



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

Approximation of the Lambert W Function

This is the Published version of the following publication

Hassani, Mehdi (2005) Approximation of the Lambert W Function. Research report collection, 8 (4).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/18113/>

APPROXIMATION OF THE LAMBERT W FUNCTION

MEHDI HASSANI

ABSTRACT. In this short note, we approximate the Lambert W function $W(x)$, defined by $W(x)e^{W(x)} = x$ for $x \geq -e^{-1}$. We show that

$$\log x - \log \log x < W(x) < \log x,$$

which the left hand side holds true for $x > 41.19$ and the right hand side holds true for $x > e$.

Definition. The Lambert W function $W(x)$, defined by $W(x)e^{W(x)} = x$ for $x \geq -e^{-1}$. For $-e^{-1} \leq x < 0$, there are two possible values of $W(x)$, which we takes such values that aren't less than -1 . The history of the function goes back to J. H. Lambert (1728-1777). For more detailed definition of W as a complex variable function, historical background and various applications of it in Mathematics and Physics, see [1].

Some Elementary Properties. It is easy to see that $W(-e^{-1}) = -1$, $W(0) = 0$ and $W(e) = 1$. Also, for $x > 0$, since $W(x)e^{W(x)} = x > 0$ and $e^{W(x)} > 0$, we have $W(x) > 0$. About derivation, an easy calculation yields that

$$\frac{d}{dx} W(x) = \frac{W(x)}{x(1+W(x))}.$$

So, $x \frac{d}{dx} W(x) > 0$ holds true for $x > 0$ and consequently $W(x)$ is strictly increasing for $x > 0$ (and also for $-e^{-1} \leq x \leq 0$, but not by this reason). Specially, it yields that $W(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$.

Upper Bound. Let $U(x) = \log x - W(x)$. Easily, $\frac{d}{dx} U(x) = \frac{1}{x(W(x)+1)} > 0$ for $x > 0$. Thus, $U(x) > U(e) = \log e - W(e) = 0$ holds for $x > e$. Therefore, we have:

$$W(x) < \log x \quad (x > e).$$

Lower Bound. Let $L(x) = W(x) - \log x + \log \log x$. Similar to obtained upper bound, for $x > 41.19$ we have $1 + W(x) < \log x$. So, for $x > 41.19$, we obtain $\frac{d}{dx} L(x) = \frac{1+W(x)-\log x}{x \log x(W(x)+1)} < 0$. Thus, $L(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} L(x) = 0$. Therefore, we have:

$$\log x - \log \log x < W(x) \quad (x > 41.19).$$

Expansion and More Careful Bounds. The following expansion holds true both at 0 and infinity:

$$W(x) = \log x - \log \log x + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_{km} \frac{(\log \log x)^m}{(\log x)^{k+m}},$$

where $c_{km} = \frac{(-1)^k}{m!} S[k+m, k+1]$, where $S[k+m, k+1]$ is Stirling cycle number [1]. The series in above expansion being to be absolutely convergent and it can be

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33E20.

Key words and phrases. Special function.

rearranged into the form:

$$W(x) = L_1 - L_2 + \frac{L_2}{L_1} + \frac{L_2(L_2 - 2)}{2L_1^2} + \frac{L_2(2L_2^2 - 9L_2 + 6)}{6L_1^3} + O\left(\left(\frac{L_2}{L_1}\right)^4\right),$$

where $L_1 = \log x$ and $L_2 = \log \log x$. Considering this expansion, it is possible to state and proof some more careful bounds, which isn't the aim of this work and we leave it as an open work.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.M. Corless, G.H. Gonnet, D.E.G. Hare, D.J. Jeffrey, D.E. Knuth, On the Lambert W function, *Adv. Comput. Math.* 5 (1996), no. 4, 329-359.
- [2] Robert M. Corless, David J. Jeffrey, Donald E. Knuth, A sequence of series for the Lambert W function, *Proceedings of the 1997 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (Kihei, HI)*, 197-204 (electronic), ACM, New York, 1997.
- [3] Eric W. Weisstein. "Lambert W-Function." From MathWorld—A Wolfram Web Resource. <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/LambertW-Function.html>

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED, STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 45195-1159, ZANJAN, IRAN.
E-mail address: mmhassany@srttu.edu