

Role of membrane fouling substances on the rejection of N-nitrosamines by reverse osmosis

This is the Accepted version of the following publication

Fujioka, T, Kodamatani, H, Aizawa, H, Gray, Stephen, Ishida, KP and Nghiem, LD (2017) Role of membrane fouling substances on the rejection of Nnitrosamines by reverse osmosis. Water Research, 118. 187 - 195. ISSN 0043-1354

The publisher's official version can be found at http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0043135417302518?via=ihub Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/35164/

1	Role of membrane fouling substances on the rejection of				
2	N-nitrosamines by reverse osmosis				
3	Takahiro Fujioka ^{1,*} , Hitoshi Kodamatani ² , Hidenobu Aizawa ³ ,				
4	Stephen Gray ⁴ , Kenneth P. Ishida ⁵ , Long D. Nghiem ⁶				
5	¹ Water and Environmental Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagasaki				
6	University, 1-14 Bunkyo-machi, Nagasaki 852-8521, Japan				
7	² Division of Earth and Environmental Science, Graduate School of Science and Engineering,				
8	Kagoshima University, 1-21-35 Korimoto, Kagoshima 890-0065, Japan				
9	³ National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, 16-1 Onogawa, Tsukuba,				
10	Ibaraki 305-8569 Japan				
11	⁴ Institute for Sustainability and Innovation, Victoria University, Hoppers Ln, Werribee VIC				
12	3030, Australia				
13	⁵ Research & Development Department, Orange County Water District, 18700 Ward Street,				
14	Fountain Valley, CA 92708, USA				
15	⁶ Strategic Water Infrastructure Laboratory, School of Civil Mining and Environmental				
16	Engineering, The University of Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia				

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

^{19 *} Corresponding author: Takahiro Fujioka, Email: tfujioka@nagasaki-u.ac.jp, Ph +81 095 819 2695



Fig. S1 – Schematic diagram of the cross-flow RO filtration system.



 $Fig. \ S2-\text{HPLC-PR-CL}\ chromatogram\ of\ NDMA,\ NMOR,\ NMEA\ and\ NPYR.$

Chemical	Injection	Peak height of 50 ng/L dose $[\mu V]$		Recovery [%]
	volume [µL] -	Secondary wastewater effluent	Clean water matrix	
NDMA	200	25526	38820	66
	20	4352	4537	96
NMEA	200	14545	16709	87
	20	1802	1847	98
NPYR	200	15297	16994	90
	20	1827	1911	96
NMOR	200	16733	19026	88
	20	2163	2049	106

Table S3 – HPLC-PR-CL peak height and recovery for each *N*-nitrosamine. *N*-nitrosamines were not detected in the secondary wastewater effluent sample prior to spiking of NDMA into the wastewater samples.

Fraction	Molecular weight range	Properties	Description
Biopolymers	> 20,000 Da	Hydrophilic, Not UV-absorbable	Polysaccharides and proteins
Humics	~1,000 Da	Hydrophobic, UV-absorbable	Calibration based on humic acid and fulvic acids of Suwannee River standards from IHSS.
Building blocks	350–500 Da	UV-absorbable	Breakdown products of humics.
Low molecular weight acids	< 350 Da	Negatively charged at neutral pH, Aliphatic	All aliphatic low molecular mass organic acids, Small amount of humics
Low molecular weight neutrals	< 350 Da	Weakly charged, hydrophilic or slightly hydrophobic (i.e. amphiphilic)	Alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, amino acids, biogenic organic matter

 Table S4 – Description of LC-OCD fractions.



Fig. S5 – Changes in *N*-nitrosamine rejection and TMP during RO treatment of solutions containing (a) 50 mg/L of sodium alginate, (b) 100 mg/L of BSA and (c) 50 mg/L of humic acid with ESPA2 membrane (20 mM NaCl, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM CaCl₂, feed temperature = 20.0 ± 0.1 °C, permeate flux = (a)–(b) 60 L/m²h and (c) 80 L/m²h).



Fig. S6 – Changes in *N*-nitrosamine rejection and TMP during RO treatment of solutions containing 20 mg/L of Pahokee Peat fulvic acid with ESPA2 membrane (20 mM NaCl, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM CaCl₂, feed temperature = 20.0 ± 0.1 °C, permeate flux = $80 \text{ L/m}^2\text{h}$).



Fig. S7 – Changes in *N*-nitrosamine rejection and TMP during NF treatment of solutions containing (a) 30 mg/L and (b) 20 mg/L of Pahokee Peat fulvic acid with ESPA1-LF membrane (20 mM NaCl, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM CaCl₂, feed temperature = 20.0 ± 0.1 °C, permeate flux = $120 \text{ L/m}^2\text{h}$).



Fig. S8 – Changes in *N*-nitrosamine rejection and TMP during NF treatment of solutions containing 50 mg/L of humic acid with ESPA1-LF membrane (20 mM NaCl, 1 mM NaHCO₃, 1 mM CaCl₂, feed temperature = 20.0 ± 0.1 °C, permeate flux = $120 \text{ L/m}^2\text{h}$).