VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

Light physical activity is positively associated with cognitive performance in older community dwelling adults

This is the Accepted version of the following publication

1   Light physical activity is positively associated with cognitive performance in older community

2   dwelling adults

3

1

4 **Abstract**

5

6 **Objectives:** To evaluate the associations between an objective measure of different intensities of

7 physical activity, upper- and lower-limb muscle strength and psychomotor performance and set-

8 shifting domains of cognitive executive function in older adults.

9 **Design:** A cross-sectional study.

10 **Methods:** From the Tasmanian Older Adult Cohort Study, 188 community-dwelling older adults

11 (53.7% female; mean age $\pm$ SD 63.98 $\pm$ 7.3 years) undertook 7-day physical activity behaviour

12 monitoring using an accelerometer. Dynamometers were used to assess leg extension strength. The

13 Trail Maker Tests were used to measure psychomotor processing speed and set-shifting performance.

14 **Results:** When controlling for age, smoking history, alcohol intake, educational achievement and

15 neuropsychological functioning, higher levels of light physical activity, but not sedentary behaviour

16 or moderate or vigorous physical activity, was found to be associated with better set-shifting

17 performance. Neither physical activity behaviour or muscle strength were found to be associated with

18 psychomotor performance. In addition, older age, greater alcohol intake, and lower levels of

19 educational attainment, verbal learning and memory performance were significantly associated with

20 lower scores on the set-shifting task; whereas older age and reduced neuropsychological functioning

21 were associated with lower psychomotor processing speed scores.

22 **Conclusions:** Light physical activity is associated with higher executive functioning in community-

23 dwelling older adults and this strengthens the evidence supporting exercise as a neuroprotective agent.

24 Further studies are needed to understand why light physical activity behaviour positively influences

25 executive functioning, and how such physical activity can be implemented into the daily routine of

26 older adults.

27

28 **Keywords**: Cognition, exercise, executive function, accelerometer, muscle strength.

2

1. Introduction

Participation in physical activity (PA) by older adults reduces the risk of chronic disease, and encourages the maintenance of muscle strength[1] and functional independence.[2] It is also possible that regular PA might delay the age-related decline in cognitive function.[2]

The collective evidence demonstrates PA positively influences cognition across a range of domains.[3] A meta-analysis of prospective studies that examined the association between PA and cognitive decline in older adults found a low to moderate level of PA significantly (-35%) reduced the risk of decline.[4] Others found an inverse relationship between increased muscle strength[5] and cardiorespiratory fitness[6] and cognitive decline.

Questionnaires and other self-report measures have historically been used to derive population-based measurements of habitual PA, despite their limited reliability and validity.[7] Objective measures of PA are furthering our understanding of the association between cognition and PA, and there is emerging evidence cognitive function is improved by both light[8] and moderate-to-vigorous PA.[8,9]

Further population-based studies are required to clarify the association between cognition, muscle strength and objectively measured PA behaviours. In an ageing Australian population, understanding this association might aid the development of interventions aimed towards delaying or slowing the rate of cognitive decline. The aim of this study was to investigate cross-sectional associations between different intensities of PA, muscle strength and executive functioning as indexed by set-shifting and psychomotor performance in older adults. It was hypothesized that more active and stronger participants would have enhanced set-shifting and faster psychomotor speed whereas increased sedentary time would be associated with poorer set-shifting and psychomotor performance.

2. Method

Participants were drawn from the Tasmanian Older Adult Cohort (TASOAC), an ongoing, prospective, population-based study of community-dwelling older adults. An equal number of men and women between the ages of 50 and 79 years were randomly selected from the electoral roll in Southern Tasmania (population 229,000), with a response rate of 57%. Exclusion criteria included

3

57   contraindication for magnetic resonance imaging and institutionalisation. Of the 1,100 enrolled in the

58   study, 1,099 attended a clinic for baseline assessment between March 2002 and September 2004.

59   Phase 2 follow-up data was collected for 875 participants approximately 2.7 years later, and Phase 3

60   data was collected for 767 participants approximately 5 years later. Our study consists of 111 females

61   (64.23 ± 7.095 years) and 99 males (mean ± SD, age 63.92 ± 7.326 years) that had complete Phase 2

62   accelerometer, cognition and muscle strength measures. The study was approved by the Southern

63   Tasmanian Health and Medical Human Research Ethics committee, and written informed consent was

64   obtained from all participants.

65   The waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) is a surrogate obesity indicator that has been shown to be a

66   more effective predictor of mortality and cardiovascular disease than other anthropometric measures,

67   such as body mass index.[10] It is determined by dividing the participants average waist circumference

68   by their average hip circumference. The measurements were taken either directly over the skin or over

69   light clothing, measured to the 0.1 cm and repeated. A third measurement was taken if there was more

70   than a 2 cm difference between the first two measures. With the participant standing with their feet

71   together and arms relaxed by their side, the waist measurement was taken at the level of the mid-point

72   between the inferior margin of the last rib and the crest of the ilium in the mid-axillary plane and is

73   taken at the end of a normal expiration. This hip circumference measure is taken at the level of the

74   greatest posterior protuberance of the buttocks and with the participants gluteal muscles in relaxed.

75   Level of educational attainment was based on recognised Australian educational standards

76   and obtained in a structured interview in response to the following question: What is the highest

77   qualification you have completed? The respondents were to select one of the following: 1) No formal

78   qualifications; 2) School or Intermediate Certificate; 3) Higher School or Leaving Certificate; 4)

79   Trade/apprenticeship; 5) Certificate/diploma; 6) University Degree; or 7) Higher University Degree.

80   Smoking history was assessed by determining if the participant was, or had previously been, a

81   'regular smoker' (viz. someone that had smoked at least 7 cigarettes, cigars or pipes weekly for at

82   least 3 months). Self-reported alcohol intake (g/day) was measured using the validated Cancer

83   Council Victoria Dietary Questionnaire for Epidemiological Studies.[11]

4

84   Isometric leg strength of the hip extensors and quadriceps was assessed in both legs

85   simultaneously (to the nearest kilogram) using a dynamometer (TTM Muscular Metre, Tokyo, Japan).

86   The best score from two attempts was recorded. This test has previously been described in detail.[12]

87   The Trail Maker Test (TMT), a widely used neuropsychological assessment, was used to

88   assess set-shifting and psychomotor speed.[13] The TMT is a two-part (TMT-A and TMT-B) assessment

89   of general brain function. The TMT-A requires participants to connect numbers with a line, whilst the

90   TMT-B requires both letters and numbers to be connected. TMT-A assesses visuo-perceptual and

91   motor abilities, whilst the TMT-B assesses working memory and task-switching skills.[13] Participants

92   were administered a pen and paper version of the TMT according to the established guidelines[14] in a

93   laboratory-based setting. Faster completion of the test indicated better performance.

94   A revised version of the Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised (HVLT-R)[15] has high utility

95   as a screening instrument for amnestic mild cognitive impairment and early Alzhiemer's disease

96   (AD).[16] Participants were administered the HVLT-R according to the established guidelines[14] in a

97   laboratory- based setting. The performance measures were a total number of words recalled for each

98   of 3 learning trials (HVLT total recall; range 0 – 36) and the total number of words recalled in a

99   delayed trial (HVLT delayed recall; range 0-12).

100   Accelerometer-determined PA was assessed using an ActiGraph GT1M (Actigraph,

101   Pensacola, FL) which provides information on the frequency, intensity, and duration of PA using a

102   built-in single axis accelerometer which measures vertical accelerations at the hip at a sampling

103   frequency of 30 Hz. Actigraph accelerometers and software are a valid and reliable means of

104   measuring PA.[17] Each participant was instructed to wear an accelerometer for 7 consecutive days

105   following their clinic visit. Participants were provided with a daily diary where they recorded the time

106   they put the accelerometer on in the morning and took it off at the end of each day, as well as the

107   duration and reason for any periods where they took the accelerometer off (non-wear time).

108   The number of counts was collected in 1-minute epochs. Sedentary activity was classified as

109   less than 250 counts per minute (cpm), light (251-1951 cpm), moderate (1952-5724 cpm) and

110   vigorous ($\geq$ 5725 cpm). This corresponds to less than 1.5 metabolic equivalents [METS]), 1.5 - 2.9

5

111 METS), 3 - 5.9 METS, ≥ 6 METS respectively. The sedentary activity cut-off was proposed by

112 Matthew,[18] and cut-offs for the other categories of PA were as per the Freedson equation.[19] For each

113 of the 7 days, the accelerometer registered the amount of time (min/day) the participant spent in light,

114 moderate, and vigorous activity. Sedentary time was then calculated using the total wear time reported

115 in the daily diary minus any non-wear time, light, moderate, and vigorous activity. Sedentary, light,

116 moderate and vigorous activity were then averaged by the total number of valid days (a valid day was

117 one in which the accelerometer was worn for > 10 hours) to produce an average time spent in each

118 activity category per day. Participants had to have at least 5 valid days to be included in the analysis.

119 Therefore for the analysis each participant had a value (min/day) of sedentary, light, moderate, and

120 vigorous which was the average over the time the accelerometer was worn.

121   Multiple regression analyses, using SPSS version 22$^©$ software, were employed to explore the

122 cross-sectional association between TMT parts A and B and sedentary, light, moderate, and vigorous

123 levels of PA and leg muscle strength. Interactions and indirect effects were assessed using the

124 MODPROBE and INDIRECT macros for SPSS, respectively.[20,21] Sample outliers were identified

125 using boxplots, kernel density estimation with rug plots and standard scores for the variables. The

126 assumption of normality was assessed by examining residuals scatter plots for the dependent

127 variables. Power analysis[22] indicated that with alpha set at 0.05 and Power at 0.80, a minimum of 127

128 participants would be required to reliably detect small-to-medium effects, which are of similar

129 magnitude to those found in previous PA and cognition research.[3,23]

130

131 **3. Results**

132   Twenty outlier cases (9.5% of the sample, 10 Female) that had a standard score of 3 or greater

133 were removed from the analyses. Another two cases (0.95% of the sample) were removed because of

134 missing HVLT-R data, resulting in 188 participants being included in the analyses (see Table 1 for

135 participant characteristics). The sample analysed differed little from the initial sample prior to

136 exclusions (see Methods). Examination of residuals scatter plots for TMT variables showed that the

6

137   assumption of normality was met. The Variance Inflation Factors for the predictor variables were less

138   than 2.5 and the Tolerances were greater than 0.2, indicating that there was no multicollinearity.

139   The correlation matrix (see Table 2) shows that no significant correlations were found

140   between total wear time minutes, TMT-A performance and sedentary behaviour, light PA, moderate

141   PA or vigorous PA. However, the correlations between TMT-B performance, total wear time minutes,

142   light PA and moderate PA were significant. More time spent engaged in PA was associated with

143   faster TMT-B completion times. The correlation between TMT-A performance and the level of

144   education attained was not significant. However, the correlation between TMT-B performance and

145   level of education attained was significant, with higher levels of education attainment associated with

146   faster TMT-B completion times. Additionally, the correlations between level of education attained

147   and sedentary behaviour and light PA were significant. A higher level of education attained was

148   associated with more sedentary behaviour, and less time engaged in light PA. Additionally, Table 2

149   shows that the level of redundancy between the different categories of PA was acceptable.

150   TMT-A was regressed on age, gender, level of education attained, WHR, history of cigarette

151   smoking, alcohol intake, and HVLT total recall. The model was significant, accounting for 9.4% of

152   TMT-A, $F_{(7, 180)} = 2.66$, $p = <0.012$, $R^2 = 0.094$. Age and HVLT total recall were the only

153   significant factors associated with TMT-A performance (B = 0.277, 95% CI [0.036, 0.518], p = 0.024

154   and B = -0.378, 95% CI [-0.750, -0.007], p = 0.046, respectively). The non-significant variables were

155   removed and total wear time minutes, leg muscle strength, and sedentary, light, moderate, and

156   vigorous levels of PA were simultaneously added. Again, the model was significant, accounting for

157   9.5% of TMT-A, $F_{(7, 180)} = 2.684$, $p = <0.011$, $R^2 = 0.095$, $R2\Delta = 0.014$, p = 0.743. Age and HVLT

158   total recall remained the only statistically significant predictors (B = 0.326, 95% CI [0.072, 0.579], p

159   = 0.012 and B = -0.504, 95% CI [-0.849, -0.158], p = 0.005, respectively). Total wear time minutes,

160   leg muscle strength, sedentary behaviour, and light, moderate, and vigorous PA added a trivial

161   amount to the variability of TMT-A performance. It is well established that psychomotor speed

162   declines with normal aging,[24] so the regression model for TMT-A will not be reported further.

163   TMT-B was regressed on age, gender, level of education attained, WHR, history of cigarette

164   smoking, alcohol intake, and HVLT total recall. The data fit the model well. It was significant,

7

165 accounting for 31.4% of TMT-B performance, $F_{(7, 180)} = 11.786$, $p = <0.001$, $R^2 = 0.314$. Gender

166 and WHR ($B = -0.669$, 95% CI [-12.703, 11.368], $p = 0.913$ and $B = -7.816$, 95% CI [-58.932,

167 43.299], $p = 0.763$, respectively) were not significant and their unique contributions to the variability

168 in TMT-B performance were trivial, so they were removed from the model.

169   Next, total wear time minutes, leg muscle strength, and sedentary, light, moderate, and

170 vigorous levels of PA were simultaneously added. It was significant and accounted for 36.2% of

171 TMT-B performance, $F_{(10, 177)} = 10.027$, $p = <0.001$, $R^2 = 0.362$. This represented a significant

172 increase of 4.8% from the first model, $R^2\Delta = 0.048$, $p = 0.024$. Leg muscle strength and total wear

173 time were not significant minutes ($B = 0.58$, 95% CI [-.037, .153], $p = 0.232$ and $B = -.056$, 95% CI [-

174 .117, .006], $p = 0.077$, respectively) and their unique contributions to the variability in TMT-B

175 performance were trivial (0.5% and 1% of the variance, respectively). They were removed from the

176 model. The model continued to account for 35.6% of variability in TMT-B performance, $F_{(9, 178)} =$

177 10.940, $p = <0.001$, $R^2 = 0.356$, $R^2\Delta = 0.043$, $p = 0.022$. The final model for TMT-B is presented in

178 Table 3. Light PA was significant and uniquely accounted for 2.6% of the variability in TMT-B

179 performance. A one minute increase in time spent performing light PA was associated with a 0.114

180 second reduction in the time taken to complete the TMT-B when all other factors were held constant.

181 Sedentary, moderate, and vigorous levels of PA were non-significant variables. However, they were

182 not removed from the model, as PA is a naturally occurring continuous variable that was categorized

183 to facilitate comparison with previous research in the area of interest. The remaining factors (age,

184 HVLT total recall, level of education attained, alcohol intake, and smoking) were significant and each

185 uniquely accounted for a small amount of variability in TMT-B performance (see Table 3). The most

186 notable was level of education attained, which accounted for 8.2% of the variability in TMT-B

187 performance. Finally, variance common to multiple factors accounted for 10.37% of the variability in

188 TMT-B performance. There were no significant interactions or indirect effects at the criterion alpha

189 level of 0.05.

190

191 **4. Discussion**

192   The utility of accelerometers to objectively measure PA behaviour distinguishes this study as

193   one of the few that accurately quantifies the associations between cognitive functioning, muscle

194   strength, and PA behaviour. In our cross-sectional analysis of older adults, older age and reduced

195   cognitive functioning was negatively associated with psychomotor speed. However, there was no

196   significant association between PA behaviour or muscle strength and psychomotor speed.

197   Alternatively, when controlling for smoking history, alcohol intake, level of education attained and

198   cognitive functioning, our regression model identified light PA to be positively associated with set-

199   shifting ability. The relatively small amount of variability in cognitive function accounted for by light

200   PA is consistent with the effects found in previous research.[3] An increase in time taken to complete

201   the set-shifting task was associated with older age, increased alcohol intake, a history of smoking,

202   lower levels of educational attainment and reduced neuropsychological functioning.

203   Our finding that objectively measured light PA was associated with enhanced set-shifting

204   ability is consistent with previous evidence that demonstrated light-intensity PA is associated with

205   higher levels of domain-specific (word fluency) executive function.[8] It is an important finding in the

206   context of the appropriateness of the prescription of light-intensity PA to older adults that may be

207   deconditioned or are new to exercise and thus restricted to light exercise only. Our finding also

208   support previous reports of an association between cardiorespiratory fitness and global cognitive

209   performance and TMT-B performance in non-demented individuals.[25] Though it is notable that we

210   found an association even when age was controlled for, which was not the case in the Burns et al.

211   study.[25]

212   Exercise confers benefits by preserving frontal, parietal and temporal cortex grey matter

213   volume[26] and hippocampal volume[27] in older adults. Larger hippocampi and higher fitness levels have

214   been correlated with better spatial memory performance,[27] and there is good evidence that higher

215   levels of PA can reduce the likelihood of developing cognitive impairment.[28] Collectively, PA appears

216   to influence the brain in a manner that translates to preserving cognitive function. How this occurs,

217   and what specific cognitive processes are influenced by the various modes and intensities of PA is

218   beyond the scope of this study and requires further investigation.

9

219   The absence of significant associations between cognitive function and moderate and

220   vigorous PA contrasts previous research by Kerr et al. (2013), who found only moderate-to-vigorous

221   intensity PA was significantly associated with cognitive functioning.[9] The contrasting results may be

222   explained by a number of methodological differences: Kerr et al. classified PA behaviour into low-

223   light, high-light, and moderate-to-vigorous intensity PA; the study participants had an older average

224   age (83 years); 70% were university educated and all were residing in retirement communities.

225   Neither study found any interactions, however Kerr et al. used an alpha level of 0.10, whereas we

226   used a more stringent alpha of 0.05. Had we used the same alpha level as Kerr et al., we would have

227   reported significant interaction effects. Furthermore, although Kerr et al. transformed their data for

228   analysis and then back-transformed them so the results would be in a meaningful metric, they reported

229   mean values.[9] However, back transformation gives the geometric mean, which is a close

230   approximation of the median.[29] We report mean values. These differences make it difficult to directly

231   compare the two studies.

232   Another finding of interest is that the level of education attained was the largest unique

233   contributor to the total variability in set-shifting performance. A faster TMT-B completion time was

234   found to be associated with a higher level of education attainment. Furthermore, the correlation

235   between HVLT total recall score and the level of education attained was significant and positive.

236   These findings are consistent with previous research, which has shown a higher level of education

237   attainment is associated with better performance on neuropsychological tests.[23] Possibly of greater

238   interest though, is the contrast between the positive bivariate association between the level of

239   education attained and sedentary behaviour and the inverse association between the level of education

240   attained and light PA.

241   Additionally, although total wear time was not a significant predictor and accounted for little

242   of the variability in TMT-B scores in the regression models, it had a strong positive bivariate

243   association with sedentary PA. That is, as wear time increased so did sedentary PA. The other PA

244   categories only had weak to moderate associations with total wear time. It is also notable that

245   approximately one-third of the accounted for variability in TMT-B performance was shared by the

10

246 factors. These results highlight the complexity of the associations between variables that impact on

247 cognitive functioning in older adults, and further research is necessary to disentangle them.

248   This study has several limitations. It is possible that the wearable accelerometers influenced

249 the PA behaviours of the participants, though unlike other activity monitors, the accelerometers used

250 in this study do not provide the wearer with feedback and thus minimise any motivating impact they

251 might have on the participants PA behaviours. We also acknowledge that the ActiGraph GT1M may

252 underestimate light activity and overestimate sedentary behaviour.[30] Whilst our findings cannot be

253 applied to institutionalised older adults or those over 80 years of age, they can be applied to

254 community dwelling older Australian adults who are under 80 years of age. Finally, the cross-

255 sectional design of the present study does not allow for strong claims about causality. That is,

256 notwithstanding our findings, we are unable to determine the potential direction of the association

257 between PA behaviour and cognitive functioning.

258

259 **5.   Conclusion**

260   This study found light PA is associated with higher levels of cognitive functioning in a

261 sample of older adults. The significance of this finding should not be underestimated, as this is one of

262 the few studies of its type to employ an objective measure of PA behaviours. Notwithstanding the

263 existing evidence of the benefits of PA for cognitive functioning, our findings have important

264 implications for the appropriate prescription of exercise to preserve older adult's executive

265 functioning. For older adults new to exercise, or with pre-existing comorbidities, the initial

266 engagement in PA should be at a light level, including low-intensity aerobic-based activities such as

267 walking and gardening. Future studies should focus on determining the influence of PA behaviour on

268 cognitive functioning over time and establish exercise prescription guidelines for the neuroprotection

269 of older adults.

270

271 **Practical Implications**

272 •   Higher levels of light PA may help older adults to preserve their executive functioning.

11

273 • A greater intensity of PA and increased sedentary time may not necessarily enhance cognitive

274 functioning.

275 • Elderly individuals who are deconditioned or new to exercise might benefit physically and

276 cognitively from light PA.

277

278 **Acknowledgements**

285

286 **References**

287 1. A guide for population-based approaches to increasing levels of physical activity.

288 Implementation of the WHO global strategy on diet, physical activity, and health. Geneva,

289 Switzerland: World Health Organisation 2007. Available at

290 http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/index.html.

291 2. Paterson DH, Warburton DER. Physical activity and functional limitations in older adults: a

292 systematic review related to Canada's physical activity guidelines. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*

293 2010; 7:38.

294 3. Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on

295 brain and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2008; 9(1):58-65.

296 4. Sofi F, Valecchi D, Bacci D et al. Physical activity and risk of cognitive decline: a meta-

297 analysis of prospective studies. *J Intern Med* 2011; 269(1):107-117.

298 5. Boyle PA, Buchman AS, Wilson RS et al. Association of muscle strength with the risk of

299 Alzheimer disease and the rate of cognitive decline in community-dwelling older persons.

300 *Arch Neurol* 2009; 66(11):1339-1344.

12

301 6. Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Satariano WA et al. A longitudinal study of cardiorespiratory fitness and

302   cognitive function in healthy older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003; 51(4):459-465.

303 7. Shephard RJ. Limits to the measurement of habitual physical activity by questionnaires. *Br J*

304   *Sports Med* 2003; 37(3):197-206.

305 8.   Wilbur J, Marquez DX, Fogg L et al. The relationship between physical activity and

306   cognition in older Latinos. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2012; 67(5):525-534.

307 9. Kerr J, Marshall SJ, Patterson RE et al. Objectively measured physical activity is related to

308   cognitive function in older adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2013; 61(11):1927-1931.

309 10. Myint PK, Kwok CS, Luben RN et al. Body fat percentage, body mass index and waist-hip-

310   ratio as predictors of mortality and cardiovascular disease. *Heart* 2014; 100(20):1613-1619.

311 11. Giles GG, Ireland PD. *Dietary Questionnaire for Epidemiological Studies (Version 2)*,

312   Melbourne, The Cancer Council Victoria, 1996.

313 12. Scott D, Blizzard L, Fell J et al. Prospective associations between ambulatory activity, body

314   composition and muscle function in older adults. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2011; 21(6):e168-

315   175.

316 13. Sánchez-Cubillo I, Perieáñez JA, Adrover-Roig D et al. Construct validity of the trail making

317   test: role of task switching, working memory, inhibition/interference control, and visuomotor

318   abilities. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2009; 15(3):438-450.

319 14. Strauss E, Sherman EMS, Spreen O. *A compendium of neuropsychological tests:*

320   *administration, norms, and commentary*, 3rd ed., New York, Oxford University Press, 2006.

321 15. Benedict RHB, Schretlen D, Groninger L et al. Hopkins verbal learning test – revised:

322   normative data and analysis of inter-form and test-retest reliability. *Clin Neuropsychol* 1998;

323   12(1):43-55.

324 16. González-Palau F, Franco M, Jiménez F et al. Clinical utility of the Hopkins verbal test-

325   revised for detecting Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment in Spanish

326   population. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2013; 28(3):245-253.

13

327   17. Vanhelst J, Mikulovic J, Bui-Xuan G et al. Comparison of two actigraph accelerometer
328   generations in the assessment of physical activity in free living conditions. *BMC Res Notes*
329   2012; 5:187.

330   18. Matthew CE. Calibration of accelerometer output for adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2005;
331   37(11 Suppl):S512-522.

332   19. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the computer science and applications, Inc.
333   accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1998; 30(5):777-781.

334   20. Hayes AF, Matthes J. Computational procedures for probing interactions in OLS and logistic
335   regression: SPSS and SAS implementations. *Behav Res Methods* 2009; 41(3):924-936.

336   21. Preacher KJ, Hayes AF. Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing
337   indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behav Res Methods* 2008; 40(3):879-891.

338   22. Faul F, Erdefelder E, Buchner A et al. Statistical power analyses using G*Power 3.1: tests for
339   correlation and regression analyses. *Behav Res Methods* 2009; 41(4):1149-1160.

340   23. Scherder E, Scherder R, Verburgh L et al. Executive functions of sedentary elderly may
341   benefit from walking: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2014;
342   22(8):782-791.

343   24. Era P, Sainio P, Koskinen S et al. Psychomotor speed in a random sample of 7979 subjects
344   aged 30 years and over. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2011; 23(2):135-144.

345   25. Burns JM, Cronk BB, Anderson HS et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and brain atrophy in early
346   Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 2008; 71(3):210-216.

347   26. Colcombe SJ, Erickson KI, Raz N et al. Aerobic fitness reduces brain tissue loss in aging
348   humans. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2003; 58(2):176-180.

349   27. Erickson KI, Prakash RS, Voss MW et al. Aerobic fitness is associated with hippocampal
350   volume in elderly humans. *Hippocampus* 2009; 19(10):1030-1039.

351   28. Kramer AF, Erickson KI, Colcombe SJ. Exercise, cognition, and the aging brain. *J Appl*
352   *Physiol* 2006; 101(4):1237-1242.

353   29. Bland JM, Altman DG. Transformations, means, and confidence intervals. *BMJ* 1996;
354   312(7038):1079.

355   30. Cain KL, Conway TL, Adams MA et al. Comparison of older and newer generations of

356   actigraph accelerometers with the normal filter and the low frequency extension. *Int J Behav*

357   *Nutr Phys Act* 2013; 10:51.

358

Table 1

Participants characteristics (n = 188).

	Value
TMT-A, mean seconds to completion (±SD)	37.320 (12.021)
TMT-B, mean seconds to completion (±SD)	93.100 (36.217)
Age, mean years (±SD)	63.98 (7.3)
Gender, percent female	53.7
HVLT Total Recall (range = 11-36), mean score (±SD)	25.510 (5.106)
Level of education attained (range = 1-7), mean level (±SD)	3.290 (1.747)
WHR, mean cm/cm (±SD)	1.123 (0.110)
Alcohol intake, mean g/day (±SD)	14.007 (15.498)
Has the person ever smoked, percent smoked	1.511(0.501)
Leg strength, mean kg (±SD)	97.580 (51.131)
Sedentary PA, mean min/day (±SD)	581.670 (93.844)
Light PA, mean min/day (±SD)	228.560 (69.292)
Moderate PA, mean min/day (±SD)	31.490 (21.923)
Vigorous PA, mean min/day (±SD)	0.390 (1.318)
Wear time minutes (±SD)	843.37 (75.587)

Table 2

Bivariate correlations for variables entered into the multiple regressions (n = 188).

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	TMT-A	1														
2	TMT-B	.469**	1													
3	Age	.206**	.281**	1												
4	Gender	-.082	-.042	.006	1											
5	HVLT total recall	-.227**	-.349**	-.164*	.314**	1										
6	Level of education attained	-.063	-.315**	.075	-.113	.195**	1									
7	WHR	-.108	-.121	-.073	.597**	.251**	-.012	1								
8	Alcohol intake	.125	-.218**	-.123	-.277**	.089	.106	-.102	1							
9	Has the person ever smoked	.019	-.137	.078	.158*	.022	-.124	.184*	-.160*	1						
10	Leg strength	.013	-.047	-.198**	-.753**	-.137	.093	-.406**	.268**	-.119	1					
11	Sedentary PA	.082	.050	.147*	-.008	.001	.184*	-.098	-.045	-.104	-.024	1				
12	Light PA	-.039	-.242**	-.261**	.008	.092	-.172*	.122	.053	.168*	.120	-.621**	1			
13	Moderate PA	-.047	-262**	-.329**	-.138	.135	.043	.163*	.063	.018	.276**	-.384**	.412**	1		
14	Vigorous PA	.030	-.114	-.124*	-.104	.057	.105	.055	.136	-.002	.184*	.084	.008	.247**	1	
15	Total wear time minutes	.051	-.241**	-.156*	-.046	.127	.084	.039	.015	.018	.164*	.555**	.274**	.199**	.203**	1

alpha = 0.05. Note: *<0.05, **<0.01.

17

Table 3

Summary of the multiple regression analysis results for TMT-B (set-shifting performance) (n = 188).

Factor	B	SE	t	95% Confidence Interval for B		S^2
				Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
Age	0.963	0.331	2.912	0.310	1.616	0.031
HVLT total recall	-1.455	0.447	-3.253	-2.337	-0.572	0.038
Level of education attained	-6.348	1.336	-4.752	-8.984	-3.712	0.082
Alcohol intake	-0.380	0.144	-2.630	-0.664	-0.095	0.025
Has the person ever smoked	-13.593	4.558	-2.982	-22.589	-4.598	0.032
Sedentary PA	-0.051	0.031	-1.629	-0.112	0.011	0.010
Light PA	-0.114	0.043	-2.690	-0.198	-0.030	0.026
Moderate PA	-0.180	0.121	-1.484	-0.419	0.059	0.008
Vigorous PA	0.402	1.487	0.270	-2.533	3.337	0.0003

alpha = 0.05. Note: S^2 is the squared semi-partial correlation. Whereas, R^2 is the percent of variability in the dependent variable that is accounted for by a linear combination of all factors in the regression model, S^2 is the percent of variability in the dependent variable that is uniquely accounted for by a single factor.