



**VICTORIA UNIVERSITY**  
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

*Quasilinearity of some functionals associated with  
monotonic convex functions*

This is the Published version of the following publication

Dragomir, Sever S (2012) Quasilinearity of some functionals associated with monotonic convex functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 212 (276). pp. 1-13. ISSN 1029-242X

The publisher's official version can be found at  
<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1186%2F1029-242X-2012-276#>  
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/22832/>

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Quasilinearity of some functionals associated with monotonic convex functions

SS Dragomir\*

\*Correspondence:  
Sever.Dragomir@vu.edu.au;  
sever.dragomir@wits.ac.za;  
http://rgmia.org/dragomir/  
School of Engineering & Science,  
Victoria University, P.O. Box 14428,  
Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia  
School of Computational and  
Applied Mathematics, University of  
the Witwatersrand, Private Bag 3,  
Wits, P.O. Box 14428, Johannesburg,  
2050, South Africa

## Abstract

Some quasilinearity properties of composite functionals generated by monotonic and convex/concave functions and their applications in improving some classical inequalities such as the Jensen, Hölder and Minkowski inequalities are given.

**MSC:** 26D15

**Keywords:** additive; superadditive and subadditive functionals; convex functions; Jensen's inequality; Hölder's inequality; Minkowski's inequality

## 1 Introduction

The problem of studying the quasilinearity properties of functionals associated with some celebrated inequalities such as the Jensen, Cauchy-Bunyakowsky-Schwarz, Hölder, Minkowski and other famous inequalities has been investigated by many authors during the last 50 years.

In the following, in order to provide a natural background that will enable us to construct composite functionals out of simple ones and to investigate their quasilinearity properties, we recall a number of concepts and simple results that are of importance for the task.

Let  $X$  be a linear space. A subset  $C \subseteq X$  is called a *convex cone* in  $X$  provided the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $x, y \in C$  imply  $x + y \in C$ ;
- (ii)  $x \in C, \alpha \geq 0$  imply  $\alpha x \in C$ .

A functional  $h : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *superadditive* (*subadditive*) on  $C$  if

- (iii)  $h(x + y) \geq (\leq) h(x) + h(y)$  for any  $x, y \in C$

and *nonnegative* (*strictly positive*) on  $C$  if, obviously, it satisfies

- (iv)  $h(x) \geq (>) 0$  for each  $x \in C$ .

The functional  $h$  is *s-positive homogeneous* on  $C$  for a given  $s > 0$  if

- (v)  $h(\alpha x) = \alpha^s h(x)$  for any  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $x \in C$ .

If  $s = 1$ , we simply call it positive homogeneous.

In [1], the following result has been obtained.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $x, y \in C$  and  $h : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative, superadditive and s-positive homogeneous functional on  $C$ . If  $M \geq m \geq 0$  are such that  $x - my$  and  $My - x \in C$ , then*

$$M^s h(y) \geq h(x) \geq m^s h(y). \quad (1.1)$$

Now, consider  $v : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an *additive* and *strictly positive* functional on  $C$  which is also *positive homogeneous* on  $C$ , i.e.,

(vi)  $v(\alpha x) = \alpha v(x)$  for any  $\alpha > 0$  and  $x \in C$ .

In [2] we obtained further results concerning the quasilinearity of some composite functionals.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ . If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive (subadditive) functional on  $C$  and  $p, q \geq 1$  ( $0 < p, q < 1$ ), then the functional*

$$\Psi_{p,q} : C \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad \Psi_{p,q}(x) = h^q(x) v^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})}(x) \quad (1.2)$$

*is superadditive (subadditive) on  $C$ .*

**Theorem 3** *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ . If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive functional on  $C$  and  $0 < p, q < 1$ , then the functional*

$$\Phi_{p,q} : C \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad \Phi_{p,q}(x) = \frac{v^{q(1-\frac{1}{p})}(x)}{h^q(x)} \quad (1.3)$$

*is subadditive on  $C$ .*

Another result similar to Theorem 1 has been obtained in [2] as well, namely

**Theorem 4** *Let  $x, y \in C$ ,  $h : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative, superadditive and  $s$ -positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $v$  be an additive, strictly positive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$ . If  $p, q \geq 1$  and  $M \geq m \geq 0$  are such that  $x - my, My - x \in C$ , then*

$$M^{sq+q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \Psi_{p,q}(y) \geq \Psi_{p,q}(x) \geq m^{sq+q(1-\frac{1}{p})} \Psi_{p,q}(y), \quad (1.4)$$

*where  $\Psi_{p,q}$  is defined by (1.2).*

As shown in [1] and [2], the above results can be applied to obtain refinements of the Jensen, Hölder, Minkowski and Schwarz inequalities for weights satisfying certain conditions.

The main aim of the present paper is to study quasilinearity properties of other composite functionals generated by monotonic and convex/concave functions and to apply the obtained results to improving some classical inequalities as those mentioned above.

## 2 Some general results

We start with the following general result.

**Theorem 5** (Quasilinearity theorem) *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ .*

- (i) If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive (subadditive) functional on  $C$  and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave (convex) and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\eta_\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\eta_\Phi(x) := \nu(x)\Phi\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) \quad (2.1)$$

is superadditive (subadditive) on  $C$ .

- (ii) If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive (subadditive) functional on  $C$  and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex (concave) and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\eta_\Phi$  is subadditive (superadditive) on  $C$ .

*Proof* (i) Assume that  $h$  is superadditive and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then

$$h(x+y) \geq h(x) + h(y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in C,$$

and since  $\nu(x+y) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$  for any  $x, y \in C$ , by the monotonicity of  $\Phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\frac{h(x+y)}{\nu(x+y)}\right) &= \Phi\left(\frac{h(x+y)}{\nu(x) + \nu(y)}\right) \\ &\geq \Phi\left(\frac{h(x) + h(y)}{\nu(x) + \nu(y)}\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{\nu(x) \cdot \frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)} + \nu(y) \cdot \frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}}{\nu(x) + \nu(y)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for any  $x, y \in C$ .

Now, since  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi\left(\frac{\nu(x) \cdot \frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)} + \nu(y) \cdot \frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}}{\nu(x) + \nu(y)}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\nu(x)\Phi\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) + \nu(y)\Phi\left(\frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}\right)}{\nu(x) + \nu(y)} \\ &= \frac{\nu(x)\Phi\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) + \nu(y)\Phi\left(\frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}\right)}{\nu(x+y)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for any  $x, y \in C$ .

Utilizing (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\nu(x+y)\Phi\left(\frac{h(x+y)}{\nu(x+y)}\right) \geq \nu(x)\Phi\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) + \nu(y)\Phi\left(\frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}\right) \quad (2.4)$$

for any  $x, y \in C$ , which shows that the functional  $\eta_\Phi$  is superadditive on  $C$ .

Now, if  $h : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a subadditive functional on  $C$  and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is convex and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the inequalities (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) hold with the reverse sign for any  $x, y \in C$ , which shows that the functional  $\eta_\Phi$  is subadditive on  $C$ .

- (ii) Follows in a similar manner and the details are omitted.  $\square$

**Corollary 1** (Boundedness property) *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$ . Let  $x, y \in C$  and assume that there exist  $M \geq m > 0$  such that  $x - my$  and  $My - x \in C$ .*

- (a) *If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is concave and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then*

$$M\eta_\Phi(y) \geq \eta_\Phi(x) \geq m\eta_\Phi(y). \quad (2.5)$$

- (aa) *If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a subadditive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is concave and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then (2.5) is valid as well.*

*Proof* We observe that if  $v$  and  $h$  are positive homogeneous functionals, then  $\eta_\Phi$  is also a positive homogeneous functional, and by the quasilinearity theorem above, it follows that in both cases  $\eta_\Phi$  is a superadditive functional on  $C$ . By applying Theorem 1 for  $s = 1$ , we deduce the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 1** (Monotonicity property) *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$ . We say, for  $x, y \in X$ , that  $x \geq_C y$  ( $x$  is greater than  $y$  relative to the cone  $C$ ) if  $x - y \in C$ . Now, observe that if  $x, y \in C$  and  $x \geq_C y$ , then under the assumptions of Corollary 1, by (2.5), we have that  $\eta_\Phi(x) \geq \eta_\Phi(y)$ , which is a monotonicity property for the functional  $\eta_\Phi$ .*

There are various possibilities to build such functionals. For instance, for the finite families of functionals  $v_i : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $h_i : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $i \in I$  ( $I$  is a *finite family of indices*) and  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave/convex and monotonic, then the composite functional  $\sigma_\Phi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\sigma_\Phi(x) := \sum_{i \in I} v_i(x) \Phi\left(\frac{h_i(x)}{v_i(x)}\right) \quad (2.6)$$

has the same properties as the functional  $\eta_\Phi$ .

If, for a given cone  $C$ , we consider the *Cartesian product*  $C^n := C \times \cdots \times C \subset X^n$  and define, for the vector  $\bar{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C^n$ , the functional  $\varpi_\Phi : C^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\varpi_\Phi(\bar{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n v(x_i) \Phi\left(\frac{h(x_i)}{v(x_i)}\right), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $v$  and  $h$  defined on  $C$  are as above, then we observe that  $\varpi_\Phi$  has the same properties as  $\eta_\Phi$ .

There are some natural examples of composite functionals that are embodied in the propositions below.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ .*

- (i) *If  $h : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is a superadditive functional on  $C$  and  $r > 0$ , then the composite functional  $\eta_r : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by*

$$\eta_r(x) := \frac{[v(x)]^{1+r}}{[h(x)]^r} \quad (2.8)$$

*is subadditive on  $C$ . In particular,  $\eta_1(x) = \frac{v^2(x)}{h(x)}$  is subadditive.*

- (ii) If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive functional on  $C$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ , then the composite functional  $\eta_q : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\eta_q(x) := [v(x)]^{1-q} [h(x)]^q \quad (2.9)$$

is superadditive on  $C$ . In particular,  $\eta_{1/2}(x) = \sqrt{v(x)h(x)}$  is superadditive.

- (iii) If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a subadditive functional on  $C$  and  $p \geq 1$ , then the composite functional  $\eta_p : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\eta_p(x) := \frac{[h(x)]^p}{[v(x)]^{p-1}} \quad (2.10)$$

is subadditive on  $C$ . In particular,  $\eta_2(x) = \frac{h^2(x)}{v(x)}$  is subadditive.

*Proof* Follows from Theorem 5 for the function  $\Phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $\Phi(t) = t^s$  which is convex and decreasing for  $s \in (-\infty, 0)$ , concave and increasing for  $s \in (0, 1)$  and convex and increasing for  $s \in [1, \infty)$ . The details are omitted.  $\square$

The following boundedness property also holds.

**Corollary 2** Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$ . Let  $x, y \in C$  and assume that there exist  $M \geq m > 0$  such that  $x - my$  and  $My - x \in C$ . If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$ , then

$$M[v(y)]^{1-q} [h(y)]^q \geq [v(x)]^{1-q} [h(x)]^q \geq m[v(y)]^{1-q} [h(y)]^q. \quad (2.11)$$

In particular,

$$M^2 v(y) h(y) \geq v(x) h(x) \geq m^2 v(y) h(y). \quad (2.12)$$

**Proposition 2** Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ .

- (i) If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a subadditive functional on  $C$ , then the composite functional  $\varepsilon_\alpha : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by

$$\varepsilon_\alpha(x) := v(x) \exp\left(\frac{\alpha h(x)}{v(x)}\right) \quad (2.13)$$

is subadditive on  $C$  provided  $\alpha > 0$ .

- (ii) If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive functional on  $C$ , then the composite functional  $\varepsilon_\alpha$  is also subadditive on  $C$  when  $\alpha < 0$ .

The proof follows from Theorem 5. The details are omitted.

**Remark 2** Similar composite functionals can be considered for the functions  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as follows:

$$\Phi(t) = \arctan(t), \quad \text{which is increasing and concave on } [0, \infty);$$

$$\Phi(t) = \sinh(t) := \frac{1}{2}(e^t - e^{-t}), \quad \text{which is increasing and convex on } [0, \infty);$$

$$\Phi(t) = \cosh(t) := \frac{1}{2}(e^t + e^{-t}), \quad \text{which is increasing and convex on } [0, \infty);$$

$$\Phi(t) = \tanh(t) := \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{e^t + e^{-t}}, \quad \text{which is increasing and concave on } [0, \infty);$$

$$\Phi(t) = \coth(t) := \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{e^t - e^{-t}}, \quad \text{which is decreasing and convex on } (0, \infty).$$

For instance, if we consider the composite functional

$$\eta_{\arctan}(x) := \nu(x) \arctan\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right),$$

where  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive functional on  $C$ ,  $\nu : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is an additive functional on  $C$  and  $C$  is a convex cone in the linear space  $X$ , then by the quasilinearity theorem, we conclude that  $\eta_{\arctan} : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is superadditive on  $C$ . Moreover, if  $\nu : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is an additive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$ ,  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $x, y \in C$  such that there exist  $M \geq m > 0$  with the property that  $x - my$  and  $My - x \in C$ , then

$$M\nu(y) \arctan\left(\frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}\right) \geq \nu(x) \arctan\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) \geq m\nu(y) \arctan\left(\frac{h(y)}{\nu(y)}\right).$$

The same properties hold for the composite functional generated by the hyperbolic tangent function, namely

$$\eta_{\tanh}(x) := \nu(x) \left[ \frac{\exp\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right) + \exp\left(-\frac{h(x)}{\nu(x)}\right)} \right],$$

however, the details are omitted.

Taking into account the above result and its applications for various concrete examples of convex functions, it is therefore natural to investigate the corresponding results for the case of *log-convex functions*, namely functions  $\Psi : I \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $I$  is an interval of real numbers for which  $\ln \Psi$  is convex.

We observe that such functions satisfy the elementary inequality

$$\Psi((1-t)a + tb) \leq [\Psi(a)]^{1-t} [\Psi(b)]^t$$

for any  $a, b \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also, due to the fact that the weighted geometric mean is less than the weighted arithmetic mean, it follows that any log-convex function is a convex function. However, obviously, there are functions that are convex but not log-convex.

**Theorem 6** (Quasimultiplicity theorem) *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ .*

- (i) *If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive (subadditive) functional on  $C$  and  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is log-concave (log-convex) and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\xi_F : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by*

$$\xi_F(x) := \left[ F\left(\frac{h(x)}{v(x)}\right) \right]^{v(x)} \quad (2.14)$$

*is supermultiplicative (submultiplicative) on  $C$ , i.e., we recall that*

$$\xi_F(x+y) \geq (\leq) \xi_F(x) \xi_F(y) \quad (2.15)$$

*for any  $x, y \in C$ .*

- (ii) *If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive (subadditive) functional on  $C$  and  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is log-convex (log-concave) and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\eta_F$  is submultiplicative (supermultiplicative) on  $C$ .*

*Proof* We observe that

$$\log \xi_F(x) = v(x) \log \left[ F\left(\frac{h(x)}{v(x)}\right) \right] = \eta_{\log(F)}(x)$$

for any  $x \in C$ .

Applying now the quasilinearity theorem for the functions  $\log(F)$ , we deduce the desired result.

The details are omitted.  $\square$

**Corollary 3** (Exponential boundedness) *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$ . Let  $x, y \in C$  and assume that there exist  $M \geq m > 0$  such that  $x - my$  and  $My - x \in C$ .*

- (a) *If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a superadditive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is log-concave and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then*

$$\left[ F\left(\frac{h(y)}{v(y)}\right) \right]^{Mv(y)} \geq \left[ F\left(\frac{h(x)}{v(x)}\right) \right]^{v(x)} \geq \left[ F\left(\frac{h(y)}{v(y)}\right) \right]^{mv(y)}. \quad (2.16)$$

- (aa) *If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a subadditive and positive homogeneous functional on  $C$  and  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$  is log-concave and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then (2.16) is valid as well.*

There are numerous examples of log-convex (log-concave) functions of interest that can provide some nice examples.

Following [3], we consider the following *Dirichlet series*:

$$\psi(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} \quad (2.17)$$

for which we assume that the coefficients  $a_n \geq 0$  for  $n \geq 1$  and the series is uniformly convergent for  $s > 1$ .

It is obvious that in this class we can find the *zeta function*

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

and the *lambda function*

$$\lambda(s) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^s} = (1 - 2^{-s})\zeta(s),$$

where  $s > 1$ .

If  $\Lambda(n)$  is the *von Mangoldt function*, where

$$\Lambda(n) := \begin{cases} \log p, & n = p^k \text{ (} p \text{ prime, } k \geq 1\text{)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then [4, p.3]:

$$-\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}, \quad s > 1.$$

If  $d(n)$  is the number of divisors of  $n$ , we have [4, p.35] the following relationships with the zeta function:

$$\zeta^2(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n)}{n^s}, \quad \frac{\zeta^3(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d(n^2)}{n^s}, \quad \frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^2(n)}{n^s},$$

and [4, p.36]

$$\frac{\zeta^2(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\omega(n)}}{n^s}, \quad s > 1,$$

where  $\omega(n)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of  $n$ .

We use the following result, see [3]

**Lemma 1** *The function  $\psi$  defined by (2.17) is nonincreasing and log-convex on  $(1, \infty)$ .*

Utilizing the quasimultiplicity theorem and this lemma, we can state the following result as well.

**Proposition 3** *Let  $C$  be a convex cone in the linear space  $X$  and  $v : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  be an additive functional on  $C$ . If  $h : C \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a subadditive functional on  $C$  and  $\psi : (1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is defined by (2.17), then the composite functional  $\xi_\psi : C \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  defined by*

$$\xi_\psi(x) := \left[ \psi \left( \frac{h(x) + v(x)}{v(x)} \right) \right]^{v(x)} \quad (2.18)$$

*is submultiplicative on  $C$ .*

*Proof* We observe that the function  $F(t) := \psi(t+1)$  is well defined on  $(0, \infty)$  and is non-increasing and log-convex on this interval. Applying Theorem 6, we deduce the desired result.  $\square$

### 3 Applications

#### 3.1 Applications for Jensen's inequality

Let  $C$  be a convex subset of the real linear space  $X$  and let  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex mapping. Here we consider the following well-known form of *Jensen's discrete inequality*:

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $I$  denotes a finite subset of the set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers,  $x_i \in C$ ,  $p_i \geq 0$  for  $i \in I$  and  $P_I := \sum_{i \in I} p_i > 0$ .

Let us fix  $I \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  (the class of finite parts of  $\mathbb{N}$ ) and  $x_i \in C$  ( $i \in I$ ). Now, consider the functional  $J : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$J_I(\mathbf{p}) := \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - P_I f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right) \geq 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $S_+(I) := \{\mathbf{p} = (p_i)_{i \in I} | p_i \geq 0, i \in I \text{ and } P_I > 0\}$  and  $f$  is convex on  $C$ .

We observe that  $S_+(I)$  is a convex cone and the functional  $J_I$  is nonnegative and positive homogeneous on  $S_+(I)$ .

**Lemma 2** ([5]) *The functional  $J_I(\cdot)$  is a superadditive functional on  $S_+(I)$ .*

For a function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define the following functional  $\xi_{\Phi, I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\xi_{\Phi, I}(\mathbf{p}) := P_I \Phi\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right)\right). \quad (3.3)$$

By the use of Theorem 5, we can state the following proposition.

**Proposition 4** *If  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\xi_{\Phi, I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by (3.3) is superadditive on  $S_+(I)$ .*

*If  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\xi_{\Phi, I}$  is subadditive on  $S_+(I)$ .*

*Proof* Consider the functionals  $v(\mathbf{p}) := P_I$  and  $h(\mathbf{p}) := J_I(\mathbf{p})$ . We observe that  $v$  is additive,  $h$  is superadditive and

$$\eta_{\Phi}(\mathbf{p}) = v(\mathbf{p}) \Phi\left(\frac{h(\mathbf{p})}{v(\mathbf{p})}\right) = \xi_{\Phi, I}(\mathbf{p}).$$

Applying Theorem 5, we deduce the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4** If  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S_+(I)$  and  $M \geq m \geq 0$  are such that  $M\mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{q} \geq m\mathbf{p}$ , i.e.,  $Mp_i \geq q_i \geq mp_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & M \frac{P_I}{Q_I} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right) \\ & \geq \Phi \left( \frac{1}{Q_I} \sum_{i \in I} q_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{Q_I} \sum_{i \in I} q_i x_i \right) \right) \\ & \geq m \frac{P_I}{Q_I} \Phi \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for any  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  concave and monotonic nondecreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ .

The proof follows from Corollary 1 and the details are omitted.

On utilizing Proposition 1, statement (ii), we observe that the functional  $\xi_{q,I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , where  $q \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{q,I}(\mathbf{p}) &:= P_I \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right)^q \\ &= \left( P_I^{q-1} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - P_I^q f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right)^q, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

is superadditive and monotonic nondecreasing on  $S_+(I)$ .

If  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S_+(I)$  and  $M \geq m \geq 0$  are such that  $M\mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{q} \geq m\mathbf{p}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & M^{1/q} \left( \frac{P_I}{Q_I} \right)^{1/q} \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{Q_I} \sum_{i \in I} q_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{Q_I} \sum_{i \in I} q_i x_i \right) \\ & \geq m^{1/q} \left( \frac{P_I}{Q_I} \right)^{1/q} \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now, if we consider the following composite functional  $\xi_{\arctan,I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by

$$\xi_{\arctan,I}(\mathbf{p}) = P_I \arctan \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right), \quad (3.7)$$

then by utilizing Remark 2 we conclude that  $\xi_{\arctan,I}$  is superadditive and monotonic nondecreasing on  $S_+(I)$ .

Moreover, if  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S_+(I)$  and  $M \geq m \geq 0$  are such that  $M\mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{q} \geq m\mathbf{p}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & M \left( \frac{P_I}{Q_I} \right) \arctan \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right) \\ & \geq \arctan \left( \frac{1}{Q_I} \sum_{i \in I} q_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{Q_I} \sum_{i \in I} q_i x_i \right) \right) \\ & \geq m \left( \frac{P_I}{Q_I} \right) \arctan \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i \right) \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

It is also well known that if  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a *strictly convex mapping* on  $C$  and, for a given sequence of vectors  $x_i \in C$  ( $i \in I$ ), there exist at least two distinct indices  $k$  and  $j$  in  $I$  so that  $x_k \neq x_j$ , then

$$J_I(\mathbf{p}) := \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - P_I f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right) > 0$$

for any  $\mathbf{p} \in S_+(I) = \{\mathbf{p} = (p_i)_{i \in I} | p_i \geq 0, i \in I \text{ and } P_I > 0\}$ .

In this situation, for the function  $f$  and the sequence  $x_i \in C$  ( $i \in I$ ), we can define the functional

$$\eta_{r,I}(\mathbf{p}) := \frac{P_I^{1+r}}{[\sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - P_I f(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i)]^r} \quad (3.9)$$

that is well defined on  $S_+(I)$ . Utilizing the statement (i) from Proposition 1, we conclude that  $\eta_{r,I}(\cdot)$  is a *subadditive functional* on  $S_+(I)$ .

We know that the hyperbolic cotangent function  $\coth(t) := \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{e^t - e^{-t}}$  is decreasing and convex on  $(0, \infty)$ . If we consider the composite functional

$$\xi_{\coth,I}(\mathbf{p}) := P_I \coth\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i\right)\right)$$

for a function  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is *strictly convex* on  $C$  and for a given sequence of vectors  $x_i \in C$  ( $i \in I$ ) for which there exist at least two distinct indices  $k$  and  $j$  in  $I$  so that  $x_k \neq x_j$ , then we observe that this functional is well defined on  $S_+(I)$ , and by the statement (ii) of Theorem 5, we conclude that  $\xi_{\coth,I}(\cdot)$  is also a *subadditive functional* on  $S_+(I)$ .

### 3.2 Applications for Hölder's inequality

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and  $I \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ . We define

$$E(I) := \{x = (x_j)_{j \in I} | x_j \in X, j \in I\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{K}(I) := \{\lambda = (\lambda_j)_{j \in I} | \lambda_j \in \mathbb{K}, j \in I\}.$$

We consider for  $\gamma, \beta > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$  the functional

$$H_I(\mathbf{p}, \lambda, x; \gamma, \beta) := \left(\sum_{j \in I} p_j |\lambda_j|^\gamma\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\sum_{j \in I} p_j \|x_j\|^\beta\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - \left\|\sum_{j \in I} p_j \lambda_j x_j\right\|.$$

The following result has been proved in [1].

**Lemma 3** For any  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S_+(I)$ , we have

$$H_I(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}, \lambda, x; \gamma, \beta) \geq H_I(\mathbf{p}, \lambda, x; \gamma, \beta) + H_I(\mathbf{q}, \lambda, x; \gamma, \beta), \quad (3.10)$$

where  $x \in E(I)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}(I)$  and  $\gamma, \beta > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ .

**Remark 3** The same result can be stated if  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed algebra and the functional  $H$  is defined by

$$H_I(\mathbf{p}, \lambda, x; \gamma, \beta) := \left( \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|x_i\|^\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|y_i\|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - \left\| \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i y_i \right\|,$$

where  $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}, y = (y_i)_{i \in I} \subset B$ ,  $\mathbf{p} \in S_+(I)$  and  $\gamma, \beta > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$ .

For a function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define the following functional  $\omega_{\Phi, I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\omega_{\Phi, I}(\mathbf{p}) := P_I \Phi \left( \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{j \in I} p_j |\lambda_j|^\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{j \in I} p_j \|x_j\|^\beta \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - \left\| \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{j \in I} p_j \lambda_j x_j \right\| \right). \quad (3.11)$$

By the use of Theorem 5, we can state the following proposition.

**Proposition 5** If  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\omega_{\Phi, I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by (3.11) is superadditive on  $S_+(I)$ .

If  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\omega_{\Phi, I}$  is subadditive on  $S_+(I)$ .

By choosing various examples of concave and monotonic nondecreasing or convex and monotonic nonincreasing functions  $\Phi$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , the reader can provide various examples of superadditive or subadditive functionals on  $S_+(I)$ . The details are omitted.

### 3.3 Applications for Minkowski's inequality

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and  $I \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ . We define the functional

$$M_I(\mathbf{p}, x, y; \delta) = \left[ \left( \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|x_i\|^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + \left( \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|y_i\|^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right]^\delta - \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|x_i + y_i\|^\delta, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\mathbf{p} \in S_+(I)$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$  and  $x, y \in E(I)$ .

The following result concerning the superadditivity of the functional  $M_I(\cdot, x, y; \delta)$  holds [1].

**Lemma 4** For any  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in S_+(I)$ , we have

$$M_I(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}, x, y; \delta) \geq M_I(\mathbf{p}, x, y; \delta) + M_I(\mathbf{q}, x, y; \delta),$$

where  $x, y \in E(I)$  and  $\delta \geq 1$ .

For a function  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define the following functional  $\varkappa_{\Phi, I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa_{\Phi, I}(\mathbf{p}) := & P_I \Phi \left( \left[ \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|x_i\|^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + \left( \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|y_i\|^\delta \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \right]^\delta \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{P_I} \sum_{i \in I} p_i \|x_i + y_i\|^\delta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By the use of Theorem 5, we can state the following proposition.

**Proposition 6** *If  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave and monotonic nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\kappa_{\Phi, I} : S_+(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by (3.13) is superadditive on  $S_+(I)$ .*

*If  $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and monotonic nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , then the composite functional  $\kappa_{\Phi, I}$  is subadditive on  $S_+(I)$ .*

We notice that, by choosing various examples of concave and monotonic nondecreasing or convex and monotonic nonincreasing functions  $\Phi$  on  $[0, \infty)$ , the reader can provide various examples of superadditive or subadditive functionals on  $S_+(I)$ . The details are omitted.

**Remark 4** For other examples of superadditive (subadditive) functionals that can provide interesting inequalities similar to the ones outlined above, we refer to [6–9] and [10–12].

#### Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Received: 10 May 2012 Accepted: 8 November 2012 Published: 28 November 2012

#### References

1. Dragomir, SS: Inequalities for superadditive functionals with applications. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **77**, 401–411 (2008)
2. Dragomir, SS: Quasilinearity of some composite functionals with applications. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **83**, 108–121 (2011)
3. Cerone, P, Dragomir, SS: Some convexity properties of Dirichlet series with positive terms. *Math. Nachr.* **282**(7), 964–975 (2009)
4. Ivić, A: *The Riemann Zeta-Function, Theory and Applications*. Dover, New York (1985)
5. Dragomir, SS, Pečarić, J, Persson, LE: Properties of some functionals related to Jensen's inequality. *Acta Math. Hung.* **70**, 129–143 (1996)
6. Alzer, H: Sub- and superadditive properties of Euler's gamma function. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **135**(11), 3641–3648 (2007)
7. Losonczi, L: Sub- and superadditive integral means. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **307**(2), 444–454 (2005)
8. Trimble, SY, Wells, J, Wright, FT: Superadditive functions and a statistical application. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **20**(5), 1255–1259 (1989)
9. Vitolo, A, Zannier, U: Inequalities for superadditive functions related to a property  $R$  [of] convex sets. *Boll. Unione Mat. Ital.*, **4**(3), 309–314 (1990)
10. Volkman, P: Sur les fonctions simultanément suradditives et surmultiplicatives. *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.)* **28**(76)(2), 181–184 (1984)
11. Dragomir, SS: *Advances in Inequalities of the Schwarz, Triangle and Heisenberg Type in Inner Product Spaces*. Nova Science Publishers, New York (2007)
12. Dragomir, SS, Mond, B: On the superadditivity and monotonicity of Schwarz's inequality in inner product spaces. *Pril. - Maked. Akad. Nauk. Umet., Odd. Mat.-Teh. Nauki* **15**(2), 5–22 (1994)

doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-276

**Cite this article as:** Dragomir: Quasilinearity of some functionals associated with monotonic convex functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2012 **2012**:276.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)