4.html. 1
- [33] F. Qi, The extended mean values: definition, properties, monotonicities, comparison, convexities, generalizations, and applications, Cubo Mat. Educ. 5 (2003), no. 3, 63-90. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 5 (2002), no. 1, Art. 5, 57-80; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v5n1.html. 2
- [34] F. Qi, Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), Suppl., Art. 6; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9(E).html. 1
- [35] F. Qi, J. Cao, and D.-W. Niu, Four logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma function and originating from problems of traffic flow, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 3, Art 9; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n3.html. 1
- [36] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, A class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions and the best bounds in the second Kershaw's double inequality, J. Comput. Appl. Math. (2007), in press; Available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2006.12.022. 1
- [37] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, On Steffensen pairs, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 271 (2002), no. 2, 534-541.
 RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 3 (2000), no. 3, Art. 10, 425-430; Available online at http://rgmia.
 vu.edu.au/v3n3.html. 2
- [38] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), in press. 1
- [39] F. Qi and B.-N. Guo, Wendel-Gautschi-Kershaw's inequalities and sufficient and necessary conditions that a class of functions involving ratio of gamma functions are logarithmically completely monotonic, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 10 (2007), no. 1, Art 2; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n1.html. 1
- [40] F. Qi, B.-N. Guo, and Ch.-P. Chen, The best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw inequalities, Math. Inequal. Appl. 9 (2006), no. 3, 427–436. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 2, Art. 17, 311–320; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n2.html. 1
- [41] F. Qi and S. Guo, New upper bounds in the second Kershaw's double inequality and its generalizations, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 10 (2007), no. ?, Art. ?; Available online at http: //rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n?.html. 1, 1
- [42] F. Qi, S. Guo and B.-N. Guo, Note on a class of completely monotonic functions involving the polygamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 10 (2006), no. 1, Art. 5; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v10n1.html. 2
- [43] F. Qi and Q.-M. Luo, A simple proof of monotonicity for extended mean values, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 224 (1998), no. 2, 356–359. 2
- [44] F. Qi, J. Sándor, S. S. Dragomir, and A. Sofo, Notes on the Schur-convexity of the extended mean values, Taiwanese J. Math. 9 (2005), no. 3, 411–420. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 5 (2002), no. 1, Art. 3, 19–27; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v5n1.html. 2
- [45] F. Qi and S.-L. Xu, The function $(b^x a^x)/x$: Inequalities and properties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 11, 3355–3359. 2
- [46] F. Qi, S.-L. Xu, and L. Debnath, A new proof of monotonicity for extended mean values, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 22 (1999), no. 2, 415–420. 2
- [47] F. Qi and Sh.-Q. Zhang, Note on monotonicity of generalized weighted mean values, R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 455 (1999), no. 1989, 3259–3260.

- [48] H.-N. Shi, Sh.-H. Wu, and F. Qi, An alternative note on the Schur-convexity of the extended mean values, Math. Inequal. Appl. 9 (2006), no. 2, 219–224. Bùděngshì Yānjiū Tōngxùn (Communications in Studies on Inequalities) 12 (2005), no. 3, 251–257. 2
- [49] J. G. Wendel, Note on the gamma function, Amer. Math. Monthly 55 (1948), no. 9, 563–564.
- [50] D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946. 2
- [51] A. Witkowski, Convexity of weighted extended mean values, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 7 (2004), no. 2, Art. 10; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v7n2.html. 2
- [52] A. Witkowski, Weighted extended mean values, Colloq. Math. 100 (2004), no. 1, 111-117. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 7 (2004), no. 1, Art. 6; Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/ v7n1.html. 2
- [53] S.-L. Zhang, Ch.-P. Chen and F. Qi, Another proof of monotonicity for the extended mean values, Tamkang J. Math. 37 (2006), no. 3, 207–209. 2

(F. Qi) Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

 $E-mail\ address: \texttt{qifeng618@mail.com}, \ \texttt{qifeng618@msn.com}, \ \texttt{qifeng61$

URL: http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/qi.html