

Generalizations of an Integral Inequality

This is the Published version of the following publication

Ngô, Quốc Anh and Qi, Feng (2008) Generalizations of an Integral Inequality. Research report collection, 11 (3).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/17624/

RGMIA Research Report Collection 11(3), 2008 http://www.staff.vu.edu.au/rgmia/issues.asp

GENERALIZATIONS OF AN INTEGRAL INEQUALITY

QUỐC ANH NGÔ AND FENG QI

ABSTRACT. In this short paper, an integral inequality posed in the 11th International Mathematical Competition for University Students, Skopje, Macedonia, 25–26 July 2004 is generalized.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Problem 2 of the 11th International Mathematical Competition for University Students, Skopje, Macedonia, 25–26 July 2004 (see [1]) reads as follows.

Proposition 1 ([1]). Let $f, g : [a, b] \to [0, \infty)$ be two continuous and non-decreasing functions such that

$$\int_{a}^{x} \sqrt{f(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \le \int_{a}^{x} \sqrt{g(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{1}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and

$$\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{f(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{g(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$
⁽²⁾

Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1+f(t)} \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1+g(t)} \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(3)

It is clear that, considering (2), inequality (1) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{x}^{b} \sqrt{f(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{x}^{b} \sqrt{g(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{4}$$

If replacing f(x) by $\sqrt{f(x)}$ and g(x) by $\sqrt{g(x)}$, then Proposition 1 can be simplified into the following Proposition 2.

Proposition 2. Let $f, g : [a, b] \to [0, \infty)$ be two continuous and non-decreasing functions such that

$$\int_{x}^{b} f(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{x}^{b} g(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{5}$$

for $x \in [a, b]$ and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \,\mathrm{d}t = \int_{a}^{b} g(t) \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{6}$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Integral inequality, generalization, the second mean value theorem for integrals.

Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1 + f^{2}(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{1 + g^{2}(t)} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$
(7)

If denoting

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) = \int_{a}^{x} g(t) dt, \tag{8}$$

then $F(x) \leq G(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and G(x) is a convex function on [a, b]. On the other hand, since F(a) = G(a) and F(b) = G(b), then inequality (7) is valid apparently, because the length of the curve y = F(x) is not less than that of the curve y = G(x). This explains the geometric meaning of Proposition 2 and gives a solution to Proposition 1.

The main aim of this paper is to generalize Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 above.

Our main results are included in a couple of theorems below.

Theorem 1. Let $f : [a,b] \to [0,\infty)$ be a continuous function and $g : [a,b] \to [0,\infty)$ a continuous and non-decreasing function satisfying (5) and (6). Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} h(f(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{a}^{b} h(g(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t \tag{9}$$

validates for every convex function h on $[0,\infty)$.

J

Theorem 2. Let $f : [a,b] \to [0,\infty)$ be a continuous function and $g : [a,b] \to [0,\infty)$ a continuous and non-increasing function satisfying (6) and the reverse of (5). Then inequality (9) holds true for every convex function h on $[0,\infty)$.

Remark 1. It is easy to see that the function $\sqrt{1+x^2}$ is convex on [a, b]. Hence, inequality (7) can be deduced easily from (9).

2. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

In order to prove our theorems, the well known second mean value theorem for integrals will be available.

Lemma 1 ([2, p. 35]). Let f(x) be bounded and monotonic and g(x) integrable on [a,b]. Then there exists some $\xi \in [a,b]$ such that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = f(a) \int_{a}^{\xi} g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x + f(b) \int_{\xi}^{b} g(x) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
(10)

Proof of Theorem 1. Denote

$$\phi(x) = -\int_x^b f(t) \,\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x) = -\int_x^b g(t) \,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{11}$$

Since h is convex, then

$$h(t) \ge h(s) + (t-s)h'(s)$$

for $a \leq s, t \leq b$, therefore,

$$h(\phi'(t)) \ge h(\varphi'(t)) + [\phi'(t) - \varphi'(t)]h'(\varphi'(t))$$
(12)

which gives

$$\int_{a}^{b} h(\phi'(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge \int_{a}^{b} h(\varphi'(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{a}^{b} [\phi'(t) - \varphi'(t)] h'(\varphi'(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$
(13)

Now it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int_{a}^{b} [\phi'(t) - \varphi'(t)] h'(\varphi'(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t \ge 0.$$

$$(14)$$

Since h(t) is convex, the function h'(t) is non-decreasing; Since g(t) is non-decreasing, the function $\varphi'(t)$ is also non-decreasing. Thus, the composite function $h'(\varphi'(t))$ is non-decreasing with respect to t. Using Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{split} &\int_{a}^{b} [\phi'(t) - \varphi'(t)] h'(\varphi'(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= h'(\varphi'(a)) \int_{a}^{\xi} [\phi'(t) - \varphi'(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t + h'(\varphi'(b)) \int_{\xi}^{b} [\phi'(t) - \varphi'(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= h'(g(a)) [\phi(\xi) - \phi(a) - \varphi(\xi) + \varphi(a)] + h'(g(b)) [\phi(b) - \phi(\xi) - \varphi(b) + \varphi(\xi)] \\ &= [\phi(\xi) - \varphi(\xi)] [h'(g(a)) - h'(g(b))] \\ &\geq 0, \end{split}$$

where $\xi \in [a, b]$, since $\phi(\xi) \leq \varphi(\xi)$ and $h'(g(a)) \leq h'(g(b))$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Denote

$$\psi(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(x) = \int_{a}^{x} g(t) dt \tag{15}$$

for $a \leq x \leq b$. Along with the proof of Theorem 1, it is obtained that, in order to show Theorem 2, it suffices to prove

$$\int_{a}^{b} [\psi'(t) - \theta'(t)] [-h'(\theta'(t))] \,\mathrm{d}t \le 0.$$
(16)

Since h is convex, then -h' is non-increasing; Since g is non-increasing, then θ' is also non-increasing. Consequently, the composite function $-h'(\theta'(t))$ is non-increasing with respect to t. Utilizing Lemma 1 leads to

$$\begin{split} \int_{a}^{b} [\psi'(t) - \theta'(t)] h'(\theta'(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= h'(\theta'(a)) \int_{a}^{\xi} [\psi'(t) - \theta'(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t + h'(\theta'(b)) \int_{\xi}^{b} [\psi'(t) - \theta'(t)] \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= [\psi(\xi) - \theta(\xi)] [h'(g(a)) - h'(g(b))] \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

where $\xi \in [a, b]$, since $\psi(\xi) \ge \theta(\xi)$ and $h'(g(a)) \ge h'(g(b))$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

References

- The 11th International Mathematical Competition for University Students, Skopje, Macedonia, 25-26 July 2004, Solutions for problems on Day 2, Available online at http: //www.imc-math.org.uk/index.php?year=2004 or http://www.imc-math.org.uk/imc2004/ day2_solutions.pdf.
- [2] M. J. Cloud and B. C. Drachman, Inequalities With Applications to Engineering, Springer, 1998.

(Q. A. Ngô) Department of Mathematics, Mechanics and Informatics, College of Science, Việt Nam National University, Hà Nội, Việt Nam *E-mail address*: bookworm_vn@yahoo.com

(F. Qi) Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:$ qifeng618@
gmail.com, qifeng618@hotmail.com, qifeng618@qq.com $URL:\ http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/qi.html$

4