DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

On Series Involving Zeros of
Transcendental Functions
Arising From Volterra
Integral Equations

P. Cerone

(50 MATH 8)
December, 1994

(AMS : 32A27, 44A10, 45D05)

TECHNICAL REPORT

VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
(P O BOX 14428) MELBOURNE MAIL CENTRE
MELBOURNE, VICTORIA, 3000
AUSTRALIA

TELEPHONE (03) 688 4249 / 4492
FACSIMILE (03) 688 4050

Footscray Campus

ON SERIES INVOLVING ZEROS OF TRANSCENDENTAL FUNCTIONS

ARISING FROM VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

P. CERONE

Victoria University of Technology, Australia, 3011.

Series arising from Volterra integral equations of the second kind are summed. The series involve inverse powers of roots of the characteristic equation. It is shown how previous similar series obtained from differential-difference equations are particular cases of the present development. A number of novel and interesting results are obtained. The techniques are demonstrated through illustrative examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Silberstein [10] found the sums of two series arising from the differential-difference equation

$$u'(x) = u(x-\eta).$$

More recently Cerone and Keane [2] generalised the results to obtain the sum of the series $\sum(p_j)^{-k}$ and $\sum(1+\eta p_j)^{-k}$ where p_j are the roots of $p = e^{-\eta p}$ and summation is over all p_j.

The current paper examines summing series of roots of transcendental equations arising from integral equations. The development is at first based on a Volterra integral equation of the second kind describing the births of a single - sex population. This was the initial motivation for the work and it is felt to be instructive and illuminating even though more general and straight forward approaches lead to similar results.

In particular, using Laplace transform and residue techniques on integral equations, sums of series of the form

$$\sum \frac{1}{(p_j-\alpha)^n \mu_j} \quad , \quad \alpha \neq p_j \quad , n \text{ a positive integer}$$

are obtained where,

the summation is over all the roots p_j of the characteristic equation $\phi^*(p) = 1$

and $\mu_j = -\left[\frac{d}{dp}\phi^*(p)\right]_{p=p_j}$.

2. BASIC EQUATION AND RESULTS

The renewal integral equation has been studied by many authors (including Feller [4], Cox [3] and Tijms [12])and was introduced to the field of population dynamics by Sharpe and Lotka [9]. The single-sex deterministic model representing the births $B(t)$ at time t is given by the Volterra integral equation of the second kind (see Lotka [7], Keyfitz [5])

$$B(t) = F(t) + \int_0^t B(t-u)\phi(u)du \qquad (1)$$

where

$F(t)$ is the contribution of those alive at the origin of time,

and $\phi(u)$ is the net maternity function which is of compact support and bounded.

If $\phi(u)$ were a probability density and $F(u)$ its distribution function then (1) would be a renewal integral equation with $B(t)$ being the renewal function. Equation (1) is more general since $\phi(u)du$ is the chance of living to age u and giving birth in the next interval of length du and so $\phi(u)$ is not necessarily a density.

The integral equation with which we will at first be interested is (1) with (Keyfitz [5])

$$F(t) = \frac{\phi(x+t)}{l(x)} \tag{2}$$

which represents the situation where there is only one ancestor aged x at our chosen origin. Here in (2), $l(x)$ is the survivor function which gives the probability of surviving to age x of a newborn.

The solution of (1) has been extensively examined in the past and a rigorous methodology is presented by Feller [4] using Laplace transform techniques.
The asymptotic behaviour has been studied by Lopez [6] in relation to population modelling and in general by Bellman and Cooke [1].

We will also use Laplace transform techniques here so that from (1) and (2) we obtain after minor manipulation

$$B_x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} e^{pt}\frac{V(p,x)}{1-\phi^*(p)}dp \tag{3}$$

where

$$V(p,x) = \frac{e^{px}\int_x^{\infty} e^{-pu}\phi(u)du}{l(x)} = \frac{v(p,x)}{l(x)} \tag{4}$$

and $\phi^*(p)$ is the Laplace transform of $\phi(x)$ with γ being chosen in such a manner as to ensure convergence.

Assuming that the roots of the denominator of (3) are the only poles of the integrand and are simple then

$$B_x(t) = \sum \frac{V(p_j, x)e^{p_j t}}{\mu_j} \quad , \quad t > 0 \quad , \tag{5}$$

where

$$\mu_j = -\left[\frac{d}{dp}\phi^*(p)\right]_{p=p_j} = \int_0^\infty e^{-p_j u} u\phi(u)du. \tag{6}$$

Lopez [6] shows that the real root of $\phi^*(p) = 1$ has the greatest real part and the rest occur in complex conjugate pairs (Pollard [8]) for $\phi(u)$ positive. In realistic population dynamics applications $\phi(u)$ is also bounded and of compact support.

If we now allow $t \to 0+$ then, since the Laplace transform gives the mean value at a discontinuity (Bellman and Cooke [1], Widder [14]), we obtain from (3) and (4)

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(x+) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{\mathrm{v}(p,x)}{1-\phi^*(p)}dp, \tag{7}$$

where $\phi(x+) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \phi(x+\epsilon), \quad \epsilon > 0.$

Assuming that the roots of $\phi^*(p) = 1$ are the only poles of (7) (which has been shown to be the case by Lopez [6] for population dynamics applications) then

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(x+) = \sum \frac{\mathrm{v}(p_j, x)}{\mu_j} \tag{8}$$

and in particular with $x \to 0+$

$$S_0 = \sum \frac{1}{\mu_j} = \frac{\phi(0+)}{2}. \tag{9}$$

Integration of (7) from t to ∞, gives upon interchanging the order of integration, which is permissible since ϕ is positive and exponentially bounded,

$$\frac{\mathrm{v}(0,t)}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{\mathrm{v}(0,t) - \mathrm{v}(p,t)}{p[1-\phi^*(p)]}dp \quad , \quad t > 0 \quad , \tag{10}$$

where $\mathrm{v}(p,t)$ is as defined in (4).

Theorem 1 : Let p_j be the simple and non-zero roots of $\phi^*(p) = 1, \phi^*(p) \neq 0\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$,

$$M_0 = \int_0^\infty \phi(u)du = \phi^*(0) < \infty ,$$

and μ_j is as given in equation (6), then

$$S_1 = \sum \frac{1}{p_j \mu_j} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{M_0 + 1}{M_0 - 1}, \tag{11}$$

where the summation is over all p_j.

Proof : Allowing $t \to 0+$ in equation (10) gives

$$\frac{M_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{M_0 - \phi^*(p)}{p[1 - \phi^*(p)]} dp. \tag{12}$$

We note that if $\phi^*(0) = 1$ so that $M_0 = 1$ then we obtain the degenerate result that was also obtained by Cerone and Keane [2] viz.,

$$\lim_{R \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - iR}^{\gamma + iR} \frac{dp}{p} = \frac{1}{2}, \tag{13}$$

since there is a contribution of $\frac{1}{2}$ from integration in an anticlockwise direction along a semicircular contour to the left of the line integral and a contribution of 1 from the residue.

We shall thus assume that $M_0 = \phi^*(0) \neq 1$ and so from (12)

$$\frac{M_0}{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{M_0 - 1}{p[1 - \phi^*(p)]} dp + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{dp}{p}. \tag{14}$$

We may evaluate the integrals using the theory of residues to give

$$\frac{M_0}{2} = -1 + \sum \frac{M_0 - 1}{p_j \mu_j} + \frac{1}{2} \tag{15}$$

where the terms on the right are contributions from the pole at zero, the simple poles p_j of $\phi^*(p) = 1$ for the first integral and the last term is as given by (13). A simple rearrangement of (15) gives the desired result, (11).

It is a straight forward matter to deduce from (14) upon using (13) that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty}\frac{dp}{p\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{16}$$

and so from (10)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty}\frac{\mathrm{v}(p,t)}{p\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]}dp=0 \tag{17}$$

where $\mathrm{v}(p,t)$ is given by (4).

We note that putting $t=0$ in (17) gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty}\frac{\phi^*(p)}{p\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]}dp=0 \tag{18}$$

which agrees with the results (13) and (16) since (18) is (13) - (16).

Theorem 2 : Let $|\phi(t)|\le Ke^{-\lambda t}$ for $K,\lambda\ge 0$, constants.

Further let $I_0(t)=\phi(t)$, $J_0(p,t)=\mathrm{v}(p,t)$, as given in (4),

and $I_n(t)=\int_t^{\infty} I_{n-1}(x)dx,\ \ J_n(p,t)=\int_t^{\infty} J_{n-1}(p,x)dx,\ n=1,2,\ldots$.

Then

$$I_n(t)=\int_t^{\infty}\phi(x)\frac{(x-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}dx \quad , \quad n=1,2,\ldots\ldots \tag{19}$$

and $$J_n(p,t)=\frac{1}{p^n}\left\{p^{n-1}I_n(t)-p^{n-2}I_{n-1}(t)+\ldots\ldots+(-1)^{n-1}I_1(t)+(-1)^n J_0(p,t)\right\}$$

for $n=1,2,\ldots\ldots$ (20)

Proof : A straight forward induction argument and a change of order of integration, permissible from the postulates, produces the desired results (19) and (20).

Theorem 3 : Let $|\phi(t)| \le Ke^{-\lambda t}$ for K , $\lambda \ge 0$, constants.
Then

$$S_n = \sum \frac{1}{p_j^n \mu_j} \tag{21}$$

satisfies the recurrence relation

$$(1-M_0)S_n = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{M_{n-k}}{(n-k)!} S_k + \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} \frac{M_{n-1}}{(1-M_0)} , n = 2,3,..... \tag{22}$$

where $M_n = \int_0^\infty u^n \phi(u) du < \infty$, the n^{th} moments of ϕ with $M_0 \neq 1$. (23)

Proof :From equation (17) we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{J_{n-1}(p,t)}{p\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]} dp = 0 \quad , \quad n = 2,3,..... \tag{24}$$

where from equation (20)

$$J_{n-1}(p,t) = \frac{1}{p^{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (-1)^j p^{n-j-2} I_{n-j-1}(t) + (-1)^{n-1} \mathrm{v}(p,t) \tag{25}$$

with $I_n(t)$ being given by (19) and $\mathrm{v}(p,t)$ by (4).

Thus from (24) and (25) we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (-1)^j p^{n-j-2} I_{n-j-1}(t) + (-1)^{n-1} \mathrm{v}(p,t)}{p^n\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]} dp = 0 \quad , \quad n = 2,3... \; . \tag{26}$$

Now, there is a simple pole at $p = 0$ in (26) since

$$\mathrm{v}(p,t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r p^r I_{r+1}(t) \tag{27}$$

and $\phi^*(0) \neq 1$. The expansion (27) is allowed since $I_n(t)$ can be easily demonstrated to be exponentially bounded given that ϕ is.

The contribution from the pole at $p = 0$ is, from (26)

$$(-1)^{2(n-1)} \frac{I_n(t)}{1-\phi^*(0)}. \tag{28}$$

Further, the contribution from the roots of $\phi^*(p) = 1$ gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-3}(-1)^j S_{j+2} I_{n-1-j}(t) + (-1)^{n-2} S_n I_1(t) + (-1)^{n-1} \sum \frac{v(p_j,t)}{p_j{}^n \mu_j}. \tag{29}$$

Hence combining (28) and (29) results in

$$\sum \frac{v(p_j,t)}{p_j{}^n \mu_j} - I_1(t) S_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-3}(-1)^{n+j} I_{n-1-j}(t) S_{j+2} + (-1)^n \frac{I_n(t)}{1-\phi^*(0)}. \tag{30}$$

Evaluation of (30) at $t = 0$ and using the facts from (19), (4) and (23), that

$$I_{n+1}(0) = \frac{M_n}{n!} \;,\; v(p_j 0) = 1 \text{ and } M_0 = \phi^*(0) \neq 1$$

then

$$(1-M_0)S_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-3}(-1)^{n+j} \frac{M_{n-j-2}}{(n-j-2)!} S_{j+2} + \frac{(-1)^n M_{n-1}}{(n-1)!\,(1-M_0)}. \tag{31}$$

The substitution $k = j+2$ in (31) gives the desired result (22).
When $n = 2$ in (22) it is understood that the sum is zero giving $S_2 = \dfrac{M_1}{(1-M_0)^2}$.

From equation (26) it may be deduced that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{dp}{p^n\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]} = 0 \;, \tag{32}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{v(p,t)}{p^n\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]} = 0 \;,$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty} \frac{\phi^*(p)}{p^n\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]}\, dp = 0 \;,\quad n = 2,3\ldots\;. \tag{33}$$

It follows from (32) that,

$$S_n = \sum \frac{1}{p_j^n \mu_j} = -\ \mathrm{Res}_{p=0}^{(n)} \tag{34}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Res}_{p=0}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\left[\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)\right]_{p=0}, \tag{35}$$

is the contribution from a pole of order n at $p=0$.

Theorem 4 : Equations (34) and (35) give a different, although equivalent, representation for S_n than that given by equation (22). These expressions hold for $n > 1$.

Proof :Firstly, the sum S_0 and S_1 are given by (9) and (11) respectively.

To prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that, for $n = 2,3,\ldots\ldots$,

$$(1-\phi^*(p))\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right) = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\frac{d^{n-k}}{dp^{n-k}}\phi^*(p)\frac{d^{k-1}}{dp^{k-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\phi^*(p), \tag{36}$$

since $M_n = (-1)^n\left[\frac{d^n}{dp^n}\phi^*(p)\right]_{p=0}$.

Evaluation of (36) at $p=0$ would give the required result.

Now,

$$\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)=\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(1+\frac{\phi^*(p)}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)$$

$$=\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\phi^*(p)}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}\frac{d^{n-1-k}}{dp^{n-1-k}}\phi^*(p)\frac{d^k}{dp^k}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\frac{d^{n-k}}{dp^{n-k}}\phi^*(p)\frac{d^{k-1}}{dp^{k-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\frac{d^{n-k}}{dp^{n-k}}\phi^*(p)\frac{d^{k-1}}{dp^{k-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)$$

$$+\binom{n-1}{0}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\phi^*(p)+\binom{n-1}{n-1}\phi^*(p)\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right).$$

A simple rearrangement produces result (36) and hence the theorem is proved.

It is important to note that although Theorem 4 shows the sum of the series (21) to be equivalently given by (22) and (34) - (35), the recurrence relation representation (22) is much easier to apply in practice.

Theorem 4 effectively shows that the recurrence relation (22) could be obtained from taking (33) instead of (32) leading to

$$S_n=\sum\frac{1}{p_j{}^n\mu_j}=\frac{-1}{(n-1)!}\left[\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{\phi^*(p)}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)\right]_{p=0}.$$

It is further of interest to note that series of the general form

$$\sigma_n(\alpha)=\sum\frac{1}{(p_j-\alpha)^n\mu_j} \tag{37}$$

can be summed by the above arguments where $\phi^*(\alpha)\neq 1$. This may be accomplished by multiplying equation (7) by $e^{-\alpha x}x^{n-1}, n\geq 1$ before integration. The $\sigma_n(\alpha)$ of equation (37) then satisfy expressions similar to those obtained for S_n if M_n and $\mathrm{Res}^{(n)}{}_{p=0}$ are replaced by

$$L_n(\alpha)=\int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha x}x^n\phi(x)dx \tag{38}$$

and $$\text{Res}_{p=\alpha}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\left[\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)\right]_{p=\alpha} \quad , \tag{39}$$

respectively. Contrarily allowing $\alpha \to 0$ gives the previous results since $S_n = \sigma_n(0)$. The technique will be used subsequently by working with $\sigma_n(\alpha)$ to obtain results even when moments are not finite.

Before proceeding to some simple derivations of the results which will be followed by examples, modifications to the above procedures will be discussed when $M_0 = \phi^*(0) = 1$. A similar argument would follow for $L_0(\alpha) = \phi^*(\alpha) = 1$.

Theorem 5 : For the conditions as in Theorem 3 with $\phi^*(0) = M_0 = 1$ then

$$\overline{S}_n = \sum_{p_j \neq 0} \frac{1}{p_j^{\,n} \mu_j} \tag{40}$$

satisfies the recurrence relation

$$M_1 \overline{S}_n = \sum_{k=3}^{n} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{M_{n-k+2}}{(n-k+2)!} \overline{S}_{k-1} + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M_1^{\,2}}\left(\frac{M_1 . M_{n+1}}{(n+1)!} - \frac{M_2}{2} . \frac{M_n}{n!}\right), \; n = 2,3.....,(41)$$

and $$\overline{S}_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{M_1} \tag{42}$$

Proof :From (16) with $\phi^*(0) = 1$ there is a double pole at $p = 0$ giving a contribution of $\frac{1}{M_1}$. The contribution from the non-zero roots of the characteristic equation give $\overline{S}_1$. A rearrangement produces (42).

For $n = 2,3,.....$ the effect of a simple and a double pole at $p = 0$ gives a contribution, from (26) and using (27),

$$(-1)^{2n-1} \frac{I_{n+1}(t)}{M_1} \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^{2(n-1)} \frac{M_2}{2M_1^{\,2}} I_n(t) \tag{43}$$

respectively. Evaluation of the residues from the poles $p_j \neq 0$ from $\phi^*(p) = 1$ gives

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-3} (-1)^j \overline{S}_{j+2} I_{n-j-1}(t) + (-1)^{n-1}\left[\sum_{p_j \neq 0} \frac{v(p_j,t)}{p_j^{\,n} \mu_j} - I_1(t)\overline{S}_n\right]. \tag{44}$$

Combining (43) and (44) and evaluation at $t=0$ gives

$$0=(1-M_0)\overline{S}_n=\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+k}\frac{M_{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\overline{S}_k+\frac{(-1)^n}{M_1^2}\left[\frac{M_1 M_n}{n!}-\frac{M_2}{2}\frac{M_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right].$$

and hence

$$M_1\overline{S}_n=\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}(-1)^{n+k+1}\frac{M_{n-k+1}}{(n-k+1)!}\overline{S}_k+\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{M_1^2}\left[\frac{M_1 M_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}-\frac{M_2}{2}\frac{M_n}{n!}\right].$$

Adjusting the summation index by 1 gives (41).

An alternative representation for $\overline{S}_n$ may be obtained from (32) as

$$\overline{S}_n=-\overline{\mathrm{Res}_{p=0}^{(n+1)}} \quad , \quad n=2,3,\ldots\ldots, \tag{45}$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{Res}_{p=0}^{(n+1)}}=\dfrac{1}{n!}\left[\dfrac{d^n}{dp^n}\left(\dfrac{p}{1-\phi^*(p)}\right)\right]_{p=0}$

is the contribution from a pole of order $n+1$ at $p=0$.

A similar argument to the one followed in the proof of Theorem 4 shows that (41) and (45) are equivalent representations of $\overline{S}_n$.

3. SOME SIMPLE DERIVATIONS OF THE RESULTS OF SECTION 2

Consider the Volterra integral equation

$$B(t)=F(t)+\int_0^t B(t-x)\phi(x)dx. \tag{46}$$

With $F(t)=e^{\alpha t}$ then we may readily obtain, using Laplace Transform techniques, that

$$B(t)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty}\frac{e^{pt}dp}{(p-\alpha)\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]} , \tag{47}$$

where γ is chosen to the right of α and the roots of $\phi^*(p)=1$.

That is, evaluating (47) using the theory of residues gives

$$B(t)=\frac{e^{\alpha t}}{1-\phi^*(\alpha)}+\sum\frac{e^{p_j t}}{(p_j-\alpha)\mu_j} \tag{48}$$

where we are assuming that the roots of $\phi^*(p)=1$ are simple and that $\phi^*(\alpha)\neq 1$.

Since the Laplace transform gives the mean value at a discontinuity (Widder [14]), evaluation of (47) and (48) at $t=0$ produces,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-i\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty}\frac{dp}{(p-\alpha)[1-\phi^*(p)]}=\frac{F(0+)}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{49}$$

and

$$\sigma_1(\alpha)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{1-\phi^*(\alpha)}. \tag{50}$$

Equation (50) agrees with (11) on putting $\alpha=0$ and noting $\sigma_1(0)=S_1$.

Differentiation of (49) with respect to α results in

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\gamma-j\infty}^{\gamma+i\infty}\frac{dp}{(p-\alpha)^n[1-\phi^*(p)]}=0 \quad , \quad n=2,3,\ldots\ldots \tag{51}$$

from which the result

$$\sigma_n(\alpha)=\sum\frac{1}{(p_j-\alpha)^n\mu_j}=-\operatorname{Res}^{(n)}_{p=\alpha} \tag{52}$$

is obtained on using (37), (39) and (34), (35). The differentiation of (49) is permissible since if (49) exists then so does (51).

Equation (52) could have been obtained directly from (51) by differentiation with respect to α and using the result

$$\sigma_n(\alpha)=\frac{1}{n-1}\sigma'_{n-1}(\alpha) \quad , \quad n=2,3,\ldots\ . \tag{53}$$

We note that $|\sigma_n(\alpha)| < |\sigma_1(\alpha)|$ and so differentiation is justified. As discussed previously, the $\sigma_n(\alpha)$ also satisfy (22) with M_n being replaced by $L_n(\alpha)$ as given by (38).

Further, equations (51) and (52) can be obtained from (46) by taking

$$F(t) = e^{\alpha t} t^{n-1}$$

and noting that

$$F(0+) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \quad n > 1 \\ 1 & , \quad n = 1 \end{cases}.$$

An alternate way to derive the sums of the series would be to take F in equation (46) as

$$e^{\alpha t} f(x+t).$$

Thus, with the integral equation

$$b(t) = e^{\alpha t} f(x+t) + \int_0^t b(t-x)\phi(x)dx$$

and assuming f to have a Taylor series expansion about $t=0$ we would obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - i\infty}^{\gamma + i\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(m)}(x)}{(p-\alpha)^{m+1}\left[1-\phi^*(p)\right]} dp. \tag{54}$$

Hence using residues we get from equation (54)

$$\frac{1}{2} f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f^{(m)}(x) \left[\sum \frac{1}{(p_j - \alpha)^{m+1} \mu_j} + \mathrm{Res}_{p=\alpha}^{(m+1)} \right] \tag{55}$$

where $\mathrm{Res}_{p=\alpha}^{(m+1)}$ is the residue at $p=\alpha$ from a pole of order $m+1$ of the integrand in (54).

Since $f(x)$ is an arbitrary function then, equating coefficients of $f^{(m)}(x)$ we obtain from equation (55), $\sigma_n(\alpha)$ as given by equation (50) and (52) with $n = m+1$.

It is important to emphasise that although the results could have been obtained directly through the techniques outlined in the present section, the insights gained from section 2 that led to the recurrence relations (22), (41) (and their generalisations for $\sigma_n(\alpha)$) would not have been possible. The current section's results may indicate a relaxation of some of the postulates of section 2.

A number of examples will now be presented to highlight and elucidate the results obtained.

4. PARTICULAR RESULTS

(A) Examples Involving Heavside Functions

Consider

$$\phi(x) = H(\gamma - x) \tag{56}$$

giving
$$\phi^*(p) = \frac{1-e^{-\gamma p}}{p}$$

and so
$$M_n = \frac{\gamma^{n+1}}{n+1} \quad , \quad \mu_j = \frac{\gamma p_j + 1 - \gamma}{p_j}.$$

Now from (21), for $\gamma \neq 1$,

$$S_n = \sum \frac{1}{p_j^{\,n-1}(\gamma p_j + 1 - \gamma)} \quad , \tag{57}$$

with p_j the roots of $p = 1 - e^{-\gamma p}$, satisfies (11) and (22) giving, for example

$$S_1 = \sum \frac{1}{\gamma p_j + 1 - \gamma} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}$$

and
$$S_2 = \sum \frac{1}{p_j(\gamma p_j + 1 - \gamma)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^2.$$

Further, breaking (57) into partial fractions would produce sums of series of the form

$$\sum \frac{1}{p_j^k}.$$

In particular from the above expressions for S_1 and S_2 ,

$$\sum \frac{1}{p_j} = \frac{\gamma}{2(\gamma - 1)}.$$

Taking $\phi(x)$ to be represented by a histogram would give a generalisation of the results obtained from $\phi(x)$ given by equation (56).

Thus if,

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} \alpha_r H(x - b_r) H(b_{r+1} - x) \qquad (58)$$

then series of the form

$$S_n = \sum \frac{1}{p_j^{n-1}\left[1 + \sum_{r=0}^{R} \gamma_r b_r e^{-p_j b_r}\right]}$$

would satisfy (11) and (22) for $M_0 = \phi^*(0) \neq 1$ where

$$\gamma_r = \begin{cases} \alpha_r & , \quad r = 0 \\ \alpha_r - \alpha_{r-1} & , \quad 0 < r < R. \\ -\alpha_{r-1} & , \quad r = R \end{cases}$$

Taking $R = 1, b_0 = 0, b_1 = \gamma$ in (58) would reproduce the results obtained for $\phi(x)$ as given by (56).

Another special case of (58) would be if

$$\phi(x)=H(x-\eta) \tag{59}$$

in which instance we note that the moments are not finite.

As envisaged in the previous section we need to work with $\sigma_n(\alpha)$ and $L_n(\alpha)<\infty$. Allowing $\alpha \to 0$ will produce the required results.

From (11) (on substitution of $\sigma_1(\alpha)$ for S_1 and $L_0(\alpha)$ for M_0) or from (50) we have

$$\sigma_1(\alpha)=\sum \frac{p_j}{(p_j-\alpha)(1+\eta p_j)}=\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{L_0(\alpha)+1}{L_0(\alpha)-1} \tag{60}$$

where $\quad L_0(\alpha)=\dfrac{e^{-\alpha\eta}}{\alpha}.$

On taking $\alpha \to 0$ in (60) reproduces the result of Silberstein [10] and Cerone and Keane [2] namely

$$S_1=\sum \frac{1}{1+\eta p_j}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{61}$$

where the summation is over all the roots p_j of $pe^{\eta p}=1$.

From a modified form of (22) with $n=2$

$$S_2=\lim_{p_j \to 0} \sum \frac{p_j}{(p_j-\alpha)^2(1+\eta p_j)}=\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{L_1(\alpha)}{(1-L_0(\alpha))^2} \tag{62}$$

where from (38) and (59)

$$L_1(\alpha)=\frac{e^{-\alpha\eta}}{\alpha^2}(1+\alpha\eta).$$

Thus, from (62)

$$S_2=\sum \frac{1}{p_j(1+\eta p_j)}=1. \tag{63}$$

Further generalisations to both (61) and (63) were obtained by Cerone and Keane [2].

(B) Exponential ϕ

The case provides both a simple and instructive example.

Consider

$$\phi(x)=\lambda e^{-\mu x} \quad , \quad \lambda, \mu > 0 \tag{64}$$

and so $\phi^*(p)=\dfrac{\lambda}{p+\mu}$ giving from (6) and (23)

$$\mu_j = \frac{\lambda}{\left(p_j+\mu\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \tag{65}$$

$$\text{and} \quad M_n = \lambda \frac{n!}{\mu^{n+1}} \quad , \quad \text{respectively.} \tag{66}$$

It should be noted that this example gives only one root of the characteristic equation $\phi^*(p)=1$, namely, $p_j=\lambda-\mu$.

Further, from (21) and (66),

$$S_n = \sum \frac{1}{p_j^n \mu_j} = \frac{\lambda}{(\lambda-\mu)^n}. \tag{67}$$

A straight forward induction argument shows that (67) satisfies the recurrence relation (22) where the M_n are as given by (66). The degenerate case of $n=1$ requires special mention. It may be seen from (18) that the contribution from $p=0$ and $p_j=\lambda-\mu$ cancel to give a degenerate case. Also from (12) the singularity at $p=0$ can be seen to be removable and so a relationship between the residue at $p=0$ and that at $p=p_j$ is not possible.

(C) Polynomial ϕ

Let $\phi(x)=\dfrac{x^m}{m!}$ then $\phi^*(p)=p^{-(m+1)}$ and the moments M_n are not finite.
However, from (38) $L_n(\alpha)<\infty$ for $\alpha>0$ and so $\sigma_n(\alpha)$ will satisfy (22) with M_n replaced by $L_n(\alpha)$ where

$$\sigma_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{m+1}\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{p_j}{\left(p_j-\alpha\right)^n} \tag{68}$$

and $p_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m+1}j}$, $j = 0,1,2,\ldots\ldots,m.$ (69)

Further from (39), (52), (68) and (69)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \frac{p_j}{(p_j-\alpha)^n} = -\frac{(m+1)}{(n-1)!}\left[\frac{d^{n-1}}{dp^{n-1}}\left(\frac{1}{p^{(n+1)}-1}\right)\right]_{p=\alpha} . \tag{70}$$

For $m = 0$, $p_0 = 1$, and so on using (68) and (70), $\sigma_n(\alpha)=\frac{1}{(1-\alpha)^n}$.

(D) **Dirac Delta ϕ**

Consider the example where $\phi(x)$ is a Dirac delta, namely

$$\phi(x)=a\delta(x-b), \tag{71}$$

defined as zero everywhere except at $x=b$, giving $\phi^*(p)=ae^{-bp}$
and $M_n = ab^n$. (72)

We notice that the roots of the characteristic equation are given explicitly as

$$p_j = \frac{\ln a-(2\pi i)j}{b} \quad , \quad j=0,\pm1,\pm2,\ldots.. \tag{73}$$

and $\mu_j = b.$ (74)

Hence from (21), (73) and (74)

$$S_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{b^{n-1}}{[\ln a-(2\pi i)j]^n}$$

and so

$$S_n = \frac{b^{n-1}}{(2\pi)^n}\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha^n} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]}(-1)^k\binom{n}{2r}\alpha^{n-2k}j^{2k}}{[\alpha^2+j^2]^n}\right\} \tag{75}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln a}{2\pi} \tag{76}$$

and $[x]$ represents the integer part of x.

In particular, for $n=1$ in (75), using (11), (72) and (76) gives on rearrangement

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^2+j^2} = \frac{\pi}{2\alpha}\coth \pi\alpha - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2}\,, \tag{77}$$

agreeing with the result in Whittaker and Watson [13].

Allowing $\alpha \to 0$ in (77) gives $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ which has been obtained previously using Parseval's theorem by Titchmarsh [11] (and originally by Euler using results from the theory of equations).

Taking $n=2$ in (75) and using (22), (72) gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^2-j^2}{\left[\alpha^2+j^2\right]^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\operatorname{cosech}^2 \pi\alpha - \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \tag{78}$$

and on using (77)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{\left[\alpha^2+j^2\right]^2} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2\left[\frac{\coth \pi\alpha}{\pi\alpha} - \operatorname{cosech}^2\pi\alpha\right]. \tag{79}$$

Substitution of (79) into (78) produces

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left[\alpha^2+j^2\right]^2} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}\right)^2\left[\frac{\coth\pi\alpha}{\pi\alpha} + \operatorname{cosech}^2\pi\alpha\right] - \frac{1}{2\alpha^4}. \tag{80}$$

The result in (80) could be obtained directly from (77) by differentiation with respect to α. Also taking the limit as $\alpha \to 0$ in (80) gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}.$$

A similar procedure with $n = 3$ would give from (75), (22) and (72)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^2 - 3j^2}{\left[\alpha^2 + j^2\right]^3} = \frac{\pi^3}{2\alpha} \operatorname{cosech}^2 \pi\alpha \coth \pi\alpha - \frac{1}{2\alpha^4}$$

leading to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2}{\left[\alpha^2 + j^2\right]^3} = \left(\frac{\pi}{4\alpha}\right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\coth \pi\alpha}{\pi\alpha} + (1 - 2\pi\alpha \coth \pi\alpha) \operatorname{cosech}^2 \pi\alpha \right\}$$

and $$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left[\alpha^2 + j^2\right]^3} = \frac{\pi^2}{(2\alpha)^4} \left\{ 3 \frac{\coth \pi\alpha}{\pi\alpha} + (3 + 2\pi\alpha \coth \pi\alpha) \operatorname{cosech}^2 \pi\alpha - \frac{1}{2\alpha^6} \right\}$$

Further such series may be obtained from (75), (22) and (72) (together with a lot of perseverance!)

The above series could have been obtained by the alternate procedure of differentiating (77) with respect to α. This can be done systematically using a suitable software package. The recurrence relation represented by (22) gives the series in a straight forward way as demonstrated.

5. CONCLUSION

Series of roots of transcendental equations have been summed using residue theory. Previous results have been shown to be special cases of the current development. The insights and analysis that led to the recurrence relation (22) for summing the series would not, it is believed, have been possible if the approach of section 3 had been followed. Examples have been provided to elaborate and elucidate the techniques developed.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to dedicate the article to the memory of the late Professor Austin Keane (DSc), (1927-1979).

Thanks are also due to Tony Sofo for many useful discussions.

7. REFERENCES

[1] R. Bellman and K.L. Cooke. *Differential Difference Equations.* Academic Press, N.Y., 1963.

[2] P. Cerone and A. Keane. Series of Roots of a Transcendental Equation. *J. & Proc.*, Royal Soc. of N.S.W., 1977, Vol. 110, pp 29-31.

[3] D.R. Cox. *Renewal Theory.* Menthuen, London, 1962.

[4] W. Feller. On the Integral Equation of Renewal Theory. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 1941, 12 : 243-267.

[5] N. Keyfitz. *Introduction to the Mathematics of Population.* Addison - Wesley Reading, Mass, 1968.

[6] A. Lopez. *Problems in Stable Population Theory.* Princeton : Office of Population Research, 1961.

[7] A. J. Lotka. Applications of Recurrent Series in Renewal Theory. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 1948, 19 : 190-206.

[8] J. H. Pollard. *Mathematical Models for the Growth of Human Populations.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.

[9] F. R. Sharpe and A. J. Lotka. A Problem in Age Distribution. *Philosophical Magazine*, 1911, 21 : 435-438.

[10] L. Silberstein. On a Hystero-Differential Equation Arising in a Probability Problem. *Philosophical Magazine*, 1940, Ser. 7, 29, pp. 75-84.

[11] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The Theory of Functions.* Oxford University Press, London, 1932.

[12] H. C. Tijms. *Stochastic Modelling and Analysis. A computational Approach.* John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1986.

[13] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson. *A Course of Modern Analysis.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978.

[14] D. V. Widder. *The Laplace Transform.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1941.