

Application of photo degradation for remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives

This is the Published version of the following publication

Mahbub, Parvez and Nesterenko, PN (2016) Application of photo degradation for remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives. RSC Advances, 6 (81). 77603 - 77621. ISSN 2046-2069

The publisher's official version can be found at http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2016/ra/c6ra12565d#!divAbstract Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository https://vuir.vu.edu.au/36381/

RSC Advances



REVIEW



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 77603

Application of photo degradation for remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives

The advantages of photo degradation for remediation of potentially hazardous and widely used explosives

P. Mahbub* and P. N. Nesterenko

deposited in ground and surface water reserves has received continuous attention from researchers over the past 20 years, particularly due to energy efficiency, rapidness and the environmental safety associated with photo degradation processes. Hence, this review has introduced an up-to-date collation of knowledge regarding the radiation sources for effective photo degradation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives, irradiation time, photocatalysts as well as important physical-chemical parameters such as pH and substrate concentrations. Most importantly, this review has highlighted the fact that recent advances in continuous-flow photo chemistry, micro photo-reactor technology, energy efficient light sources at low wavelengths as well as advances in synthesizing photosensitive nanostructures have opened up new possibilities in regards to the application of advanced oxidation technology using photon energy for rapid, safe and energy efficient remediation of hazardous organic contaminants in the environment.

Received 14th May 2016 Accepted 10th August 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra12565d

www.rsc.org/advances

Introduction

Contamination of surface and ground water reserves resulting from the release of energetic materials (EM) contained in pink water (generated during the demilitarisation of munitions in

Australian Centre for Research on Separation Science, School of Physical Sciences, University of Tasmania, Hobart 7001, Australia. E-mail: Parvez.mahbub@utas.edu. au; pmahbub@yahoo.com; Tel: +61 3 6226 1070 the factories by sinking the munitions in hot water basins) as well as in red water (generated during the production of munitions in ammunition plants) is a serious problem worldwide.¹⁻³ In 2010, more than 12 million pounds of EMs were released into waterways by the US Army's Radford Ammunition Plant.⁴ Additionally, EMs contained in unexploded ordnances in warfare zones and live firing ranges were reported to infiltrate into the near-surface and ground water reserves *via* leaching through soil.^{5,6} Certini *et al.*⁷ attributed the modern era



Dr Parvez Mahbub Parvez completed his PhD in environengineering mental from Queensland University of Technology (Brisbane, Australia) in 2011 and worked as a post doctoral fellow at the school of chemistry in University of Tasmania (Hobart, Australia) from 2012 to 2014. He currently holds a University Associate position at the School of Physical Sciences in University of Tas-

mania. His research interest includes remediation of extremely hazardous organic compounds, flow injection analyses, chemiluminescent detection for hazardous compounds, characterisation of light sources for analytical and industrial purposes as well as chemometrics. Parvez is a professional member of Engineers Australia since 2006.



Prof. Pavel N. Nesterenko received PhD (1984) and DSc degrees (2000) in chemistry from Lomonosov Moscow State University (Moscow, Russia). In 2006 moved to Australia, where he holds a strategic position of New Stars Professor in School of Physical Sciences of the University of Tasmania, Hobart. Author of more than 300 scientific publications including 3 monographs, 9 Chapters in

books, 290 regular papers and 12 patents. Research interest is associated with development of new advanced adsorbents and flow through technologies for various separation and detection techniques. Member of advisory and editorial boards for 6 international journals in the field of analytical chemistry and separation sciences. Editor-in-Chief of journal Current Chromatography. chemical contamination of soils by warfare activities and the resultant negative impact on ground water quality largely to EMs such as, cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives. Both cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives were classified as high explosives (HE) in the United Nations listing due to their mass explosion hazards through secondary detonation.8 Hence, the environmental remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives through degradation is an important task as it aims to abating the detrimental impacts of such substances on human health and ecosystem. Depending on the chemical and physical characteristics of the EM in the target explosives to be degraded, fours types of degradation techniques namely, acid degradation, photo degradation, thermal degradation and bio degradation were employed by the remediation practitioners and chemists.9,10 Fig. 1 illustrates the chemical structures of the cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives currently being used worldwide.

The fate and transport of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives were extensively studied by researchers with a view to understand the far reaching impact of such EMs on human health and environment through potential transport pathways.

Sorption and transport studies of various nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives established the fact that nitramine explosives such as RDX and CL-20 as well as photo degraded products of nitroaromatic explosives such as 2-amino-4,6-dinitrobenzoic acid can reach groundwater through sorption of these HE molecules/degradation products to the colloidal fractions of soil.¹¹⁻¹³ To the contrary, undegraded nitroaromatic explosives such as 2,4,6-TNT was reported to be increasingly partitioned to soil-immobile phase which inhibited its transport to groundwater.14 The relatively high aqueous solubility of TNT (130 mg L^{-1} at 20 °C;¹⁵) compared to that of RDX (38.9 mg L^{-1} at 20 °C;¹⁶) and CL-20 (3.11 mg L^{-1} at 20 °C;¹⁷) may contribute towards faster dissolution and transport of TNT into the surface water reserves via surface runoff rather than groundwater reserves via sorption and leaching. Brannon and Myers¹⁸ described the dissolution of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives into the aqueous phase as one of the primary processes determining their fate, once released into the environment. Both Kalderis et al.9 and Pichtel10 reported photolysis of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives in aqueous phase as the major transformation process in waste streams and surface



Fig. 1 Structures of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives: octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (HMX), octahydro-1-nitroso-3,5,7-trinitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine (1NO-HMX), hexahydro-1-nitroso-3,5-dinitro-1,3,5-triazine (MNX), hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitroso-5-nitro-1,3,5-triazine (DNX), hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitroso-1,3,5-triazine (TNX), hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine (RDX), 2,4,6,8,10,12-hexanitro-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexaazaisowurtzitane (CL-20), 5-nitro-2,4-dihydro-(1,2,4)triazol-3-one also known as nitrotriazolone (NTO), 2,4,6-trinitrophenol also known as picric acid (TNP), 2,4,6-trinitrophenylmethylnitramine also known as *N*-methyl-*N*,2,4,6-tetranitroaniline (tetryl), 2,4,6-trinitro-toluene (2,4-6-TNT), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT), 2,6-dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT), mononitrotoluenes or MNT (2-MNT, 4-MNT), ammonium picronitrate (AP), 2,4-dinitrobenzoic acid (2,4-DNBA), 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (1,3,5-TNB), 1,3-dinitrobenzene (1,3-DNB), 1,4-dinitrobenzene (1,4-DNB), nitrobenzene (NB), 4-chloronitrobenzene (CNB), *ortho*-nitroanisole (2-NAN), 2,4-dinitroanisole (DNAN), triaminotrinitrobenzene (TATB), 2,6-diamino-3,5-dinitropyrazine-1-oxide also known as LLM-105 (LLM-105), trinitroresorcinol also known as styphnic acid (TNR), hexanitrostilbene (HNS), hexanitrobenzene (HNB).

Review

water bodies in terms of degree of mineralisation and rapidness compared to other transformation processes such as hydrolysis, thermolysis and bio degradation. Additionally, Bordeleau *et al.*¹⁹ ascribed photolysis as a major attenuation mechanism while investigating photo degradation of RDX in infiltrated water and surface water bodies after the release of this HE from a military training range nearby.

A number of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic HEs such as RDX and 1,3,5-TNB (a degradation product of TNT) were identified as potential water contaminants by the USEPA.²⁰ The detrimental effects of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives and their residues on human health and ecosystem were well documented in literatures.^{16,21–24} Detailed accounts of bitter taste, burning eyes and discolouration of skin and hair of workers in TNT and DNT disposal facilities were presented by Letzel *et al.*²⁵

In 2002, a total of 6.2 metric tons of nitroaromatic explosives such as, NB and DNT were released in soil in the United States with 70 sites contaminated with nitroarene and their chemical precursors.²¹ In 2006, 3130 metric tons of cyclic nitramine explosives such as RDX was produced in the USA.26 The 2015 Australian explosive manufacturing market research report stated 5.2% annual growth of explosive production from 2011 to 2016.27 In the 2013-2014 financial year, Australia had a 3.5 billion dollar explosive industry which mainly produced cyclic nitramines, nitroaromatics and ammonium nitrate based commercial explosives.²⁸ A site specific policy by the Department of Defence (DOD) in Australia stipulated the use of the chemical oxidation/reduction technologies for the remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives in groundwater mainly due to the shorter clean-up time compared to bio degradation technologies.²⁹ Additionally, Sheremata et al.³⁰ reported that only traces of RDX were mineralised to CO2 and N2O by the indigenous microorganisms in nonsterile topsoil with production of toxic nitroso metabolites such as MNX, DNX and TNX in aqueous phase and 55-99% recovery of RDX from sterile topsoil after 5 weeks. Although the chemotaxis-mediated biodegradation of 20 µM cyclic nitramine explosives such as RDX, HMX and CL-20 resulted relatively faster mineralisation within 50 hours³¹ and photo assisted biological transformation of 30 µM RDX required 40 hours,32 the influence of initial concentrations of HEs and pH in aqueous solutions on such degradation were unknown. The nitroaromatic explosives contain at least one nitro (-NO₂) group attached to the aromatic ring which contributes to formation of stable complexes as well as recalcitrance to oxidative degradation in the environment.21 Additionally, cyclic nitramine explosives contain at least one nitro $(-NO_2)$ group along with amine (-NH₂) or nitroso (-NO) group, with at least three nitrogen atoms forming the cyclic structure.³³ The denitration of RDX in aqueous solutions by photolysis was reported to be more rapid than the enzymatic denitration of RDX.34 Hence, during the past 20 years, researchers utilised the high absorption of photon energy by the nitro (-NO₂) groups present in the cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives at various wavelengths with a view to initiate photo degradation and complete mineralisation of these HEs rapidly. The two earliest works on photo degradation of nitroaromatic explosives in aqueous solutions were undertaken by Mabey et al.35 and



Fig. 2 The number of journal papers published in every 5 year on the photolysis of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives since 1993 to present are shown in black circles while the dotted line illustrating the upward trend; a total of 59 papers on the topic was published up to July 2016.

Simmons and Zepp.³⁶ Since then a steady growth of interest in photolysis of both nitroaromatic and cyclic nitramine explosives was observed as illustrated in Fig. 2.

Despite the fact that photo degradation is a very cost effective, safe and rapid remediation technique for cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives, only one review paper regarding remediation of trinitrotoluene in water partially addressed photo degradation along with other processes.¹⁵ Hence, there is a need to collate the detailed knowledge regarding the absorption wavelength, characteristics of the light sources, photo degradation products, pH of the aqueous solutions, influence of photo catalysts and photo sensitizers as well as initial concentrations of these explosives for better implementation of photo degradation based remediation technology of these HEs. The aim of this review is, therefore, to collate the knowledge from the photo degradation studies of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives from the past 20 years with a view to inform remediation practitioners with up-to-date scientific knowledge regarding the applicability of photo degradation of these HEs as a rapid, safe and energy efficient technology.

Methods of photo degradation

The photo degradation process starts with the initial selection of the phases of the reactants and the catalysts in the solution. Depending on the reactants and catalysts in the same or different phases, the photo degradation methods can be either homogeneous or heterogeneous, respectively. Interestingly, both the homogeneous and heterogeneous photo degradation can only incorporate two different modes of operating conditions inside the physical reactors where the degradation takes place. Depending on costs of reagents, degradation time required to mineralising the target hazardous substances as well as fate and toxicity of the degradation products, the photo degradation process may employ reactors which incorporate either batch mode or continuous-flow mode. The photo



Fig. 3 Photo degradation methods are classified in terms of solution phase, operating mode and reaction type.

degradation of the target analytes in homogeneous phase may take place without any additives such as catalysts, promoters, photosensitizers or reagents. Hence, we termed the photo degradation reaction in the absence of any additives in the homogeneous phase as direct photo degradation. However, in many instances, the photo degradation reactions employ different additives to enhance the reaction rates in both homogeneous and heterogeneous phases. Fig. 3 illustrated the different methods of photo degradation.

Photo degradation of explosives

Photo degradation of explosives in soil and water is an effective technique that employed the energy of photons from various light sources to cause dissociation of bonds within the explosive molecule to create simpler molecules. The high absorption of photon energy by the nitro (–NO₂) groups present in cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosive molecules from ultraviolet and visible light sources is the main driving force behind the photo degradation of these HEs. The cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic

explosives include 2,4,6-TNT, 1,3,5-TNB, 2,4-DNT, 2,6-DNT, 1,3-DNB, 1,4-DNB, tetryl, TNP, RDX, HMX, HNS, HNB, NAN, DNAN, NTO, TATB and TNR.^{21,37} Since 2011, the TNT based explosives in artillery shells of US Army were gradually being replaced by IMX-101 which is a mixture of DNAN, NTO and nitroguanidine (NQ).³⁸ Recently, Mahbub *et al.*³⁹ reported another type of explosive known as organic peroxide explosives (OPEs) that manifested efficient photo degradation phenomenon despite having very low molar absorptivity coefficients. As opposed to cyclic nitramines and nitroaromatic explosives, the OPEs are extremely unstable and very sensitive to temperature, shock and friction. Hence, OPEs are not suitable for mass production as military/commercial explosives. Additionally, the hazardous effects of OPEs on human health and ecosystem are not yet established. Hence, this review will not discuss the photo degradation of OPEs.

The photo degradability of these HEs will depend on the photon absorption characteristics of the explosive molecules at a particular wavelength. Dubroca *et al.*⁴⁰ investigated the absorption spectra of TNT, RDX, HMX and tetryl in UV and visible region and reported that the intermolecular hydrogen bonding of these molecules was the key parameter that controlled the photon absorption. In an earlier kinetic study of fulvic acid initiated photolysis of 19 nitroaromatic compounds in aqueous solution with explosive potential, Simmons and Zepp³⁶ demonstrated that the largest enhancement in the rate of photolysis, ranging from 2 to 26 fold, occurred for nitroaromatics that were methylated *ortho* to the nitro group.

Table 1 compiled the molar absorptivity coefficients at maximum absorption wavelengths of various cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives.

Photo degradation methods applied to the cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives

There were many examples when researchers simply utilised different light sources without employing any additives in terms of catalysts, promoters, photosensitizers or reagents in the

Table 1 Photo degradable explosives with corresponding groups are listed with their maximum absorption wavelengths, λ_{max} and molar absorptivity coefficients, ε_{max} . Places were left blank where data were unavailable

Explosives		Solvent	λ_{max} , nm	$\epsilon_{max},M^{-1}cm^{-1}\times 10^3$	Ref.
Cyclic nitramines	HMX	Ethanol	228-229	21	41
·	RDX	Ethanol	213	11	41
	CL-20	Methanol	228	23	42
Nitroaromatics	TNP	Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)	378	19	41
	Tetryl	Ethanol	225	25	41
	2,4,6-TNT	Water	233	16	43
	2,4-DNT	5% ethanol in water	240	29	41
	2,6-DNT	Water	245	_	44
	1,3,5-TNB	Water	220	25	45
	1,3-DNB	Water	231	17	45
	1,4-DNB	Water	262	13	45
			200	18	45
	NB	Water	260	7.5	45
	2-NAN	_	267	5.4	45
	DNAN	Water	300	11	46
	TNR	DMSO	352	10	41

photochemical reaction. Therefore, the subsequent discussions of photo degradation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives will cover photo degradation methods applied for degrading these HEs with and without additives. The discussions also focussed on key information needed for remediation practice such as energy requirement by the light source, yield and products of degradation as well as irradiation time.

Direct photo degradation

Just and Schnoor⁴⁷ employed direct photo degradation of RDX using simulated sunlight (170-2200 nm) generated from a 1000 W metal halide lamp. Direct photo degradation of nitrobenzene was reported by Li et al.48 at a wavelength of 172 nm. However, they found that UV photocatalytic degradation of nitrobenzene in presence of H₂O₂ was 3 times more efficient than UV only in terms of irradiation time. A comparison between direct photo degradations of CL-20 and RDX was carried out by Hawari et al.^{34,49} The initial photo degradation of the monocyclic nitramine (RDX) lead to ring cleavage and decomposition yielding HCHO, HCOOH, NH₂CHO, N₂O, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁺ after 16 hour at 350 nm and at pH 5.5.34 The direct photolysis of the rigid molecule CL-20 at 254-350 nm produced NO2-, NO3-, NH3, HCOOH, N2 and N2O.49 The natural photo degradation of RDX and nitroglycerin in aqueous and solid samples collected from military training camps were undertaken by Bordeleau et al.19 They reported UV degradation of both compounds when dissolved in water, with half-lives between 1 and 120 days. Much slower rates of photo degradation (half lives 2-4 months) were reported for RDX and nitroglycerin bearing solid particles by Bordeleau et al.¹⁹ Recently, Čapka et al.⁵⁰ employed 125 W highpressure mercury lamp to convert -NO2 and -ONO groups, typical for cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives such as HMX, RDX, tetryl, TNT, 2,4-DNT, 2,6-DNT, TNB, 1,3-DNB and NB, to peroxynitrite by absorption of UV light and peroxynitrite was detected by the chemiluminescence reaction with the alkaline solution of luminol. The chemical and physical fates of trace amount of TNT and RDX in environment were reported by Kunz et al.51 through direct UV photo degradation using 150 W halogen source and formation of 2-aminodinirotoluene and 4aminodinirotoluene were reported along with net loss of mass of TNT through sublimation within 30 min irradiation. Interestingly, no degradation product of RDX was reported by Kunz *et al.*,⁵¹ which suggested that the initial concentration of RDX may play a crucial role on the yield of direct photo degradation of RDX. The direct photo degradation as well as humic acid and salt (NaCl) sensitised homogeneous photo degradation of 2,4-DNT in aqueous solution resulted in 50% degradation in 4 hour, 2 hour and 1 hour, respectively.⁵²

Homogeneous photo degradation

The homogeneous photo degradation of nitroaromatics employed UV oxidation with ozone,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ or with hydrogen peroxide,^{53,56} or with the Fenton's reagent $(H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+})$.^{57,58} Rodgers and Bunce²² termed these UV oxidation processes as homogeneous photolysis where UVC (290–200 nm) radiation produced OH' radicals by breaking down H_2O_2 or O_3 . There are currently no research studies on homogeneous UV oxidation with peroxone $(H_2O_2 + O_3)$ systems in regards to the degradation of nitroaromatics or cyclic nitramines.

Beltran *et al.*⁵⁴ and Mcphee *et al.*⁵⁹ suggested that UV oxidation with O_3 was superior to the UV oxidation with H_2O_2 , possibly due to higher molar extinction coefficient of O_3 than H_2O_2 . However, Mcphee *et al.*⁵⁹ criticised that despite removing 2,4,6-TNT from solution, 1,3,5-TNB was one of degradation products of TNT resulting from UV oxidation with O_3 . Hence, the toxicity of the solution was retained even after the UV oxidation with O_3 .

Liou *et al.*⁶⁰ reported oxidative destruction of TNP, AP, 2,4-DNT, tetryl and 2,4,6-TNT, RDX and HMX using Fenton and photo-Fenton processes. For all explosives, Liou *et al.*⁶⁰ found that the oxidation rates significantly increased with increasing the concentration of Fe^{2+} in the Fenton system, as well as through illumination with UV light in the photo-Fenton system. The added UV efficiency of photo-Fenton compared to Fenton system was reported within a range of 1–3 by Liou *et al.*⁶⁰ and is reproduced in Table 2.

Explosives	Reagent [Fe(II)] (mM)	Reaction rate constant in Fenton, $k_{\rm F}~({ m min}^{-1})$	Reaction rate constant in photo-Fenton (40 W UV), $k_{\rm P}$ (min ⁻¹)	Added UV efficiency, $k_{ m P}/k_{ m F}$
TNP	0.144	0.0935	0.1087	1.16
	0.288	0.1691	0.1741	1.03
AP	0.144	0.0947	0.1260	1.33
	0.288	0.2000	0.2190	1.10
DNT	0.090	0.0049	0.0089	1.80
	0.180	0.0108	0.0158	1.46
TNT	0.360	0.0135	0.0359	2.57
	1.440	0.0588	0.0918	1.26
Tetryl	0.360	0.0083	0.0140	1.69
-	0.720	0.0264	0.0437	1.66
RDX	0.720	0.0242	0.0274	1.13
	1.440	0.0407	0.0411	1.01
HMX	0.720	0.0015	0.0031	2.10
	1.440	0.0017	0.0032	1.90

Table 2 Pseudo-first-order constants for Fenton/photo-Fenton oxidation of explosives (adapted from Liou et al.⁶⁰)

Interestingly, Li et al.⁵⁷ reported the increased oxidation rate of mononitrotoluenes (MNT) at 254 nm UV light using Fenton's reagent $(H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+})$ at pH 6 whilst adjusted aqueous solutions of pH 3.0 in UV-Fenton systems were required for the degradations of higher nitro-substituted toluenes. The low molar absorptivity coefficient of H₂O₂ at 254 nm was unsuitable for employing UV with H₂O₂ for the degradation of nitrotoluenes, according to the Li et al.⁵⁷ study. Under simulated solar light, Carlos et al.61 demonstrated an autocatalytic behaviour during the reaction between nitrobenzene and Fe³⁺ and concluded that simulated solar light with cut-off wavelength from 300 nm had negligible impact on Fe³⁺/H₂O₂ initiated degradation of NB. Therefore, conventional UV oxidation with H₂O₂ at 254 nm as well as solar light ($\lambda > 300$ nm) induced photo degradation may not be very effective for the photo degradation of either cyclic nitramine or nitroaromatic explosives. In this regard, Li et al.48 illustrated nearly 90% degradation of NB in combination of UV and H_2O_2 (7:1 molar ratio of H_2O_2 : NB) with 172 nm excimer (Xe_2^* 7.21 ev) UV light where, 4.07 mM NB solution drastically decreased to 0.41 mM NB after treatment of only 20 min. Although the excimer and exciplex UV sources have illustrated characteristic features such as, incoherence, near monochromaticity, more than 22 wavelengths, high UV and vacuum UV intensities, large absorption cross section, low temperature and mercury-free operation as well as long life,62 these innovative UV sources have been under-utilised in the degradation of nitroaromatics and cyclic nitramine explosives. Other methods such as flushing with cyclodextrin solution in photo-Fenton treatment of TNT for its removal from contaminated soil was employed by Yardin and Chiron⁶³ and they reported 1.3 times increase in the TNT degradation rate which was ascribed to the formation of a ternary complex (TNTcyclodextrin-iron) that directed hydroxyl radical reaction toward the oxidation of TNT.

Heterogeneous photo degradation

Rodgers and Bunce²² termed heterogeneous photolysis as the processes where OH' radicals were generated at the surface of a semiconductor (such as TiO₂) in presence of UVA light (400-320 nm). Due to competition between electron-hole recombination and water oxidation in aqueous solution, the semiconductor assisted photolysis was deemed to be an inefficient technique for the degradation of organic pollutants.²² Anatase TiO2 suspension with UV radiation was employed by Lee et al.64 to photodegrade aqueous form of TNT, RDX and HMX without sufficient information regarding the support mechanism for immobilisation of TiO₂ in suspension. Recently, Alam et al.⁶⁵ demonstrated graphene oxide (GO) supported Ag-TiO₂ nanofilms for effective photo degradation of 4-nitrobenzenethiol (4-NBT) upon UV irradiation. Different support mechanisms for TiO₂ catalyst such as, TiO₂ film on borosilicate glass,⁶⁶ nano-TiO₂ on activated carbon fibre or ACF,⁶⁷ surface modification of nanosize TiO2 by chemical adsorption68 were employed by researchers to develop efficient UV-TiO₂ photocatalytic processes for degradation of nitroaromatic explosives. Schmelling and Gray⁶⁹ reported that coloured sensitizers

did not play any detectable role in photo degradation of 2,4,6-TNT in a TiO₂ slurry reactor in near UV radiation ($\lambda > 340$ nm) with 90% degradation of TNT achieved in 120 min. The anticipation of considerable economic savings in large scale operations prompted researchers to utilise sunlight or near visible UV light (400-320 nm) in semiconductor assisted heterogeneous photo degradation of nitroaromatic contaminants in different waste matrices.15 Rajamanickam and Shanthi⁷⁰ utilised ZnO supported heterogeneous photo degradation of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) under solar light irradiation. However, they reported that the degradation was strongly enhanced in the presence of electron acceptors such as H_2O_2 , $K_2S_2O_8$ and KBrO₃. This was attributed to the fact that the conduction band electron hole recombination in ZnO was reduced effectively with addition of electron acceptors such as H₂O₂, K₂S₂O₈ and KBrO₃. Fe-doped TiO₂ dispersions along with H₂O₂ was employed by Zhao et al.,⁷¹ who reported 67.53% removal of total organic carbon of a solution containing 20 mg L^{-1} 4-NP at pH 6.17. In this regard, new narrow-band-gap semiconductor photocatalyst with high negative value of the conduction band was investigated by Qusti et al.72 Silver reduced graphene oxide supported nanocomposites (Ag-RGO with conduction band gap 2.4 eV) were utilised by Qusti et al.72 to reduce nitrobenzene to aniline under visible light. Approximately 100% decomposition of aqueous 0.8 mM nitrobenzene to aniline under 4 hour irradiation under visible light source from xenon (Xe) lamp with maximum emission at 470 nm was reported in this study.

The weak reactivity of RDX and HMX and their poor degradation yield in TiO₂-UV photo degradation scheme was reported by Perchet et al.73 While they attributed the weak reactivity of RDX and HMX to their incapacity to approach the TiO₂ catalyst surface, the effect of experimental pH condition of such reactivity was not discussed by Perchet et al.73 To the contrary, wavelengths >320 nm from a xenon source at pH ranging 3 to 11 were employed by Dillert et al.74 for homogeneous and heterogeneous photo degradation of TNT and TNB. At pH 7, Dillert et al.74 found that TiO2-UV photo degradation reaction rate of TNT was similar to the photo degradation reaction rate of TNT in H₂O₂-UV. However, photo degradation reaction rate of degradation product of TNT (i.e., TNB) was reduced by 16 fold in H₂O₂-UV homogeneous system at pH 7. There was a general increase in the photo degradation reaction rate of TNT in TiO2-UV suspension than in H2O2-UV solution for an increase of pH from 3 to 11. However, this effect was not observed for the TNB solutions. Although it was ascribed by Dillert et al.74 that the bathochromic and hypsochromic shifts of UV-vis absorption of TNT with increased pH were more pronounced in homogeneous solutions than TiO₂-UV, the slower rate of TNB photo degradation in homogeneous solutions prompted them to recommend in favour of TiO2-UV system for TNT and TNB photo degradation. More recently, Khue et al.75 reported 100% degradation of 2,4,6-trinitroresorcine (TNR) in 30 minutes in homogeneous H2O2-UV system. Nevertheless, they found that the combined application of nano-TiO₂ (anatase)/UV/H₂O₂ was the most efficient system for the 98% removal of the degradation product of TNR in 60 minutes.

Parameters affecting photo degradation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives

The radiation source and wavelength, radiation time and catalysts and photosensitizers used in photo degradation, initial concentrations of explosives as well as pH of the solution can affect the yields of photo degradation. Tables 3–5 and the subsequent discussions summarised the parameters affecting all three types of photo degradation discussed in this review.

Radiation source and wavelength

To apply the photo degradation techniques effectively, researchers utilised the ultraviolet absorption wavelength of the energetic molecules, where applicable. For example, the molar absorptivity coefficient of HMX was reported in the order of 21 000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at a maximum absorption wavelength of 225 nm in Table 1. Hence, Wang *et al.*¹¹⁴ employed 229 nm laser UV irradiation for direct photo degradation of HMX in solid samples without reporting details of photo degradation yields and photo degradation products. Additionally, Tanjaroon *et al.*⁸⁶ reported photo dissociation rate of NB as 1.7×10^7 s⁻¹ at 266 nm using picosecond tunable laser where NB was excited to higher absorption band at 250 and 266 nm than at 280 nm from its ground state. This was in conformity with the maximum absorption wavelength of NB at 260 nm as illustrated in Table 1.

For both direct and homogeneous photo degradation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives, the radiation sources primarily employed were mercury vapour lamps ranging from low to medium pressure. For heterogeneous photo degradation of these explosives, high pressure xenon lamps and simulated sunlights were employed. This generally implied to the higher energy requirements in the heterogeneous process for the photo degradation of these explosives. To the contrary, the usual 254 nm wavelength of the mercury vapour lamps was not sufficient for complete mineralisation of these HEs in the direct photo degradation schemes. Near 100% photo degradation was reported in the homogeneous photo degradation schemes that employed the 254 nm wavelength. The heterogeneous photo degradation systems reported 67-100% photo degradation of HEs using wavelengths ranged from 300 to 800 nm.

Radiation time

The irradiation times varied 0.37 to 15 minutes for direct photo degradation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives where low pressure mercury sources were used. Hence, the direct photo degradation mechanisms are suitable for implementing ultra-fast continuous-flow micro-photoreactor technology. To the contrary, the homogeneous photo degradation mechanisms required 10 to 240 minutes irradiation while the heterogeneous photo degradation mechanisms required 90 to 1200 minutes irradiation times. Although the irradiation time will depend on initial concentrations of explosives, the usually longer irradiation times in homogeneous and heterogeneous photo degradation systems will require special design considerations for implementing fast and continuous-flow microphotoreactor technology for the degradation of nitroaromatics and cyclic nitramine explosives.

Catalysts and photosensitizers used

All of the homogeneous photo degradation mechanisms of nitroaromatics and cyclic nitramine explosives employed either Fenton's reagent $(H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+})$ or ozone as catalysts while the heterogeneous photo degradation mechanisms of nitroaromatics and cyclic nitramine explosives employed metal oxides such as graphene oxide, ZnO, TiO₂ as well as sulphides such as CdS as catalysts. Yang et al.84 and Cui et al.85 employed riboflavin as a photosensitizer for photo degradation of 2,4,6-TNT under natural sunlight. The combined effect of photosensitizer such as humic acid and photocatalyst such as TiO₂ on the photo degradation of 2,4,6-TNT in near UV radiation ($\lambda > 340$ nm) was reported by Schmelling et al.¹¹⁰ The strong absorbance of humic acid in the visible region was attributed to the increased rate of sensitised degradation (both photolytic and photocatalytic) of TNT. Nevertheless, the scavenging of reactive species such as OH' by the photosensitizers may lower the rate of direct photocatalytic transformation of nitroaromatic and cyclic nitramine explosives. Recently, Vione et al.¹¹⁵ reported that 10-55% degradation of NB in deep water bodies (10 m depth with dissolved organic carbon concentration 10 mg L^{-1}) occurred due to homogeneous photo degradation in presence of chromophoric dissolved organic matters (CDOMs) where OH' radicals were scavenged by the dissolved organic matters (either chromophoric or not). Hence, the choice of catalysts or photosensitizers in photo degradation studies of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives depends on the reactivity of the radicals towards these explosives during photo reaction.

Initial concentrations of explosives

Lee et al.⁶⁴ reported increased rates of heterogeneous photo degradation of HMX in anatase TiO₂ suspension at decreasing initial concentrations of HMX of 2, 1 and 0.5 mg L^{-1} . It should be noted that while the rate of photo degradation can increase with decreasing initial concentrations of explosives, the initial reaction rate actually increases with increasing initial concentrations of explosives. For example, Priya and Madras97 reported the increased initial reaction rates with increasing initial concentrations (from 0-0.7 M) of various nitroaromatic explosives such as NB and 1,3-DNB in both combustion synthesized TiO₂ (CST) and Degussa P-25 TiO₂ suspensions. Priya and Madras⁹⁷ found faster initial reaction rates in the CST mainly due to the higher surface area, lower band gap and higher hydroxyl species content of CST compared to that of Degussa. The effects of initial concentrations of explosives on the degradation efficiency in both homogeneous and heterogeneous photo degradation systems were also studied by Herrera-Melián et al.¹¹⁶ who reported that solar-TiO₂ photocatalysis combined with adsorption in bench-scale constructed wetland (CW) efficiently degraded up to 200 mg L^{-1} 4-nitrophenol after 4 hour irradiation and 16 hour adsorption whilst higher concentration up to 500 mg L^{-1} 4-nitrophenol required Fenton plus photo Fenton treatment.

		Irradiation			بمامل فمسطما فالمسالم		
Compounds	Radiation Source	<i>t</i> , min	λ, nm	Mode	products	Yield, %	Ref.
RDX	Natural sunlight	I	I	Batch	Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻), nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	Half lives 1–120 days in aqueous solutions and 2–4 months in solid matrices	19
	Simulated sunlight from 1000 W metal halide	8400	170-2200	Batch	Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O), NO ₂ ⁻ and 4-nitro-2,4- diazabutanal	99% degradation of 8.45 μM RDX in aqueous solution was achieved	47
	150 W Xe short arc	06	254	Batch	1,3-Dinitro-1,3,5- triazacyclohex-5-ene, 1- nitro-1,3,5-triazacyclohex- 3-5-ene	95% degradation of 170 µM pure RDX in aqueous solution was achieved	76 and 77
HMX, RDX, tetryl, TNT, 2,4-DNT, 2,6- DNT, 2,6- TNB, DNB, NR	125 W high-pressure mercury	I	I	Continuous- flow	Peroxynitrite	1	20
RDX, CL-20	1000 W Hg-Xe arc	Ŋ	I	I	'NO ₂ radical	In perdeutero-dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO- d_6) solution, free 'NO ₂ production ratio was 1 : 1 for RDX and 4 · 1 from CT 20	78
2,4-DNT	125 W medium pressure mercury	60	I	Batch	1	The second secon	79
2-MNT, 2,4,6- TNT, 2,4-DNT, 2,4- DNRA	Simulated sunlight from 1500 W xenon lamp	240-480	290-800	Batch	Intermediate product of degradation of TNT was 5- amino-2,4-dicarboxy-3,3,5- trinitroazoxybervene	100% degradation of MNT, TNT and DNT in aqueous solutions after 8 h irradiation at 700 W m ^{-2} was achieved; 10% degradation of DNRA at that time	80
2,6-DNT, TNP	Simulated solar radiation (SSR)	4320	200-800	Batch		In sea water solution, 100% degradation for 2,6-DNT was achieved in 72 h; no photo degradation observed for TNP in sea water	81
2,4-DNT, 2,6- DNT	Solar simulator from 1 5 kW Ye lamn	300	<305	I	Dinitrobenzaldehydes and dinitrobenzoic acids	In sea water, 85% and 27% degradation of 55 µM 2,6 DNT and 2,4-DNT, restortively was achieved	82
	Solar simulator from 1.5 kW Xe lamp	360	>295	Batch	I	In sea water, 83% and 42% degradation of 0.06 mM 2,6-DNT and 2,4-DNT, respectively was achieved	83

Table 3 (Contd.)

	Ref.	51	84	85	48	86	46	8	88
	Yield, %	1	In aqueous solution, half life of 50 µM TNT in the presence of 1 µM riboflavin	photosensutzer was $_{21.67}$ mm In surface fresh water solution, half life of 20 mg L ⁻¹ TNT in the presence of 1 μ M riboflavin photosensitizer was 23.96 min	57% of 2.44 mM aqueous solution of NB was degraded in 60 min	NB dissociation rate in gas phase was 1.7 $\times 10^7$ s ⁻¹ at 266 nm. NB was excited to higher absorption band at 250 and 266 nm than 300 nm from its mound state	In aqueous solution, half-lives of $0.7, 0.37$ and 0.11 days were achieved for 1 mg L ⁻¹ DNAN in sunlight, UVA and UVB, respectively	In aqueous solution, 100% degradation was achieved after 21 days of exposure	I
nhoto d'accordation	rnou uegrauauon products	2-Aminodinirotoluene and 4-aminodinirotoluene from 10 μg TNT; RDX degradation products were reported to be completely	Volatilized 3,5-Dinitroaniline (3,5- DNA) and 1,3,5-TNB	1,3,5-TNB in absence of riboflavin, 1,3,5-TNB and two unknown intermediates in presence of riboflavin	<i>p</i> -Benzoquinone, phenol, <i>o</i> - nitrophenol, 1,2- benzenediol, <i>p</i> - nitrocatechol, <i>m</i> -	10^6 molecules per cm ³ NO per pulse	NO ₃ ⁻ , NO ₂ ⁻ and 2,4- dinitrophenol	2-Hydroxy-4-nitroanisole and 2,4-dinitrophenol were intermediate products; at complete degradation, each mole of DNAN yielded 0.7 M NO_3^- , 1 M NH_4^+ , total of 0.9 M HCHO and	HCOOH NO ₂ -ONO isomer of HNS
	Mode	I	Batch	Batch	Batch	I	Batch	Batch	I
	λ, nm	I	>400	Ι	172	250, 266 and 280	UVA (316–400 nm), UVB (280–315 nm), solar simulator (300–400 nm with cut-off filter <300	(iiii	532
Irradiation	<i>t</i> , min	30	I	I	60	I	I	1440	I
	Radiation Source	150 W halogen	Natural sunlight	Natural sunlight	Xe [*] ₂ excimer	20–25 ps tunable Laser	3 different set-up: UVA, UVB and a solar simulator 1700 W Xe	Solar simulator	10 ns Nd-YAG laser
	Compounds	RDX, TNT	2,4,6-TNT		NB		DNAN		SNH

l

		Irradiation						
Compounds	Source	t, min	λ, nm	Mode	oxidiser and/or sensitizer used	Prioto degradation products	Yield, %	Ref.
TNP, AP, 2,4- DNT, tettyl, 2,4,6-TNT, RDX and HMX	40 W UV	25-90	254	Batch	$H_2O_2 (0.29 \ \mu M) + Fe^{2+}$ (0.09-0.72 mM)	I	In aqueous solution, the residual TNP, AP, DNT, TNT, tetryl, RDX and HMX were 6.76%, 4.65%, 67.1%, 19.5%, 55.6%, 7.1% and 76.1%,	60
CNB	Low pressure Hg (15 W and 90 W)	4-35	I	Batch and continuous- flow	H ₂ O ₂ /O ₃	Cl ⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ and CO ₂	Lespectively In aqueous solution, 95% degradation of 90 μ M CNB was achieved in 35 min in batch mode (UV + 1 mM H ₂ O ₂) and 92% degradation of 25 μ M CNB was achieved in 4 min. In continuous-flow mode and in 20 μ M aqueous O ₃ solution of , 75% degradation of 2.2 μ M CNB was	23
RDX	150 W Xe short arc	40	254	Batch	H_2O_2	PFBHA (<i>O</i> - pentafluorobenzyl- hydroxylamine) derivatised formanide and urea	acuteved III 4 IIIII 98% degradation of 20 μM RDX in aqueous solution	76 and 77
	Low pressure Hg UV	2.5	I	Continuous- flow	O ₃	N-Hydroxyformadide, nitroformaldehyde	99% degradation of 6.7 μM RDX in aqueous solution	76 and 77
TNT, RDX, HMX	15 W Hg UV	25	254	Batch	H ₂ O ₂ (0.38–65 mM)		In aqueous solution, near 100% degradation of 23-55 mg L^{-1} RDX, 4 mg L^{-1} HMX and 29-80 mg L^{-1} TNT	89
NB, 2,6-DNT	Low pressure Hg	10-20	I	Batch	03		In aqueous solution, 6×10^{-5} M DNT completely degraded in 10 min at pH 7 and 1.2 $\times 10^{-4}$ M NB 93.3% degraded in 20 min at pH 8.5	54
NB	125 W medium pressure Hg	ى ا	>190	Batch	H_2O_2	I	In aqueous solution, 100% degradation of 0.25 mM NB in presence of 10 mM H_2O_2 was achieved	06
	125 W medium pressure Hg	06	I	Batch	H_2O_2	$NO_{3}^{-}, NO_{2}^{-}, HCOO^{-}, C_{2}O_{4}^{2-}$	In aqueous solution, 73.6% degradation of 1.9 mM NB in presence of $11 \text{ mM } \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ was achieved	91
1,3-DNB	1 kW medium pressure UV	45	220-580	Batch	H2O2	Intermediates such as resorcinol (RS), catechol (CC), hydroquinone (HQ), benzoquinone, nitrohydroquinone (NHQ), 4-nitrocatechol (4-NC), 2,4- dinitrophenol, phenol, 3- nitrophenol as well as final mineralisation products such as NO_3^- , NO_2^- and CO_2	In aqueous solution, around 97% degradation of 0.11 mM 1,3-DNB in presence of 9.3 mM H ₂ O ₂ was achieved in 30 min. Complete mineralisation was achieved in 45 min	22

		Irradiation				-		
Compounds	kadiation Source	<i>t</i> , min	λ, nm	Mode	Oxidiser and/or sensitizer used	Photo degradation products	Yield, %	Ref.
2-MNT, 2,4-DNT, 2,4,6-TNT	6 W low pressure Hg	I	254	I	${\rm H_2O_2/O_3/H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+}}$	I	40% decrease of initial DOC level for $2,4,6$ -TNT in aqueous solution in presence of $12-14 \text{ mM H}_2\text{O}_2$. Evidences for other UV methods were inconclusive	56
2,4-DNT	125 W medium pressure Hg	60	I	Batch	$H_2O_2/H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+}$	I	In aqueous phase, 90% and 100% removal of 100 ppm DNT in photo- peroxide system and photo-Fenton system, respectively after 1 h 96% and 57% removal of TOC and TN in photo-	79
	Low pressure Hg UV	4	254	Batch	Cationic surfactant cetyltrimethylammonium, bromide (CTAB) with the anionic nucleophile, borohydride (BH ₄ ⁻)	2-Methyl-5-nitroaniline, 4- nitrotoluene, 2- nitrotoluene, 4-methyl-3- nitroaniline, 2,4- diaminotoluene, <i>o</i> - toluidine, 1,3- dinitrobenzene, 3- nitroaniline, <i>p</i> -cresol, and	In aqueous solutions, 70% degradation of 0.1 mM 2,4-DNT with overall half-life 2.1 min in 3 mM CTAB was achieved	6
MNT, DNT, TNT	I	15-60	254	Batch	$H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+}$	z,4-tuannuopuenoi Oxalic acid, CO ₂ , NO ₃ ⁻ and H ₂ O	95% degradation of TNT in aqueous soil extract was achieved in 60 minutes at pH 3. For MNT and DNT, 15 and 30 minutes were required, respectively to achieve similar	57
2,4,6-TNT	Medium pressure mercury	240	254	Batch	Methylated-β-cyclodextrin (MCD), hydroxypropyl-β- cyclodextrin (HPCD), H ₂ O ₂	Ternary complex (TNT- cyclodextrin-iron), oxalic acid and formic acid	uegradation at pri 3 In soil extract solution, 95% of the initial TOC value abatement was achieved	63
	8 × 10 W low pressure mercury	120	I	Batch	$H_2O_2/H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+}$	1,3,5-Trinitrobenzene, 1- methyl-2,4-dinitrobenzene, 2,5-dinitrobenzoic acid and 1,3-dinitrobenzene	In aqueous solutions, TNT residual ratios were 43.4% and 5% in photo-peroxide and photo-Fenton systems, respectively for 2.4 mW cm ⁻² UV interactives.	94
ANT	Natural sunlight as well as 150 W medium pressure Hg UV	120	254	Batch	$H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+}$	NO ₃ -	Internation In aqueous solution, >92% of 0.87 mM TNP was mineralised in solar Fenton as well as UV Fenton process at pH 3	93

Table 4 (Contd.)

losives
atic exp
oaroma
l nitro
e anc
itramin€
/clic n
of cy
dies (
ר stu
gradatior
c dec
alytic
notocata
id sno
erogene
of het
o Ku
Summa
ŝ
Table

		Irradiation			-			
Compounds	Radiation Source	<i>t</i> , min	λ, nm	Mode	Semi-conductor photocatalyst	Photo degradation products	Yield, %	Ref.
NB	Xe lamp	240	470	Batch	Ag-Reduced graphene oxide (RGO)	Aniline	100% decomposition of 0.8 mM NB in ethanol solution to aniline in	72
	3 W blue LED	Up to 1200	>420	Batch	nanocomposite (Ag-RGO) CdS nanostructure	Aniline	presence of 50 mg Ag-RGO powder 19–97% decomposition of 10 mM NB	96
	125 W high pressure Hg	240	365	Batch	Combustion synthesized nano-TiO ₂	Ι	In alcoholic solution to anilines in presence of 20 mg CdS analopowder 87% degradation of 75 mg L^{-1} NB in aqueous solution with anatase TiO ₂ loading of 75 mg L^{-1} . Hydroxy and	97
							chloro substitution on NB accelerated the degradation rate while nitro substitution on NB decelerated the photo degradation rate	
	125 W high pressure Hg	150	<340	Batch	TiO ₂	Nitrohydroquinone, <i>p</i> - benzoquinone, nitrophenols (NPhOH), nitrosobenzene, dinitrobenzenes, CH ₃ COO ⁻ , HCOO ⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻	Around 100% degradation of 3 μ M NB in aqueous solution with anatase TiO ₂ loading of 2.5 g L ⁻¹ . Chemical yields of 2., 3- and 4-NPhOH were 6.3%, 7.5% and 6%, respectively at irradiation times corresponding to 25	86
1,3-DNB	125 W low pressure UV	240	254	Batch and continuous- flow	TiO2	<i>•-, m-, p</i> -Nitrophenol, 2,4- dinitrophenol, CO ₂ , H ₂ O	65% degradation of 1,3-DNB in aqueous solution with 0.2% (w/v) anatase TiO, loading was achieved	66
ANT	500 W super- high pressure Hg	60-180	330-390	Batch	TiO2	NO ₃ ⁻ , formic acid and acetic acid	98% degradation of 0.2 mM aqueous solution of TNP in 60 min whilst 60% degradation of 1 mM aqueous solution of TNP in 180 min with anatase TiO ₂ loading of 75 mg in 25 mL sample (wv); 33% TOC of 1 mM TNP reduced in 240 min whilst complet reduction of TOC of 0.2 mM	100
	Simulated sunlight and medium pressure Hg	120	I	Batch	ZnO, TiO ₂	I	120 min UV irradiation resulted 100% degradation of 1 mM TNP and 15 min UV irradiation resulted 100% degradation of 0.1 mM TNP, both in 2 g L^{-1} ZnO suspension. In sunlight, 80 hour irradiation was required to achieve 90%, 80% and 55% degradation of 0.1 mM TNP through ZnO, TiO ₂ and direct photolysis,	101
RDX	Simulated sunlight	120	400-800	I		Formic acid, CO ₂	respectively When ACF cloth loaded with nano- TiO ₂ immersed in simulated	67

(Contd.)	
ŝ	
Table	

Thic	iournal	ic	0	The	Roval	Society	of	Chamistry	2016
11113	journat	13	9	11IC	noyai	JUCICITY	UI.	CHCHIISUY	2010

	:	Irradiation			-	-			ew
Compounds	kadiation Source	<i>t</i> , min	λ, nm	Mode	semi-conductor photocatalyst	Pnoto degradation products	Yield, %	Ref.	
					Nano-TiO ₂ (anatase : rutile = 1 : 1) on activated carbon fibre (ACF) cloth		wastewater solution containing 40 mg L ⁻¹ RDX, 95% degradation of aqueous RDX was achieved		
RDX, HMX	415 W/05 UV	480	300-450	Batch	TiO ₂	I	At pH 7.4, 13.7% RDX and 0% HMX degradation in 1 : 100 diluted sludge solution was achieved with 1 g L^{-1}	73	
	100 W Hg and 500 W halogen	120	I	Batch	ZnS doped with Cu (Zn _{0.95} Cu _{0.05} S)	Ι	TiO ₂ loading At pH 7, 91% and 93% degradation of 40 mg L ⁻¹ RDX and 4 mg L ⁻¹ HMX in aqueous solution, respectively was achieved with of 150 mg L ⁻¹	102	
	15 W UV	150	254	I	TiO ₂	HCOO ⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , NO ₂ ⁻ and NH ₄ ⁺	photocatalyst loading T_{1} At pH 7, 20% reduction in TOC for 20 mg L ⁻¹ RDX and 60% reduction in TOC for 5 mg L ⁻¹ HMX in aqueous solution was achieved with 0.7 g L^{-1}	103	
NTO	I	180	>290	Batch	TiO ₂ P25 (Degussa)	NO_3^- and NO_2^-	TiO_2 loading 100% degradation of 150 mg L ⁻¹ NTO in aqueous solution was achieved	104	
2,4,6-TNT	8 W UV	210	254	Batch	TiO ₂ -SiO ₂ aerogel + H ₂ O ₂ (0.35 mM)	I	with 0.4 g L ⁻¹ TiO ₂ loading 99% mineralisation of 100 mg L ⁻¹ TNT in aqueous solution with 0.3 g L ⁻¹ TiO ₂ -SiO ₂ (1 : 1) acrogel powder	105	
	15 W UV	150	254	Continuous- flow	TiO2	CH $_3$ COO ⁻ , HCOO ⁻ , NO $_3^-$, NO $_2^-$ and NH $_4^+$	loading was reported At pH 7, 100% degradation of 30 mg L^{-1} TNT in aqueous solution with 1 g	106	
	500 W halogen + 9 W UV	35	254, 365, >400	Batch	TiO ₂ , WO ₃ /TiO ₂ , Au/TiO ₂ and Au/WO ₃ /TiO ₂ in presence of O ₃ or O ₂ –O ₃ mixture	I	L^{-1} 102, loading At pH 7 and at 254 nm wavelength, 100% degradation of 0.53 mM TNT in aqueous solution in presence of O_{2}^{-} O_{3} with 1 g L ⁻¹ loading of Au/WO ₃ /	107	
	200 W Hg UV	300	>290	Batch	TiO ₂	${ m NO_3^-}$ and ${ m NH_4}^+$	TiO ₂ photocatalyst 50 mg L ⁻¹ TNT in aqueous solution was degraded 98% in 60 min but 100% mineralisation of degraded TNT products required 300 min irradiation in O_2 bubbled TiO ₂ slurry	108	
	400 W low pressure Hg UV	25-90	I	Batch	TiO ₂	Trinitrobenzene, 3,5- dinitroaniline, 2-amino- 4,6-dinitrotoluene, 4- amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene	with 0.1 g L^{-1} TiO ₂ loading With 1 g L^{-1} TiO ₂ loading, 100% oxidative degradation of aqueous solution of 50 mg L^{-1} TNT in absence of 1% methanol was achieved in 30 minutes. However, 100% reductive	109	RSC Advances

	Dadiation	Irradiation		I	Camilton	Dhoto decredation		
Compounds	Source	<i>t</i> , min	λ, nm	Mode	photocatalyst	products	Yield, %	Ref.
	450 W medium pressure Hg UV	60	>340	Batch	Ti02	1,3,5-TNB	degradation of TNT in presence of 1% methanol was achieved in 90 minutes With 0.25 g L^{-1} TiO ₂ loading, 100% degradation of aqueous solution of 50 mg L^{-1} TNT at both pH 5 and 8.5. To the contrary, 60% mineralisation of	110
Red water containing 2,4,6-TNT, 2- MNT, 4-MNT, 2,6-DNT, 2,4- DNT, 2,4-	500 W Hg-W	300	I	Batch	ZnO : Cu ₂ O (4 : 2.5) on diatomite	1,3,5-TNB	50 mg L^{-1} TNT at pH 8.5 compared to 90% mineralisation of 50 mg L^{-1} TNT at pH 5 With 5 g L^{-1} photocatalyst loading, 72.8% of red water degraded in 420 nm wavelength	7
DNT, 1,3,5- TNB DNT	300 W Xe	240	250-380	Batch	H ₃ PW ₁₂ O ₄₀ /TiO ₂ and H ₄ SiW ₁₂ O ₄₀ /TiO ₂	Ι	At pH 2 with 0.8 g L ⁻¹ H ₃ PW ₁₂ O ₄₀ / TiO ₂ catalyst loading, 95% deeradation of aqueous solution of 40	111
TNR	15 W UV	30	254	Continuous- flow	$TiO_{2} + H_{2}O_{2}$	TNT, CO ₂ , NO ₃ ⁻	mg L^{-1} DNT was reported With 1 g L^{-1} TiO ₂ loading and in presence of 10 mM H ₂ O ₂ , 90% degradation of aqueous solution of 0.1 mM TNR was achieved but 40%	75
NB, 2,4,6- TNT, 1,3,5- TNB, DNT, DNB	150 W Xe	10–20	>320	Batch	TiO ₂	In neutral and alkaline pH, 1,3,5-TNB was the intermediate product of 2,4,6-TNT photodegradation and 1,3- DNB was the main product	TOC from the degradation products of TNR was removed With 1 g L^{-1} TiO ₂ loading, 16%, 16% and 4% degradation of aqueous solution of 100 μ M 2,4,6-TNT, 1,3- DNB and 1,3,5-TNB in 20 minutes, respectively at pH 3. 20% and 28% degradation of 100 μ M 2,6-DNT and	112
TNT and NB mixture	15 W high pressure Hg	60-300	>290	Continuous- flow	$TiO_2 + O_2$	of 2,6-DNT photodegradation. In acidic pH, no such products were observed —	NB in 10 minutes, respectively at pH 3 With 0.6 g L^{-1} TiO ₂ loading and continuous bubbling of O ₂ , 90% degradation of aqueous solution of 50 mg L^{-1} TNT and 30 mg L^{-1} NB mixture was reported in 60 minutes at pH 6.5	113

Table 5 (Contd.)

Compounds	Light intensity, μM photons per min	Initial reaction rates, $\mu M L^{-1} min^{-1}$							
		рН 3	pH 4	рН 5	pH 7	pH 9	pH 10	pH 11	
2,4,6-TNT	3.5	1.13						1.6	
	3.1	0.94	1.01	1.19	1.31	1.2	1.26	1.19	
	2.6	1.13	1.19	1.19	1.13	1.1	1.22	1.3	
2,4-DNT	3.5	3.24						2.06	
2,6-DNT	3.1	2.37						1.66	
1,3,5-TNB	3.9	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.32	0.3	0.3	0.22	
	2.9	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.45	0.33	0.28	0.29	
1,3-DNB	3.0	1.95	0.91	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.93	0.74	
1,4-DNB	3.1	1.2						0.83	
NB	3.0	3.96	3.5	4.19	4.01	3.79	4.2	3.31	

Table 6 Effects of pH and incident light intensity on the initial reaction rates (μ M L⁻¹min⁻¹) of nitroaromatic explosives (100 μ M) with 1 g L⁻¹TiO₂ suspension (partially adapted from Dillert *et al.*¹¹²)

pН

Dillert et al.¹¹² demonstrated the fact that whilst pH did not affect the initial reaction rate of a wide range of nitroaromatic explosives in TiO2 suspensions, pH of the suspension had a pronounced effect on the degradation pathways of these nitroaromatic explosives. For example, at pH 9 and pH 11, about 18% of the reacted 2,4,6-TNT were identified as 1,3,5-TNB after 10 minutes of irradiation, in acidic media, to the contrary, no 1,3,5-TNB was found. Similar observations were made in the degradation of 2,6-DNT where 1,3-DNB was identified as an intermediate in alkaline but not in acidic suspensions. Recently, Sisco et al.¹¹⁷ also re-established the fact that salinity alone had a negligible impact on the degradation of TNT and RDX in aqueous solutions and RDX was more stable than TNT in aqueous solutions after 3 days of UV exposure. Table 6 is reproduced from Dillert et al.¹¹² to demonstrate the relatively unchanged initial reaction rate for a number of nitroaromatic compounds at acidic and alkaline pH.

Future direction of application of photo degradation in explosive remediation

The future direction of application of photo degradation in remediation of extremely hazardous organic substances such as explosives will rely on the integration of engineering advancements facilitating the pilot or industry scale application of following photo-oxidative processes with principles of photochemistry:¹¹⁸

- UV only.
- UV + Fe²⁺ + H₂O₂.
- UV + H_2O_2 .
- UV + O_3 .
- UV/visible light + new generation semiconductors.

In this context, the recent advances of the continuous-flow photochemistry have been described as the next generation mean for 'rapid, safe and efficient' application of photon sciences in a number of industries such as, water treatment, polymer synthesis, nanoparticle and small molecule synthesis.¹¹⁹ It is important to note that all of the above listed photo-oxidative processes can be applied in both batch photo reactors and continuous-flow photo reactors. At present the batch photo reactors overwhelmingly outnumber continuousflow photo reactors in the context of large scale application of photo degradation. This could be attributed to lack of research studies regarding the effects of the irradiation time, radiation sources, radiation and mass transport constraints as well as solvent constraints on the quantum yields of various photochemical reactions to facilitate "scale-up" in continuous-flow photo reactors.¹²⁰ However, the recent evolution of microreactor technology and its impact on continuous-flow photochemistry have the potential to overcome the "scale-up" issues encountered in continuous-flow photo reactors.¹²¹ In this context, Su *et al.*¹²² demonstrated 2^n (n = 0, 1, 2, 3) parallel micro photo reactors to scale-up the photocatalytic aerobic oxidation of thiols to disulfides with excellent yield result. Various homogeneous and heterogeneous photocatalytic reactions in continuous-flow micro photo reactors with comparable yields at a much faster rate compared to batch photo reactors were reported by Oelgemöller.¹²¹ Additionally, recent advances in the development of light emitting diodes (LEDs) in vacuum UV range with high radiometric energy efficiency¹²³ as well as LED based accurate and facile techniques to measure the radiometric outputs of different light sources124 will surely play a significant role in regards to the development of highly energy efficient light sources at low wavelength particularly in industrial applications of continuous-flow photochemistry in coming decades. Nonetheless, the remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives in liquid form using the micro photo reactor technology is not reported yet and it is envisaged that the requirement of fast, safe, green and cost effective remediation of these hazardous explosives in liquid form will surely draw researchers' attention into the integration of continuousflow micro photo reactor technology with the aforementioned photo-oxidative processes.

Neither homogeneous nor heterogeneous photocatalytic systems currently have complete upper-hand for the degradation of nitroaromatic explosives. While the electron-hole recombination is a major and well-known disadvantage in large scale heterogeneous photocatalytic systems, sustainable methods to suppress electron-hole recombination process in

Table 7 A qualitative comparison of various degradation techniques of EMs in water in terms of existing or future potential (🗸) as well as absence of existing or future potential (X)

Remediation method	Portability	Rapidity	Low cost	Energy efficiency	Environment friendly	Green practice	Scalability
Photo degradation (continuous-flow mode)	1	1	1	✓/X	1	✓/X	1
Photo degradation (batch mode)	x	X	×	√/X	✓/X	X	×
Thermal degradation	×	1	×	X	X	X	1
Bio degradation	×	x	1	1	✓/X	1	X
Acid degradation	X	1	×	1	×	×	X

graphene modified TiO₂ photo catalytic systems using cheap industrial organic waste products such as, glycerols were investigated by Ibadurrohman and Hellgardt.125 Recently, significant efforts have also been made to shift the light sensitivity of TiO₂ from UVA region to visible region through chemical additives (electron donors and suppression of backward reaction), noble metal loading, ion doping, sensitization and metal ion-implantation with a view to produce visible light responsive TiO₂ or VLR-TiO₂.^{126,127} However, efforts to increase the quantum efficiency of VLR-TiO₂ under solar light is still in its infancy¹²⁶ and hence, practical applications of these technologies for remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives in large scale are currently not viable. Balkus Jr¹²⁸ introduced nanoparticles in a variety of shapes including nanotubes, nanorods as well as quantum dots for heterogeneous photocatalysis in various applications including environmental remediation. In this context, Eskandari et al.96 illustrated that CdS nanostructures under visible LED light sources degraded various aromatic nitro compounds to corresponding amines with maximum irradiation time up to 20 h and percentage yield ranged from 40% to 97%. The compromise between photoabsorption efficiency and quantum efficiencies of CdS nanostructures under visible LED light sources might have played a role in the prolonged irradiation time as reported by Eskandari et al.96 Recently, a series of mesoporous materials such as, H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/TiO₂, Y-H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/TiO₂ and La-H₃PW₁₂O₄₀/TiO₂ were introduced by Liu et al.¹²⁹ for rapid and environmentally safe degradation of 2,4-DNT and 2,6-DNT. Hence, this review envisage that the future of application of photo degradation in remediation of hazardous photo degradable explosives relies on several research domains, namely, continuous-flow photochemistry, micro photo reactor technology incorporating energy efficient light sources at low wavelength as well as advances in photosensitive nanostructure development. Additionally, continuous-flow photo degradation has the potential to incorporate rapid, energy efficient, cost effective, portable and green remediation practices not only for effluents from military ranges and ammunition plants but also for the remediation of water in swimming pools, rain water tanks, surface water reserves, fish tanks and ponds used in fisheries industries as well as wastewater from agricultural, livestock and poultry industries. With the advent of energy efficient light sources, green reagents and environmentally safe photocatalysts, the principles of continuous-flow photochemistry will strengthen the futuristic views of cheap and portable

solution for 'scaling-up' the yield of photo degradation in minimal time through direct, homogeneous or heterogeneous photo degradation, where the resultant reactive species from photo degradation will be utilised for remediation of water. In light of the discussions based on future directions of remediation of EMs in water using photo degradation, Table 7 illustrates a qualitative guidance for chemists and remediation practitioners to compare photo degradation with the traditional techniques.

Conclusions

Three types of photo degradation techniques namely direct, homogeneous and heterogeneous photo degradation can be applied for remediation of cyclic nitramine and nitroaromatic explosives. The percentage yield of degradation and degree of mineralisation through photo degradation are influenced by radiation sources, irradiation time, photocatalysts as well as important physical-chemical parameters such as pH and initial substrate concentrations. While the photo degradation of these explosives in batch mode are widely practiced at present, the need for 'fast, safe, cost effective, and energy efficient' remediation of these hazardous explosives has resulted significant advances towards the next generation photo degradation technology. In this context, the recent advances in the synthesis of photosensitive nanostructures as well as highly energy efficient light sources at low wavelength (e.g., deep UV LED) possess the potential to facilitate "scale-up" in continuous-flow micro photo reactors incorporating direct, homogeneous or heterogeneous photo degradation processes.

Acknowledgements

Both authors gratefully acknowledge the logistic support provided by Australian Centre for Research on Separation Science (Across) at University of Tasmania for publishing this review.

References

- 1 Q. Zhu, Y. Zhang, F. Zhou, F. Lv, Z. Ye, F. Fan and P. K. Chu, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, **171**, 61–68.
- 2 O. Chusova, H. Nõlvak, M. Odlare, J. Truu, M. Truu, K. Oopkaup and E. Nehrenheim, *Biodegradation*, 2015, **26**, 375–386.

- 3 S. W. Maloney, N. R. Adrian, R. F. Hickey and R. L. Heine, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2002, **92**, 77–88.
- 4 A. Vu and M. Robertson, Radford Ammo Plant Tops Va. In Toxic Releases, The MMJ Post, December 20, 2011, https:// mmj.vcu.edu/2011/12/20/radford-ammo-plant-tops-intoxic-releases/, accessed 21 July 2016.
- 5 J. Lewis, R. Martel, L. Trépanier, G. Ampleman and S. Thiboutot, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2009, **38**, 2229–2236.
- 6 S. Taylor, S. Bigl and B. Packer, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2015, **505**, 762–769.
- 7 G. Certini, R. Scalenghe and W. I. Woods, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 2013, 127, 1–15.
- 8 UN Recommendations on the Transport of Dangerous Goods: Manual of Tests and Criteria, 2010, 5th revised edition, http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/manual/ rev5/manrev5-files_e.html, accessed 7 July 2016.
- 9 D. Kalderis, A. L. Juhasz, R. Boopathy and S. Comfort, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 1407–1484.
- 10 J. Pichtel, *Appl. Environ. Soil Sci.*, 2012, DOI: 10.1155/2012/ 617236.
- 11 K. M. Dontsova, C. Hayes, J. C. Pennington and B. Porter, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2009, **38**, 1458–1465.
- 12 J. E. Szecsody, D. C. Girvin, B. J. Devary and J. A. Campbell, *Chemosphere*, 2004, **56**, 593–610.
- 13 L. D. Sheild, J. Lichwa, E. J. Colon, P. Moravcik and C. Ray, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2013, **260**, 602–608.
- 14 M. A. Chappell, C. L. Price, G. G. Bourne, B. A. Pettway and B. E. Porter, Soil Vadose Zone Chemistry of TNT and RDX Under Water-Saturated Conditions, in *Environmental Chemistry of Explosives and Propellant Compounds in Soils and Marine Systems: Distributed Source Characterization and Remedial Technologies*, ed. M. A. Chappell, C. L. Price and R. D. George, ACS Symposium Series, Washington DC, USA, 2011, pp. 217–227.
- 15 K. Ayoub, E. D. Van Hullebusch, M. Cassir and A. Bermond, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **178**, 10–28.
- 16 H. Abadin, C. Smith, L. Ingerman, *et al.*, Toxicological profile for RDX, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ASTDR), 2012, www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/ tp78.pdf, accessed 13 March 2016.
- P. Karakaya, M. Sidhoum, C. Christodoulatos, *et al.*, Aqueous solubility of CL-20, Report-ARAET-CR-05005, Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center, 2005, www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc? AD=ADA436626, accessed 13 March 2016.
- 18 J. M. Brannon and T. E. Myers, *Review of Fate and Transport Processes of Explosives*, Technical Report IRRP-97-2, US Army Corps of Engineers, Waterways Experiment Station, March 1997.
- 19 G. Bordeleau, R. Martel, G. Ampleman and S. Thiboutot, *Chemosphere*, 2013, **93**, 14–19.
- 20 Contaminant Candidate List (CCL) and Regulatory Determination, CCL-3 list United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2015, http://www.epa.gov/ccl/ contaminant-candidate-list-3-ccl-3, accessed 11March 2015.

- 21 K. Ju and R. E. Parales, *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.*, 2010, 74, 250–272.
- 22 J. D. Rodgers and N. J. Bunce, *Water Res.*, 2001, 35, 2101–2111.
- 23 International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) monographs, 2015, http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/ Monographs/vol65/mono65-9.pdf, http://monographs.iarc. fr/ENG/Monographs/vol65/mono65-11.pdf, http:// monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol65/mono65-11.pdf, http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol65/ mono65-10.pdf, accessed 21 November 2015.
- 24 N. E. Paden, E. E. Smith and R. J. Kendall, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 2008, **80**, 487–491.
- 25 S. Letzel, T. Göen, M. Bader, J. Angerer and T. Kraus, *Occup. Environ. Med.*, 2003, **60**, 483–488.
- 26 Letter from BAE Systems to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, TSCA–Submission of preliminary assessment information reporting for BAE Systems/Holston Army Ammunition Plant, Kingsport, Tennessee. 2006. EPA Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OPPT-2005-0014. November 9, 2006.
- 27 Explosive Manufacturing in Australia: Market Research Report, ANZSIC C1892, Jul 2015, http:// www.ibisworld.com.au/industry/default.aspx?indid=186, accessed 4 February 2016.
- 28 Explosives regulation in Australia: Discussion paper and consultation regulation impact statement, Safe Work Australia, 2015, https://ris.govspace.gov.au/files/2015/07/ Explosives-Regulation-in-Australia-Discussion-Paper-Consultation-RIS.pdf, accessed 9 February 2016.
- 29 Defence site Maribyrnong: Remediation action plan –Audit areas 2 to 6, Report number: 127613066-064-R-Rev0, Department of Defence, 2013, http://www.defence.gov.au/ id/_Master/docs/Maribyrnong/RAPAuditArea2-6ExecSummary forDefenceWebsite.pdf, accessed 9 February 2016.
- 30 T. W. Sheremata, A. Halasz, L. Paquet, S. Thiboutot, G. Ampleman and J. Hawari, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2001, 35, 1037–1040.
- 31 B. Bhushan, A. Halasz, S. Thiboutot, G. Ampleman and J. Hawari, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2004, 316, 816–821.
- 32 K. A. Millerick, J. T. Johnston and K. T. Finneran, *Chemosphere*, 2016, **159**, 138–144.
- 33 F. H. Crocker, K. J. Indest and H. L. Fredrickson, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2006, **73**, 274–290.
- 34 J. Hawari, A. Halasz, C. Groom, S. Deschamps, L. Paquet, C. Beaulieu and A. Corriveau, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2002, 36, 5117–5123.
- 35 W. R. Mabey, D. Tse, A. Baraze and T. Mill, *Chemosphere*, 1983, **12**, 3–16.
- 36 M. S. Simmons and R. G. Zepp, *Water Res.*, 1986, **20**, 899–904.
- 37 S. Venugopalan, *Demystifying explosives: Concepts in high energy materials*, © Elsevier Science Limited, 2015, pp. 83-86.

- 38 M. Thomson, Less dangerous explosives, 2010, Time Magazine, http://content.time.com/time/specials/packages/ article/0, 28804, 2029497_2030613_2029816,00.html, accessed 17 February 2016.
- 39 P. Mahbub, R. Wilson and P. N. Nesterenko, *Propellants, Explos., Pyrotech.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1002/prep.201500309.
- 40 T. Dubroca, K. Moyant and R. E. Hummel, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2013, **105**, 149–155.
- 41 J. Yinon and S. Zitrin, *The Analysis of Explosives*, Pergamon Press, U.K., 1981, pp. 141–153.
- 42 Y. Kholod, D. Kosenkov, S. Okovytyy, L. Gorb, M. Qasim and J. Leszczynski, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2008, **71**, 230–237.
- 43 T. Abe, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1958, 31, 904-907.
- 44 D. Ghosh and M. N. Luwang, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 10468– 10478.
- 45 National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) webbook, http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/, accessed 21 February 2016.
- 46 B. Rao, W. Wang, Q. Cai, T. Anderson and B. Gu, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2013, **443**, 692–699.
- 47 C. L. Just and J. L. Schnoor, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, 38, 290–295.
- 48 Q. Li, C. Gu, Y. Di, H. Yin and J. Zhang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2006, **133**, 68–74.
- 49 J. Hawari, S. Deschamps, C. Beaulieu, L. Paquet and A. Halasz, *Water Res.*, 2004, 38, 4055–4064.
- 50 L. Čapka, Z. Vecera, P. Mikuska, J. Sestáka, V. Kahle and A. Bumbová, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2015, **1388**, 167–173.
- 51 R. R. Kunz, K. E. Gregory, M. J. Aernecke, M. L. Clark, A. Ostrinskaya and A. W. Fountain III, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 3611–3624.
- 52 O. Mihas, N. Kalogerakis and E. Psillakis, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2007, **146**, 535–539.
- 53 S. Guittonneau, J. D. Laat, J. P. Duguet, C. Bonnel and M. Doré, *Ozone: Sci. Eng.*, 1990, **12**, 73–94.
- 54 F. J. Beltran, J. M. Encinar and M. A. Alonso, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 1998, **37**, 32–40.
- 55 W.-S. Chen, C.-N. Juan and K.-M. Wei, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2007, **147**, 97–104.
- 56 A. K. Biń, P. Machniewski, R. Sakowicz, J. Ostrowska and Z. Zieliński, Ozone: Sci. Eng., 2001, 23, 343–349.
- 57 Z. M. Li, P. J. Shea and S. D. Comfort, *Chemosphere*, 1998, 36, 1849–1865.
- 58 F. A. Momani, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2006, 179, 184– 192.
- 59 W. Mcphee, L. Wagg and P. Martin, Advanced oxidation processes of the destruction of ordnance and propellant compounds using Rayox, in *Chemical Oxidation*. *Technologies for the Nineties 3*, ed W. W. Eckenfelder, A. R. Bowers and J. A. Roth, Technomic Publ., Basel, 1993, pp. 249–266.
- 60 M. J. Liou, M. C. Lu and J. N. Chen, *Water Res.*, 2003, 37, 3172-3179.
- 61 L. Carlos, D. Fabbri, A. L. Capparelli, A. B. Prevot, E. Pramauro and F. G. Einschlag, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2009, **201**, 32–38.

- 62 G. Matafonova and V. Batoev, *Chemosphere*, 2012, **89**, 637–647.
- 63 G. Yardin and S. Chiron, Chemosphere, 2006, 62, 1395–1402.
- 64 S.-J. Lee, H.-S. Son, H.-K. Lee and K.-D. Zoh, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 2002, **46**, 139–145.
- 65 R. Alam, I. V. Lightcap, C. J. Karwacki and P. V. Kamat, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 7272–7278.
- 66 R. Ludwichk, O. K. Helferich, C. P. Kist, A. C. Lopes, T. Cavasotto, D. C. Silva and M. Barreto-Rodrigues, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2015, 293, 81–86.
- 67 Z. Liu, Y. He, F. Li and Y. Liu, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 2006, **13**, 328–332.
- 68 S.-X. Li, F.-Y. Zheng, X.-L. Liu, F. Wu, N.-S. Deng and J.-H. Yang, *Chemosphere*, 2005, **61**, 589–594.
- 69 D. C. Schmelling and K. A. Gray, *Water Res.*, 1995, **29**, 2651–2662.
- 70 D. Rajamanickam and M. Shanthi, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2012, DOI: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2012.05.006.
- 71 B. Zhao, G. Mele, I. Pio, J. Li, L. Palmisano and G. Vasapollo, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **176**, 569–574.
- 72 A. H. Qusti, R. M. Mohamed and M. A. Salam, *Ceram. Int.*, 2014, **40**, 5539–5546.
- 73 G. Perchet, G. Merlina, J.-C. Revel, M. Hafidi, C. Richard and E. Pinelli, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **166**, 284–290.
- 74 R. Dillert, I. Fornefett, U. Siebers and D. Bahnemann, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 1996, **94**, 231–236.
- 75 D. N. Khue, N. V. Chat, D. B. Minh, T. D. Lam, P. H. Lan and V. D. Loi, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, *Proc. Conf.*, 2013, 33, 1975–1982.
- 76 P. Bose, W. H. Glaze and D. S. Maddox, *Water Res.*, 1998, **32**, 997–1004.
- 77 P. Bose, W. H. Glaze and D. S. Maddox, *Water Res.*, 1998, 32, 1005–1018.
- 78 M. D. Pace and B. Kalyanaraman, Free Radicals Biol. Med., 1993, 15, 337–342.
- 79 S. M. Celin, M. Pandit, J. C. Kapoor and R. K. Sharma, *Chemosphere*, 2003, **53**, 63–69.
- 80 D. Hennecke, W. Kördel, K. Steinbach and B. Hermann, *Transformation processes of explosives in natural water sediment systems*, CONSOIL 2008: Theme E – Remediation Concepts & Technologies, 2008, vol. 1–3, pp. 463–472.
- 81 M. Nipper, Y. Qian, R. S. Carr and K. Miller, *Chemosphere*, 2004, **56**, 519–530.
- 82 D. W. O'Sullivan, J. R. Denzel and D. J. Luning Prak, Aquat. Geochem., 2010, 16, 491–505.
- 83 D. J. Luning Prak, E. A. Milewski, E. E. Jedlicka, et al., Mar. Chem., 2013, 157, 233–241.
- 84 X. Yang, X. Zhao and H.-M. Hwang, J. Hazard. Mater., 2007, 143, 271–276.
- 85 H. Cui, H.-M. Hwang, S. Cook and K. Zeng, *Chemosphere*, 2001, 44, 621–625.
- 86 C. Tanjaroon, C. J. Lee, S. W. Reeve, S. D. Allen and J. B. Johnson, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **641**, 33–39.
- 87 J. Hawari, F. Monteil-Rivera, N. N. Perreault, A. Halasz, L. Paquet, Z. Radovic-Hrapovic, S. Deschamps, S. Thiboutot and G. Ampleman, *Chemosphere*, 2015, 119, 16–23.
- 88 M. Shui, Y. Sun, Z. Zhao, et al., Optik, 2013, **124**, 6115–6118.

Review

- 89 R. Alnaizy and A. Akgerman, Water Res., 1999, 33, 2021– 2030.
- 90 F. S. G. Einschlag, L. Carlos, A. L. Capparelli, A. M. Braun and E. Oliveros, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2002, **1**, 520–525.
- 91 F. S. G. Einschlag, J. Lopez, L. Carlos, A. L. Capparelli, A. M. Braun and E. Oliveros, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2002, 36, 3936–3944.
- 92 Q. M. Chen, C. Yang, N. K. Goh, K. C. Teo and B. Chen, *Chemosphere*, 2004, 55, 339–344.
- 93 C. A. Diehl, C. T. Jafvert, K. A. Marley and R. A. Larson, Surfactant-assisted UV-photolysis of nitroarenes, *Chemosphere*, 2002, 46, 553–560.
- 94 M. J. Liou, M. C. Lu and J. N. Chen, *Chemosphere*, 2004, 57, 1107–1114.
- 95 V. Kavitha and K. Palanivelu, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2005, **170**, 83–95.
- 96 P. Eskandari, F. Kazemi and Z. Zand, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2014, 274, 7–12.
- 97 M. H. Priya and G. Madras, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2006, **178**, 1–7.
- 98 C. Maillard-Dupuy, C. Guillard and P. Pichat, *New J. Chem.*, 1994, **18**, 941–948.
- 99 S. P. Kamble, S. B. Sawant and V. G. Pangarkar, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 2006, 81, 365–373.
- 100 K. Tanaka, W. Luesaiwong and T. Hisanaga, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 1997, **122**, 67–74.
- 101 J. Prousek and M. Šlosáriková, *Chem. Listy*, 1996, **90**, 829–832.
- 102 H. R. Pouretedal, M. H. Kashavarz, M. H. Yosefi, A. Shokrollahi and A. Zali, *Iran. J. Chem. Chem. Eng.*, 2009, 28, 13–19.
- 103 J.-K. Choi, H.-S. Son, T.-S. Kim, M. K. Stenstrom and K.-D. Zoh, *Environ. Technol.*, 2006, **27**, 219–232.
- 104 L. Le Campion, C. Giannotti and J. Ouazzani, *Chemosphere*, 1999, **38**, 1561–1570.
- 105 S. V. Ingale, P. B. Wagh, A. K. Tripathi, et al., J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 2011, **58**, 682–688.
- 106 H.-S. Son, S.-J. Lee, I.-H. Cho and K.-D. Zoh, *Chemosphere*, 2004, 57, 309–317.
- 107 D. Tomova, V. Iliev, S. Rakovsky, M. Anachkov, A. Eliyas and G. Li Puma, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2012, **231**, 1–8.
- 108 Z. Wang and C. Kutal, Chemosphere, 1995, 30, 1125-1136.
- 109 M. Nahen, D. Bahnemann, R. Dillert and G. Fels, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 1997, **110**, 191–199.
- 110 D. C. Schmelling, K. A. Gray and P. V. Kamat, *Water Res.*, 1997, **31**, 1439–1447.

- 111 C. Feng, H. Shang and X. Liu, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2014, 35, 168–174.
- 112 R. Dillert, M. Brandt, I. Fornefett, U. Siebers and D. Bahnemann, *Chemosphere*, 1995, **30**, 2333–2341.
- 113 N. V. Chat, D. N. Khue, N. V. Hung, T. V. Chung and I. F. Cheng, *Asian J. Chem.*, 2010, **22**, 3115–3121.
- 114 L. Wang, D. Tuschel, S. A. Asher, 229 nm UV Photochemical Degradation of Energetic Molecules, Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Explosives (CBRNE) Sensing XII, Edited by Augustus W. Fountain III, Patrick J. Gardner, Proc. of SPIE Vol. 8018, 80181B © 2011 SPIE.
- 115 D. Vione, E. D. Laurentiis, S. Berto, C. Minero, A. Hatipoglu and Z. Cinar, *Chemosphere*, 2016, **145**, 277–283.
- 116 J. A. Herrera-Melián, A. J. Martín-Rodríguez, A. Ortega-Méndez, J. Araña, J. M. Doña-Rodríguez and J. Pérez-Peña, J. Environ. Manage., 2012, 105, 53–60.
- 117 E. Sisco, M. Najarro, C. Bridge and R. Aranda IV, *Forensic Sci. Int.*, 2015, **251**, 124–131.
- 118 KWR, New concepts of UV/H₂O₂ oxidation, BTO2011.046, Project number B111.604,© 2011 KWR, WaterRF, http:// www.waterrf.org/publicreportlibrary/4040.pdf, accessed 27 November 2015.118.
- 119 D. Cambié, C. Bottecchia, N. J. W. Straathof, V. Hessel and T. Noël, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1021/ acs.chemrev.5b00707.
- 120 Y. Su, N. J. W. Straathof, V. Hessel and T. Noel, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 10562–10589.
- 121 M. Oelgemöller, Chem. Eng. Technol., 2012, 35, 1144-1152.
- 122 Y. Su, K. Kuijpers, V. Hessel and T. Noel, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2016, 1, 73–81.
- 123 S. Zhao, M. Djavid and Z. Mi, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 7006–7009.
- 124 U. Megerle, R. Lechner, B. König and E. Riedle, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2010, **9**, 1400–1406.
- 125 M. Ibadurrohman and K. Hellgardt, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2014, **39**, 18204–18215.
- 126 R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, H. Irie and T. Ohwaki, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 9824–9852.
- 127 K. Nishizawa, M. Okada and E. Watanabe, *Mater. Sci. Appl.*, 2014, **5**, 112–123.
- 128 K. J. Balkus Jr, Chapter 9 Metal oxide nanotube, nanorod, and quantum dot photocatalysis, *New Future Dev. Catal.*, 2013, 213–244.
- 129 X. Liu, H. Shang and C. Feng, J. Rare Earths, 2014, 32, 17–22.