

Note on a Class of Completely Monotonic Functions Involving the Polygamma Functions

This is the Published version of the following publication

Qi, Feng, Guo, Senlin and Guo, Bai-Ni (2007) Note on a Class of Completely Monotonic Functions Involving the Polygamma Functions. Research report collection, 10 (1).

The publisher's official version can be found at

Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/18283/

NOTE ON A CLASS OF COMPLETELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING THE POLYGAMMA FUNCTIONS

FENG QI, SENLIN GUO, AND BAI-NI GUO

ABSTRACT. In this article, some monotonicity of the function $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)|$ and the complete monotonicity of the functions $\frac{\alpha}{\pi} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|$ and $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|$ in $(0,\infty)$ for $i\in\mathbb{N}, \alpha>0$ and $\beta\geq$ 0 are investigated, where $\psi^{(i)}(x)$ is the well known polygamma functions. Moreover, lower and upper bounds for infinite series whose coefficients involves the Bernoulli numbers are established.

1. Introduction

Recall [7, 11, 14] that a function f is called completely monotonic on an interval I if f has derivatives of all orders on I and $0 \leq (-1)^k f^{(k)}(x) < \infty$ for all $k \geq 0$ on I. The well known Bernstein's Theorem [14, p. 161] states that $f \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xs} d\mu(s)$, where μ is a nonnegative measure on $[0, \infty)$ such that the integral converges for all x > 0. The class of completely monotonic functions on I is denoted by $\mathcal{C}[I]$. For more information on $\mathcal{C}[I]$, please refer to [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14] and the references therein.

By using the convolution theorem of Laplace transforms, the increasingly monotonicity of $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x+1)|$ is presented in [9, 10]: The function $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x+1)|$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$, where $\psi(x)$, the logarithmic derivative of the classical Euler's gamma function $\Gamma(x)$, is called psi function and $\psi^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are called polygamma functions. In [3], in order to show the subadditive property of the function $\psi^{(i)}(a+e^x)$, it was proved that the function $x\psi'(x+a)$ is strictly increasing on $[0,\infty)$ for $a\geq 1$. In [2], it was also showed, using the convolution theorem of Laplace transforms, that the function $x^c|\psi^{(k)}(x)|$ for $k \geq 1$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq k$ and is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ if and only if $c \geq k+1$. In [4], the monotonicity of the more general function $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)|$ was studied without using the convolution theorem of Laplace transforms and, except the above results, the following conclusions are obtained: For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$,

- (1) the function $x^{\alpha} | \psi^{(i)}(x+\beta) |$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ if $(\alpha,\beta) \in \{\alpha \geq i, \frac{1}{2} \leq \beta < 1\} \cup \{\alpha \geq i, \beta \geq \frac{\alpha i + 1}{2}\} \cup \{\alpha \geq i + 1, \beta \leq \frac{\alpha i + 1}{2}\}$ and only if
- (2) $\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i+1$; (3) $|\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $0 < \alpha \leq i$;

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33B15, 26A48; Secondary 26A51.

Key words and phrases. monotonicity, completely monotonic function, polygamma function, bounds, infinite series, Bernoulli numbers.

This paper was typeset using AMS-IATEX.

- $(4) \ \ \tfrac{\alpha}{x} \big| \psi^{(i)}(x+1) \big| \big| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+1) \big| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)] \text{ if and only if } \alpha \geq i;$
- (5) $\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if $(\alpha,\beta) \in \{\alpha \geq i+1,\beta \leq i+1,\beta$ $\frac{\alpha-i+1}{2} \Big\} \cup \Big\{ i \le \alpha \le \frac{(i+1)(i+4\beta-2)}{i+2\beta}, \frac{1}{2} \le \beta < 1 \Big\} \cup \Big\{ i \le \alpha \le i+1, \beta \ge \frac{\alpha-i+1}{2} \Big\}$ and only if $\alpha \ge i$;
- (6) $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)] \text{ if } (\alpha,\beta) \in \{i \leq \alpha \leq i+1, \beta \geq \frac{\alpha-i+1}{2}\} \cup \{\alpha \geq i+1, \beta \leq \frac{\alpha-i+1}{2}\} \text{ and only if } \alpha \geq i.$

The main purpose of this paper is to research further the monotonic properties of the function $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)|$ and to obtain some more better conclusions than those mentioned above.

Our main results are the following four theorems.

Theorem 1. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

- (1) The function $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x)|$ in $(0,\infty)$ is strictly increasing if and only if $\alpha >$ i+1 and strictly decreasing if and only if $0 \le \alpha \le i$.
- (2) For $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, the function $x^{\alpha} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)|$ is strictly increasing in $[0,\infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i$.
- (3) Let $\delta:(0,\infty)\to(0,\frac{1}{2})$ be defined by

$$\delta(t) = \frac{e^t(t-1) + 1}{(e^t - 1)^2} \tag{1}$$

for $t \in (0,\infty)$ and $\delta^{-1}: \left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right) \to (0,\infty)$ stand for the inverse function of δ . If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$\alpha \ge i + 1 - \left[\frac{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} + \beta - 1 \right] \delta^{-1}(\beta), \tag{2}$$

then the function $x^{\alpha}|\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)|$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$.

Remark 1. It is noted that

$$0 < \left[\frac{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} + \beta - 1 \right] \delta^{-1}(\beta) < 1$$

for $\beta \in (0,1)$, since $\lim_{\beta \to 0+} [\beta \delta^{-1}(\beta)] = 0$.

Theorem 2. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

- (1) $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x)| x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i+1$.
- (2) $x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $0 \le \alpha \le i$. (3) If $\beta \ge \frac{1}{2}$, then $\alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if
- $(4) \ \ \text{If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (2) holds true, then $\alpha \big| \psi^{(i)}(x+\beta) \big| x \big| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta) \big| = 1$.}$ $|\beta| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)].$

Theorem 3. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$.

- (1) $\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $\alpha \geq i+1$.
- (2) $|\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| \frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $0 \le \alpha \le i$. (3) If $\beta \ge \frac{1}{2}$, then $\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if
- (4) If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (2) holds true, then $\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|$ β) $\in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$.

Theorem 4. Let $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and δ^{-1} be the inverse function of δ defined by (1). Then the following inequalities holds for $t \in (0, \infty)$:

$$\frac{1}{2} > \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!} > 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{t}{2} > \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{2k+2} \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} > \max\left\{0, \frac{t}{2} - 1\right\},\tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{2k+2} \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} > \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta\right) t + \left[\frac{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} - \beta + 1\right] \delta^{-1}(\beta) - 1, \quad (5)$$

where B_k stands for the Bernoulli numbers defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k x^k}{k!}.$$
 (6)

2. Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, the following lemmas are necessary.

Lemma 1 ([1, 12, 13]). The polygamma functions $\psi^{(k)}(x)$ are expressed for x > 0 and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$\psi^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^k e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} \, dt.$$
 (7)

For x > 0 and r > 0,

$$\frac{1}{x^r} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^\infty t^{r-1} e^{-xt} \, \mathrm{d}t.$$
 (8)

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and x > 0,

$$\psi^{(i-1)}(x+1) = \psi^{(i-1)}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{i-1}(i-1)!}{x^i}.$$
(9)

Lemma 2 ([5, 6]). Let f(x) be defined in an infinite interval I. If $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$ and $f(x) - f(x + \varepsilon) \geq 0$ for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, then $f(x) \geq 0$ in I.

3. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Direct calculation and rearrangement yields

$$\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} = \alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|
= (-1)^{i+1} [\alpha \psi^{(i)}(x+\beta) + x \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)] \quad (10)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} = 0. \tag{11}$$

Straightforwardly computing in virtue of formulas (9), (8) and (7) gives

$$\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} \\
= (-1)^{i+1} \left\{ \alpha \left[\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta) - \psi^{(i)}(x+\beta+1) \right] \right. \\
+ x \left[\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta) - \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta+1) \right] - \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta+1) \right\} \\
= \frac{i!\alpha}{(x+\beta)^{i+1}} - \frac{(i+1)!x}{(x+\beta)^{i+2}} - \frac{(i+1)!}{(x+\beta)^{i+2}} + (-1)^{i+2} \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta) \\
= (-1)^{i+2} \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta) + \frac{i!(\alpha-i-1)}{(x+\beta)^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!(\beta-1)}{(x+\beta)^{i+2}} \\
= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{t}{1-e^{-t}} + (\beta-1)t + \alpha - i - 1 \right] t^i e^{-(x+\beta)t} \, \mathrm{d}t \\
\triangleq \int_0^\infty h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) t^i e^{-(x+\beta)t} \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{12}$$

If $\beta=0$, the function $h'_{i,\alpha,0}(t)=-\frac{1+(t-1)e^t}{(e^t-1)^2}<0$ and $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0+}h_{i,\alpha,0}(t)=\alpha-i$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}h_{i,\alpha,0}(t)=\alpha-i-1$. For $\alpha\geq i+1$, the functions $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t)$ and $\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}}-\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}}$ are positive in $(0,\infty)$. Combining this with (11) and considering Lemma 2, it is obtained that the functions $\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}}$ and $g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ are positive in $(0,\infty)$, which means that the function $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ for $\alpha\geq i+1$. Similarly, for $\alpha\leq i$, the function $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,\infty)$.

If $\beta>0$, then the function $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)=\frac{e^t(e^t-t-1)}{(e^t-1)^2}+\beta-1\triangleq\lambda(t)+\beta-1$ with $\lambda'(t)=\frac{e^t[e^t(t-2)+t+2]}{(e^t-1)^3}\triangleq\frac{\lambda_1(t)}{(e^t-1)^3}$ and $\lambda'_1(t)=1+(t-1)e^t>0$ in $(0,\infty)$, and the function $\lambda_1(t)$ is increasing with $\lambda_1(0)=0$, thus $\lambda_1(t)>0$ and $\lambda'(t)>0$. Hence, the functions $\lambda(t)$ and $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ are strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0+}h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)=\beta-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)=\beta$. Thus, if $\beta\geq\frac{1}{2}$, the function $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive and the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0+}h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)=\alpha-i$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)=\infty$. Accordingly, for $\alpha\geq i$ and $\beta\geq\frac{1}{2}$, the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)>0$ in $(0,\infty)$. Therefore, for $\alpha\geq i$ and $\beta\geq\frac{1}{2}$, by the same argument as above, it is deduced that the function $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$.

If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, since the function $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ with $\lim_{t\to 0+} h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) = \beta - \frac{1}{2} < 0$ and $\lim_{t\to \infty} h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) = \beta > 0$, then the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ attains its unique minimum at some point $t_0 \in (0,\infty)$. It is easy to see that the function $\delta(t)$ defined by (1) satisfies $\delta(t_0) = \beta$ for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, equals $-[\lambda(t) + 1]$ and is positive and strictly decreasing with $\lim_{t\to 0+} \delta(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lim_{t\to \infty} \delta(t) = 0$. Therefore, the unique minimum of $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ equals

$$\frac{\delta^{-1}(\beta)e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)}}{e^{\delta^{-1}(\beta)} - 1} + (\beta - 1)\delta^{-1}(\beta) + \alpha - i - 1,$$

where δ^{-1} is the inverse function of δ defined by (1) and is strictly decreasing in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ with $\lim_{s\to 0+} \delta^{-1}(s) = \infty$ and $\lim_{s\to \frac{1}{2}-} \delta^{-1}(s) = 0$. As a result, while inequality (2) holds for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive in $(0,\infty)$. Consequently, if $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (2) is valid, then the function $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$. The sufficiency is proved.

Now we are in a position to prove the necessity. In [8], it was proved that $\psi(x) - \ln x + \frac{\alpha}{x} \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\ln x - \frac{\alpha}{x} - \psi(x) \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. From this it is deduced that inequality

$$\frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} + \frac{k!}{2x^{k+1}} < (-1)^{k+1}\psi^{(k)}(x) = \left|\psi^{(k)}(x)\right| < \frac{(k-1)!}{x^k} + \frac{k!}{x^{k+1}} \tag{13}$$

holds in $(0, \infty)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,\infty)$, then

$$x^{i+1-\alpha}g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) = \alpha x^{i} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| < 0.$$
(14)

Applying (13) into (14) leads to

$$\begin{split} 0 &\geq \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{i+1-\alpha} g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) \\ &\geq \alpha \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{i} \bigg[\frac{(i-1)!}{x^{i}} + \frac{i!}{2x^{i+1}} \bigg] - \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{i+1} \bigg[\frac{i!}{x^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!}{x^{i+2}} \bigg] \\ &= (i-1)! (\alpha-i), \end{split}$$

which means $\alpha < i$.

If $g_{i,\alpha,0}(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$, then

$$x^{i+2-\alpha}g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) = \alpha x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i)}(x)| - x^{i+2} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x)| > 0$$
 (15)

and, applying (9) into (15) and using (13),

$$\begin{split} 0 & \leq \lim_{x \to 0+} x^{i+2-\alpha} g'_{i,\alpha,0}(x) \\ & = \lim_{x \to 0+} \left\{ \alpha x^{i+1} \big| \psi^{(i)}(x) \big| - x^{i+2} \bigg[\big| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+1) \big| + \frac{(i+1)!}{x^{i+2}} \bigg] \right\} \\ & = \alpha \lim_{x \to 0+} x^{i+1} \big| \psi^{(i)}(x) \big| - (i+1)! - \lim_{x \to 0+} x^{i+2} \big| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+1) \big| \\ & \leq \alpha \lim_{x \to 0+} x^{i+1} \bigg[\frac{(i-1)!}{x^i} + \frac{i!}{x^{i+1}} \bigg] - (i+1)! \\ & - \lim_{x \to 0+} x^{i+2} \bigg[\frac{i!}{(x+1)^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!}{2(x+1)^{i+2}} \bigg] \\ & = i! (\alpha - i - 1), \end{split}$$

which means $\alpha \geq i+1$.

If the function $g_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,\infty)$ for $\beta > 0$, then

$$x^{i+1-\alpha}g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x) = \alpha x^{i} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x^{i+1} |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| > 0.$$
 (16)

Applying (13) in (16) and taking limit leads to

$$\begin{split} 0 & \leq \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{i+1-\alpha} g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x) \\ & \leq \alpha \lim_{x \to \infty} x^i \bigg[\frac{(i-1)!}{(x+\beta)^i} + \frac{i!}{(x+\beta)^{i+1}} \bigg] \\ & - \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{i+1} \bigg[\frac{i!}{(x+\beta)^{i+1}} + \frac{(i+1)!}{2(x+\beta)^{i+2}} \bigg] \\ & = (i-1)! (\alpha-i), \end{split}$$

which means $\alpha \geq i$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. If $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) \geq 0$ in $(0,\infty)$, then $\pm \int_0^\infty h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) t^i e^{-(x+\beta)t} dt \in \mathcal{C}[(-\beta,\infty)]$, which is equivalent to $\pm \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}}\right] \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ by (12), and then, by definition,

$$(-1)^{j} \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)}$$

$$= (-1)^{j} \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} - (-1)^{j} \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x+1)}{(x+1)^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} \gtrsim 0$$

in $(0, \infty)$ for $j \ge 0$. Further, formulas (7) and (10) imply

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \left\lceil \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right\rceil^{(j)} = \lim_{x \to \infty} (-1)^j \left\lceil \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right\rceil^{(j)} = 0. \tag{17}$$

By (17) and Lemma 2, it is concluded that $(-1)^j \left[\frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} \right]^{(j)} \gtrsim 0$ and

$$\pm \frac{g'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(x)}{x^{\alpha-1}} = \pm \left[\alpha \left| \psi^{(i)}(x+\beta) \right| - x \left| \psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta) \right| \right] \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$$

if $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) \geq 0$ in $(0,\infty)$. The proof of Theorem 1 tells us that the function $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is positive in $(0,\infty)$ if either $\beta=0$ and $\alpha \geq i+1$, or $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \geq i$, or $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (2) validating, and that $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ is negative in $(0,\infty)$ if $\beta=0$ and $\alpha \leq i$. As a result, the function $\alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)|$ is completely monotonic in $(0,\infty)$ for either $\beta=0$ and $\alpha \geq i+1$, or $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \geq i$, or $0<\beta<\frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (2) being true, and $x|\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| - \alpha|\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$ for $\beta=0$ and $\alpha \leq i$.

The proofs of necessities are the same as those in Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. $\hfill\Box$

Proof of Theorem 3. This follows from Theorem 2 and the following facts that

$$\pm \left[\frac{\alpha}{x} |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \right] = \pm \frac{1}{x} \{ \alpha |\psi^{(i)}(x+\beta)| - x |\psi^{(i+1)}(x+\beta)| \},$$

 $\frac{1}{x} \in \mathcal{C}[(0,\infty)]$, and that the product of two completely monotonic functions is also completely monotonic on the union of their domains.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $B_k(x)$ be the Bernoulli polynomials defined [1, 12, 13] by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$
 (18)

It is well known that the Bernoulli numbers B_k and $B_k(x)$ are connected by $B_k(1) = (-1)^k B_k(0) = (-1)^k B_k$ and $B_{2k+1}(0) = B_{2k+1} = 0$ for $k \ge 1$, and that the first few Bernoulli numbers and polynomials are

$$B_0 = 1, \quad B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad B_4 = -\frac{1}{30},$$

$$B_0(x) = 1, \quad B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}, \quad B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}, \quad B_3(x) = x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x.$$

Using these notations, the functions $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ and $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) = \frac{te^t}{e^t - 1} + (\beta - 1)t + \alpha - i - 1$$

$$= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(1)\frac{t^k}{k!}$$

$$= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (-1)^k B_k \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

$$= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} B_{k+1} \frac{t^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}$$

$$= \alpha - i + \left(\beta - \frac{1}{2}\right)t + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{2k+2} \frac{t^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!},$$

$$h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) = \beta - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{t^{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 states that

- (1) $h'_{i,\alpha,0}(t) < 0 \text{ in } (0,\infty);$
- (2) if $\alpha \ge i + 1$, then $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t) > 0$ in $(0,\infty)$;
- (3) if $0 < \alpha \le i$, then $h_{i,\alpha,0}(t) < 0$ in $(0,\infty)$;
- (4) if $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then $h'_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) > 0$ in $(0,\infty)$;
- (5) if $\alpha \geq i$ and $\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}$, then $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) > 0$ in $(0,\infty)$; (6) if $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and inequality (2) holds true, then $h_{i,\alpha,\beta}(t) > 0$ in $(0,\infty)$.

From these and standard argument, Theorem 4 is proved.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Reprint of the 1972 edition. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. Selected Government Publications. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York; National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1984.
- [2] H. Alzer, Mean-value inequalities for the polygamma functions, Aequationes Math. 61 (2001), no. 1, 151-161.
- P. Gao, A subadditive property of the digamma function, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 3, Art. 9. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html.
- B.-N. Guo, R.-J. Chen, and F. Qi, A class of completely monotonic functions involving the polygamma functions, J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory 2 (2007), no. 1, in press.
- [5] F. Qi, A completely monotonic function involving divided difference of psi function and an equivalent inequality involving sum, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 5. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n4.html.
- [6] F. Qi, A completely monotonic function involving divided differences of psi and polygamma functions and an application, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), no. 4, Art. 8. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9n4.html.
- [7] F. Qi, Certain logarithmically N-alternating monotonic functions involving gamma and q-gamma functions, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 3, Art. 5. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html.
- [8] F. Qi, Three classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving gamma and psi functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18 (2007), in press. RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 9 (2006), Suppl., Art. 6. Available online at http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v9(E).html.
- [9] F. Qi and W. Li, Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with the gamma function, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 8 (2005), no. 3, Art. 13. Available online at http: //rgmia.vu.edu.au/v8n3.html.
- [10] F. Qi, Q. Yang and W. Li, Two logarithmically completely monotonic functions connected with gamma function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 17 (2006), no. 7, 539-542.

- [11] H. van Haeringen, Completely Monotonic and Related Functions, Report 93-108, Faculty of Technical Mathematics and Informatics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [12] Zh.-X. Wang and D.-R. Guo, *Special Functions*, Translated from the Chinese by D.-R. Guo and X.-J. Xia, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1989.
- [13] Zh.-X. Wang and D.-R. Guo, *Tèshū Hánshù Gàilùn* (*A Panorama of Special Functions*), The Series of Advanced Physics of Peking University, Peking University Press, Beijing, China, 2000. (Chinese)
- [14] D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.
- (F. Qi) Research Institute of Mathematical Inequality Theory, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

 $E-mail\ address: \ {\tt qifeng@hpu.edu.cn,\ fengqi618@member.ams.org,\ qifeng618@hotmail.com,\ qifeng618@msn.com,\ 316020821@qq.com}$

 URL : http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/qi.html

(S. Guo) Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, R3T 2N2, Canada

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|sguo@hotmail.com|, umguos@cc.umanitoba.ca||}$

(B.-N. Guo) School of Mathematics and Informatics, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo City, Henan Province, 454010, China

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: guobaini@hpu.edu.cn}$