



VICTORIA UNIVERSITY
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

Insomnia and potential risk factors during pregnancy

This is the Published version of the following publication

Kumarasinghe, KN, Jayawickrama, CV, Karunasekara, TS, Jayashani, S and Senaratna, Chamara (2023) Insomnia and potential risk factors during pregnancy. Sri Lanka Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 45 (3). pp. 117-124. ISSN 1391-7536

The publisher's official version can be found at
<https://sljog.sljol.info/articles/10.4038/sljog.v45i3.8073>
Note that access to this version may require subscription.

Downloaded from VU Research Repository <https://vuir.vu.edu.au/49189/>

Insomnia and potential risk factors during pregnancy

K N Kumarasinghe^a, C V Jayawickrama^a, T S Karunasekara^a, S Jayashani^a, C V Senaratna^b

Abstract

Background: Insomnia during pregnancy adversely affects both mother and newborn, but evidence for its proportion and potential risk factors in Sri Lankan pregnant women is limited. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the proportion and factors associated with insomnia during pregnancy.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among 404 antenatal women who participated in pregnancy-support groups on Facebook during the COVID-19 pandemic. They responded to a self-administered questionnaire that included the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) to detect insomnia and questions adapted from the Stanford Sleep Questionnaire and an abbreviated version of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire to determine associated factors. Habitual sleep efficiency was calculated using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). Logistic regression models were used to determine the associations of risk factors with insomnia.

Results: The proportion of insomnia overall was 32.7%, with proportions of moderate and severe insomnia being 28% and 1.5%, respectively. The proportion of insomnia was lowest in the 1st trimester (19.2%) and highest in the 3rd trimester (42.8%). The risk factors that were associated with insomnia included age ≥ 30 years (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.09-2.52), third trimester (OR = 3.1, 95% CI 1.49-6.56), overweight or obesity in early pregnancy (OR = 1.8, 95% CI 1.04-3.16), irregular sleep routine (OR = 5.2, 95% CI 3.28-8.42), sleeping on lateral position (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.03-2.71), trait of worry (OR = 2.4, 95% CI 1.50-4.02), night back pain (OR = 1.7, 95% CI 1.01-2.91), difficulty in breathing (OR = 2.4, 95% CI 1.37-4.39), heart burn and regurgitation (OR = 1.9, 95% CI 1.24-2.99), and awakening due to foetal movements (OR = 1.8, 95% CI 1.14-2.96).

Conclusion: Insomnia during pregnancy was high and may have clinical implications for primary antenatal care practice. Many factors associated with insomnia during pregnancy are modifiable and can form the basis for prevention and management.

Key words: pregnancy, insomnia, risk factors


Sri Lanka Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 2023; **45**: 117-124

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4038/sljog.v45i3.8073>

^a Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.

^b Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka.

Correspondence: KNK, e-mail: kasuni123nim@gmail.com

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3591-3590>

Received 11th February 2023

Accepted 30th October 2023



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Insomnia is the most common sleep disorder¹. It is characterised by difficulty in initiating and/or maintaining sleep, including waking up frequently during the night or waking up too early with being unable to go back to sleep². Its prevalence in the general population varies from 10% to 30%¹. In pregnant women, this varies more widely from 17% in USA³, through 20% in China⁴, 32.5% in Nigeria⁵, and 52.2% in Turkey⁶, to 61.9% in Norway⁷. This significant variation in prevalence suggests that there could be country- or culture-specific elements that drive this high prevalence. Furthermore, insomnia prevalence in pregnant women progressively increases during pregnancy⁸ from 40–44% in first trimester to nearly 64% in third trimester^{8,9}. Insomnia during pregnancy causes endocrine, cardiovascular, neurological, and psychiatric disorders among pregnant women^{2,10} and preterm and prolonged labour¹⁰. Despite insomnia's role in increasing maternal and foetal disease burden in a country¹⁰, there is limited evidence on insomnia during pregnancy in the South Asian region^{4,10} including Sri Lanka.

Knowledge on risk factors for insomnia during pregnancy could be used to flag high-risk women for early diagnosis, and any knowledge on modifiable risk factors could be additionally useful in management of insomnia during pregnancy. These factors include comorbidities such as depression^{3,4,6,7,11}, lower back pain (REF)⁷, pelvic girdle pain⁷, high blood pressure¹² and restless-leg-syndrome⁶ and pregnancy related factors such as awakening due to foetal movements^{13,14}, frequent nocturia⁶, uncomfortable sleeping postures⁶ and pre-gestational insomnia⁸. Maternal sleep efficiency also declines¹⁵ throughout the pregnancy¹⁶ due to negative sleep environment⁴ and environmental temperature¹³. However, the evidence for many other factors associated with insomnia during pregnancy are equivocal, including for advanced maternal age^{3-5,7,10,12,17}, educational level^{3,18}, having other living children^{5,7,8} and disturbed relationship with bed partner^{4,13}. Such equivocal evidence also exists for the association of gestational trimester^{3-5,8} and pre-gestational obesity^{5,8} with insomnia.

Given the highly variable prevalence of insomnia during pregnancy in various settings, limited evidence available on the factors associated with it, especially in the South Asian region, and the uncertainty of their significance, we aimed to describe these among Sri Lankan pregnant women.

Methodology

Study design and data collection

This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted in Sri Lanka from 18 December 2020 to 31 January 2021. We initially planned this study to be conducted in a clinic-based representative sample using cluster sampling but this was made impossible by COVID-19 related access restrictions imposed in Sri Lanka at the time of the study. We considered using telephone surveys in a community or clinic-based sample accessed through hospital or field antenatal clinics to overcome these restrictions. However, this was impossible due to ethical, health, administrative, financial, and logistic considerations. The alternative was an online survey. The feasible options for recruitment for an online survey during that period were through social media such as Whatsapp groups and Facebook groups. The most pragmatic access to a large sample of antenatal women with minimal systematic bias was through Facebook. Therefore, we identified Facebook groups that functioned as platforms intended for antenatal women in Sri Lanka to recruit the participants from. We found five such groups. Each group had around 500 to 800 active participants, as shown by reaction rates in those groups. We then contacted the administrators of those Facebook groups for their support, who facilitated inviting all group members to participate in our study. The eligibility criteria were being pregnant and conversant in Sinhala or English. The only exclusion criterion was being younger than 18 years.

We designed a self-administered questionnaire as a Google form and posted it in these Facebook groups with endorsements of the administrators, inviting all women who fulfil eligible criteria to respond. The invitation and the link to the Google form were also group-messaged to individual women. The first part of the form assessed the eligibility criteria and terminated the form-filling for those ineligible. The eligible participants provided informed written consent before proceeding to complete the questionnaire.

This questionnaire included the insomnia severity index (ISI)¹⁹ to determine insomnia by night sleep, questions on socio-demographic characteristics and obstetric history, past medical history, and sleep history, and questions on factors associated with insomnia adapted from the Stanford sleep questionnaire²⁰. Questions to assess the state of worry were adapted from an abbreviated version of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSQW-A)²¹. Questions in the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) were used to obtain information for the calculation of habitual sleep effi-

ciency¹⁵, and a question on having pregestational insomnia was included additionally. The participants were instructed to refer to their pregnancy health record mandatorily provided to all pregnant women in the country to report the body mass index (BMI) at booking visits.

Data analysis

The presence of insomnia was determined using ISI cut-off score of 10¹⁹. Mild insomnia was defined as ISI score of 10-14, moderate insomnia as ISI score of 15-21, and severe insomnia as ISI score of 22-28²².

BMI was classified as normal (18.5-22.9kgm⁻²), overweight (23-24.9kgm⁻²), and obese (>24.9kgm⁻²) using the cut-off scores recommended for Asian populations²³. The level of habitual sleep efficiency was calculated using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI)¹⁷. Any presence of worry was defined as having a PSWQ-A score of 22 or more²¹.

The data was analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26. Descriptive

data were presented as frequency and percentage, mean and standard deviation (SD), or median and interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. Regression models were used to determine the association of selected factors with insomnia during pregnancy. A directed acyclic graph was used to determine the minimum sets of covariates to be included in the regression models.

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethics Review Committee of the Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura (ERC ref: CM/43/2020).

Results

A total of 404 eligible women responded to the questionnaire. Their basic characteristics are given in Table 1. Their median (IQR) age was 29(4) years. The majority were in the second trimester (51.2%), employed (57.7%), and had no living children (75.2%). The median (IQR) BMI of the sample was 23.7 kg/m² (5.9 kg/m²).

Table 1. Basic characteristics of the sample

| | | Frequency (%) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Age ^a (years) | < 30 | 227 (56.2) |
| | ≥30 | 177 (43.8) |
| Education | Grade 1 - Grade 5 | 1 (0.2) |
| | Grade 6 - Grade 11 | 9 (2.2) |
| | G.C.E. O/L pass | 35 (8.7) |
| | G.C.E. A/L pass | 101 (25.0) |
| | Tertiary education | 258 (63.9) |
| Trimester | First | 57 (14.1) |
| | Second | 207 (51.2) |
| | Third | 140 (34.7) |
| Employment status | Employed | 233 (57.7) |
| | Unemployed | 171 (42.3) |
| Number of living children | None | 304 (75.2) |
| | 1 | 75 (18.6) |
| | 2 | 24 (5.9) |
| | 3 | 1 (0.2) |
| BMI ^{**} (kg m ⁻²) | Underweight | 23 (5.7) |
| | Normal | 100 (24.8) |
| | Overweight | 39 (9.7) |
| | Obese | 110 (27.2) |
| | Not responded | 132 (32.7) |

^{a:24}, G.C.E.: General Certificate of Education, O/L: Ordinary Level, A/L: Advanced Level, BMI: Body Mass Index,

*Median age = 29 years (IQR = 4), ** Median BMI=23.7 kgm² (IQR=5.9).

The proportion of insomnia (ISI score 10 or more) was 32.7% (n=132). The proportions of mild, moderate, and severe insomnia were 3.2%, 28.0%, and 1.5%, respectively. The proportion of insomnia increased from 19.2% in the 1st trimester through 29.5% in the second trimester to 42.8% in the 3rd trimester. Those with insomnia spent more time in bed awake leading to low sleep efficiency compared with those without insomnia (Table 2).

After adjusting for the confounding variables, insomnia

was associated with age ≥ 30 years (OR=1.6, 95% CI 1.09-2.52), third trimester (OR=3.1, 95% CI 1.49-6.56), overweight or obesity early in pregnancy (OR=1.8, 95% CI 1.04-3.16), irregular sleep routine (OR=5.2, 95% CI 3.28-8.42), sleeping on lateral position (OR=1.6, 95% CI 1.03-2.71), trait of worry (OR=2.4, 95% CI 1.50-4.02), night back pain (OR=1.7, 95% CI 1.01-2.91), difficulty of breathing (OR=2.4, 95% CI 1.37-4.39), heart burn and regurgitation (OR=1.9, 95% CI 1.24-2.99), and awakening due to foetal movements (OR=1.8, 95% CI 1.14-2.96) (Table 3).

Table 2. Sleep characteristics of the sample

| | Total sample n = 404 Median (IQR) | Women with insomnia n = 132 Median (IQR) | Women without insomnia n = 272 Median (IQR) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bed time (hour: minutes) | 10:00 pm (1:00) | 10:00 pm (1:00) | 10:00 pm (1:00) |
| Sleep onset latency (minutes) | 30 (30) | 45 (38) | 30 (30) |
| Number of awakenings in the night | 3 (2) | 3 (2) | 2 (2) |
| Time of waking up in the morning (hours: minutes) | 6:00 am (1:30) | 6:00 am (1:11) | 6:00 am (1:15) |
| Time of getting out of bed (hour: minutes) | 6:30 am (1:15) | 6:30 am (1:30) | 6:30 am (1:07) |
| Duration between awakening and getting out of bed (minutes) | 12.5 (30) | 15 (29) | 10 (30) |
| Total Sleep Duration (hours: minutes) | 6:50 (1:45) | 6:00 (1:59) | 7:05 (1:36) |
| Time duration in bed (hours: minutes) | 8:30 (1:30) | 8:52 (2:00) | 8:39 (1:30) |
| Habitual sleep efficiency (%) | 80.95 (15.47) | 71.43 (20.41) | 84.44 (10.56) |

IQR: interquartile range.

Table 3. Association of potential risk factors with insomnia during pregnancy

| | Adjusted OR | (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Age ≥30 years | 1.6 | 1.09-2.52 | 0.017 |
| Trimester | | | |
| Second trimester | 1.7 | 0.84-3.59 | 0.130 |
| Third trimester | 3.1 | 1.49-6.56 | 0.002 |
| Booking visit BMI | | | |
| Underweight | 0.5 | 0.18-1.92 | 0.390 |
| Overweight and obese | 1.8 | 1.04-3.16 | 0.035 |
| Irregular sleep routine | 5.2 | 3.28-8.42 | 0.000 |
| Occupational physical activity level | | | |
| Moderate physical activity | 0.9 | 0.47-1.79 | 0.819 |
| Vigorous physical activity | 1.1 | 0.41-2.82 | 0.869 |
| Number of living children | | | |
| 1 | 0.7 | 0.44-1.34 | 0.358 |
| 2 or more | 1.2 | 0.50-2.80 | 0.694 |
| Back pain at night | 1.7 | 1.01-2.91 | 0.043 |
| Difficulty of breathing at night | 2.4 | 1.37-4.39 | 0.003 |
| Heart burn or regurgitation at night | 1.9 | 1.24-2.99 | 0.003 |
| Hypertension | 1.1 | 0.20-6.29 | 0.890 |
| Gestational diabetes | 0.8 | 0.38-2.02 | 0.765 |
| Predominantly sleeping in lateral position | 1.6 | 1.03-2.71 | 0.034 |
| Bedroom environment perceived as uncomfortable | 1.3 | 0.63-2.71 | 0.466 |
| Pets in the bedroom | 0.6 | 0.13-3.42 | 0.642 |
| Watching television before sleep | 0.9 | 0.50-1.84 | 0.906 |
| Using mobile phones/electronic devices before sleep | 0.9 | 0.51-1.70 | 0.829 |
| Reading/studying any books/notes/newspapers before sleep | 0.7 | 0.42-1.21 | 0.216 |
| Having a snack before sleep | 1.2 | 0.76-2.11 | 0.350 |
| Drinking coffee/tea before sleep | 1.0 | 0.49-2.13 | 0.930 |
| Doing exercises | 0.8 | 0.52-1.50 | 0.658 |
| Shortening of sleep due to other children | 1.4 | 0.68-3.23 | 0.321 |
| Pre-gestational insomnia | 1.0 | 0.16-6.57 | 0.961 |
| Trait of worry* | 2.4 | 1.50-4.02 | 0.000 |
| Awakening due to foetal movements at night | 1.8 | 1.14-2.96 | 0.012 |
| Awakening due to nocturia | 1.2 | 0.65-2.45 | 0.487 |
| Worries about COVID-19 related social restrictions | 1.3 | 0.85-2.01 | 0.208 |

*Trait of worry was calculated using the abbreviated version of Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSQW-A), ^{a:24}

Discussion

Using a cross-sectional online survey, we found a high proportion of insomnia during pregnancy and several potential risk factors. Overall, the women in our sample spent 8 hours and 30 minutes in bed, but the median total sleep time was 6 hours and 50 minutes. As expected (Table 2), those with insomnia spent a longer time in bed (8 hours and 52 minutes) but slept for only 6 hours on average.

The substantially high proportion (32.7%) of clinically significant insomnia (ISI score 10 or more) detected in our sample is higher than what has been reported in most countries in previous studies³⁻⁵, but higher proportions have also been reported^{6,7}. Cultural differences that influence various aspects of women's lives and strategies used in coping with life issues likely explain these differences in proportions²⁵. Despite the potential of the COVID-19 pandemic to change daily lifestyles and mental health status²⁶ of the individuals due to social isolation that could affect sleep, our study showed no significant association of COVID-19 related social restrictions with insomnia ($p=0.208$), possibly due to strong social support available within the local culture. Although severe insomnia of 1.5% that we found in our sample compares with 2.4% previously found in Bangladesh, it has a similar socio-economic background. The proportion of moderate insomnia in our sample is much higher than that found in Bangladesh (28% vs 19%)¹⁰. Given that both studies used ISI to determine insomnia, this difference is difficult to explain but could be due to the effect of latent socio-economic and cultural variables²⁵. Our finding of a progressive increase in the proportion of insomnia over the course of pregnancy confirms existing evidence^{8,9}.

The high proportion of insomnia may have significant clinical implications given that insomnia during pregnancy is associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes such as endocrine, cardiovascular, neurological and psychiatric disorders in pregnant women^{2,10} and preterm and prolonged labour¹⁰. Currently, there is no routine mechanism to identify insomnia during pregnancy and sleep complaints of pregnant women often do not receive much attention during routine clinical encounters. If this high proportion of clinically significant insomnia can be confirmed in population-based studies, it would flag the need for healthcare interventions during the antenatal period.

Any primary or secondary preventative intervention for insomnia during pregnancy requires identification

of its risk factors, in particular, the modifiable risk factors. Many associations that we found in our study add to the current equivocal evidence. We found that advanced maternal age (≥ 30 years) to be a risk factor, confirming what was previously found in South West Nigeria⁵, Spain³ and Turkey⁶, although other studies done in China⁴, Poland¹⁷, Norway⁷ and Bangladesh¹⁰ had found no such association. These studies that found no association between age and insomnia used scales different from ISI to identify insomnia, including a combination of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (4th edition; DSM-4) and International Classification of Diseases (10th Revision; ICD-10) criteria⁴ and Athens Insomnia Scale (AIS)²⁷, which could, at least partially explain these differences. Similarly, our finding of third trimester as a significant risk factor for insomnia differs from the finding of no association in a Nigerian study⁵ using ISI. Other studies that used the Women's Health Initiative Insomnia Rating Scale⁹ and Athens Insomnia Scale (AIS)⁸, however, have found the third trimester to be a risk factor. Mental stressors, physical changes, and co-morbidities likely stack up towards the late stages of pregnancy with potential worsening of insomnia during these stages. Our finding of overweight or obesity as a risk factor confirms what was reported in Turkey, where women with a BMI of $>25\text{kgm}^{-2}$ had a higher risk of insomnia⁶. On the other hand, no significant association was seen between BMI and insomnia during pregnancy in China^{4,18} and Spain¹². The debate on the association (or the lack of it) between insomnia and BMI is complex²⁸, and the role of reverse causation cannot be excluded, given our study design.

The association that we found between back pain and insomnia confirms what was reported from Norway⁷, USA¹³ and Poland¹⁴. Difficulty of breathing, heart burn and regurgitation at night could also wake people up leading to insomnia^{13,29}. Gestational diabetes mellitus or pregnancy-induced hypertension could lead to anxiety and thereby cause insomnia¹², but we found no association between these conditions and insomnia¹⁷. This lack of association is consistent with what was reported from Poland¹⁷ but contradicts findings from Spain¹¹ and may arise from poor awareness of the consequences of these comorbidities, perceived better control of the comorbidities, or better coping with mental stress¹¹ and may arise from poor awareness of the consequences of these comorbidities, perceived better control of the comorbidities, or better coping with mental stress. The association that we observed between sleeping on lateral position and insomnia could potentially be due to discomfort

associated with that position, as shown in a Turkish study⁶. An uncomfortable sleeping position also increases the number of awakenings as the pregnancy progresses³⁰. Worry was associated with insomnia in our study, similar to what was previously reported from Lebanon¹⁸ and Iran³⁰. Although our findings confirm existing evidence of foetal movements as a risk factor for insomnia^{13,14}, the lack of association that we found between frequent nocturnal urinations and insomnia contradicts strong associations detected in studies done in Turkey⁶, USA^{13,32}, Poland¹⁶, and Japan³³. This is an unusual finding that is difficult to explain but could be due to the low statistical power in our sample to detect this association.

Strengths and limitations

The main strength of our study is the use of validated questionnaires to detect insomnia during pregnancy and other sleep and comorbidity related factors that improve the validity of the findings and their comparability. To overcome the limitations imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic, we used the method that was expected to capture the highest possible variability of the target population; the other online access methods, such as Whatsapp groups, would have limited this variability. We collected anonymised data that improved the responsiveness and accuracy of responses to culturally sensitive questions. We included all antenatal support groups intended for Sri Lankan women on Facebook, thereby not missing anyone who participated in such groups.

Our study had several limitations. Given the recruitment method, our sample is biased towards those who use online social media and participate in antenatal support groups. However, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, many Sri Lankans used Facebook during the study period, and given the restrictions related to the COVID-19 pandemic, many users were likely to have sought support within the support groups similar to the ones that we used. Nevertheless, our findings must be corroborated using population- or field clinic-based samples. Our study may also be underpowered to detect some associations between potential risk factors and insomnia during pregnancy, as our findings show. We were unable to verify the eligibility criteria other than from the responses provided by the respondents. Furthermore, due to the nature of the study, use of clinical assessment that would have better identified outcome measures and a more reliable method of data extraction from clinical records could not be performed.

Conclusions

The proportion of insomnia among pregnant women was high and increased throughout the duration of pregnancy. This high proportion could have clinical implications for both women and their offspring, but our findings must be corroborated from population-based studies to inform any public health initiatives. In the meantime, the associations that we found between potential risk factors and insomnia during pregnancy can be used by clinicians who provide routine care to pregnant women to identify those who are at risk of insomnia so the presence of the disease can be actively explored and relevant actions taken to minimise harm to women and their offspring.

References

1. Bhaskar S, Hemavathy D, Prasad S. Prevalence of chronic insomnia in adult patients and its correlation with medical comorbidities. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2016; 5(4): 780.
2. Malik SW, Kaplan J. Sleep deprivation. *Primary Care Clinics in Office Practice*. 2005; 32(2): 475-90.
3. Manber R, Steidtmann D, Chambers AS, Ganger W, Horwitz S, Connelly CD. Factors associated with clinically significant insomnia among pregnant low-income latinas. *J Womens Health*. 2013; 22(8): 694-701.
4. Wang WJ, Hou CL, Jiang YP, Han F zhen, Wang XY, Wang S bin, et al. Prevalence and associated risk factors of insomnia among pregnant women in China. *Compr Psychiatry*. 2020; 98.
5. Mobolaji Usman D. Insomnia in Pregnancy: Prevalence and Predisposing Factors in a Developing Country. *Central African Journal of Public Health*. 2018; 4(3): 65.
6. Kizilirmak A, Timur S, Kartal B. Insomnia in pregnancy and factors related to insomnia. *The Scientific World Journal*. 2012; 2012.
7. Dorheim SK, Bjorvatn B, Eberhard-Gran M. Insomnia and Depressive Symptoms in Late Pregnancy: A Population-Based Study. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine*. 2012; 10(3): 152-66.
8. Roman-Galvez RM, Amezcua-Prieto C, Salcedo-Bellido I, Martinez-Galiano JM, Khan KS, Bueno-Cavanillas A. Factors associated with insomnia in pregnancy: A prospective Cohort Study. *European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and*

- Reproductive Biology [Internet]. 2018; 221 (December): 70-5. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.12.007>
9. Ko HS, Shin J, Kim MY, Kim YH, Lee J, Kil KC, et al. Sleep disturbances in Korean pregnant and postpartum women. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2012; 33(2): 85-90.
 10. Sharmin A, Medicine S, Mullick AR, Medicine S, Hossain I, Medicine S. *JMSCR* 2020; 8(5) 152-8.
 11. Palagini L, Cipollone G, Masci I, Novi M, Caruso D, Kalmbach DA, et al. Stress-related sleep reactivity is associated with insomnia, psychopathology and suicidality in pregnant women: preliminary results. *Sleep Med* [Internet]. 2019; 56: 145-50. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2019.01.009>
 12. Fernandez-alonso AM, Chedraui P, Pérez-lópez FR. in the late third trimester of pregnancy. 2012; (February).
 13. Mindell JA, Jacobson B. Sleep Disturbances During Pregnancy.
 14. Smyka M, Kosinska-Kaczynska K, Sochacki-Wójcicka N, Zgliczynska M, Wielgos M. Sleep problems in pregnancy—a cross-sectional study in over 7000 pregnant women in Poland. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020; 17(15): 1-8.
 15. Buysse Charles F Reynolds III DJ, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A New Instrument for Psychiatric Practice and Research. Vol. 28, *Psychiatry Research*.
 16. Lee KA, Zaffke ME, Mcenany G. Parity and Sleep Patterns During and After Pregnancy. 2000.
 17. Wolynczyk-Gmaj D, Różanska-Waleziak A, Ziemka S, Ufnal M, Brzezicka A, Gmaj B, et al. Insomnia in pregnancy is associated with depressive symptoms and eating at night. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*. 2017; 13(10): 1171-6.
 18. Mourady D, Richa S, Karam R, Papazian T, Moussa FH, El-Osta N, et al. Associations between quality of life, physical activity, worry, depression and insomnia: A cross-sectional designed study in healthy pregnant women. *PLoS One*. 2017; 12(5): 1-15.
 19. Morin CM, Belleville G, Bélanger L, Ivers H. The insomnia severity index: Psychometric indicators to detect insomnia cases and evaluate treatment response. *Sleep*. 2011; 34(5): 601-8.
 20. Epworth THE, Scale S, Dozing COF. Sleep questionnaire. 2011: 1-7.
 21. DeLapp RCT, Chapman LK, Williams MT. Psychometric properties of a brief version of the Penn State Worry Questionnaire in African Americans and European Americans. *Psychol Assess*. 2016; 28(5): 499-508.
 22. Bastien CH. Insomnia Severity Index. :28.
 23. Barba c.v.c., Cavalli-sforza t. CJ. Appropriate Body Mass Index for Asian Populations and its Implications for Policy and Intervention Strategies. *International Journal of Electronics*. 1986; 61(5): 597-605.
 24. Department of Census and Statistics Sri Lanka. Number of live births by age of mother 1980-2015.
 25. Gonzalez-Mesa E, Kabukcuoglu K, Blasco M, Körükcü O, Ibrahim N, Gonzalez-Cazorla A, et al. Comorbid anxiety and depression (CAD) at early stages of the pregnancy. A multicultural cross-sectional study. *J Affect Disord*. 2020; 270: 85-9.
 26. Khoury JE, Atkinson L, Bennett T, Jack SM, Gonzalez A. COVID-19 and mental health during pregnancy: The importance of cognitive appraisal and social support. *J Affect Disord*. 2021; 282: 1161-9.
 27. Teran-Perez G, Arana-Lechuga Y, Esqueda-Leon E, Santana-Miranda R, Rojas-Zamorano JA, Velazquez Moctezuma J. Steroid Hormones and Sleep Regulation. *Mini-Reviews in Medicinal Chemistry*. 2012; 12(11): 1040-8.
 28. Cronlein T. Insomnia and obesity. Vol. 29, *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2016: 409-12.
 29. Olans Lori B. MDM, Wolf Jacqueline L. MD. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in pregnancy. *Gastroesophageal Reflux in Pregnancy Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Clinics of North America*. 1994; 4(4): 699-712.
 30. Neau JP, Texier B, Ingrand P. Sleep and vigilance disorders in pregnancy. *Eur Neurol*. 2009; 62(1): 23-9.
 31. Davoud A, Abazari M. The relationship between quality of life and physical activity, worry, depression, and insomnia in pregnant women. *Iran J Psychiatry*. 2020; 15(2): 159-68.
 32. Baratte-Beebe KR, Lee K. Sources of Midsleep Awakenings in Childbearing Women. 1999.
 33. Insomnia A Population Study on Risk Factors for Insomnia Among Adult Japanese Women: A Possible Effect of Road Traffic Volume [Internet]. 1997. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/sleep/article/20/11/963/2726009>